

Swiss Election Study (Selects) 2019

Panel Survey (waves 1-6)

Dataset description

Selects2019_Panel_V4.0.sav

January 17, 2023



Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Data and Research Information Services (DARIS) , FORS , Editor
Production Date	January 17, 2023
Version	1.0

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Data Collection.....	4
Accessibility.....	4
Rights & Disclaimer.....	4
Files Description.....	5
Selects2019 Panel v4.0 for codebook.....	5
Variables List.....	6
Selects2019 Panel v4.0 for codebook.....	6
Variables Description.....	49
Selects2019 Panel v4.0 for codebook.....	50

Selects 2019 Panel v4.0

Overview

Identification	Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook
Version	1.0
Kind of Data	Quantitative data
Unit of Analysis	Person

Scope & Coverage

Countries	Switzerland
Geographic Coverage Switzerland (CH)	

Data Collection

Data Collection Mode	Telephone interview
Questionnaires Questionnaire	

Accessibility

Distributor(s)	Data and Research Information Services
Depositor(s)	

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

FORS décline toute responsabilité concernant les analyses et résultats obtenus par l'usage des données mises à disposition. FORS décline également toute responsabilité en cas de mésusage, d'usage abusif ou contraire aux termes de la déclaration Utilisateur. Finalement, FORS n'offre aucune garantie par rapport à la qualité des données distribuées, laquelle demeure de la responsabilité des chercheurs primaires.

Copyright	FORS
------------------	------

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook	
# Cases	7939
# Variable(s)	940

Variables List

Dataset contains 940 variable(s)

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Personal ID	continuous	numeric-8.0	7939	0	-
2	W1_intst..	Interview start date	discrete	character-20	7939	-	-
3	W1_intend	Interview end date	discrete	character-20	7939	-	-
4	W1_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	7939	0	-
5	W1_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	7939	0	-
6	W1_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone	discrete	numeric-8.2	7939	0	-
7	W1_spr	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.2	7939	0	-
8	W1_birth..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	7939	0	-
9	W1_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	7939	0	-
10	W1_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	7939	0	-
11	W1_sex	Gender	discrete	numeric-40.0	7939	0	-
12	W1_f10100	Political interest	discrete	numeric-40.0	7929	10	-
13	W1_f10160	Attention to election campaign	discrete	numeric-40.0	7916	23	-
14	W1_f10200	Participation in the last national election (2015)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7515	424	-
15	W1_f1020..	Participation in national election 2015, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	7925	14	-
16	W1_f10250	Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2015)	discrete	numeric-40.0	5636	2303	-
17	W1_f1030..	Party choice elections 2015	discrete	numeric-8.2	5147	2792	-
18	W1_f1030..	Party choice elections 2015, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-8.2	5147	2792	-
19	W1_f10000	Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2019)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7926	13	-
20	W1_f10800	Intention to take part in 2019 national election	discrete	numeric-40.0	7926	13	-
21	W1_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, likely voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	5833	2106	-
22	W1_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	5833	2106	-
23	W1_f1086..	Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	1227	6712	-
24	W1_f1086..	Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	1227	6712	-
25	W1_f1090..	Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	99	7840	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	W1_f1090..	Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	99	7840	-
27	W1_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, combined	discrete	numeric-3.0	7159	780	-
28	W1_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, combined, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	7159	780	-
29	W1_f10950	Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely voters	discrete	numeric-40.0	5822	2117	-
30	W1_f10951	Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-40.0	1324	6615	-
31	W1_f12500	Participation rate in federal votes out of 10	discrete	numeric-40.0	7917	22	-
32	W1_f10750	Participation in federal vote of May 19, 2019	discrete	numeric-40.0	7842	97	-
33	W1_f10751a	Vote on tax reform and OASI funding	discrete	numeric-8.0	5611	2328	-
34	W1_f10751b	Vote on amended EU weapons directive	discrete	numeric-8.0	5587	2352	-
35	W1_f10756a	Hypothetical vote on tax reform and OASI funding	discrete	numeric-8.0	1457	6482	-
36	W1_f10756b	Hypothetical vote on amended EU weapons directive	discrete	numeric-8.0	1596	6343	-
37	W1_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	7074	865	-
38	W1_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	7074	865	-
39	W1_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-3.0	5433	2506	-
40	W1_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	5433	2506	-
41	W1_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	6745	1194	-
42	W1_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	6745	1194	-
43	W1_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-3.0	5147	2792	-
44	W1_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	5147	2792	-
45	W1_f13400a	Attention to political news on TV	discrete	numeric-40.0	7679	260	-
46	W1_f13400b	Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7489	450	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
47	W1_f13400c	Attention to political news in free newspapers (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7083	856	-
48	W1_f13400d	Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)	discrete	numeric-40.0	6958	981	-
49	W1_f13400e	Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch, 20min.ch)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7087	852	-
50	W1_f13400f	Attention to political news in radio	discrete	numeric-40.0	7374	565	-
51	W1_f13310	Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)	discrete	numeric-40.0	7916	23	-
52	W1_f13420a	Estimation if FDP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	6046	1893	-
53	W1_f13420b	Estimation if CVP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	5974	1965	-
54	W1_f13420c	Estimation if SP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	6144	1795	-
55	W1_f13420d	Estimation if SVP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	6296	1643	-
56	W1_f13420e	Estimation if GPS will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	6239	1700	-
57	W1_f13420f	Estimation if GLP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	6034	1905	-
58	W1_f13420g	Estimation if BDP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	5618	2321	-
59	W1_f13420h	Estimation if Lega will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	245	7694	-
60	W1_f13420i	Estimation if MCG will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	228	7711	-
61	W1_f14000	Party closeness	discrete	numeric-40.0	7914	25	-
62	W1_f14050	Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party	discrete	numeric-40.0	5196	2743	-
63	W1_f1401..	Party R feels close to	discrete	numeric-8.2	5910	2029	-
64	W1_f1401..	Party R feels close to, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	5910	2029	-
65	W1_f14070	How close does R feel to party	discrete	numeric-40.0	5897	2042	-
66	W1_f14400a	Probability to vote for FDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	7535	404	-
67	W1_f14400b	Probability to vote for CVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	7478	461	-
68	W1_f14400c	Probability to vote for SP	discrete	numeric-8.0	7492	447	-
69	W1_f14400d	Probability to vote for SVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	7546	393	-
70	W1_f14400e	Probability to vote for GPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	7478	461	-
71	W1_f14400f	Probability to vote for GLP	discrete	numeric-8.0	7452	487	-
72	W1_f14400g	Probability to vote for BDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	7393	546	-
73	W1_f14400h	Probability to vote for Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	311	7628	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
74	W1_f14400i	Probability to vote for MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	339	7600	-
75	W1_f15200	Left-right self-placement	discrete	numeric-8.0	7294	645	-
76	W1_f13700	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	7926	13	-
77	W1_f15310a	Importance of EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7852	87	-
78	W1_f15310b	Importance of migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7843	96	-
79	W1_f15310c	Importance of social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7828	111	-
80	W1_f15310d	Importance of environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7858	81	-
81	W1_f15310e	Importance of economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	7833	106	-
82	W1_f15320a	Party that cares most about EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	5262	2677	-
83	W1_f15320b	Party that cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	6321	1618	-
84	W1_f15320c	Party that cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	6638	1301	-
85	W1_f15320d	Party that cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	6958	981	-
86	W1_f15320e	Party that cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	6321	1618	-
87	W1_f15330a	Party most competent in EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	4914	3025	-
88	W1_f15330b	Party most competent in migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	5390	2549	-
89	W1_f15330c	Party most competent in social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	5874	2065	-
90	W1_f15330d	Party most competent in environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	6206	1733	-
91	W1_f15330e	Party most competent in economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	5435	2504	-
92	W1_f15340a	Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	7777	162	-
93	W1_f15340b	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	7799	140	-
94	W1_f15340c	Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	7776	163	-
95	W1_f15340d	Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	7803	136	-
96	W1_f15340e	Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	7781	158	-
97	W1_f15360a	Opinion of random party1 on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	5632	2307	-
98	W1_f15360b	Opinion of random party1 on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	5903	2036	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
99	W1_f15360c	Opinion of random party1 on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	5801	2138	-
100	W1_f15360d	Opinion of random party1 on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	6032	1907	-
101	W1_f15360e	Opinion of random party1 on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	5812	2127	-
102	W1_f15370a	Opinion of random party2 on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	5749	2190	-
103	W1_f15370b	Opinion of random party2 on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	5991	1948	-
104	W1_f15370c	Opinion of random party2 on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	5898	2041	-
105	W1_f15370d	Opinion of random party2 on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	6079	1860	-
106	W1_f15370e	Opinion of random party2 on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	5850	2089	-
107	W1_f1536_..	Random party1 for f15360	discrete	numeric-8.2	7939	0	-
108	W1_f1537_..	Random party2 for f15370	discrete	numeric-8.2	7939	0	-
109	W1_f14610	Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	7836	103	-
110	W1_f15656	Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	7849	90	-
111	W1_f15420	Opinion on social spending	discrete	numeric-40.0	7852	87	-
112	W1_f15430	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-40.0	7888	51	-
113	W1_f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	7823	116	-
114	W1_f15440	Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners	discrete	numeric-40.0	7885	54	-
115	W1_f15470	Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth	discrete	numeric-40.0	7886	53	-
116	W1_f15480	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	numeric-40.0	7896	43	-
117	W1_f15485	Opinion on modern vs traditional Switzerland	discrete	numeric-40.0	7884	55	-
118	W1_f15810	Opinion on abandoning the use of fossil fuels from 2050 onwards	discrete	numeric-40.0	7888	51	-
119	W1_f15811	Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women	discrete	numeric-40.0	7914	25	-
120	W1_f15812	Opinion on same-sex marriage	discrete	numeric-40.0	7912	27	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
121	W1_f15813	Opinion on increasing the minimum franchise under the compulsory health insurance scheme	discrete	numeric-40.0	7909	30	-
122	W1_f15655	Trade-off: keep bilateral agreements with EU or limit immigration	discrete	numeric-40.0	7771	168	-
123	W1_f15640	Opinion on institutional framework agreement with the EU	discrete	numeric-40.0	7666	273	-
124	W1_f15641	Trade-off: accept institutional framework agreement with the EU or keep flanking measures against social dumping	discrete	numeric-40.0	7508	431	-
125	W1_f15642	Opinion on cohesion billion	discrete	numeric-40.0	7628	311	-
126	W1_f15653	Effects of bilateral agreements on labour market	discrete	numeric-40.0	7685	254	-
127	W1_f15643	Negotiation power in Swiss-EU negotiations	discrete	numeric-40.0	7776	163	-
128	W1_f2021_..	R's nationality at birth - Country 1	discrete	numeric-2.0	7897	42	-
129	W1_f2021_..	R's nationality at birth - Country 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	319	7620	-
130	W1_f2021_..	R's nationality at birth - Country 3	discrete	numeric-2.0	11	7928	-
131	W1_f20211	Parents both born in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-2.0	7918	21	-
132	W1_f20212	Country R's mother was born in	discrete	numeric-2.0	2223	5716	-
133	W1_f20213	Country R's father was born in	discrete	numeric-2.0	2219	5720	-
134	W1_f20750	Member of religious community	discrete	numeric-40.0	7906	33	-
135	W1_f20760	Religious denomination - Recoded	discrete	numeric-2.0	5192	2747	-
136	W1_f20900	Church attendance	discrete	numeric-40.0	5195	2744	-
137	W1_f21310	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-40.0	7904	35	-
138	W1_f21400	R's current working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	7914	25	-
139	W1_f21500	R's current position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	4857	3082	-
140	W1_f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	numeric-10.0	6354	1585	-
141	W1_f21700	R's current working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	4864	3075	-
142	W1_f21750	Industry in which R's current company is active	discrete	numeric-2.0	4835	3104	-
143	W1_f26100	R's previous working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	2265	5674	-
144	W1_f24900	R's previous position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	1935	6004	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
145	W1_f250_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	numeric-4.0	4455	3484	-
146	W1_ISCO0..	R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits	discrete	numeric-4.0	6605	1334	-
147	W1_f25100	R's previous working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	1909	6030	-
148	W1_f25150	Industry in which R's previous company is active	discrete	numeric-2.0	1914	6025	-
149	W1_f28910	Gross monthly household income	discrete	numeric-40.0	7604	335	-
150	W2_intst..	Interview start date	discrete	character-20	5577	-	-
151	W2_intend	Interview end date	discrete	character-20	5577	-	-
152	W2_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	5577	2362	-
153	W2_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	5577	2362	-
154	W2_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone	discrete	numeric-8.2	5577	2362	-
155	W2_spr	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.2	5577	2362	-
156	W2_f10100	Political interest	discrete	numeric-40.0	5570	2369	-
157	W2_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	5312	2627	-
158	W2_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5312	2627	-
159	W2_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-3.0	4413	3526	-
160	W2_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	4413	3526	-
161	W2_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	5131	2808	-
162	W2_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	5131	2808	-
163	W2_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-3.0	4184	3755	-
164	W2_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	4184	3755	-
165	W2_f13400a	Attention to political news on TV	discrete	numeric-8.0	5457	2482	-
166	W2_f13400b	Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5369	2570	-
167	W2_f13400c	Attention to political news in free newspapers (print or e-paper)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5090	2849	-
168	W2_f13400d	Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5026	2913	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
169	W2_f13400e	Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch, 20min.ch)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5092	2847	-
170	W2_f13400f	Attention to political news in radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	5240	2699	-
171	W2_f1340..	Newspaper (print or e-paper) that R read most often in past days	discrete	numeric-8.0	5306	2633	-
172	W2_f1340..	Website or online media that R read most often in past days	discrete	numeric-8.0	2850	5089	-
173	W2_f13558a	Evaluation of media coverage: FDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	3658	4281	-
174	W2_f13558b	Evaluation of media coverage: CVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	3543	4396	-
175	W2_f13558c	Evaluation of media coverage: SP	discrete	numeric-8.0	3715	4224	-
176	W2_f13558d	Evaluation of media coverage: SVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	3898	4041	-
177	W2_f13558e	Evaluation of media coverage: GPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	3665	4274	-
178	W2_f13558f	Evaluation of media coverage: GLP	discrete	numeric-8.0	3389	4550	-
179	W2_f13558g	Evaluation of media coverage: BDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	3032	4907	-
180	W2_f13430a	Did the media cover: Increased life expectancy for men and women in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.2	3234	4705	-
181	W2_f13430b	Did the media cover: immigration has massively increased since the agreement on the free movement of persons with EU	discrete	numeric-8.2	950	6989	-
182	W2_f13430c	Did the media cover: culinary and cultural diversity has increased since the agreement on the free movement of persons with the EU	discrete	numeric-8.2	765	7174	-
183	W2_f13430d	Did the media cover: wages in Switzerland have been under pressure since the agreement on the free movement of persons with the EU	discrete	numeric-8.2	870	7069	-
184	W2_f13430e	Did the media cover: Switzerland has become one of the world's most competitive countries since the agreement on the free movement of persons with the EU	discrete	numeric-8.2	777	7162	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
185	W2_f13430f	Did the media cover: Switzerland signed a free trade agreement with Ecuador last year	discrete	numeric-8.2	1856	6083	-
186	W2_f1343..	Experimental group for f13430	discrete	numeric-2.0	5577	2362	-
187	W2_f10700	Did R already receive voting material	discrete	numeric-40.0	690	7249	-
188	W2_f10701	Did R already vote by letter	discrete	numeric-40.0	417	7522	-
189	W2_f10800	Intention to take part in 2019 national election	discrete	numeric-40.0	5501	2438	-
190	W2_f10810a	Intention to take part in 2019 national election: R's partner	discrete	numeric-8.2	4775	3164	-
191	W2_f10810b	Intention to take part in 2019 national election: majority of R's family members	discrete	numeric-8.2	5067	2872	-
192	W2_f10810c	Intention to take part in 2019 national election: most of R's friends	discrete	numeric-8.2	4509	3430	-
193	W2_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, likely voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	4379	3560	-
194	W2_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	4379	3560	-
195	W2_f1086..	Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	614	7325	-
196	W2_f1086..	Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	614	7325	-
197	W2_f1090..	Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	85	7854	-
198	W2_f1090..	Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	85	7854	-
199	W2_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, combined	discrete	numeric-3.0	5078	2861	-
200	W2_f1085..	Vote intention 2019, combined, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	5078	2861	-
201	W2_f10950	Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely voters	discrete	numeric-40.0	4354	3585	-
202	W2_f10951	Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely non-voters	discrete	numeric-40.0	693	7246	-
203	W2_f1180..	Vote choice elections 2019	discrete	numeric-3.0	64	7875	-
204	W2_f1180..	Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	64	7875	-
205	W2_f12010	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-40.0	63	7876	-
206	W2_f12021	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	7917	-
207	W2_f12022	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	21	7918	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
208	W2_f12023	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	27	7912	-
209	W2_f12030a	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-40.0	19	7920	-
210	W2_f12030b	Panachage - CVP/PDC	discrete	numeric-40.0	22	7917	-
211	W2_f12030c	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-40.0	14	7925	-
212	W2_f12030d	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-40.0	20	7919	-
213	W2_f12030e	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-40.0	22	7917	-
214	W2_f12030f	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-40.0	21	7918	-
215	W2_f12030g	Panachage - BDP/PBD	discrete	numeric-40.0	23	7916	-
216	W2_f12030h	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-40.0	0	7939	-
217	W2_f12030i	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-40.0	2	7937	-
218	W2_f12030j	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-40.0	23	7916	-
219	W2_f12030k	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-40.0	23	7916	-
220	W2_f12030l	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-40.0	23	7916	-
221	W2_f12030m	Panachage - PdA/PdT	discrete	numeric-40.0	23	7916	-
222	W2_f12030n	Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS	discrete	numeric-40.0	22	7917	-
223	W2_f12030o	Panachage - Other party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-40.0	23	7916	-
224	W2_f12025	More men or women on the list after modification	discrete	numeric-40.0	26	7913	-
225	W2_f12301	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	7880	-
226	W2_f12301p	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (First choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	7880	-
227	W2_f1230..	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 6 main parties (First choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	59	7880	-
228	W2_f12302	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	52	7887	-
229	W2_f12302p	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (Second choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	52	7887	-
230	W2_f1230..	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 6 main parties (Second choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	52	7887	-
231	W2_f14400a	Probability to vote for FDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	5414	2525	-
232	W2_f14400b	Probability to vote for CVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	5381	2558	-
233	W2_f14400c	Probability to vote for SP	discrete	numeric-8.0	5399	2540	-
234	W2_f14400d	Probability to vote for SVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	5407	2532	-
235	W2_f14400e	Probability to vote for GPS	discrete	numeric-8.0	5405	2534	-
236	W2_f14400f	Probability to vote for GLP	discrete	numeric-8.0	5370	2569	-
237	W2_f14400g	Probability to vote for BDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	5352	2587	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
238	W2_f14400h	Probability to vote for Lega	discrete	numeric-8.0	217	7722	-
239	W2_f14400i	Probability to vote for MCG	discrete	numeric-8.0	222	7717	-
240	W2_f15660	Trade-off: keep bilateral agreements with EU or withdraw from the agreement of free movement of persons with the EU	discrete	numeric-40.0	5477	2462	-
241	W2_f15340a	Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	2163	5776	-
242	W2_f15340b	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2252	5687	-
243	W2_f15340c	Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	2177	5762	-
244	W2_f15340d	Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	2226	5713	-
245	W2_f15340e	Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	2210	5729	-
246	W2_f1534_..	Random issue1 for f15340	discrete	numeric-1.0	5577	2362	-
247	W2_f1534_..	Random issue2 for f15340	discrete	numeric-1.0	5577	2362	-
248	W2_f15330a	Party most competent in EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	4118	3821	-
249	W2_f15330b	Party most competent in migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	4399	3540	-
250	W2_f15330c	Party most competent in social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	4760	3179	-
251	W2_f15330d	Party most competent in environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	4904	3035	-
252	W2_f15330e	Party most competent in economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	4587	3352	-
253	W2_f10160	Attention to election campaign	discrete	numeric-40.0	5545	2394	-
254	W2_f13310	Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5553	2386	-
255	W2_f13420a	Estimation if FDP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4466	3473	-
256	W2_f13420b	Estimation if CVP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4441	3498	-
257	W2_f13420c	Estimation if SP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4535	3404	-
258	W2_f13420d	Estimation if SVP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4641	3298	-
259	W2_f13420e	Estimation if GPS will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4605	3334	-
260	W2_f13420f	Estimation if GLP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4479	3460	-
261	W2_f13420g	Estimation if BDP will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	4220	3719	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
262	W2_f13420h	Estimation if Lega will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	172	7767	-
263	W2_f13420i	Estimation if MCG will gain or lose votes	discrete	numeric-40.0	157	7782	-
264	W2_f13441a	Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): anger	discrete	numeric-8.0	2570	5369	-
265	W2_f13441b	Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): hope	discrete	numeric-8.0	2642	5297	-
266	W2_f13441c	Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): anxiety	discrete	numeric-8.0	2630	5309	-
267	W2_f13441d	Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): pride	discrete	numeric-8.0	2567	5372	-
268	W2_f13441e	Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): disgust	discrete	numeric-8.0	2554	5385	-
269	W2_f13441f	Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): shame	discrete	numeric-8.0	2552	5387	-
270	W2_f13442a	Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): anger	discrete	numeric-8.0	2603	5336	-
271	W2_f13442b	Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): hope	discrete	numeric-8.0	2658	5281	-
272	W2_f13442c	Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): anxiety	discrete	numeric-8.0	2664	5275	-
273	W2_f13442d	Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): pride	discrete	numeric-8.0	2590	5349	-
274	W2_f13442e	Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): disgust	discrete	numeric-8.0	2583	5356	-
275	W2_f13442f	Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): shame	discrete	numeric-8.0	2586	5353	-
276	W2_f13443a	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): anger	discrete	numeric-8.0	2603	5336	-
277	W2_f13443b	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): hope	discrete	numeric-8.0	2559	5380	-
278	W2_f13443c	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): anxiety	discrete	numeric-8.0	2616	5323	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
279	W2_f13443d	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): pride	discrete	numeric-8.0	2554	5385	-
280	W2_f13443e	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): disgust	discrete	numeric-8.0	2654	5285	-
281	W2_f13443f	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): shame	discrete	numeric-8.0	2614	5325	-
282	W2_f13444a	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): anger	discrete	numeric-8.0	2601	5338	-
283	W2_f13444b	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): hope	discrete	numeric-8.0	2637	5302	-
284	W2_f13444c	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): anxiety	discrete	numeric-8.0	2624	5315	-
285	W2_f13444d	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): pride	discrete	numeric-8.0	2600	5339	-
286	W2_f13444e	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): disgust	discrete	numeric-8.0	2599	5340	-
287	W2_f13444f	Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): shame	discrete	numeric-8.0	2596	5343	-
288	W2_f15900	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-40.0	4940	2999	-
289	W2_f1590..	Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	5511	2428	-
290	W2_f16310	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	4606	3333	-
291	W2_f1631..	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	5501	2438	-
292	W2_f16312	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893	discrete	numeric-40.0	5499	2440	-
293	W2_f1631..	Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	5499	2440	-
294	W2_f16313	Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)	discrete	numeric-40.0	5255	2684	-
295	W2_f1631..	Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	5498	2441	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
296	W3_intst..	Interview start date	discrete	character-20	5125	-	-
297	W3_intend	Interview end date	discrete	character-20	5125	-	-
298	W3_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	5125	2814	-
299	W3_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	5125	2814	-
300	W3_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone	discrete	numeric-8.2	5125	2814	-
301	W3_spr	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.2	5125	2814	-
302	W3_Q04b	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-8.2	5037	2902	-
303	W3_Q04c	Most politicians are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-8.2	5047	2892	-
304	W3_Q04f	The people should make our most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-8.2	5036	2903	-
305	W3_Q04g	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-8.2	5032	2907	-
306	W3_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	4770	3169	-
307	W3_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4770	3169	-
308	W3_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-3.0	4140	3799	-
309	W3_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	4140	3799	-
310	W3_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	4642	3297	-
311	W3_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	4642	3297	-
312	W3_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-3.0	3963	3976	-
313	W3_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	3963	3976	-
314	W3_f14000	Party closeness	discrete	numeric-40.0	5100	2839	-
315	W3_f14050	Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party	discrete	numeric-40.0	2824	5115	-
316	W3_f1401..	Party R feels close to	discrete	numeric-8.2	4275	3664	-
317	W3_f1401..	Party R feels close to, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	4275	3664	-
318	W3_f14070	How close does R feel to party	discrete	numeric-40.0	4272	3667	-
319	W3_f11100	Participation in the 2019 election	discrete	numeric-40.0	5054	2885	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
320	W3_f11150	Did R think about not voting in the 2019 election	discrete	numeric-40.0	3999	3940	-
321	W3_f1140..	Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters	discrete	numeric-3.0	767	7172	-
322	W3_f1140..	Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	767	7172	-
323	W3_f11600	How did R vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	4000	3939	-
324	W3_f11605	When did R submit ballot	discrete	numeric-40.0	3722	4217	-
325	W3_f1180..	Vote choice elections 2019	discrete	numeric-3.0	3955	3984	-
326	W3_f1180..	Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties	discrete	numeric-3.0	3955	3984	-
327	W3_f11900	When did R decide whom to vote for	discrete	numeric-40.0	3866	4073	-
328	W3_f12010	Modification of voting list	discrete	numeric-40.0	3896	4043	-
329	W3_f12021	Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1909	6030	-
330	W3_f12022	Did R cross out candidates?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1694	6245	-
331	W3_f12023	Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1977	5962	-
332	W3_f12030a	Panachage - FDP/PLR	discrete	numeric-40.0	1385	6554	-
333	W3_f12030b	Panachage - CVP/PDC	discrete	numeric-40.0	1463	6476	-
334	W3_f12030c	Panachage - SP/PS	discrete	numeric-40.0	1294	6645	-
335	W3_f12030d	Panachage - SVP/UDC	discrete	numeric-40.0	1453	6486	-
336	W3_f12030e	Panachage - GPS/PES	discrete	numeric-40.0	1336	6603	-
337	W3_f12030f	Panachage - GLP/PVL	discrete	numeric-40.0	1440	6499	-
338	W3_f12030g	Panachage - BDP/PBD	discrete	numeric-40.0	1238	6701	-
339	W3_f12030h	Panachage - Lega	discrete	numeric-40.0	46	7893	-
340	W3_f12030i	Panachage - MCG	discrete	numeric-40.0	34	7905	-
341	W3_f12030j	Panachage - CSP/PCS	discrete	numeric-40.0	173	7766	-
342	W3_f12030k	Panachage - EVP/PEV	discrete	numeric-40.0	1402	6537	-
343	W3_f12030l	Panachage - EDU/UDF	discrete	numeric-40.0	1173	6766	-
344	W3_f12030m	Panachage - PdA/PdT	discrete	numeric-40.0	807	7132	-
345	W3_f12030n	Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS	discrete	numeric-40.0	516	7423	-
346	W3_f12030o	Panachage - Other party/ candidate	discrete	numeric-40.0	1625	6314	-
347	W3_f12025	More men or women on the list after modification	discrete	numeric-40.0	1837	6102	-
348	W3_f12340a	Did R think about voting for FDP	discrete	numeric-8.2	3650	4289	-
349	W3_f12340b	Did R think about voting for CVP	discrete	numeric-8.2	3889	4050	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
350	W3_f12340c	Did R think about voting for SP	discrete	numeric-8.2	3382	4557	-
351	W3_f12340d	Did R think about voting for SVP	discrete	numeric-8.2	3714	4225	-
352	W3_f12340e	Did R think about voting for GPS	discrete	numeric-8.2	3470	4469	-
353	W3_f12340f	Did R think about voting for GLP	discrete	numeric-8.2	3781	4158	-
354	W3_f12340g	Did R think about voting for BDP	discrete	numeric-8.2	4234	3705	-
355	W3_f12340h	Did R think about voting for Lega	discrete	numeric-8.2	167	7772	-
356	W3_f12340i	Did R think about voting for MCG	discrete	numeric-8.2	175	7764	-
357	W3_f12301	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3805	4134	-
358	W3_f12301p	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (First choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3805	4134	-
359	W3_f1230..	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 7 main parties (First choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3805	4134	-
360	W3_f12302	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3415	4524	-
361	W3_f12302p	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (Second choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3415	4524	-
362	W3_f1230..	Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 7 main parties (Second choice)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3415	4524	-
363	W3_f13660a	Sources of information: ads/ letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
364	W3_f13660b	Sources of information: posters in the street	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
365	W3_f13660c	Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
366	W3_f13660d	Sources of information: party stands in the streets	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
367	W3_f13660e	Sources of information: political events of parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
368	W3_f13660f	Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
369	W3_f13660g	Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
370	W3_f13660i	Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
371	W3_f13660j	Sources of information: discussions at work or school	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
372	W3_f13660k	Sources of information: discussions with family and friends	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
373	W3_f13660l	Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
374	W3_f13660m	Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
375	W3_f13660n	Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers	discrete	numeric-8.0	5111	2828	-
376	W3_f13759a	Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: defence and security policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5091	2848	-
377	W3_f13759b	Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5079	2860	-
378	W3_f13759c	Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: social welfare policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5090	2849	-
379	W3_f13759d	Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: immigration and asylum policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5081	2858	-
380	W3_f13759e	Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	5082	2857	-
381	W3_f13760a	Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: ambitious	discrete	numeric-8.0	5063	2876	-
382	W3_f13760b	Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: consensus-building	discrete	numeric-8.0	5056	2883	-
383	W3_f13760c	Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive	discrete	numeric-8.0	5066	2873	-
384	W3_f13760d	Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: compassionate	discrete	numeric-8.0	5055	2884	-
385	W3_f13770	Role of women in politics	discrete	numeric-40.0	4998	2941	-
386	W3_f1378..	Party Sara most likely voted for	discrete	numeric-8.2	2017	5922	-
387	W3_f1378..	Party Luca most likely voted for	discrete	numeric-8.2	1996	5943	-
388	W3_f15320a	Party that cares most about EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1631	6308	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
389	W3_f15320b	Party that cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1840	6099	-
390	W3_f15320c	Party that cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1855	6084	-
391	W3_f15320d	Party that cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1951	5988	-
392	W3_f15320e	Party that cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1820	6119	-
393	W3_f15330a	Party most competent in EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1499	6440	-
394	W3_f15330b	Party most competent in migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1630	6309	-
395	W3_f15330c	Party most competent in social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1686	6253	-
396	W3_f15330d	Party most competent in environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1784	6155	-
397	W3_f15330e	Party most competent in economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1614	6325	-
398	W3_f15340a	Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	2006	5933	-
399	W3_f15340b	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2068	5871	-
400	W3_f15340c	Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	2006	5933	-
401	W3_f15340d	Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	2057	5882	-
402	W3_f15340e	Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	2030	5909	-
403	W3_f15360a	Opinion of random party1 on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	1650	6289	-
404	W3_f15360b	Opinion of random party1 on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	1839	6100	-
405	W3_f15360c	Opinion of random party1 on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	1725	6214	-
406	W3_f15360d	Opinion of random party1 on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	1813	6126	-
407	W3_f15360e	Opinion of random party1 on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	1754	6185	-
408	W3_f15370a	Opinion of random party2 on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	1673	6266	-
409	W3_f15370b	Opinion of random party2 on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	1845	6094	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
410	W3_f15370c	Opinion of random party2 on federal financial aid for childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	1733	6206	-
411	W3_f15370d	Opinion of random party2 on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	1822	6117	-
412	W3_f15370e	Opinion of random party2 on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	1736	6203	-
413	W3_f1536..	Random party1 for f15360	discrete	numeric-8.0	5125	2814	-
414	W3_f1537..	Random party2 for f15370	discrete	numeric-8.0	5125	2814	-
415	W3_f12610a	Signed a petition, popular initiative or a referendum (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4926	3013	-
416	W3_f12610b	Contacted a politician or civil servant to express your views (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4905	3034	-
417	W3_f12610c	Donated money or raised funds for a social or political activity (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4893	3046	-
418	W3_f12610d	Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4902	3037	-
419	W3_f12610e	Was active for a political party (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4870	3069	-
420	W3_f12610f	Was active for a political group or organisation (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5009	2930	-
421	W3_f12610g	Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4845	3094	-
422	W3_f12610h	Deliberately bought certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4839	3100	-
423	W3_f12610i	Took part in a demonstration (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4967	2972	-
424	W3_f12610j	Attended a political meeting (past 5 years)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4920	3019	-
425	W3_f12620a	Discussed politics and public affairs with others in person (during election campaign)	discrete	numeric-8.0	5019	2920	-
426	W3_f12620b	Discussed politics and public affairs with others online (during election campaign)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4993	2946	-
427	W3_f12620c	Commented on an online news story or blog post to express an opinion about a political or social issue (during election campaign)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4980	2959	-
428	W3_f12620d	Posted or reposted content related to a political or	discrete	numeric-8.0	4972	2967	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		social issue (during election campaign)					
429	W3_f12620e	Posted own thoughts or comments on political or social issue (during election campaign)	discrete	numeric-8.0	4968	2971	-
430	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4960	2979	-
431	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4960	2979	-
432	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4960	2979	-
433	W3_n13_0..	Union or employee association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4960	2979	-
434	W3_n13_0..	Economic, professional or farmers' association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4902	3037	-
435	W3_n13_0..	Economic, professional or farmers' association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4902	3037	-
436	W3_n13_0..	Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4902	3037	-
437	W3_n13_0..	Economic, professional or farmers' association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4902	3037	-
438	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4871	3068	-
439	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4871	3068	-
440	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4871	3068	-
441	W3_n13_0..	Consumer organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4871	3068	-
442	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4916	3023	-
443	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4916	3023	-
444	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4916	3023	-
445	W3_n13_0..	Human rights or peace organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4916	3023	-
446	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4883	3056	-
447	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4883	3056	-
448	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4883	3056	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
449	W3_n13_0..	Environmental organization: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4883	3056	-
450	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4899	3040	-
451	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4899	3040	-
452	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4899	3040	-
453	W3_n13_0..	Religious or church association: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4899	3040	-
454	W3_n13_0..	Political party: member	discrete	numeric-8.0	4880	3059	-
455	W3_n13_0..	Political party: participated in activities	discrete	numeric-8.0	4880	3059	-
456	W3_n13_0..	Political party: donated money	discrete	numeric-8.0	4880	3059	-
457	W3_n13_0..	Political party: honorary position	discrete	numeric-8.0	4880	3059	-
458	W3_f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	5090	2849	-
459	W3_f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	discrete	numeric-8.0	5079	2860	-
460	W3_f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	discrete	numeric-8.0	5069	2870	-
461	W3_f12800d	Trust: Cantonal authorities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5084	2855	-
462	W3_f12800e	Trust: Municipal authorities	discrete	numeric-8.0	5080	2859	-
463	W3_f12800f	Trust: Swiss National Bank	discrete	numeric-8.0	5061	2878	-
464	W3_f12800g	Trust: Justice / Courts	discrete	numeric-8.0	5084	2855	-
465	W3_f12800h	Trust: Police	discrete	numeric-8.0	5091	2848	-
466	W1_3_bir..	R's country of birth from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-5.0	7938	1	-
467	W1_3_can..	Canton from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	7939	0	-
468	W1_3_big..	Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
469	W1_3_lin..	Linguistic region from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-8.0	7939	0	-
470	W1_3_hhs..	Household size from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
471	W1_3_for..	Foreigner in household from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
472	W1_3_mig..	Migration background of R from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
473	W1_3_mar..	Marital status from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
474	W1_3_age..	Age of youngest child in household from FSO sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
475	W1_3_tax..	Average taxable income per taxpayer in Swiss francs (municipal level, FSO 2016)	continuous	numeric-8.0	7888	51	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
476	W1_3_smt9	Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2017)	discrete	numeric-2.0	7902	37	-
477	W1_3_msize	Municipality size (8 categories, FSO 2018)	discrete	numeric-1.0	7939	0	-
478	W1_3_for..	Share of foreigners (municipal level, FSO 2018)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7939	0	-
479	W1_3_msyp	Swiss People's Party: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7880	59	-
480	W1_3_msp	Social Democrats: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7815	124	-
481	W1_3_mfdp	Liberals: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7784	155	-
482	W1_3_mgps	Greens: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7728	211	-
483	W1_3_mcvp	Christian Democrats: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7829	110	-
484	W1_3_mglp	Green Liberals: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	7627	312	-
485	W1_3_mbdp	Conservative Democrats: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)	continuous	numeric-4.1	6020	1919	-
486	W2_3_fl1..	Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties, W2&W3 combined	discrete	numeric-3.0	4019	3920	-
487	W2_3_voted	Participation in the 2019 election, W2&3 combined	discrete	numeric-2.0	5243	2696	-
488	W2_3_wei..	Weight: Party choice, 6 main parties	continuous	numeric-5.3	7939	0	-
489	W2_3_wei..	Weight: Turnout	continuous	numeric-5.3	7939	0	-
490	W2_3_wei..	Weight: Combined weight_t*weight_p	continuous	numeric-5.3	7939	0	-
491	W4_intst..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	2499	-	-
492	W4_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	2499	-	-
493	W4_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	2499	5440	-
494	W4_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	2499	5440	-
495	W4_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone	discrete	numeric-8.2	2499	5440	-
496	W4_spr	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	2499	5440	-
497	W4_birth..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	2483	5456	-
498	W4_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	2483	5456	-
499	W4_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	2483	5456	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
500	W4_sex	Gender	discrete	numeric-40.0	2499	5440	-
501	W4_f10000	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	2494	5445	-
502	W4_f13740	Satisfaction with 2019 election outcome	discrete	numeric-40.0	2451	5488	-
503	W4_f13741	Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2159	5780	-
504	W4_f13742	Satisfaction with own decision not to participate in 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	288	7651	-
505	W4_f13743a	Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1956	5983	-
506	W4_f13743b	Satisfaction with performance of CVP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1922	6017	-
507	W4_f13743c	Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2102	5837	-
508	W4_f13743d	Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2087	5852	-
509	W4_f13743e	Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2132	5807	-
510	W4_f13743f	Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1981	5958	-
511	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats FDP should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2490	5449	-
512	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats CVP should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2485	5454	-
513	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats SP should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2491	5448	-
514	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats SVP should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2491	5448	-
515	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats GPS should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2473	5466	-
516	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats GLP should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2476	5463	-
517	W4_f1375_..	Number of seats [other party] should have in national government (Federal Council)	continuous	numeric-40.2	2499	5440	-
518	W4_f1085_..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday	discrete	numeric-8.0	2404	5535	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
519	W4_f1085..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2404	5535	-
520	W4_f10951	Certainty to vote for mentioned party	discrete	numeric-40.0	2399	5540	-
521	W4_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	2404	5535	-
522	W4_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2410	5529	-
523	W4_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	2088	5851	-
524	W4_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2088	5851	-
525	W4_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	2326	5613	-
526	W4_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2340	5599	-
527	W4_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	2116	5823	-
528	W4_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2116	5823	-
529	W4_f12500	Participation rate in federal votes out of 10	discrete	numeric-40.0	2492	5447	-
530	W4_f10750	Participation in federal vote of September 27, 2020	discrete	numeric-40.0	2490	5449	-
531	W4_f10751a	Vote on initiative for a moderate immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2316	5623	-
532	W4_f10751b	Vote on Hunting Law	discrete	numeric-8.0	2312	5627	-
533	W4_f10751c	Vote on Child tax deductions	discrete	numeric-8.0	2297	5642	-
534	W4_f10751d	Vote on Paternity leave	discrete	numeric-8.0	2323	5616	-
535	W4_f10751e	Vote on Purchase of new fighter jets	discrete	numeric-8.0	2321	5618	-
536	W4_f10756a	Hypothetical vote on initiative for a moderate immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	137	7802	-
537	W4_f10756b	Hypothetical vote on Hunting law	discrete	numeric-8.0	126	7813	-
538	W4_f10756c	Hypothetical vote on Child tax deductions	discrete	numeric-8.0	123	7816	-
539	W4_f10756d	Hypothetical vote on Paternity leave	discrete	numeric-8.0	152	7787	-
540	W4_f10756e	Hypothetical vote on Purchase of new fighter jets	discrete	numeric-8.0	141	7798	-
541	W4_f14400a	Probability to vote for FDP	discrete	numeric-40.0	2439	5500	-
542	W4_f14400b	Probability to vote for CVP	discrete	numeric-40.0	2410	5529	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
543	W4_f14400c	Probability to vote for SP	discrete	numeric-40.0	2424	5515	-
544	W4_f14400d	Probability to vote for SVP	discrete	numeric-40.0	2436	5503	-
545	W4_f14400e	Probability to vote for GPS	discrete	numeric-40.0	2417	5522	-
546	W4_f14400f	Probability to vote for GLP	discrete	numeric-40.0	2421	5518	-
547	W4_f14400g	Probability to vote for BDP	discrete	numeric-40.0	2395	5544	-
548	W4_f14400h	Probability to vote for Lega	discrete	numeric-40.0	86	7853	-
549	W4_f14400i	Probability to vote for MCG	discrete	numeric-40.0	90	7849	-
550	W4_f15200	Left-right self-placement	discrete	numeric-8.0	2428	5511	-
551	W4_f13700	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2493	5446	-
552	W4_f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	2487	5452	-
553	W4_f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	discrete	numeric-8.0	2490	5449	-
554	W4_f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	discrete	numeric-8.0	2477	5462	-
555	W4_f12800i	Trust: Federal Administration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2468	5471	-
556	W4_f12800d	Trust: Cantonal authorities	discrete	numeric-8.0	2484	5455	-
557	W4_f12800h	Trust: Police	discrete	numeric-8.0	2487	5452	-
558	W4_f12800j	Trust: Science	discrete	numeric-8.0	2484	5455	-
559	W4_f12800k	Trust: Media	discrete	numeric-8.0	2486	5453	-
560	W4_Q04b	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	2491	5448	-
561	W4_Q04c	Most politicians are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2489	5450	-
562	W4_Q04f	The people should make our most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-40.0	2492	5447	-
563	W4_Q04g	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-40.0	2493	5446	-
564	W4_f14610	Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2494	5445	-
565	W4_f15656	Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2487	5452	-
566	W4_f14620	Personal financial situation in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2493	5446	-
567	W4_f29100	Make good ends meet with disposable income?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2495	5444	-
568	W4_f14625	Expected financial situation in next 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2495	5444	-
569	W4_Q05c	Immigrants are generally good for Switzerland's economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2494	5445	-
570	W4_Q05g	Switzerland should limit the import of foreign products to protect its national economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2495	5444	-
571	W4_Q05f	The global economic interdependence has gone too far	discrete	numeric-40.0	2487	5452	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
572	W4_f15644	EU restricts the power of the Swiss government too much	discrete	numeric-40.0	2489	5450	-
573	W4_f15655	Trade-off: keep bilateral agreements with EU or limit immigration	discrete	numeric-40.0	2486	5453	-
574	W4_f15640	Opinion on institutional framework agreement with the EU	discrete	numeric-40.0	2474	5465	-
575	W4_f15641	Trade-off: accept institutional framework agreement with the EU or keep flanking measures against social dumping	discrete	numeric-40.0	2433	5506	-
576	W4_f15475	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	2491	5448	-
577	W4_f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2488	5451	-
578	W4_f15470	Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth	discrete	numeric-40.0	2486	5453	-
579	W4_f12810	Government performance in handling coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2493	5446	-
580	W4_f15495	Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2490	5449	-
581	W4_Q04h	Elected officials should stop talking and just take action on important problems	discrete	numeric-40.0	2492	5447	-
582	W4_Q04i	Important political decisions should be taken by nonelected, independent experts rather than politicians or the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	2485	5454	-
583	W4_Q04j	People's health should always come first, even if this entails economic costs	discrete	numeric-40.0	2490	5449	-
584	W4_Q04k	Independent judges should be able to overrule the decisions of democratically elected government if the decisions violate the rights of individuals or groups in society	discrete	numeric-40.0	2490	5449	-
585	W4_Q04e	Having a strong leader in government is good for Switzerland even if the leader bends the rules to get things done	discrete	numeric-40.0	2489	5450	-
586	W4_f15471a	Measures to achieve climate targets must be put on hold so as not to burden the economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2482	5457	-
587	W4_f15471b	Measures must be adopted to achieve the climate targets as	discrete	numeric-40.0	2479	5460	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		well as measures to combat the economic crisis					
588	W4_f15471c	Measures to combat the economic crisis must be linked to climate policy conditions	discrete	numeric-40.0	2478	5461	-
589	W4_f15330f	Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-8.0	1509	6430	-
590	W4_f1533..	Party most competent to handle coronavirus pandemic, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1509	6430	-
591	W4_f12820	Should Confederation or cantons be handling the coronavirus pandemic?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2486	5453	-
592	W4_f12825	Should Government or Parliament be handling the coronavirus pandemic?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2477	5462	-
593	W4_f21300	Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)	discrete	numeric-40.0	2486	5453	-
594	W4_f21301	Professional change related to coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	656	7283	-
595	W4_f21400	R's current working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	657	7282	-
596	W4_f21500	R's current position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	466	7473	-
597	W4_f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	numeric-4.0	461	7478	-
598	W4_f21700	R's current working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	466	7473	-
599	W4_f21750	Industry in which R's current company is active	discrete	numeric-40.0	466	7473	-
600	W4_f28910	Gross monthly household income	discrete	numeric-40.0	650	7289	-
601	W1_4_f21..	R's current working situation, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	7915	24	-
602	W1_4_f21..	R's current position at work, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	4922	3017	-
603	W1_4_f21..	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-4.0	6405	1534	-
604	W1_4_f21..	R's current working sector, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-2.0	4928	3011	-
605	W1_4_f21..	Industry in which R's current company is active, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-40.0	4901	3038	-
606	W1_4_f28..	Gross monthly household income, W1 updated with W4	discrete	numeric-40.0	7606	333	-
607	W5_intst..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	2323	-	-
608	W5_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	2323	-	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
609	W5_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	2323	5616	-
610	W5_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	2323	5616	-
611	W5_mobile	Survey taken on mobile phone	discrete	numeric-8.2	2323	5616	-
612	W5_spr	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	2323	5616	-
613	W5_birth..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-4.0	2323	5616	-
614	W5_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-8.0	2323	5616	-
615	W5_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	2323	5616	-
616	W5_sex	Gender	discrete	numeric-40.0	2323	5616	-
617	W5_f10000	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	2323	5616	-
618	W5_f1085..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday	discrete	numeric-8.0	2171	5768	-
619	W5_f1085..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2171	5768	-
620	W5_f10951	Certainty to vote for mentioned party	discrete	numeric-40.0	2188	5751	-
621	W5_f13743a	Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1970	5969	-
622	W5_f13743b	Satisfaction with performance of The Centre (CVP/BDP) since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1907	6032	-
623	W5_f13743c	Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2035	5904	-
624	W5_f13743d	Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2058	5881	-
625	W5_f13743e	Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	2025	5914	-
626	W5_f13743f	Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1925	6014	-
627	W5_f12500	Participation rate in federal votes out of 10	discrete	numeric-40.0	2322	5617	-
628	W5_f10750	Participation in federal vote of September 26, 2021	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
629	W5_f10751a	99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')	discrete	numeric-8.0	2055	5884	-
630	W5_f10751b	Marriage for all	discrete	numeric-8.0	2042	5897	-
631	W5_f10756a	Hypothetical vote on 99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')	discrete	numeric-8.0	235	7704	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
632	W5_f10756b	Hypothetical vote on Marriage for all	discrete	numeric-8.0	242	7697	-
633	W5_f10761a	Voting recommendation of party that R would vote for in next election (W5_f10850rec), 99% initiative	discrete	numeric-8.0	1583	6356	-
634	W5_f10761b	Voting recommendation of party that R would vote for in next election (W5_f10850rec), marriage for all	discrete	numeric-8.0	1701	6238	-
635	W5_f10758a	Personal importance of vote: 99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')	discrete	numeric-40.0	2056	5883	-
636	W5_f10758b	Personal importance of vote: Marriage for all	discrete	numeric-40.0	2052	5887	-
637	W5_f10770	Participation in federal vote of June 13, 2021	discrete	numeric-40.0	2237	5702	-
638	W5_f10771a	Popular initiative 'For clean drinking water and healthy food'	discrete	numeric-8.0	1983	5956	-
639	W5_f10771b	Popular initiative 'For a Switzerland without artificial pesticides'	discrete	numeric-8.0	2006	5933	-
640	W5_f10771c	COVID-19 Act	discrete	numeric-8.0	1972	5967	-
641	W5_f10771d	CO2 Act	discrete	numeric-8.0	1946	5993	-
642	W5_f10771e	Federal Act on Police Measures to Combat Terrorism (PMCT)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1903	6036	-
643	W5_f10759a	Personal importance of vote: Popular initiative 'For clean drinking water and healthy food'	discrete	numeric-40.0	2062	5877	-
644	W5_f10759b	Personal importance of vote: Popular initiative 'For a Switzerland without artificial pesticides'	discrete	numeric-40.0	2060	5879	-
645	W5_f10759c	Personal importance of vote: 'COVID-19 Act'	discrete	numeric-40.0	2050	5889	-
646	W5_f10759d	Personal importance of vote: CO2 Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	2043	5896	-
647	W5_f10759e	Personal importance of vote: 'Federal Act on Police Measures to Combat Terrorism (PMCT)'	discrete	numeric-40.0	2050	5889	-
648	W5_f13700	Satisfaction with democracy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2318	5621	-
649	W5_f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	2320	5619	-
650	W5_f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	discrete	numeric-8.0	2315	5624	-
651	W5_f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	discrete	numeric-8.0	2311	5628	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
652	W5_f12800i	Trust: Federal Administration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2301	5638	-
653	W5_f12800d	Trust: Cantonal authorities	discrete	numeric-8.0	2312	5627	-
654	W5_f12800h	Trust: Police	discrete	numeric-8.0	2318	5621	-
655	W5_f12800j	Trust: Science	discrete	numeric-8.0	2314	5625	-
656	W5_f12800k	Trust: Media	discrete	numeric-8.0	2321	5618	-
657	W5_Q10a	People like me don't have any say about what the government does	discrete	numeric-40.0	2320	5619	-
658	W5_Q10b	Government does not really care about what people like me think	discrete	numeric-40.0	2320	5619	-
659	W5_Q10c	I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing Switzerland	discrete	numeric-40.0	2320	5619	-
660	W5_Q10d	Most people in Switzerland are better informed about politics and the government than I am	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
661	W5_f14610	Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
662	W5_f14620	Personal financial situation in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2309	5630	-
663	W5_f29100	Make good ends meet with disposable income?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2322	5617	-
664	W5_f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
665	W5_f15440	Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners	discrete	numeric-40.0	2317	5622	-
666	W5_f15425	Opinion on cooperation with the EU	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
667	W5_f15431	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-40.0	1613	6326	-
668	W5_f15475	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	2317	5622	-
669	W5_f15478	We worry too much about the environment and not enough about costs of living and jobs	discrete	numeric-40.0	2316	5623	-
670	W5_f15810	Opinion on abandoning the use of fossil fuels from 2050 onwards	discrete	numeric-40.0	2322	5617	-
671	W5_f15811	Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
672	W5_f15813	Opinion on increasing the minimum franchise under the compulsory health insurance scheme	discrete	numeric-40.0	2317	5622	-
673	W5_f15200	Left-right self-placement	discrete	numeric-8.0	2248	5691	-
674	W5_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	2208	5731	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
675	W5_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2208	5731	-
676	W5_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	1823	6116	-
677	W5_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1823	6116	-
678	W5_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	2134	5805	-
679	W5_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2134	5805	-
680	W5_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	1772	6167	-
681	W5_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1772	6167	-
682	W5_f15310a	Importance of EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	2306	5633	-
683	W5_f15310b	Importance of migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	2309	5630	-
684	W5_f15310c	Importance of social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	2311	5628	-
685	W5_f15310d	Importance of environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	2310	5629	-
686	W5_f15310e	Importance of economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	2305	5634	-
687	W5_f15310g	Importance of health policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	2309	5630	-
688	W5_f1531..	Random order of question W5_f15310a Importance of EU policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
689	W5_f1531..	Random order of question W5_f15310b Importance of migration policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
690	W5_f1531..	Random order of question W5_f15310c Importance of social policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
691	W5_f1531..	Random order of question W5_f15310d Importance of environmental policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
692	W5_f1531..	Random order of question W5_f15310e Importance of economic policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
693	W5_f1531..	Random order of question W5_f15310g Importance of health policy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2321	5618	-
694	W5_f15340a	Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-8.0	2300	5639	-
695	W5_f15340b	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2311	5628	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
696	W5_f15340c	Own opinion on federal financial aid for external childcare	discrete	numeric-8.0	2310	5629	-
697	W5_f15340d	Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-8.0	2309	5630	-
698	W5_f15340e	Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-8.0	2308	5631	-
699	W5_f1534..	Random order of question W5_f15340a Opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
700	W5_f1534..	Random order of question W5_f15340b Opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
701	W5_f1534..	Random order of question W5_f15340c Opinion on federal financial aid for external childcare	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
702	W5_f1534..	Random order of question W5_f15340d Opinion on strengthening environmental protection	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
703	W5_f1534..	Random order of question W5_f15340e Opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness	discrete	numeric-40.0	2319	5620	-
704	W5_f15320a	Party that cares most about EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1005	6934	-
705	W5_f15320b	Party that cares most about migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1042	6897	-
706	W5_f15320c	Party that cares most about social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1101	6838	-
707	W5_f15320d	Party that cares most about environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1083	6856	-
708	W5_f15320e	Party that cares most about economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1049	6890	-
709	W5_f15320g	Party that cares most about health policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	936	7003	-
710	W5_f15330a	Party most competent in EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	939	7000	-
711	W5_f15330b	Party most competent in migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	909	7030	-
712	W5_f15330c	Party most competent in social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1010	6929	-
713	W5_f15330d	Party most competent in environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	1011	6928	-
714	W5_f15330e	Party most competent in economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	963	6976	-
715	W5_f15330g	Party most competent in health policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	867	7072	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
716	W5_f15332a	Party second most competent in EU policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	876	7063	-
717	W5_f15332b	Party second most competent in migration policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	837	7102	-
718	W5_f15332c	Party second most competent in social policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	952	6987	-
719	W5_f15332d	Party second most competent in environmental policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	963	6976	-
720	W5_f15332e	Party second most competent in economic policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	910	7029	-
721	W5_f15332g	Party second most competent in health policy	discrete	numeric-8.2	784	7155	-
722	W5_randi ..	First random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)	discrete	numeric-8.2	2323	5616	-
723	W5_randi ..	Second random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)	discrete	numeric-8.2	2323	5616	-
724	W5_randi ..	Third random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)	discrete	numeric-8.2	2323	5616	-
725	W5_f12810a	Performance of the Federal Council in handling coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2307	5632	-
726	W5_f12810b	Performance of the Government in my canton in handling coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2296	5643	-
727	W5_f12812	Satisfaction with how the scientific task force has advised the authorities	discrete	numeric-40.0	2311	5628	-
728	W5_f15330f	Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-8.0	1441	6498	-
729	W5_f12830a	Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for particularly affected industries (e.g. culture, gastronomy)	discrete	numeric-40.0	2277	5662	-
730	W5_f12830b	Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for self-employed persons	discrete	numeric-40.0	2261	5678	-
731	W5_f12830c	Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for low-income earners	discrete	numeric-40.0	2257	5682	-
732	W5_f12831a	I am concerned about the high level of public debt in CH in the coming years	discrete	numeric-40.0	2304	5635	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
733	W5_f12831b	Large public sector investments are needed to stimulate the economy in the coming years	discrete	numeric-40.0	2293	5646	-
734	W5_f12832	The vaccination is a good protection measure against the coronavirus	discrete	numeric-40.0	2313	5626	-
735	W5_f12833	Opinion on COVID certificate requirement in publicly accessible indoor spaces (e.g., restaurant, cinema)	discrete	numeric-40.0	2314	5625	-
736	W5_f15495	Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2312	5627	-
737	W5_E11	Current marital status	discrete	numeric-40.0	2315	5624	-
738	W5_f20500	Household size, including R	continuous	numeric-40.0	2295	5644	-
739	W5_f20710	Number of children under 18 in the household	continuous	numeric-40.0	1881	6058	-
740	W5_f21310	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-40.0	2312	5627	-
741	W5_f21300	Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)	discrete	numeric-40.0	2312	5627	-
742	W5_f21301	Professional change related to coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	552	7387	-
743	W5_f21400	R's current working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	552	7387	-
744	W5_f21500	R's current position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	383	7556	-
745	W5_f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	numeric-10.0	377	7562	-
746	W5_f21700	R's current working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	383	7556	-
747	W5_f21750	Industry in which R's current company is active	discrete	numeric-40.0	383	7556	-
748	W5_f28910	Gross monthly household income	discrete	numeric-40.0	2273	5666	-
749	W5_f30021	The questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	2306	5633	-
750	W5_f30022	The length of the questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	2300	5639	-
751	W5_f30023	The questions were easy to understand	discrete	numeric-40.0	2299	5640	-
752	W5_f30024	The questionnaire could be filled in without problems	discrete	numeric-40.0	2300	5639	-
753	W1_5_f21_..	R's current working situation, W1_4 updated with W5	discrete	numeric-2.0	7916	23	-
754	W1_5_f21_..	R's current position at work, W1_4 updated with W5	discrete	numeric-2.0	4971	2968	-
755	W1_5_f21_..	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5	discrete	numeric-4.0	2732	5207	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
756	W1_5_f21..	R's current working sector, W1_4 updated with W5	discrete	numeric-2.0	4977	2962	-
757	W1_5_f21..	Industry in which R's current company is active, W1_4 updated with W5	discrete	numeric-40.0	4949	2990	-
758	W6_intst..	Start Date	discrete	character-20	2178	-	-
759	W6_intend	End Date	discrete	character-20	2178	-	-
760	W6_total..	Total interview length in minutes	continuous	numeric-8.2	2178	5761	-
761	W6_finis..	Completion of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-40.0	2178	5761	-
762	W6_mobile	Mobile versus Desktop	discrete	numeric-8.2	2178	5761	-
763	W6_spr	Language of interview	discrete	numeric-8.0	2178	5761	-
764	W6_birth..	Year of birth	continuous	numeric-8.0	2178	5761	-
765	W6_age	Age in years	continuous	numeric-3.0	2178	5761	-
766	W6_agecat	Age in categories	discrete	numeric-8.0	2178	5761	-
767	W6_sex	Gender	discrete	numeric-40.0	2178	5761	-
768	W6_f10000	Canton in which R currently has the right to vote	discrete	numeric-40.0	2176	5763	-
769	W6_f1085..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday	discrete	numeric-8.0	2038	5901	-
770	W6_f1085..	Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	2038	5901	-
771	W6_f10951	Certainty to vote for mentioned party	discrete	numeric-40.0	2050	5889	-
772	W6_f13743a	Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1836	6103	-
773	W6_f13743b	Satisfaction with performance of The Centre (CVP/BDP) since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1800	6139	-
774	W6_f13743c	Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1919	6020	-
775	W6_f13743d	Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1895	6044	-
776	W6_f13743e	Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1885	6054	-
777	W6_f13743f	Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections	discrete	numeric-40.0	1822	6117	-
778	W6_f10750	Participation in federal vote of September 25, 2022	discrete	numeric-40.0	2172	5767	-
779	W6_f10751a	Factory Farming Initiative	discrete	numeric-8.0	1961	5978	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
780	W6_f10751b	Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax	discrete	numeric-8.0	1955	5984	-
781	W6_f10751c	Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1951	5988	-
782	W6_f10751d	Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax	discrete	numeric-8.0	1913	6026	-
783	W6_f10756a	Hypothetical vote on Factory Farming Initiative	discrete	numeric-8.0	179	7760	-
784	W6_f10756b	Hypothetical vote on Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax	discrete	numeric-8.0	174	7765	-
785	W6_f10756c	Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)	discrete	numeric-8.0	175	7764	-
786	W6_f10756d	Hypothetical vote on Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax	discrete	numeric-8.0	145	7794	-
787	W6_f10758a	Personal importance of vote: Factory Farming Initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	1960	5979	-
788	W6_f10758b	Personal importance of vote: Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax	discrete	numeric-40.0	1947	5992	-
789	W6_f10758c	Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)	discrete	numeric-40.0	1935	6004	-
790	W6_f10758d	Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax	discrete	numeric-40.0	1897	6042	-
791	W6_f10770	Participation in federal vote of May 15, 2022	discrete	numeric-40.0	2087	5852	-
792	W6_f10771a	Amendment to the Federal Act on Film Production and Film Culture	discrete	numeric-8.0	1522	6417	-
793	W6_f10771b	Transplantation Act	discrete	numeric-8.0	1683	6256	-
794	W6_f10771c	Development of the Schengen Acquis	discrete	numeric-8.0	1511	6428	-
795	W6_f10759a	Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the Federal Act on Film Production and Film Culture	discrete	numeric-40.0	1516	6423	-
796	W6_f10759b	Personal importance of vote: Transplantation Act	discrete	numeric-40.0	1678	6261	-
797	W6_f10759c	Personal importance of vote: Development of the Schengen Acquis	discrete	numeric-40.0	1505	6434	-
798	W6_f12800a	Trust: Federal Council	discrete	numeric-8.0	2175	5764	-
799	W6_f12800b	Trust: Federal Assembly	discrete	numeric-8.0	2174	5765	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
800	W6_f12800c	Trust: Political parties at national level	discrete	numeric-8.0	2168	5771	-
801	W6_f12800i	Trust: Federal Administration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2165	5774	-
802	W6_f12800d	Trust: Cantonal authorities	discrete	numeric-8.0	2168	5771	-
803	W6_f12800h	Trust: Police	discrete	numeric-8.0	2171	5768	-
804	W6_f12800j	Trust: Science	discrete	numeric-8.0	2170	5769	-
805	W6_f12800k	Trust: Media	discrete	numeric-8.0	2175	5764	-
806	W6_Q04b	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-40.0	2176	5763	-
807	W6_Q04c	Most politicians are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2172	5767	-
808	W6_Q04f	The people should make our most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-40.0	2171	5768	-
809	W6_Q04g	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-40.0	2171	5768	-
810	W6_Q22	Political leaders in Switzerland should be better educated than people in general	discrete	numeric-40.0	2169	5770	-
811	W6_Q21	The state should be run like a company	discrete	numeric-40.0	2170	5769	-
812	W6_Q23	Policy decisions should be based on scientific evidence or political convictions	discrete	numeric-8.0	2172	5767	-
813	W6_Q24	The problems in Switzerland would be better solved by experts or by elected politicians	discrete	numeric-8.0	2172	5767	-
814	W6_Q25	Are experts' opinions based on scientific knowledge or political convictions?	discrete	numeric-8.0	2167	5772	-
815	W6_Q26	Are experts more likely to agree with each other or disagree with each other?	discrete	numeric-8.0	2166	5773	-
816	W6_f14610	Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2174	5765	-
817	W6_f14620	Personal financial situation in past 12 months	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-
818	W6_f29100	Make good ends meet with disposable income?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2177	5762	-
819	W6_f15435	Opinion on state intervention in economy	discrete	numeric-40.0	2175	5764	-
820	W6_f15440	Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners	discrete	numeric-40.0	2167	5772	-
821	W6_f15425	Opinion on cooperation with the EU	discrete	numeric-40.0	2177	5762	-
822	W6_f15431	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	numeric-40.0	1541	6398	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
823	W6_f15475	Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality	discrete	numeric-40.0	2177	5762	-
824	W6_f15478	We worry too much about the environment and not enough about costs of living and jobs	discrete	numeric-40.0	2176	5763	-
825	W6_f15490	Are you in favor of a Switzerland with nuclear power or of a Switzerland without nuclear power?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2173	5766	-
826	W6_f15810	Opinion on abandoning the use of fossil fuels from 2050 onwards	discrete	numeric-40.0	2177	5762	-
827	W6_f15811	Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women	discrete	numeric-40.0	2173	5766	-
828	W6_f15813	Opinion on increasing the minimum franchise under the compulsory health insurance scheme	discrete	numeric-40.0	2170	5769	-
829	W6_f15340b	Own opinion on limiting immigration	discrete	numeric-8.0	2175	5764	-
830	W6_f15200	Left-right self-placement	discrete	numeric-8.0	2119	5820	-
831	W6_f12700c	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	numeric-8.0	2082	5857	-
832	W6_f1270..	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2082	5857	-
833	W6_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	1724	6215	-
834	W6_f1272..	Party most competent to solve most important problem, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1724	6215	-
835	W6_f12730c	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention	discrete	numeric-8.0	2016	5923	-
836	W6_f1273..	Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	numeric-8.0	2016	5923	-
837	W6_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second most important problem	discrete	numeric-8.0	1683	6256	-
838	W6_f1274..	Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties	discrete	numeric-8.0	1683	6256	-
839	W6_f17100	Performance of the Federal Council in relation to the Ukraine war	discrete	numeric-40.0	2158	5781	-
840	W6_f17210a	Switzerland should strengthen economic sanctions against Russia	discrete	numeric-40.0	2167	5772	-
841	W6_f17210b	Switzerland should allow other European countries to	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		transfer Swiss war material to Ukraine					
842	W6_f17210c	Switzerland should supply weapons to Ukraine	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-
843	W6_f17220	Opinion on reinforcing cooperation with NATO	discrete	numeric-40.0	2169	5770	-
844	W6_f17230	Opinion on increasing the annual army budget from CHF 5 to 7 billion by 2030	discrete	numeric-40.0	2173	5766	-
845	W6_f17240	Opinion on buying the new F-35 fighter planes	discrete	numeric-40.0	2172	5767	-
846	W6_f17250a	As soon as the situation allows, the Ukrainian refugees should travel back to their home country	discrete	numeric-40.0	2162	5777	-
847	W6_f17250b	It is justified that only Ukrainian refugees benefit from S protection status	discrete	numeric-40.0	2158	5781	-
848	W6_f17250c	Switzerland should no longer accept refugees from Ukraine	discrete	numeric-40.0	2153	5786	-
849	W6_f17250d	Switzerland should only accept refugees from Ukraine who come from active war zones	discrete	numeric-40.0	2161	5778	-
850	W6_f17410a	Trust to do the right thing in world politics: Joe Biden	discrete	numeric-40.0	2168	5771	-
851	W6_f17410b	Trust to do the right thing in world politics: Vladimir Putin	discrete	numeric-40.0	2165	5774	-
852	W6_f17410c	Trust to do the right thing in world politics: Volodymyr Zelensky	discrete	numeric-40.0	2162	5777	-
853	W6_f17420	Opinion on Putin's motivations to attack Ukraine	discrete	numeric-40.0	2165	5774	-
854	W6_f17320a	Faster and stronger expansion of renewable energies	discrete	numeric-40.0	2166	5773	-
855	W6_f17320b	Increased import of oil and gas from countries other than Russia	discrete	numeric-40.0	2164	5775	-
856	W6_f17320c	Extending the operating lifespan of existing nuclear power plants	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-
857	W6_f17320d	Acceleration of energy-efficient building refurbishments	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-
858	W6_f17325	Opinion on preventing blackouts vs. protecting the environment	discrete	numeric-40.0	2167	5772	-
859	W6_f17330	Performance of the Federal Council in handling the energy shortage	discrete	numeric-40.0	2152	5787	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
860	W6_f12810a	Performance of the Federal Council in handling coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2161	5778	-
861	W6_f12810b	Performance of the Government in my canton in handling coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2152	5787	-
862	W6_f15330f	Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-8.0	1278	6661	-
863	W6_f15495	Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	2164	5775	-
864	W6_f16511	Knowledge: party in favor of affordable housing initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	636	7303	-
865	W6_f1651..	Knowledge: party in favor of affordable housing initiative, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	722	7217	-
866	W6_f16512	Knowledge: party against the modification of the stemple law	discrete	numeric-40.0	502	7437	-
867	W6_f1651..	Knowledge: party against the modification of the stemple law, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	717	7222	-
868	W6_f16513	Knowledge: party against the measures to support the media	discrete	numeric-40.0	461	7478	-
869	W6_f1651..	Knowledge: party against the measures to support the media, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	715	7224	-
870	W6_f16521	Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the expansion of social welfare programs	discrete	numeric-40.0	684	7255	-
871	W6_f1652..	Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the expansion of social welfare programs, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	720	7219	-
872	W6_f16522	Knowledge: party most strongly opposed to European integration	discrete	numeric-40.0	693	7246	-
873	W6_f1652..	Knowledge: party most strongly opposed to European integration, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	723	7216	-
874	W6_f16523	Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the limitation of immigration	discrete	numeric-40.0	704	7235	-
875	W6_f1652..	Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the limitation of immigration, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	720	7219	-
876	W6_f16531	Knowledge: what issue does the FDP pay the most attention to	discrete	numeric-40.0	663	7276	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
877	W6_f1653..	Knowledge: what issue does the FDP pay the most attention to, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	723	7216	-
878	W6_f16532	Knowledge: what issue do the Greens pay the most attention to	discrete	numeric-40.0	716	7223	-
879	W6_f1653..	Knowledge: what issue do the Greens pay the most attention to, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	726	7213	-
880	W6_f16533	Knowledge: what issue does the SP pay the most attention to	discrete	numeric-40.0	674	7265	-
881	W6_f1653..	Knowledge: what issue does the SP pay the most attention to, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	715	7224	-
882	W6_f16541	Knowledge: vote recommendations on the self-determination initiative	discrete	numeric-40.0	517	7422	-
883	W6_f1654..	Knowledge: vote recommendations on the self-determination initiative, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	726	7213	-
884	W6_f16542	Knowledge: vote recommendations on the CO2 law	discrete	numeric-40.0	558	7381	-
885	W6_f1654..	Knowledge: vote recommendations on the CO2 law, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	719	7220	-
886	W6_f16543	Knowledge: vote recommendations on the federal law on measures against terrorism	discrete	numeric-40.0	485	7454	-
887	W6_f1654..	Knowledge: vote recommendations on the federal law on measures against terrorism, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	712	7227	-
888	W6_f16551a	Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the SVP	discrete	numeric-8.0	706	7233	-
889	W6_f16551b	Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the SP	discrete	numeric-8.0	708	7231	-
890	W6_f16551c	Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the GLP	discrete	numeric-8.0	701	7238	-
891	W6_f16551d	Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the FDP	discrete	numeric-8.0	706	7233	-
892	W6_f1655..	Knowledge: Order of SVP, SP, GLP and FDP on left-right scale, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.0	712	7227	-
893	W6_f16552	Knowledge: most conservative party	discrete	numeric-40.0	691	7248	-
894	W6_f1655..	Knowledge: most conservative party, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	726	7213	-
895	W6_f16553	Knowledge: which parties merged to form the Center	discrete	numeric-40.0	624	7315	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
896	W6_f1655..	Knowledge: which parties merged to form the Center, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	714	7225	-
897	W6_f16611	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in the National Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	1239	6700	-
898	W6_f1661..	Knowledge: Party with the most seats in the National Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1432	6507	-
899	W6_f16612	Knowledge: Allocation of seats in the National Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	1253	6686	-
900	W6_f1661..	Knowledge: Allocation of seats in the National Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1434	6505	-
901	W6_f16613	Knowledge: how can party lists be modified	discrete	numeric-40.0	1248	6691	-
902	W6_f1661..	Knowledge: how can party lists be modified, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1437	6502	-
903	W6_f16621	Knowledge: which direct-democratic institution requires collection of 50,000 signatures	discrete	numeric-40.0	1842	6097	-
904	W6_f1662..	Knowledge: which direct-democratic institution requires collection of 50,000 signatures, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	2148	5791	-
905	W6_f16622	Knowledge: what changes when popular initiative adopted	discrete	numeric-40.0	1995	5944	-
906	W6_f1662..	Knowledge: what changes when popular initiative adopted, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	2155	5784	-
907	W6_f16631	Knowledge: who elects the members of the Federal Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	1061	6878	-
908	W6_f1663..	Knowledge: who elects the members of the Federal Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1084	6855	-
909	W6_f16632	Knowledge: How often changes the President of the Confederation	discrete	numeric-40.0	1058	6881	-
910	W6_f1663..	Knowledge: How often changes the President of the Confederation, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1082	6857	-
911	W6_f16633	Knowledge: Who is the current President of the Confederation	discrete	numeric-40.0	1057	6882	-
912	W6_f1663..	Knowledge: Who is the current President of the Confederation, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1087	6852	-
913	W6_f16634	Knowledge: Party composition of Federal Council	discrete	numeric-40.0	903	7036	-

File Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
914	W6_f1663..	Knowledge: Party composition of Federal Council, recoded	discrete	numeric-8.2	1065	6874	-
915	W6_E11	Current marital status	discrete	numeric-40.0	2168	5771	-
916	W6_f20500	Household size, including R	continuous	numeric-40.0	2156	5783	-
917	W6_f20710	Number of children under 18 in the household	continuous	numeric-40.0	1748	6191	-
918	W6_f21310	R's highest level of education	discrete	numeric-40.0	2162	5777	-
919	W6_f21300	Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-
920	W6_f21301	Professional change related to coronavirus pandemic	discrete	numeric-40.0	473	7466	-
921	W6_f21400	R's current working situation	discrete	numeric-40.0	473	7466	-
922	W6_f21500	R's current position at work	discrete	numeric-40.0	331	7608	-
923	W6_f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits	discrete	numeric-4.0	327	7612	-
924	W6_f21700	R's current working sector	discrete	numeric-40.0	331	7608	-
925	W6_f21750	Industry in which R's current company is active	discrete	numeric-40.0	328	7611	-
926	W6_f28910	Gross monthly household income	discrete	numeric-40.0	2124	5815	-
927	W6_f30021	The questionnaire was interesting	discrete	numeric-40.0	2163	5776	-
928	W6_f30022	The length of the questionnaire was appropriate	discrete	numeric-40.0	2160	5779	-
929	W6_f30023	The questions were easy to understand	discrete	numeric-40.0	2159	5780	-
930	W6_f30024	The questionnaire could be filled in without problems	discrete	numeric-40.0	2155	5784	-
931	W1_6_f21..	R's current working situation, W1_5 updated with W6	discrete	numeric-2.0	7916	23	-
932	W1_6_f21..	R's current position at work, W1_5 updated with W6	discrete	numeric-2.0	5000	2939	-
933	W1_6_f21..	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6	discrete	numeric-4.0	2856	5083	-
934	W1_6_f21..	R's current working sector, W1_6 updated with W5	discrete	numeric-2.0	5005	2934	-
935	W1_6_f21..	Industry in which R's current company is active, W1_5 updated with W6	discrete	numeric-40.0	4975	2964	-
936	W2_longw..	-	continuous	numeric-4.2	7939	0	-
937	W3_longw..	-	continuous	numeric-4.2	7939	0	-
938	W4_longw..	-	continuous	numeric-5.2	7939	0	-
939	W5_longw..	-	continuous	numeric-5.2	7939	0	-
940	W6_longw..	-	continuous	numeric-5.2	7939	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 940 variable(s)

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

id: Personal ID

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-17939] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13970 /-] [StdDev=2291.936 /-]

W1_intstart: Interview start date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7939 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-05-20		1703	21.5%
2019-05-21		857	10.8%
2019-05-22		479	6.0%
2019-05-23		305	3.8%
2019-05-24		219	2.8%
2019-05-25		219	2.8%
2019-05-26		312	3.9%
2019-05-27		194	2.4%
2019-05-28		198	2.5%
2019-05-29		111	1.4%
2019-05-30		123	1.5%
2019-05-31		66	0.8%
2019-06-01		52	0.7%
2019-06-02		93	1.2%
2019-06-03		616	7.8%
2019-06-04		339	4.3%
2019-06-05		191	2.4%
2019-06-06		195	2.5%
2019-06-07		90	1.1%
2019-06-08		54	0.7%
2019-06-09		102	1.3%
2019-06-10		153	1.9%
2019-06-11		85	1.1%
2019-06-12		70	0.9%
2019-06-13		35	0.4%
2019-06-14		198	2.5%
2019-06-15		129	1.6%
2019-06-16		124	1.6%
2019-06-17		86	1.1%
2019-06-18		80	1.0%
2019-06-19		57	0.7%
2019-06-20		51	0.6%
2019-06-21		34	0.4%
2019-06-22		22	0.3%
2019-06-23		34	0.4%
2019-06-24		35	0.4%
2019-06-25		30	0.4%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_intstart: Interview start date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-06-26		34	0.4%
2019-06-27		22	0.3%
2019-06-28		22	0.3%
2019-06-29		20	0.3%
2019-06-30		27	0.3%
2019-07-01		19	0.2%
2019-07-02		9	0.1%
2019-07-03		14	0.2%
2019-07-04		8	0.1%
2019-07-05		7	0.1%
2019-07-06		4	0.1%
2019-07-07		6	0.1%
2019-07-08		6	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_intend: Interview end date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-05-20		1629	20.5%
2019-05-21		844	10.6%
2019-05-22		489	6.2%
2019-05-23		298	3.8%
2019-05-24		217	2.7%
2019-05-25		217	2.7%
2019-05-26		312	3.9%
2019-05-27		192	2.4%
2019-05-28		188	2.4%
2019-05-29		112	1.4%
2019-05-30		119	1.5%
2019-05-31		71	0.9%
2019-06-01		55	0.7%
2019-06-02		96	1.2%
2019-06-03		631	7.9%
2019-06-04		340	4.3%
2019-06-05		199	2.5%
2019-06-06		199	2.5%
2019-06-07		90	1.1%
2019-06-08		56	0.7%
2019-06-09		107	1.3%
2019-06-10		157	2.0%
2019-06-11		83	1.0%
2019-06-12		70	0.9%
2019-06-13		39	0.5%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_intend: Interview end date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-06-14		214	2.7%
2019-06-15		132	1.7%
2019-06-16		126	1.6%
2019-06-17		92	1.2%
2019-06-18		86	1.1%
2019-06-19		59	0.7%
2019-06-20		52	0.7%
2019-06-21		36	0.5%
2019-06-22		25	0.3%
2019-06-23		33	0.4%
2019-06-24		35	0.4%
2019-06-25		36	0.5%
2019-06-26		35	0.4%
2019-06-27		25	0.3%
2019-06-28		19	0.2%
2019-06-29		22	0.3%
2019-06-30		29	0.4%
2019-07-01		17	0.2%
2019-07-02		10	0.1%
2019-07-03		14	0.2%
2019-07-04		9	0.1%
2019-07-05		6	0.1%
2019-07-06		5	0.1%
2019-07-07		6	0.1%
2019-07-08		6	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8.6-52825.4666666667] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=405.343 /-] [StdDev=3049.181 /-]

W1_finished: Completion of questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	87	1.1%
1	Completed questionnaire	7852	98.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Undefined	0	

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1679	21.1%
2	No	6260	78.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_spr: Language of interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	5558	70.0%
2	French	2007	25.3%
3	Italian	374	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_birthyear: Year of birth

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1924-2001] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1968.932 /-] [StdDev=17.16 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_age: Age in years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-95] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.068 /-] [StdDev=17.16 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_agecat: Age in categories

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	721	9.1%
2	25-34	1061	13.4%
3	35-44	1163	14.6%
4	45-54	1598	20.1%
5	55-64	1613	20.3%
6	65-74	1185	14.9%
7	75+	598	7.5%
-99	No answer	0	


Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_sex: Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook


W1_sex: Gender

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4048	 51.0%
2	Female	3891	 49.0%
-99	No answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10100: Political interest



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7929 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1544	 19.5%
2	Rather interested	3983	 50.2%
3	Rather not interested	2101	 26.5%
4	Not at all interested	301	 3.8%
-99	No answer	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10160: Attention to election campaign






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7916 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	1027	 13.0%
2	Rather attentive	3636	 45.9%
3	Rather not attentive	2781	 35.1%
4	Not at all attentive	472	 6.0%
-99	No answer	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10200: Participation in the last national election (2015)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7515 /-] [Invalid=424 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly participated	3888	 51.7%
2	Not sure, but probably participated	1753	 23.3%
3	Not sure, but probably not participated	806	 10.7%
4	Certainly not participated	879	 11.7%
5	Was not entitled to	189	 2.5%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10200rec: Participation in national election 2015, recoded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7925 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10200rec: Participation in national election 2015, recoded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1685	21.3%
1	Voted	5641	71.2%
2	No voting right: other	189	2.4%
3	No voting right: too young (question not asked)	410	5.2%
-99	No answer	14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10250: Canton in which R had the right to vote in previous national election (2015)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5636 /-] [Invalid=2303 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1016	18.0%
2	Bern	773	13.7%
3	Lucerne	325	5.8%
4	Uri	24	0.4%
5	Schwyz	98	1.7%
6	Obwalden	22	0.4%
7	Nidwalden	31	0.6%
8	Glarus	16	0.3%
9	Zug	86	1.5%
10	Fribourg	218	3.9%
11	Solothurn	184	3.3%
12	Basel-Stadt	107	1.9%
13	Basel-Landschaft	197	3.5%
14	Schaffhausen	50	0.9%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	33	0.6%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	12	0.2%
17	St. Gallen	268	4.8%
18	Graub�nden	124	2.2%
19	Aargau	453	8.0%
20	Thurgau	145	2.6%
21	Ticino	278	4.9%
22	Vaud	510	9.0%
23	Valais	236	4.2%
24	Neuch�tel	127	2.3%
25	Geneva	253	4.5%
26	Jura	50	0.9%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		2298	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10300rec: Party choice elections 2015

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10300rec: Party choice elections 2015

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1077	20.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	505	9.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1320	25.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1056	20.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	412	8.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	336	6.5%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	109	2.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	51	1.0%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	17	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	20	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	97	1.9%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	35	0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	21	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	11	0.2%
350	Other party	9	0.2%
402	Voted for persons, not parties	46	0.9%
404	Voted for several parties	25	0.5%
-99	No answer	12	
993	Voted blank	3	
995	Other answer	3	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	476	
Sysmiss		2298	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10300main6: Party choice elections 2015, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-7] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	0	
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1056	20.5%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1077	20.9%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	505	9.8%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	336	6.5%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1320	25.6%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	412	8.0%
7	Others	441	8.6%
9		494	
Sysmiss		2298	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10000: Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2019)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7926 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10000: Canton in which R has the right to vote in current national election (2019)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1415	17.9%
2	Bern	1030	13.0%
3	Lucerne	447	5.6%
4	Uri	34	0.4%
5	Schwyz	137	1.7%
6	Obwalden	31	0.4%
7	Nidwalden	40	0.5%
8	Glarus	27	0.3%
9	Zug	114	1.4%
10	Fribourg	328	4.1%
11	Solothurn	256	3.2%
12	Basel-Stadt	140	1.8%
13	Basel-Landschaft	262	3.3%
14	Schaffhausen	63	0.8%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	48	0.6%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	23	0.3%
17	St. Gallen	387	4.9%
18	Graubünden	175	2.2%
19	Aargau	664	8.4%
20	Thurgau	221	2.8%
21	Ticino	347	4.4%
22	Vaud	774	9.8%
23	Valais	327	4.1%
24	Neuchâtel	194	2.4%
25	Geneva	367	4.6%
26	Jura	75	0.9%
-99	No answer	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10800: Intention to take part in 2019 national election

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7926 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Will certainly participate	4935	<div></div>	62.3%
2	Will probably participate	1952	<div></div>	24.6%
3	Will probably not participate	859	<div></div>	10.8%
4	Will certainly not participate	180	<div></div>	2.3%
-99	No answer	13		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10850rec: Vote intention 2019, likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5833 /-] [Invalid=2106 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10850rec: Vote intention 2019, likely voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1089	18.7%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	491	8.4%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1157	19.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1151	19.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	835	14.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	604	10.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	119	2.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	44	0.8%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	21	0.4%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	16	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	103	1.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	42	0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	31	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	43	0.7%
350	Other party	4	0.1%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	56	1.0%
404	Vote for several parties	27	0.5%
-99	No answer	36	
993	Vote blank	4	
994	Undecided	1870	
995	Other answer	3	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10850main6: Vote intention 2019, likely voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5833 /-] [Invalid=2106 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1151	19.7%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1089	18.7%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	491	8.4%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	604	10.4%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1157	19.8%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	835	14.3%
7	Others	506	8.7%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	1913	
Sysmiss		193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10860rec: Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1227 /-] [Invalid=6712 /-]		

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10860rec: Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	255	20.8%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	113	9.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	211	17.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	200	16.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	132	10.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	168	13.7%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	52	4.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	9	0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	9	0.7%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	21	1.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	10	0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	9	0.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	9	0.7%
350	Other party	5	0.4%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	15	1.2%
404	Vote for several parties	6	0.5%
-99	No answer	4	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	1	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	637	
Sysmiss		6070	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10860main6: Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1227 /-] [Invalid=6712 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	200	16.3%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	255	20.8%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	113	9.2%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	168	13.7%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	211	17.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	132	10.8%
7	Others	148	12.1%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	642	
Sysmiss		6070	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10900rec: Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=99 /-] [Invalid=7840 /-]		

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10900rec: Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	11	11.1%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	7	7.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	22	22.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	22	22.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	12.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	7	7.1%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	4	4.0%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	2.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	1.0%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	1.0%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	1.0%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	1	1.0%
350	Other party	0	
402	Vote for persons, not parties	8	8.1%
404	Vote for several parties	0	
-99	No answer	2	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	79	
Sysmiss		7759	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10900main6: Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=99 /-] [Invalid=7840 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	22	22.2%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	11	11.1%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	7	7.1%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	7	7.1%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	22	22.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	12	12.1%
7	Others	18	18.2%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	81	
Sysmiss		7759	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f1085_90: Vote intention 2019, combined

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7159 /-] [Invalid=780 /-]		

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f1085_90: Vote intention 2019, combined

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1355	18.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	611	8.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1390	19.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1373	19.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	979	13.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	779	10.9%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	175	2.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	53	0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	26	0.4%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	26	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	124	1.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	53	0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	41	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	53	0.7%
350	Other party	9	0.1%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	79	1.1%
404	Vote for several parties	33	0.5%
-99	No answer	42	
993	Vote blank	4	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	4	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	717	
Sysmiss		13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f1085_90main6: Vote intention 2019, combined, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7159 /-] [Invalid=780 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1373	19.2%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1355	18.9%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	611	8.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	779	10.9%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1390	19.4%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	979	13.7%
7	Others	672	9.4%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	767	
Sysmiss		13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10950: Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5822 /-] [Invalid=2117 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10950: Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	2168	37.2%
2	Rather certain	3256	55.9%
3	Rather uncertain	361	6.2%
4	Very uncertain	37	0.6%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		2098	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely non-voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1324 /-] [Invalid=6615 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	67	5.1%
2	Rather certain	796	60.1%
3	Rather uncertain	398	30.1%
4	Very uncertain	63	4.8%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		6611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12500: Participation rate in federal votes out of 10

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7917 /-] [Invalid=22 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 votes out of 10	292	3.7%
1		183	2.3%
2		294	3.7%
3		270	3.4%
4		259	3.3%
5		576	7.3%
6		415	5.2%
7		606	7.7%
8		997	12.6%
9		912	11.5%
10	10 votes out of 10	3113	39.3%
-99	No answer	22	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10750: Participation in federal vote of May 19, 2019

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7842 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	2184	27.9%
1	Participated	5658	72.1%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f10750: Participation in federal vote of May 19, 2019

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't know	90	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10751a: Vote on tax reform and OASI funding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5611 /-] [Invalid=2328 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	3812	67.9%
2	No (rejected)	1626	29.0%
3	Blank	173	3.1%
-99	No answer	47	
Sysmiss		2281	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10751b: Vote on amended EU weapons directive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5587 /-] [Invalid=2352 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	3887	69.6%
2	No (rejected)	1608	28.8%
3	Blank	92	1.6%
-99	No answer	71	
Sysmiss		2281	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on tax reform and OASI funding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=* /8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1457 /-] [Invalid=6482 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	421	28.9%
2	Rather in favor	675	46.3%
3	Rather against	236	16.2%
4	Certainly against	125	8.6%
-99	No answer	17	
8	Don't know	800	
Sysmiss		5665	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on amended EU weapons directive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=* /8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1596 /-] [Invalid=6343 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	592	37.1%
2	Rather in favor	470	29.4%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook































W1_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on amended EU weapons directive

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rather against	246	 15.4%
4	Certainly against	288	 18.0%
-99	No answer	49	
8	Don't know	629	
Sysmiss		5665	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7074 /-] [Invalid=865 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	605	 8.6%
2	Other old age provisions	312	 4.4%
3	Unemployment	29	 0.4%
4	Poverty	33	 0.5%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	142	 2.0%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	499	 7.1%
7	Drugs, addictions	7	 0.1%
8	EU, Europe	1206	 17.0%
9	Extremism	9	 0.1%
10	Finances	37	 0.5%
11	Refugees	89	 1.3%
12	Xenophobia, racism	16	 0.2%
13	Health system	147	 2.1%
14	Globalisation	8	 0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	36	 0.5%
17	Climate	641	 9.1%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	153	 2.2%
20	Crime	5	 0.1%
21	Neutrality	14	 0.2%
22	Politicians	98	 1.4%
23	Political style	6	 0.1%
24	Political system	54	 0.8%
25	Direct democracy	31	 0.4%
27	Party polarisation	43	 0.6%
28	Security	21	 0.3%
29	Social security	5	 0.1%
30	Social inequalities	34	 0.5%
31	Taxes	50	 0.7%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	685	 9.7%
34	Economic development	2	 0.0%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	Media	7	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	10	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	1	0.0%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	4	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	5	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	27	0.4%
41	Banks, banking crisis	5	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	44	0.6%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	18	0.3%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	172	2.4%
45	Economy, economic policy	72	1.0%
46	Education	44	0.6%
47	Social policy, welfare state	164	2.3%
48	Family policy, upbringing	38	0.5%
49	Labour market, workplace security	50	0.7%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	70	1.0%
51	Fear, politics of fear	4	0.1%
52	Labour market for 50+	18	0.3%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	29	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	2	0.0%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	4	0.1%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	6	0.1%
59	Work for young people	14	0.2%
60	Overpopulation	52	0.7%
61	Energy, energy policy	51	0.7%
62	Cross-border commuters	19	0.3%
63	Swiss People's Party	9	0.1%
64	Equal rights	74	1.0%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	8	0.1%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	14	0.2%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	8	0.1%
68	Society in general	6	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	95	1.3%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	13	0.2%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	14	0.2%
73	Army	27	0.4%
74	Aging population, demographics	56	0.8%
75	Bureaucracy	17	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	2	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	24	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	17	0.2%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	1	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	49	0.7%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	26	0.4%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	14	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	39	0.6%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	5	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	37	0.5%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	32	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	11	0.2%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	372	5.3%
102	Capitalism	31	0.4%
103	5G	8	0.1%
104	Animal protection	6	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	10	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	8	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	34	0.5%
108	Data protection	4	0.1%
109	Left, socialism	7	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	5	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	5	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	9	0.1%
113	Populism	27	0.4%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	0	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	694	
98	Don't know	171	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7074 /-] [Invalid=865 /-]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	14	0.2%
2	Economy	150	2.1%
3	Education & culture	45	0.6%
4	Environment & energy	1416	20.0%
5	EU, Europe	1787	25.3%
6	Finances & taxes	89	1.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	82	1.2%
8	Immigration & asylum	806	11.4%
9	Foreign policy & defense	200	2.8%
10	Labour market	119	1.7%
11	Law & order	46	0.7%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	436	6.2%
13	Public health	307	4.3%
14	Public services & infrastructure	65	0.9%
15	Regions & national cohesion	52	0.7%
16	Social security/welfare state	1400	19.8%
17	Other problems	49	0.7%
18	No problem	11	0.2%
-99	No answer	694	
98	Don't know	171	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5433 /-] [Invalid=2506 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1062	19.5%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	324	6.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	986	18.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1191	21.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	911	16.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	477	8.8%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	60	1.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	30	0.6%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	18	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	35	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	26	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	31	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	27	0.5%
350	Other party	9	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	136	2.5%
404	Several parties	53	1.0%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
405	All parties together, all	47	0.9%
-99	No answer	17	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	13	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	1812	
Sysmiss		664	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5433 /-] [Invalid=2506 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1191	21.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1062	19.5%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	324	6.0%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	477	8.8%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	986	18.1%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	911	16.8%
7	Others	482	8.9%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	1842	
Sysmiss		664	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6745 /-] [Invalid=1194 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	616	9.1%
2	Other old age provisions	370	5.5%
3	Unemployment	57	0.8%
4	Poverty	45	0.7%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	166	2.5%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	511	7.6%
7	Drugs, addictions	7	0.1%
8	EU, Europe	415	6.2%
9	Extremism	5	0.1%
10	Finances	46	0.7%
11	Refugees	104	1.5%
12	Xenophobia, racism	12	0.2%
13	Health system	270	4.0%
14	Globalisation	16	0.2%
15	Youth unemployment	8	0.1%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	Integration of immigrants	49	0.7%
17	Climate	420	6.2%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	220	3.3%
20	Crime	9	0.1%
21	Neutrality	5	0.1%
22	Politicians	66	1.0%
23	Political style	0	
24	Political system	74	1.1%
25	Direct democracy	20	0.3%
27	Party polarisation	22	0.3%
28	Security	40	0.6%
29	Social security	8	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	60	0.9%
31	Taxes	106	1.6%
32	National fiscal equalisation	1	0.0%
33	Environment	668	9.9%
34	Economic development	8	0.1%
35	Media	15	0.2%
36	Renewable energies	16	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	1	0.0%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	6	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	9	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	102	1.5%
41	Banks, banking crisis	9	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	29	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	35	0.5%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	49	0.7%
45	Economy, economic policy	119	1.8%
46	Education	129	1.9%
47	Social policy, welfare state	299	4.4%
48	Family policy, upbringing	61	0.9%
49	Labour market, workplace security	107	1.6%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	104	1.5%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	47	0.7%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	17	0.3%
54	Vandalism, violence	11	0.2%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	3	0.0%
59	Work for young people	10	0.1%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	Overpopulation	48	0.7%
61	Energy, energy policy	70	1.0%
62	Cross-border commuters	20	0.3%
63	Swiss People's Party	13	0.2%
64	Equal rights	119	1.8%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	7	0.1%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	10	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	13	0.2%
68	Society in general	24	0.4%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	79	1.2%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	37	0.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	17	0.3%
73	Army	85	1.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	61	0.9%
75	Bureaucracy	25	0.4%
78	Bank secrecy	4	0.1%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	18	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	31	0.5%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	5	0.1%
82	Invalidity insurance	5	0.1%
84	Independence, autonomy	21	0.3%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	26	0.4%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	11	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	1	0.0%
92	Low political interest and participation	32	0.5%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	10	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	22	0.3%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	5	0.1%
96	Other	37	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	10	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	84	1.2%
102	Capitalism	33	0.5%
103	5G	7	0.1%
104	Animal protection	10	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	18	0.3%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	25	0.4%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	30	0.4%
108	Data protection	3	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	16	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	11	0.2%
111	Islam, islamism	5	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	12	0.2%
113	Populism	22	0.3%

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	0	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	290	
98	Don't know	210	
Sysmiss		694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6745 /-] [Invalid=1194 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	17	0.3%
2	Economy	223	3.3%
3	Education & culture	129	1.9%
4	Environment & energy	1228	18.2%
5	EU, Europe	570	8.5%
6	Finances & taxes	157	2.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	132	2.0%
8	Immigration & asylum	867	12.9%
9	Foreign policy & defense	219	3.2%
10	Labour market	254	3.8%
11	Law & order	76	1.1%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	379	5.6%
13	Public health	497	7.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	171	2.5%
15	Regions & national cohesion	59	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	1699	25.2%
17	Other problems	58	0.9%
18	No problem	10	0.1%
-99	No answer	290	
98	Don't know	210	
Sysmiss		694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	849	16.5%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	346	6.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1354	26.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	974	18.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	701	13.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	461	9.0%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	71	1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	23	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	14	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	14	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	30	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	21	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	43	0.8%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	49	1.0%
350	Other party	3	0.1%
402	No party / rather persons	110	2.1%
404	Several parties	33	0.6%
405	All parties together, all	51	1.0%
-99	No answer	26	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	9	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	1754	
Sysmiss		1003	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=2792 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	974	18.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	849	16.5%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	346	6.7%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	461	9.0%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1354	26.3%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	701	13.6%
7	Others	462	9.0%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	1789	
Sysmiss		1003	





Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f13400a: Attention to political news on TV

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7679 /-] [Invalid=260 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	1043	 13.6%
2	Rather attentive	2839	 37.0%
3	Rather not attentive	2119	 27.6%
4	Not at all attentive	1678	 21.9%
-99	No answer	260	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f13400b: Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7489 /-] [Invalid=450 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	1437	 19.2%
2	Rather attentive	2642	 35.3%
3	Rather not attentive	1854	 24.8%
4	Not at all attentive	1556	 20.8%
-99	No answer	450	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f13400c: Attention to political news in free newspapers (print or e-paper)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7083 /-] [Invalid=856 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	425	 6.0%
2	Rather attentive	1391	 19.6%
3	Rather not attentive	2051	 29.0%
4	Not at all attentive	3216	 45.4%
-99	No answer	856	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f13400d: Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6958 /-] [Invalid=981 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	253	 3.6%
2	Rather attentive	806	 11.6%
3	Rather not attentive	1367	 19.6%
4	Not at all attentive	4532	 65.1%
-99	No answer	981	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.





W1_f13400e: Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch, 20min.ch)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

File : Selects2019_Panel_v4.0_for_codebook

W1_f13400e: Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch, 20min.ch)

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7087 /-] [Invalid=852 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	758	 10.7%
2	Rather attentive	1962	 27.7%
3	Rather not attentive	1763	 24.9%
4	Not at all attentive	2604	 36.7%
-99	No answer	852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f13400f: Attention to political news in radio

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7374 /-] [Invalid=565 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	831	 11.3%
2	Rather attentive	2532	 34.3%
3	Rather not attentive	2219	 30.1%
4	Not at all attentive	1792	 24.3%
-99	No answer	565	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_f13310: Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7916 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Never	3110	<div><div></div></div> 39.3%
1	1 day	1733	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
2	2 days	1407	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
3	3 days	904	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
4	4 days	393	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
5	5 days	220	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
6	6 days	57	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	7 days	92	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420a: Estimation if FDP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6046 /-] [Invalid=1893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	204	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	Rather gain	1683	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
3	Stay the same	2936	<div><div></div></div> 48.6%
4	Rather lose	1094	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
5	Surely lose	129	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
-99	No answer	267	
8	Don't know	1626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420b: Estimation if CVP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5974 /-] [Invalid=1965 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	Rather gain	555	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
3	Stay the same	2639	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
4	Rather lose	2469	<div><div></div></div> 41.3%
5	Surely lose	276	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	330	
8	Don't know	1635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420c: Estimation if SP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6144 /-] [Invalid=1795 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	188	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	Rather gain	1931	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%

# W1_f13420c: Estimation if SP will gain or lose votes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Stay the same	2691	<div><div></div></div> 43.8%
4	Rather lose	1160	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
5	Surely lose	174	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	342	
8	Don't know	1453	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420d: Estimation if SVP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6296 /-] [Invalid=1643 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	171	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2	Rather gain	847	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
3	Stay the same	1418	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
4	Rather lose	2889	<div><div></div></div> 45.9%
5	Surely lose	971	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
-99	No answer	287	
8	Don't know	1356	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420e: Estimation if GPS will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6239 /-] [Invalid=1700 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	1107	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
2	Rather gain	3574	<div><div></div></div> 57.3%
3	Stay the same	1021	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
4	Rather lose	430	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
5	Surely lose	107	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
-99	No answer	317	
8	Don't know	1383	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420f: Estimation if GLP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6034 /-] [Invalid=1905 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	760	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
2	Rather gain	3319	<div><div></div></div> 55.0%
3	Stay the same	1260	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
4	Rather lose	552	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
5	Surely lose	143	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
-99	No answer	341	
8	Don't know	1564	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f13420g: Estimation if BDP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5618 /-] [Invalid=2321 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	18	<div><div></div>0.3%</div>
2	Rather gain	343	<div><div></div>6.1%</div>
3	Stay the same	1649	<div><div></div>29.4%</div>
4	Rather lose	2474	<div><div></div>44.0%</div>
5	Surely lose	1134	<div><div></div>20.2%</div>
-99	No answer	385	
8	Don't know	1936	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420h: Estimation if Lega will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=245 /-] [Invalid=7694 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	10	<div><div></div>4.1%</div>
2	Rather gain	59	<div><div></div>24.1%</div>
3	Stay the same	78	<div><div></div>31.8%</div>
4	Rather lose	76	<div><div></div>31.0%</div>
5	Surely lose	22	<div><div></div>9.0%</div>
-99	No answer	20	
8	Don't know	83	
Sysmiss		7591	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13420i: Estimation if MCG will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=228 /-] [Invalid=7711 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	3	<div><div></div>1.3%</div>
2	Rather gain	30	<div><div></div>13.2%</div>
3	Stay the same	52	<div><div></div>22.8%</div>
4	Rather lose	70	<div><div></div>30.7%</div>
5	Surely lose	73	<div><div></div>32.0%</div>
-99	No answer	22	
8	Don't know	117	
Sysmiss		7572	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14000: Party closeness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7914 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2697	<div><div></div>34.1%</div>
2	No	5217	<div><div></div>65.9%</div>

# W1_f14000: Party closeness			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	25	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14050: Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5196 /-] [Invalid=2743 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3236	62.3%
2	No	1960	37.7%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		2722	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14010rec: Party R feels close to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5910 /-] [Invalid=2029 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1128	19.1%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	504	8.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1361	23.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1242	21.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	700	11.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	495	8.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	108	1.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	42	0.7%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	24	0.4%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	24	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	117	2.0%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	58	1.0%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	28	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	45	0.8%
350	Other party	7	0.1%
402	No party / rather persons	6	0.1%
404	Several parties	21	0.4%
405	All parties together, all	0	
-99	No answer	21	
993	Vote blank	0	
995	Other answer	2	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		2006	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5910 /-] [Invalid=2029 /-]		

# W1_f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1242	<div></div>	21.0%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1128	<div></div>	19.1%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	504	<div></div>	8.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	495	<div></div>	8.4%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1361	<div></div>	23.0%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	700	<div></div>	11.8%
7	Others	480	<div></div>	8.1%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	23		
Sysmiss		2006		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f14070: How close does R feel to party				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5897 /-] [Invalid=2042 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very close	419	<div></div>	7.1%
2	Rather close	3016	<div></div>	51.1%
3	Not very close	2462	<div></div>	41.8%
-99	No answer	17		
Sysmiss		2025		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f14400a: Probability to vote for FDP				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7535 /-] [Invalid=404 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very low probability	1606	<div></div>	21.3%
1		448	<div></div>	5.9%
2		575	<div></div>	7.6%
3		531	<div></div>	7.0%
4		431	<div></div>	5.7%
5		1003	<div></div>	13.3%
6		491	<div></div>	6.5%
7		641	<div></div>	8.5%
8		703	<div></div>	9.3%
9		343	<div></div>	4.6%
10	Very high probability	763	<div></div>	10.1%
-99	No answer	404		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f14400b: Probability to vote for CVP				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7478 /-] [Invalid=461 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very low probability	1979	<div></div>	26.5%
1		536	<div></div>	7.2%

W1_f14400b: Probability to vote for CVP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		736	9.8%
3		675	9.0%
4		536	7.2%
5		1008	13.5%
6		515	6.9%
7		493	6.6%
8		469	6.3%
9		222	3.0%
10	Very high probability	309	4.1%
-99	No answer	461	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14400c: Probability to vote for SP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7492 /-] [Invalid=447 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1756	23.4%
1		477	6.4%
2		556	7.4%
3		471	6.3%
4		426	5.7%
5		799	10.7%
6		430	5.7%
7		507	6.8%
8		623	8.3%
9		431	5.8%
10	Very high probability	1016	13.6%
-99	No answer	447	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7546 /-] [Invalid=393 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	3302	43.8%
1		561	7.4%
2		461	6.1%
3		324	4.3%
4		300	4.0%
5		568	7.5%
6		275	3.6%
7		342	4.5%
8		433	5.7%
9		268	3.6%
10	Very high probability	712	9.4%
-99	No answer	393	

# W1_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400e: Probability to vote for GPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7478 /-] [Invalid=461 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1617	<div></div> 21.6%
1		479	<div></div> 6.4%
2		539	<div></div> 7.2%
3		509	<div></div> 6.8%
4		443	<div></div> 5.9%
5		863	<div></div> 11.5%
6		531	<div></div> 7.1%
7		568	<div></div> 7.6%
8		675	<div></div> 9.0%
9		422	<div></div> 5.6%
10	Very high probability	832	<div></div> 11.1%
-99	No answer	461	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400f: Probability to vote for GLP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7452 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1720	<div></div> 23.1%
1		481	<div></div> 6.5%
2		539	<div></div> 7.2%
3		560	<div></div> 7.5%
4		509	<div></div> 6.8%
5		981	<div></div> 13.2%
6		595	<div></div> 8.0%
7		596	<div></div> 8.0%
8		672	<div></div> 9.0%
9		383	<div></div> 5.1%
10	Very high probability	416	<div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400g: Probability to vote for BDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7393 /-] [Invalid=546 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	2830	<div></div> 38.3%
1		703	<div></div> 9.5%
2		737	<div></div> 10.0%
3		596	<div></div> 8.1%
4		547	<div></div> 7.4%

# W1_f14400g: Probability to vote for BDP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		931	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
6		362	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
7		289	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
8		230	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
9		82	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	Very high probability	86	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	546	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=311 /-] [Invalid=7628 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	144	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
1		17	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
2		16	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3		9	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4		10	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
5		36	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
6		15	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
7		13	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
8		14	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
9		9	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
10	Very high probability	28	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
-99	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		7591	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14400i: Probability to vote for MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=339 /-] [Invalid=7600 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	185	<div><div></div></div> 54.6%
1		23	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2		24	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
3		20	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
4		15	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5		17	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
6		7	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
7		13	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
8		14	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
9		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
10	Very high probability	19	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		7572	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7294 -/] [Invalid=645 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	298	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
1		256	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2		631	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
3		890	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4		756	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
5		1488	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
6		841	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
7		930	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8		762	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
9		188	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
10	Right	254	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
-99	No answer	36	
98	Don't know	609	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7926 -/] [Invalid=13 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1530	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	Fairly satisfied	5181	<div><div></div></div> 65.4%
3	Not very satisfied	1088	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
4	Not at all satisfied	127	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	13	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15310a: Importance of EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7852 -/] [Invalid=87 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	1595	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
2	Very important	3413	<div><div></div></div> 43.5%
3	Rather important	2238	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
4	Rather not important	606	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
-99	No answer	87	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15310b: Importance of migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7843 -/] [Invalid=96 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	1875	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
2	Very important	3501	<div><div></div></div> 44.6%

# W1_f15310b: Importance of migration policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rather important	2073	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
4	Rather not important	394	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
-99	No answer	96	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15310c: Importance of social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7828 /-] [Invalid=111 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	2233	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
2	Very important	3761	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
3	Rather important	1597	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Rather not important	237	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
-99	No answer	111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15310d: Importance of environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7858 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	3206	<div><div></div></div> 40.8%
2	Very important	3011	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
3	Rather important	1339	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
4	Rather not important	302	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	81	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15310e: Importance of economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7833 /-] [Invalid=106 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	1324	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
2	Very important	3551	<div><div></div></div> 45.3%
3	Rather important	2435	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
4	Rather not important	523	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
-99	No answer	106	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320a: Party that cares most about EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5262 /-] [Invalid=2677 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1897	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	212	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1164	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1618	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%

# W1_f15320a: Party that cares most about EU policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	95	1.8%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	131	2.5%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	53	1.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	17	0.3%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	7	0.1%
20	Others	68	1.3%
-99	No answer	81	
98	Don't know	2596	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320b: Party that cares most about migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6321 /-] [Invalid=1618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	267	4.2%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	267	4.2%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1869	29.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	3636	57.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	92	1.5%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	48	0.8%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	27	0.4%
8	Lega - Ticino League	39	0.6%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	21	0.3%
20	Others	55	0.9%
-99	No answer	118	
98	Don't know	1500	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320c: Party that cares most about social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6638 /-] [Invalid=1301 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	270	4.1%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	504	7.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	5306	79.9%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	266	4.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	127	1.9%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	58	0.9%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	34	0.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	17	0.3%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	10	0.2%
20	Others	46	0.7%
-99	No answer	110	
98	Don't know	1191	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15320d: Party that cares most about environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6958 /-] [Invalid=981 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	113	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	60	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	122	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	99	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	5513	<div><div></div></div> 79.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	988	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
20	Others	43	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	101	
98	Don't know	880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15320e: Party that cares most about economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6321 /-] [Invalid=1618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	4501	<div><div></div></div> 71.2%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	245	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	310	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	938	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	87	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	141	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Others	42	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	120	
98	Don't know	1498	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330a: Party most competent in EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4914 /-] [Invalid=3025 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1974	<div><div></div></div> 40.2%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	359	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1021	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1079	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	83	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	197	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	115	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%

# W1_f15330a: Party most competent in EU policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Lega - Ticino League	11	0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
20	Others	70	1.4%
-99	No answer	132	
98	Don't know	2893	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330b: Party most competent in migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5390 /-] [Invalid=2549 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	627	11.6%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	679	12.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1978	36.7%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1629	30.2%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	125	2.3%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	127	2.4%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	111	2.1%
8	Lega - Ticino League	27	0.5%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	15	0.3%
20	Others	72	1.3%
-99	No answer	165	
98	Don't know	2384	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330c: Party most competent in social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5874 /-] [Invalid=2065 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	510	8.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	773	13.2%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	3733	63.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	364	6.2%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	138	2.3%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	169	2.9%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	84	1.4%
8	Lega - Ticino League	12	0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	11	0.2%
20	Others	80	1.4%
-99	No answer	138	
98	Don't know	1927	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15330d: Party most competent in environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6206 /-] [Invalid=1733 /-]		

W1_f15330d: Party most competent in environmental policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	293	4.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	130	2.1%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	162	2.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	203	3.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	3835	61.8%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1491	24.0%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	40	0.6%
8	Lega - Ticino League	3	0.0%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	0.0%
20	Others	47	0.8%
-99	No answer	130	
98	Don't know	1603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15330e: Party most competent in economic policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5435 /-] [Invalid=2504 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	3343	61.5%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	301	5.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	496	9.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	709	13.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	71	1.3%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	276	5.1%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	172	3.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	11	0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	9	0.2%
20	Others	47	0.9%
-99	No answer	151	
98	Don't know	2353	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340a: Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7777 /-] [Invalid=162 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1728	22.2%
2	Rather in favor	2949	37.9%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1730	22.2%
4	Rather against	915	11.8%
5	Strongly against	455	5.9%
-99	No answer	162	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
-------------	--

# W1_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7799 /-] [Invalid=140 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1794	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	Rather in favor	2368	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1635	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
4	Rather against	1439	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
5	Strongly against	563	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
-99	No answer	140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15340c: Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7776 /-] [Invalid=163 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1593	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
2	Rather in favor	2775	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1839	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
4	Rather against	1138	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
5	Strongly against	431	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
-99	No answer	163	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7803 /-] [Invalid=136 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	3610	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
2	Rather in favor	2835	<div><div></div></div> 36.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	965	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
4	Rather against	288	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
5	Strongly against	105	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15340e: Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7781 /-] [Invalid=158 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	2073	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
2	Rather in favor	3462	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1852	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
4	Rather against	315	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
5	Strongly against	79	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	158	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15360a: Opinion of random party1 on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5632 /-] [Invalid=2307 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	914	<div></div> 16.2%
2	Rather in favor	2393	<div></div> 42.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	938	<div></div> 16.7%
4	Rather against	725	<div></div> 12.9%
5	Strongly against	662	<div></div> 11.8%
-99	No answer	423	
8	Don't know	1884	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15360b: Opinion of random party1 on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5903 /-] [Invalid=2036 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1183	<div></div> 20.0%
2	Rather in favor	1234	<div></div> 20.9%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1153	<div></div> 19.5%
4	Rather against	1445	<div></div> 24.5%
5	Strongly against	888	<div></div> 15.0%
-99	No answer	425	
8	Don't know	1611	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15360c: Opinion of random party1 on federal financial aid for childcare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5801 /-] [Invalid=2138 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1247	<div></div> 21.5%
2	Rather in favor	2049	<div></div> 35.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1006	<div></div> 17.3%
4	Rather against	969	<div></div> 16.7%
5	Strongly against	530	<div></div> 9.1%
-99	No answer	443	
8	Don't know	1695	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15360d: Opinion of random party1 on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6032 /-] [Invalid=1907 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1451	<div></div> 24.1%
2	Rather in favor	1950	<div></div> 32.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1506	<div></div> 25.0%
4	Rather against	789	<div></div> 13.1%

# W1_f15360d: Opinion of random party1 on strengthening environmental protection			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly against	336	<div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	431	
8	Don't know	1476	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15360e: Opinion of random party1 on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5812 /-] [Invalid=2127 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1341	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
2	Rather in favor	2198	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1538	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
4	Rather against	570	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
5	Strongly against	165	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	454	
8	Don't know	1673	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15370a: Opinion of random party2 on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5749 /-] [Invalid=2190 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1112	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	Rather in favor	2321	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	Neither in favor nor against	914	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
4	Rather against	665	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
5	Strongly against	737	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
-99	No answer	452	
8	Don't know	1738	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15370b: Opinion of random party2 on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5991 /-] [Invalid=1948 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1194	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
2	Rather in favor	1226	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1226	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
4	Rather against	1445	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
5	Strongly against	900	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
-99	No answer	466	
8	Don't know	1482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15370c: Opinion of random party2 on federal financial aid for childcare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5898 /-] [Invalid=2041 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1316	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
2	Rather in favor	2125	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1033	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
4	Rather against	919	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%

# W1_f15370c: Opinion of random party2 on federal financial aid for childcare			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly against	505	<div></div> 8.6%
-99	No answer	480	
8	Don't know	1561	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15370d: Opinion of random party2 on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6079 /-] [Invalid=1860 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1487	<div></div> 24.5%
2	Rather in favor	2051	<div></div> 33.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1413	<div></div> 23.2%
4	Rather against	832	<div></div> 13.7%
5	Strongly against	296	<div></div> 4.9%
-99	No answer	458	
8	Don't know	1402	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15370e: Opinion of random party2 on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5850 /-] [Invalid=2089 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1377	<div></div> 23.5%
2	Rather in favor	2188	<div></div> 37.4%
3	Neither in favor nor against	1552	<div></div> 26.5%
4	Rather against	545	<div></div> 9.3%
5	Strongly against	188	<div></div> 3.2%
-99	No answer	479	
8	Don't know	1610	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15360rp1: Random party1 for f15360			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1593	<div></div> 20.1%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	1582	<div></div> 19.9%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1623	<div></div> 20.4%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1577	<div></div> 19.9%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1564	<div></div> 19.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15370rp2: Random party2 for f15370			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# W1_f15370rp2: Random party2 for f15370			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1558	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	1570	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1598	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1658	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1555	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f14610: Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7836 /-] [Invalid=103 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	384	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2	Improved slightly	2789	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
3	Remained the same	3461	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
4	Worsened slightly	1055	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
5	Worsened significantly	147	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
-99	No answer	103	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15656: Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7849 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improve significantly	77	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	Improve slightly	1310	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
3	Remain the same	5299	<div><div></div></div> 67.5%
4	Worsen slightly	1077	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
5	Worsen significantly	86	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	90	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15420: Opinion on social spending			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7852 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for a reduction	400	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Rather for a reduction	1451	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
3	Neither nor	2903	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
4	Rather for an increase	2590	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
5	Strongly for an increase	508	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	87	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15430: Opinion on EU membership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7888 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]		

# W1_f15430: Opinion on EU membership			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU membership	296	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Rather for EU membership	1030	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
3	Neither nor	1444	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
4	Rather for staying outside (Alleingang)	2767	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
5	Strongly for staying outside (Alleingang)	2351	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
-99	No answer	51	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7823 -/] [Invalid=116 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for more state interventions in the economy	397	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Rather for more state interventions in the economy	1534	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
3	Neither nor	2387	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
4	Rather for more free market competition	2827	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
5	Strongly for more free market competition	678	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
-99	No answer	116	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15440: Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7885 -/] [Invalid=54 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for equal opportunities	1528	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
2	Rather for equal opportunities	2438	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
3	Neither nor	1008	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
4	Rather for better opportunities for the Swiss	2111	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
5	Strongly for better opportunities for the Swiss	800	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
-99	No answer	54	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15470: Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7886 -/] [Invalid=53 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Environmental protection much more important	2495	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
2	Environmental protection rather more important	2496	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
3	Neither nor	1763	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
4	Economic growth rather more important	927	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
5	Economic growth much more important	205	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
-99	No answer	53	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15480: Opinion on taxes on high incomes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W1_f15480: Opinion on taxes on high incomes			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7896 /-] [Invalid=43 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for an increase	2118	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
2	Rather for an increase	3160	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
3	Neither nor	1913	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
4	Rather for a reduction	516	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
5	Strongly for a reduction	189	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
-99	No answer	43	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15485: Opinion on modern vs traditional Switzerland			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7884 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for a modern Switzerland	1088	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
2	Rather for a modern Switzerland	2680	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
3	Neither nor	1594	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4	Rather for a Switzerland that defends traditions	2061	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
5	Strongly for a Switzerland that defends traditions	461	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
-99	No answer	55	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15810: Opinion on abandoning the use of fossil fuels from 2050 onwards			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7888 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	2477	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
2	Rather in favor	2705	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
3	Neither nor	1289	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
4	Rather against	1030	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
5	Strongly against	387	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
-99	No answer	51	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15811: Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7914 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	709	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
2	Rather in favor	1562	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
3	Neither nor	1007	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
4	Rather against	2201	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
5	Strongly against	2435	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
-99	No answer	25	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15812: Opinion on same-sex marriage			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7912 /-] [Invalid=27 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	3176	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
2	Rather in favor	1873	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
3	Neither nor	1423	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
4	Rather against	692	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
5	Strongly against	748	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
-99	No answer	27	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15813: Opinion on increasing the minimum franchise under the compulsory health insurance scheme			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7909 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	518	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	Rather in favor	1306	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
3	Neither nor	1423	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
4	Rather against	2360	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
5	Strongly against	2302	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
-99	No answer	30	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15655: Trade-off: keep bilateral agreements with EU or limit immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7771 /-] [Invalid=168 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Restrict immigration	1267	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
2	Rather restrict immigration	1637	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
3	Rather keep bilateral agreements	2989	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
4	Keep bilateral agreements	1878	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
-99	No answer	168	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f15640: Opinion on institutional framework agreement with the EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7666 /-] [Invalid=273 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In favor of the institutional framework agreement	1560	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
2	Rather in favor of the institutional framework agreement	3413	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
3	Rather against the institutional framework agreement	1874	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
4	Against the institutional framework agreement	819	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer	273	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_f15641: Trade-off: accept institutional framework agreement with the EU or keep flanking measures against social dumping				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7508 /-] [Invalid=431 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Accept the institutional framework agreement	653	<div></div>	8.7%
2	Rather accept the institutional framework agreement	2012	<div></div>	26.8%
3	Rather keep flanking measures against social dumping	3567	<div></div>	47.5%
4	Keep flanking measures against social dumping	1276	<div></div>	17.0%
-99	No answer	431		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15642: Opinion on cohesion billion				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7628 /-] [Invalid=311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	In favor of cohesion billion	1177	<div></div>	15.4%
2	Rather in favor of cohesion billion	2832	<div></div>	37.1%
3	Rather against cohesion billion	2269	<div></div>	29.7%
4	Against cohesion billion	1350	<div></div>	17.7%
-99	No answer	311		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15653: Effects of bilateral agreements on labour market				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7685 /-] [Invalid=254 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Labour market situation improves significantly	730	<div></div>	9.5%
2	Labour market situation improves slightly	2304	<div></div>	30.0%
3	Neither nor	3014	<div></div>	39.2%
4	Labour market situation worsens slightly	1190	<div></div>	15.5%
5	Labour market situation worsens significantly	447	<div></div>	5.8%
-99	No answer	254		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f15643: Negotiation power in Swiss-EU negotiations				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7776 /-] [Invalid=163 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Switzerland has more negotiation power	1921	<div></div>	24.7%
2	EU has more negotiation power	3601	<div></div>	46.3%
3	Both have equal negotiation power	2254	<div></div>	29.0%
-99	No answer	163		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-99/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7897 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]		

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	6769	85.7%
8201	Albania	1	0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	14	0.2%
8205	Bulgaria	5	0.1%
8206	Denmark	3	0.0%
8207	Germany	190	2.4%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	8	0.1%
8212	France	76	1.0%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	9	0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	22	0.3%
8216	Ireland	3	0.0%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	242	3.1%
8220	Yugoslavia	18	0.2%
8222	Liechtenstein	1	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	1	0.0%
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	15	0.2%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	34	0.4%
8230	Poland	13	0.2%
8231	Portugal	35	0.4%
8232	Romania	5	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	5	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3	0.0%
8236	Spain	29	0.4%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	9	0.1%
8239	Turkey	36	0.5%
8240	Hungary	14	0.2%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	1	0.0%
8243	Slovakia	4	0.1%
8244	Czech Republic	4	0.1%
8248	Serbia	24	0.3%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	2	0.0%
8250	Croatia	22	0.3%

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8251	Slovenia	3	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	19	0.2%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	2	0.0%
8255	North Macedonia	7	0.1%
8256	Kosovo	30	0.4%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	13	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	2	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	7	0.1%
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	4	0.1%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	1	0.0%
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	3	0.0%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	9	0.1%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	3	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	1	0.0%
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	3	0.0%
8349	South Africa	1	0.0%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	1	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	6	0.1%
8358	Uganda	1	0.0%
8359	Egypt	4	0.1%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.0%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	4	0.1%

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	1	0.0%
8406	Brazil	14	0.2%
8407	Chile	3	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	3	0.0%
8410	Ecuador	1	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.0%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	6	0.1%
8424	Colombia	8	0.1%
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	2	0.0%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	10	0.1%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	12	0.2%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	

# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	3	0.0%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	19	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	4	0.1%
8508	China	5	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	4	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	3	0.0%
8513	Iran	5	0.1%
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	3	0.0%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	3	0.0%
8534	Philippines	5	0.1%

W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	4	0.1%
8543	Tibet	2	0.0%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	4	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	1	0.0%
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	3	0.0%
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	1	0.0%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	

# W1_f20210rec1: R's nationality at birth - Country 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	13	0.2%
9997	Stateless	5	0.1%
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-99/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=319 /-] [Invalid=7620 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	0	
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	5	1.6%
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	0	
8207	Germany	40	12.5%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8211	Finland	2	0.6%
8212	France	49	15.4%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	0	
8215	United Kingdom	5	1.6%
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	97	30.4%
8220	Yugoslavia	0	
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.3%
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	5	1.6%
8228	Norway	1	0.3%
8229	Austria	16	5.0%
8230	Poland	1	0.3%
8231	Portugal	10	3.1%
8232	Romania	1	0.3%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	2	0.6%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	8	2.5%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	1	0.3%
8239	Turkey	3	0.9%
8240	Hungary	2	0.6%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	1	0.3%
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	3	0.9%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	1	0.3%
8251	Slovenia	1	0.3%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	3	0.9%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	2	0.6%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	2	0.6%
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	1	0.3%
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	1	0.3%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	1	0.3%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8336	Nigeria	1	0.3%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	1	0.3%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	1	0.3%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	2	0.6%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	5	1.6%
8407	Chile	0	
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	3	0.9%
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	6	1.9%
8424	Colombia	2	0.6%
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	0	
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	2	0.6%
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	1	0.3%
8439	United States of America	13	4.1%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	

W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	1	0.3%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	1	0.3%
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	2	0.6%
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	3	0.9%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	1	0.3%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	2	0.6%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	0	
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	

# W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8545	Vietnam	1	0.3%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	1	0.3%
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	3	0.9%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	1	0.3%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	

# W1_f20210rec2: R's nationality at birth - Country 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	1	0.3%
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		7604	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-99/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=7928 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	0	
8201	Albania	0	
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	0	
8205	Bulgaria	0	
8206	Denmark	0	
8207	Germany	0	
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	0	
8212	France	1	9.1%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	0	
8215	United Kingdom	1	9.1%
8216	Ireland	0	
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	0	
8220	Yugoslavia	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8222	Liechtenstein	0	
8223	Luxembourg	0	
8224	Malta	0	
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	0	
8228	Norway	0	
8229	Austria	0	
8230	Poland	0	
8231	Portugal	2	18.2%
8232	Romania	0	
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	0	
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	0	
8236	Spain	3	27.3%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	0	
8239	Turkey	0	
8240	Hungary	0	
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	0	
8243	Slovakia	0	
8244	Czech Republic	0	
8248	Serbia	1	9.1%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	0	
8250	Croatia	0	
8251	Slovenia	0	
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	0	
8256	Kosovo	0	
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	0	
8264	Russia	0	
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	0	
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	0	
8305	Angola	0	
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	0	
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	0	
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	0	
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	0	
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	0	
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	0	
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	0	
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	0	
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	0	
8349	South Africa	0	
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	0	
8354	Togo	0	
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	0	
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	0	
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	0	
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	0	
8406	Brazil	1	9.1%
8407	Chile	0	
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	0	
8410	Ecuador	0	
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	0	
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	

# W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8421	Jamaica	0	
8423	Canada	0	
8424	Colombia	0	
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	0	
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	1	
8433	Puerto Rico	0	
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	0	
8439	United States of America	0	
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	0	
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	0	
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8508	China	0	
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	0	
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	0	
8513	Iran	0	
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	0	
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	0	
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	1	
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	0	
8534	Philippines	0	
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	0	
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	0	
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	0	

W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	0	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	

# W1_f20210rec3: R's nationality at birth - Country 3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7926	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20211: Parents both born in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7918 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5667	<div></div> 71.6%
2	No	2251	<div></div> 28.4%
-99	No answer	21	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-99/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=5716 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	415	<div></div> 18.7%
8201	Albania	2	<div></div> 0.1%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	19	<div></div> 0.9%
8205	Bulgaria	4	<div></div> 0.2%
8206	Denmark	6	<div></div> 0.3%
8207	Germany	364	<div></div> 16.4%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	13	<div></div> 0.6%
8212	France	145	<div></div> 6.5%
8213	Gibraltar	1	<div></div> 0.0%
8214	Greece	12	<div></div> 0.5%
8215	United Kingdom	35	<div></div> 1.6%
8216	Ireland	4	<div></div> 0.2%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	293	<div></div> 13.2%
8220	Yugoslavia	20	<div></div> 0.9%
8222	Liechtenstein	6	<div></div> 0.3%

W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	1	0.0%
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	32	1.4%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	120	5.4%
8230	Poland	17	0.8%
8231	Portugal	44	2.0%
8232	Romania	9	0.4%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	13	0.6%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3	0.1%
8236	Spain	51	2.3%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	18	0.8%
8239	Turkey	46	2.1%
8240	Hungary	23	1.0%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	1	0.0%
8243	Slovakia	6	0.3%
8244	Czech Republic	10	0.4%
8248	Serbia	28	1.3%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	3	0.1%
8250	Croatia	30	1.3%
8251	Slovenia	4	0.2%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	28	1.3%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	2	0.1%
8255	North Macedonia	7	0.3%
8256	Kosovo	44	2.0%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	1	0.0%
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	17	0.8%
8265	Ukraine	0	
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	

W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	4	0.2%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	11	0.5%
8305	Angola	4	0.2%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	1	0.0%
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	1	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	5	0.2%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	2	0.1%
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	1	0.0%
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.2%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	1	0.0%
8327	Madagascar	2	0.1%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	13	0.6%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	6	0.3%
8334	Mozambique	1	0.0%
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	0	
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	2	0.1%
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	

W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8348	Somalia	3	0.1%
8349	South Africa	2	0.1%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	2	0.1%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	11	0.5%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	7	0.3%
8360	Central African Republic	1	0.0%
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	7	0.3%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	1	0.0%
8405	Bolivia	2	0.1%
8406	Brazil	23	1.0%
8407	Chile	3	0.1%
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	7	0.3%
8410	Ecuador	2	0.1%
8411	El Salvador	1	0.0%
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.0%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%

W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8423	Canada	11	0.5%
8424	Colombia	8	0.4%
8425	Cuba	1	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	2	0.1%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	1	0.0%
8432	Peru	13	0.6%
8433	Puerto Rico	1	0.0%
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	1	0.0%
8439	United States of America	14	0.6%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	5	0.2%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	1	0.0%
8506	Sri Lanka	22	1.0%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	5	0.2%
8508	China	8	0.4%

W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	7	0.3%
8511	Indonesia	2	0.1%
8512	Iraq	3	0.1%
8513	Iran	8	0.4%
8514	Israel	1	0.0%
8515	Japan	5	0.2%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	2	0.1%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	3	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	1	0.0%
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%
8534	Philippines	11	0.5%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	2	0.1%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.0%
8542	Thailand	7	0.3%
8543	Tibet	2	0.1%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	14	0.6%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	1	0.0%
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	2	0.1%
8561	Azerbaijan	0	

# W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8562	Georgia	0	0.0%
8563	Kazakhstan	1	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	3	0.1%
8578	Dagestan	0	0.1%
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	3	
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	

# W1_f20212: Country R's mother was born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	13	0.6%
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
-99	No answer	28	
9999	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		5688	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9998] [Missing=*/-99/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2219 /-] [Invalid=5720 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	585	26.4%
8201	Albania	3	0.1%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	18	0.8%
8205	Bulgaria	4	0.2%
8206	Denmark	3	0.1%
8207	Germany	276	12.4%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	8	0.4%
8212	France	112	5.0%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	15	0.7%
8215	United Kingdom	25	1.1%
8216	Ireland	3	0.1%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	374	16.9%
8220	Yugoslavia	20	0.9%
8222	Liechtenstein	3	0.1%
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	1	0.0%
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	22	1.0%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	63	2.8%
8230	Poland	18	0.8%

W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8231	Portugal	43	1.9%
8232	Romania	6	0.3%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	6	0.3%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	3	0.1%
8236	Spain	42	1.9%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	13	0.6%
8239	Turkey	55	2.5%
8240	Hungary	36	1.6%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	1	0.0%
8243	Slovakia	5	0.2%
8244	Czech Republic	9	0.4%
8248	Serbia	30	1.4%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	4	0.2%
8250	Croatia	22	1.0%
8251	Slovenia	4	0.2%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	33	1.5%
8253	Central Serbia	0	
8254	Montenegro	2	0.1%
8255	North Macedonia	12	0.5%
8256	Kosovo	41	1.8%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	12	0.5%
8265	Ukraine	2	0.1%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	5	0.2%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	11	0.5%
8305	Angola	2	0.1%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	

W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8308	Burundi	1	0.0%
8309	Benin	1	0.0%
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	1	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	4	0.2%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	1	0.0%
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	1	0.0%
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	7	0.3%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	
8326	Libya	0	
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	14	0.6%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	3	0.1%
8334	Mozambique	1	0.0%
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	0	
8343	Zambia	0	
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	3	0.1%
8349	South Africa	6	0.3%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	2	0.1%
8356	Chad	0	

# W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8357	Tunisia	13	0.6%
8358	Uganda	0	
8359	Egypt	7	0.3%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	0	
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	1	0.0%
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.1%
8406	Brazil	13	0.6%
8407	Chile	6	0.3%
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	5	0.2%
8410	Ecuador	1	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.0%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	5	0.2%
8424	Colombia	6	0.3%
8425	Cuba	0	
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	5	0.2%
8429	Nicaragua	0	
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	

W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8432	Peru	8	0.4%
8433	Puerto Rico	1	0.0%
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	1	0.0%
8438	Venezuela	3	0.1%
8439	United States of America	16	0.7%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	5	0.2%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	23	1.0%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	3	0.1%
8508	China	7	0.3%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	9	0.4%
8511	Indonesia	0	
8512	Iraq	3	0.1%
8513	Iran	9	0.4%
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	2	0.1%
8516	Yemen	0	

# W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	2	0.1%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	4	0.2%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	0	
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	3	0.1%
8534	Philippines	6	0.3%
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	1	0.0%
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	0	
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	1	0.0%
8542	Thailand	4	0.2%
8543	Tibet	2	0.1%
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	11	0.5%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	1	0.0%
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	1	0.0%
8561	Azerbaijan	1	0.0%
8562	Georgia	0	
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	

# W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	4	0.2%
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	5	0.2%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	0	
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	14	0.6%
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	

# W1_f20213: Country R's father was born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	32	
9999	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		5688	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20750: Member of religious community			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7906 /-] [Invalid=33 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5211	<div><div></div></div> 65.9%
2	No	2695	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
-99	No answer	33	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20760: Religious denomination - Recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5192 /-] [Invalid=2747 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	2277	<div><div></div></div> 43.9%
2	Catholic	2552	<div><div></div></div> 49.2%
3	Jewish	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	Muslim	99	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5	Other religion	60	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6	Other Christian religion (e.g., Orthodox, Methodist, New Apo	186	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		2728	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f20900: Church attendance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5195 /-] [Invalid=2744 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than once a week	124	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	Once a week	333	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
3	Once or twice a month	391	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
4	Several times a year	1243	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
5	Once a year	348	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
6	Only on special occasions	2510	<div><div></div></div> 48.3%
7	Never	246	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
-99	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		2726	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21310: R's highest level of education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7904 /-] [Invalid=35 /-]		

# W1_f21310: R's highest level of education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	16	0.2%
2	Primary school	129	1.6%
3	Secondary school	275	3.5%
4	Basic vocational training	85	1.1%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	2404	30.4%
6	Diploma school	168	2.1%
7	Trading school	410	5.2%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	307	3.9%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	495	6.3%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	861	10.9%
11	Higher vocational college: technical, economics, social work	524	6.6%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	865	10.9%
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	1317	16.7%
14	Other	48	0.6%
-99	No answer	35	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21400: R's current working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7914 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2932	37.0%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	1966	24.8%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	582	7.4%
4	Homemaker	347	4.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	1688	21.3%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	140	1.8%
7	Unemployed	103	1.3%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	156	2.0%
-99	No answer	25	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21500: R's current position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4857 /-] [Invalid=3082 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	589	12.1%
2	Employee with supervision function	1324	27.3%
3	Employee with operative function	2347	48.3%
4	Self-employed	597	12.3%
-99	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		3041	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Statistics [NW/ W]

[Valid=6354 /-] [Invalid=1585 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Military professions	1	0.0%
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.0%
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	0.0%
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.0%
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.0%
1000	Managers, nos	111	1.7%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	3	0.0%
1112	Senior government officials	58	0.9%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	18	0.3%
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	129	2.0%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	19	0.3%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	9	0.1%
1211	Finance managers	37	0.6%
1212	Human resource managers	34	0.5%
1213	Policy and planning managers	5	0.1%
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	2	0.0%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	3	0.0%
1221	Sales and marketing managers	77	1.2%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	6	0.1%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	41	0.6%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	5	0.1%
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	15	0.2%
1321	Manufacturing managers	11	0.2%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	14	0.2%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	48	0.8%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	33	0.5%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	7	0.1%
1341	Child care services managers	6	0.1%
1342	Health services managers	14	0.2%
1343	Aged care services managers	2	0.0%
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	16	0.3%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	10	0.2%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	6	0.1%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	2	0.0%
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	17	0.3%
1411	Hotel managers	2	0.0%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1412	Restaurant managers	14	0.2%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	4	0.1%
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	6	0.1%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	3	0.0%
2000	Professionals, nos	49	0.8%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	7	0.1%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2111	Physicists and astronomers	5	0.1%
2112	Meteorologists	2	0.0%
2113	Chemists	4	0.1%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	4	0.1%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	7	0.1%
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	11	0.2%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	10	0.2%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	6	0.1%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	25	0.4%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	5	0.1%
2142	Civil engineers	37	0.6%
2143	Environmental engineers	4	0.1%
2144	Mechanical engineers	43	0.7%
2145	Chemical engineers	10	0.2%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	9	0.1%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	3	0.0%
2151	Electrical engineers	38	0.6%
2152	Electronics engineers	9	0.1%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	3	0.0%
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	5	0.1%
2161	Building architects	64	1.0%
2162	Landscape architects	5	0.1%
2163	Product and garment designers	4	0.1%
2164	Town and traffic planners	3	0.0%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	9	0.1%
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	25	0.4%
2200	Health professionals, nos	4	0.1%
2210	Medical doctors, nos	51	0.8%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	6	0.1%
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	29	0.5%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2221	Nursing professionals	18	0.3%
2222	Midwifery professionals	3	0.0%
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	10	0.2%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2250	Veterinarians	6	0.1%
2260	Other health professionals, nos	3	0.0%
2261	Dentists	8	0.1%
2262	Pharmacists	16	0.3%
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	32	0.5%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	2	0.0%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	8	0.1%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	1	0.0%
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	24	0.4%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	35	0.6%
2310	University and higher education teachers	33	0.5%
2320	Vocational education teachers	38	0.6%
2330	Secondary education teachers	200	3.1%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	6	0.1%
2341	Primary school teachers	131	2.1%
2342	Early childhood educators	34	0.5%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	9	0.1%
2351	Education methods specialists	6	0.1%
2352	Special needs teachers	39	0.6%
2353	Other language teachers	1	0.0%
2354	Other music teachers	1	0.0%
2355	Other arts teachers	6	0.1%
2356	Information technology trainers	1	0.0%
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	17	0.3%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	32	0.5%
2411	Accountants	68	1.1%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	45	0.7%
2413	Financial analysts	2	0.0%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	4	0.1%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	24	0.4%
2422	Policy administration professionals	58	0.9%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	32	0.5%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	1	0.0%
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	30	0.5%
2432	Public relations professionals	10	0.2%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	21	0.3%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	63	1.0%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	1	0.0%
2511	Systems analysts	22	0.3%
2512	Software developers	117	1.8%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	6	0.1%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2514	Applications programmers	5	0.1%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	0	
2521	Database designers and administrators	1	0.0%
2522	Systems administrators	1	0.0%
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	15	0.2%
2611	Lawyers	35	0.6%
2612	Judges	6	0.1%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	18	0.3%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	1	0.0%
2621	Archivists and curators	4	0.1%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	20	0.3%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	0	
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	6	0.1%
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	6	0.1%
2634	Psychologists	30	0.5%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	75	1.2%
2636	Religious professionals	17	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	2	0.0%
2641	Authors and related writers	3	0.0%
2642	Journalists	35	0.6%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	15	0.2%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	2	0.0%
2651	Visual artists	5	0.1%
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	19	0.3%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	9	0.1%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	3	0.0%
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	72	1.1%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	26	0.4%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	19	0.3%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	21	0.3%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	24	0.4%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	4	0.1%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	3	0.0%
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	15	0.2%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	3	0.0%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	38	0.6%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	41	0.6%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	31	0.5%
3121	Mining supervisors	1	0.0%
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	18	0.3%
3123	Construction supervisors	41	0.6%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	3	0.0%
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	4	0.1%
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	7	0.1%
3142	Agricultural technicians	2	0.0%
3143	Forestry technicians	5	0.1%
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	5	0.1%
3151	Ships' engineers	0	
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	0	
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	6	0.1%
3154	Air traffic controllers	4	0.1%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	12	0.2%
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	3	0.0%
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	12	0.2%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	7	0.1%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	18	0.3%
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	5	0.1%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	5	0.1%
3221	Nursing associate professionals	137	2.2%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	4	0.1%
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	4	0.1%
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	7	0.1%
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	4	0.1%
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	2	0.0%
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	8	0.1%
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	11	0.2%
3256	Medical assistants	31	0.5%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	2	0.0%
3258	Ambulance workers	8	0.1%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	39	0.6%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	76	1.2%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	38	0.6%
3312	Credit and loans officers	7	0.1%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	71	1.1%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	4	0.1%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	1	0.0%
3321	Insurance representatives	36	0.6%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	35	0.6%
3323	Buyers	40	0.6%
3324	Trade brokers	69	1.1%
3330	Business services agents, nos	1	0.0%
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	6	0.1%
3332	Conference and event planners	6	0.1%
3333	Employment agents and contractors	4	0.1%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	34	0.5%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	3	0.0%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	14	0.2%
3342	Legal secretaries	10	0.2%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	63	1.0%
3344	Medical secretaries	18	0.3%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	17	0.3%
3351	Customs and border inspectors	7	0.1%
3352	Government tax and excise officials	6	0.1%
3353	Government social benefits officials	8	0.1%
3354	Government licensing officials	2	0.0%
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	8	0.1%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	3	0.0%
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	22	0.3%
3412	Social work associate professionals	32	0.5%
3413	Religious associate professionals	5	0.1%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	1	0.0%
3421	Athletes and sports players	0	
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	16	0.3%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	7	0.1%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	1	0.0%
3431	Photographers	6	0.1%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	9	0.1%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	15	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	1	0.0%
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	16	0.3%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	7	0.1%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	1	0.0%
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	8	0.1%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	2	0.0%
3514	Web technicians	2	0.0%
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	5	0.1%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	9	0.1%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	43	0.7%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	7	0.1%
4110	General office clerks	111	1.7%
4120	Secretaries (general)	172	2.7%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	5	0.1%
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	2	0.0%
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	21	0.3%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	6	0.1%
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	14	0.2%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	1	0.0%
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	9	0.1%
4224	Hotel receptionists	2	0.0%
4225	Enquiry clerks	1	0.0%
4226	Receptionists (general)	5	0.1%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	6	0.1%
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	30	0.5%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	12	0.2%
4313	Payroll clerks	2	0.0%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	14	0.2%
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	14	0.2%
4400	Other clerical support workers	1	0.0%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	6	0.1%
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	18	0.3%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	3	0.0%
4414	Scribes and related workers	1	0.0%
4415	Filing and copying clerks	4	0.1%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4416	Personnel clerks	6	0.1%
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	7	0.1%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	11	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	1	0.0%
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	13	0.2%
5112	Transport conductors	3	0.0%
5113	Travel guides	1	0.0%
5120	Cooks	37	0.6%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	17	0.3%
5132	Bartenders	2	0.0%
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	1	0.0%
5141	Hairdressers	30	0.5%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	9	0.1%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	11	0.2%
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.0%
5152	Domestic housekeepers	10	0.2%
5153	Building caretakers	32	0.5%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	1	0.0%
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	1	0.0%
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	4	0.1%
5165	Driving instructors	6	0.1%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	14	0.2%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	4	0.1%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	8	0.1%
5221	Shop keepers	15	0.2%
5222	Shop supervisors	36	0.6%
5223	Shop sales assistants	177	2.8%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	8	0.1%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	2	0.0%
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5300	Personal care workers, nos	11	0.2%
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5311	Child care workers	25	0.4%
5312	Teachers' aides	2	0.0%
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	33	0.5%
5321	Health care assistants	19	0.3%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	43	0.7%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	15	0.2%
5400	Protective services workers, nos	0	
5410	Protective services workers, nos	3	0.0%
5411	Fire-fighters	2	0.0%
5412	Police officers	32	0.5%
5413	Prison guards	4	0.1%
5414	Security guards	6	0.1%
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	5	0.1%
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	2	0.0%
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	2	0.0%
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	3	0.0%
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	23	0.4%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	1	0.0%
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	1	0.0%
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.0%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	67	1.1%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	1	0.0%
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	1	0.0%
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	12	0.2%
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	1	0.0%
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	3	0.0%
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	12	0.2%
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	2	0.0%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	3	0.0%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7115	Carpenters and joiners	31	0.5%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	1	0.0%
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	3	0.0%
7121	Roofers	6	0.1%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	5	0.1%
7123	Plasterers	4	0.1%
7124	Insulation workers	3	0.0%
7125	Glaziers	1	0.0%
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	22	0.3%
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	3	0.0%
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	13	0.2%
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	1	0.0%
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	35	0.6%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	1	0.0%
7213	Sheet-metal workers	2	0.0%
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	11	0.2%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	1	0.0%
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	1	0.0%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	6	0.1%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	5	0.1%
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	0	
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	23	0.4%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	41	0.6%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	2	0.0%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	12	0.2%
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	2	0.0%
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	1	0.0%
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	0	
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	20	0.3%
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	2	0.0%
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	5	0.1%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	1	0.0%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	2	0.0%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	8	0.1%
7321	Pre-press technicians	19	0.3%
7322	Printers	6	0.1%

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	3	0.0%
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	6	0.1%
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	1	0.0%
7411	Building and related electricians	19	0.3%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	37	0.6%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	3	0.0%
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	21	0.3%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	5	0.1%
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	12	0.2%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	14	0.2%
7513	Dairy-products makers	9	0.1%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	1	0.0%
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	19	0.3%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	1	0.0%
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	6	0.1%
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	8	0.1%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	1	0.0%
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	4	0.1%
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	1	0.0%
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	0.0%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	1	0.0%
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	9	0.1%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	5	0.1%
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	6	0.1%
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	2	0.0%
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	2	0.0%
8121	Metal processing plant operators	1	0.0%
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	1	0.0%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	5	0.1%
8132	Photographic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	2	0.0%
8142	Plastic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	1	0.0%
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	1	0.0%
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	2	0.0%
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	1	0.0%
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	8	0.1%
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	1	0.0%
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	2	0.0%
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	1	0.0%
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	4	0.1%
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	7	0.1%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	1	0.0%
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	20	0.3%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	8	0.1%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	1	0.0%
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	13	0.2%
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	23	0.4%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	30	0.5%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	1	0.0%
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.0%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	

W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	17	0.3%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	1	0.0%
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	22	0.3%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	3	0.0%
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	8	0.1%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	5	0.1%
9211	Crop farm labourers	1	0.0%
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	1	0.0%
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	7	0.1%
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	4	0.1%
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	3	0.0%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	4	0.1%
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	0	
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	2	0.0%
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	3	0.0%
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	

# W1_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
-999		84	
Sysmiss		1501	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21700: R's current working sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4864 /-] [Invalid=3075 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	3037	62.4%
2	Public sector	1420	29.2%
3	Other (mixed)	407	8.4%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		3051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4835 /-] [Invalid=3104 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	672	13.9%
2	Retail and wholesale	363	7.5%
3	Healthcare and social sector	825	17.1%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	318	6.6%
5	Construction	436	9.0%
6	Teaching and education	551	11.4%
7	Tourism and business related services	146	3.0%
8	Financial services	352	7.3%
9	Hotel and restaurant	124	2.6%
10	Transportation	171	3.5%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	366	7.6%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	96	2.0%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	142	2.9%
14	Information and communication	256	5.3%
15	Other	17	0.4%
98	Don't know	0	
-99	Missing answer	48	
Sysmiss		3056	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f26100: R's previous working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2265 /-] [Invalid=5674 /-]		

# W1_f26100: R's previous working situation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	1269	<div><div></div></div> 56.0%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	683	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	Housmaker	210	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5	Retired (AHV)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	44	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
7	Unemployed	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5662	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f24900: R's previous position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1935 /-] [Invalid=6004 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	261	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
2	Employee with supervision function	573	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
3	Employee with operative function	847	<div><div></div></div> 43.8%
4	Self-employed	254	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		5987	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4455 /-] [Invalid=3484 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Military professions	0	
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1000	Managers, nos	84	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1111	Legislators	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1112	Senior government officials	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	108	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1211	Finance managers	24	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1212	Human resource managers	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1213	Policy and planning managers	5	0.1%
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	1	0.0%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	2	0.0%
1221	Sales and marketing managers	57	1.3%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	3	0.1%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	29	0.7%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	3	0.1%
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	12	0.3%
1321	Manufacturing managers	4	0.1%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	13	0.3%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	26	0.6%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	22	0.5%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	8	0.2%
1341	Child care services managers	5	0.1%
1342	Health services managers	9	0.2%
1343	Aged care services managers	1	0.0%
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	11	0.2%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	4	0.1%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	4	0.1%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	0	
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	11	0.2%
1411	Hotel managers	1	0.0%
1412	Restaurant managers	7	0.2%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	2	0.0%
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	7	0.2%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	3	0.1%
2000	Professionals, nos	43	1.0%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	3	0.1%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	2	0.0%
2112	Meteorologists	1	0.0%
2113	Chemists	2	0.0%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	3	0.1%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	5	0.1%
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	10	0.2%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	9	0.2%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	4	0.1%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	23	0.5%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2141	Industrial and production engineers	5	0.1%
2142	Civil engineers	20	0.4%
2143	Environmental engineers	4	0.1%
2144	Mechanical engineers	32	0.7%
2145	Chemical engineers	9	0.2%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	9	0.2%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	0	
2151	Electrical engineers	19	0.4%
2152	Electronics engineers	8	0.2%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	3	0.1%
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	0	
2161	Building architects	45	1.0%
2162	Landscape architects	4	0.1%
2163	Product and garment designers	3	0.1%
2164	Town and traffic planners	3	0.1%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	6	0.1%
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	16	0.4%
2200	Health professionals, nos	4	0.1%
2210	Medical doctors, nos	43	1.0%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	4	0.1%
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	19	0.4%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2221	Nursing professionals	12	0.3%
2222	Midwifery professionals	3	0.1%
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	8	0.2%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	2	0.0%
2260	Other health professionals, nos	0	
2261	Dentists	6	0.1%
2262	Pharmacists	9	0.2%
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	24	0.5%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	2	0.0%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	6	0.1%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	1	0.0%
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	18	0.4%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	12	0.3%
2310	University and higher education teachers	22	0.5%
2320	Vocational education teachers	27	0.6%
2330	Secondary education teachers	139	3.1%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	7	0.2%
2341	Primary school teachers	92	2.1%
2342	Early childhood educators	28	0.6%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	3	0.1%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2351	Education methods specialists	5	0.1%
2352	Special needs teachers	22	0.5%
2353	Other language teachers	0	
2354	Other music teachers	1	0.0%
2355	Other arts teachers	4	0.1%
2356	Information technology trainers	1	0.0%
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	8	0.2%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	25	0.6%
2411	Accountants	59	1.3%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	36	0.8%
2413	Financial analysts	2	0.0%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	2	0.0%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	20	0.4%
2422	Policy administration professionals	52	1.2%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	23	0.5%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	1	0.0%
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	0	
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	26	0.6%
2432	Public relations professionals	10	0.2%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	15	0.3%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	47	1.1%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	0	
2511	Systems analysts	16	0.4%
2512	Software developers	94	2.1%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	6	0.1%
2514	Applications programmers	4	0.1%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	0	
2521	Database designers and administrators	1	0.0%
2522	Systems administrators	1	0.0%
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	5	0.1%
2611	Lawyers	31	0.7%
2612	Judges	3	0.1%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	14	0.3%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	1	0.0%
2621	Archivists and curators	4	0.1%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	15	0.3%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	0	
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	5	0.1%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	4	0.1%
2634	Psychologists	23	0.5%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	56	1.3%
2636	Religious professionals	13	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	1	0.0%
2641	Authors and related writers	2	0.0%
2642	Journalists	25	0.6%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	15	0.3%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	1	0.0%
2651	Visual artists	4	0.1%
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	13	0.3%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	7	0.2%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	3	0.1%
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	49	1.1%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	19	0.4%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	14	0.3%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	14	0.3%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	15	0.3%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	3	0.1%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	2	0.0%
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	12	0.3%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	3	0.1%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	24	0.5%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	28	0.6%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	25	0.6%
3121	Mining supervisors	1	0.0%
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	10	0.2%
3123	Construction supervisors	24	0.5%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	3	0.1%
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	3	0.1%
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	4	0.1%
3142	Agricultural technicians	2	0.0%
3143	Forestry technicians	5	0.1%
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	4	0.1%
3151	Ships' engineers	0	

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	1	0.0%
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	5	0.1%
3154	Air traffic controllers	2	0.0%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	4	0.1%
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	0	
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	10	0.2%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	4	0.1%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	12	0.3%
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	3	0.1%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	1	0.0%
3221	Nursing associate professionals	96	2.2%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	4	0.1%
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	3	0.1%
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	0	
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	3	0.1%
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	2	0.0%
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	7	0.2%
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	11	0.2%
3256	Medical assistants	29	0.7%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	2	0.0%
3258	Ambulance workers	7	0.2%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	21	0.5%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	46	1.0%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	26	0.6%
3312	Credit and loans officers	4	0.1%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	54	1.2%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	3	0.1%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	0	
3321	Insurance representatives	24	0.5%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	27	0.6%
3323	Buyers	38	0.9%
3324	Trade brokers	57	1.3%
3330	Business services agents, nos	1	0.0%
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	2	0.0%
3332	Conference and event planners	6	0.1%
3333	Employment agents and contractors	2	0.0%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	27	0.6%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	8	0.2%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3342	Legal secretaries	6	0.1%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	39	0.9%
3344	Medical secretaries	14	0.3%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	11	0.2%
3351	Customs and border inspectors	4	0.1%
3352	Government tax and excise officials	5	0.1%
3353	Government social benefits officials	5	0.1%
3354	Government licensing officials	2	0.0%
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	6	0.1%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	0	
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	21	0.5%
3412	Social work associate professionals	21	0.5%
3413	Religious associate professionals	4	0.1%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	0	
3421	Athletes and sports players	0	
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	14	0.3%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	6	0.1%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	0	
3431	Photographers	4	0.1%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	4	0.1%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	9	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	1	0.0%
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	7	0.2%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	6	0.1%
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	1	0.0%
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	7	0.2%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	2	0.0%
3514	Web technicians	0	
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	5	0.1%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	5	0.1%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	18	0.4%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	9	0.2%
4110	General office clerks	69	1.5%
4120	Secretaries (general)	112	2.5%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	0	
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	1	0.0%
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	9	0.2%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	1	0.0%
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	10	0.2%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	0	
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	3	0.1%
4224	Hotel receptionists	2	0.0%
4225	Enquiry clerks	0	
4226	Receptionists (general)	4	0.1%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	2	0.0%
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	22	0.5%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	6	0.1%
4313	Payroll clerks	1	0.0%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	10	0.2%
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	7	0.2%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	1	0.0%
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	11	0.2%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	1	0.0%
4414	Scribes and related workers	1	0.0%
4415	Filing and copying clerks	2	0.0%
4416	Personnel clerks	2	0.0%
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	4	0.1%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	8	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	0	
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	8	0.2%
5112	Transport conductors	2	0.0%
5113	Travel guides	0	
5120	Cooks	31	0.7%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	15	0.3%
5132	Bartenders	1	0.0%
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	0	
5141	Hairdressers	18	0.4%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	8	0.2%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	6	0.1%
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.0%
5152	Domestic housekeepers	8	0.2%
5153	Building caretakers	24	0.5%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	1	0.0%
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	1	0.0%
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	4	0.1%
5165	Driving instructors	3	0.1%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	9	0.2%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	4	0.1%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	9	0.2%
5221	Shop keepers	9	0.2%
5222	Shop supervisors	25	0.6%
5223	Shop sales assistants	110	2.5%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	7	0.2%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	1	0.0%
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	1	0.0%
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5300	Personal care workers, nos	1	0.0%
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	20	0.4%
5312	Teachers' aides	2	0.0%
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	29	0.7%
5321	Health care assistants	12	0.3%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	35	0.8%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	6	0.1%
5400		1	0.0%
5410	Protective services workers, nos	4	0.1%
5411	Fire-fighters	1	0.0%
5412	Police officers	29	0.7%
5413	Prison guards	4	0.1%
5414	Security guards	4	0.1%
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	4	0.1%
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	1	0.0%
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	2	0.0%
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	2	0.0%
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	19	0.4%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	1	0.0%
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	0	
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.0%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	50	1.1%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	1	0.0%
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	1	0.0%
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	4	0.1%
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	1	0.0%
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	2	0.0%
7111	House builders	1	0.0%
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	7	0.2%
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	1	0.0%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	1	0.0%
7115	Carpenters and joiners	25	0.6%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	1	0.0%
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	4	0.1%
7121	Roofers	4	0.1%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	1	0.0%
7123	Plasterers	2	0.0%
7124	Insulation workers	1	0.0%
7125	Glaziers	0	
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	16	0.4%
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	2	0.0%
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	7	0.2%
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	1	0.0%
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	30	0.7%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	1	0.0%
7213	Sheet-metal workers	2	0.0%

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	6	0.1%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	1	0.0%
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	2	0.0%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	1	0.0%
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	1	0.0%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	3	0.1%
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	0	
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	10	0.2%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	26	0.6%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	1	0.0%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repairers	11	0.2%
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	2	0.0%
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	1	0.0%
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	0	
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	14	0.3%
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	0	
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	4	0.1%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	1	0.0%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	1	0.0%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	5	0.1%
7321	Pre-press technicians	4	0.1%
7322	Printers	3	0.1%
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	3	0.1%
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	1	0.0%
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	0	
7411	Building and related electricians	14	0.3%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	29	0.7%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	3	0.1%
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	12	0.3%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and servicers	4	0.1%
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and related trades workers, nos	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	4	0.1%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	7	0.2%
7513	Dairy-products makers	6	0.1%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, nos	1	0.0%
7521	Wood treaters	0	

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	0	
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	0	
8121	Metal processing plant operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8132	Photographic products machine operators	0	
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8142	Plastic products machine operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	0	
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	

W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	2	0.0%
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	0	
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	4	0.1%
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	3	0.1%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	0	
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	14	0.3%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	2	0.0%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	0	
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	7	0.2%
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	17	0.4%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	24	0.5%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	0	
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.0%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	6	0.1%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	2	0.0%
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	20	0.4%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	2	0.0%
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	6	0.1%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	4	0.1%
9211	Crop farm labourers	1	0.0%
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	1	0.0%
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	0	

# W1_f250_08: R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	7	0.2%
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	3	0.1%
9321	Hand packers	1	0.0%
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	2	0.0%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	1	0.0%
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	2	0.0%
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	0	
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	1	0.0%
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	2	0.0%
9622	Odd job persons	2	0.0%
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	0	
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
-999	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		3425	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6605 /-] [Invalid=1334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Military professions	0	
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	0.0%
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	0.0%
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	3	0.0%
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	0.0%
1000	Managers, nos	117	1.8%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	1	0.0%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1111	Legislators	4	0.1%
1112	Senior government officials	58	0.9%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	18	0.3%
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	135	2.0%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	23	0.3%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	10	0.2%
1211	Finance managers	38	0.6%
1212	Human resource managers	37	0.6%
1213	Policy and planning managers	5	0.1%
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	2	0.0%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	4	0.1%
1221	Sales and marketing managers	77	1.2%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	6	0.1%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	42	0.6%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	6	0.1%
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	16	0.2%
1321	Manufacturing managers	11	0.2%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	14	0.2%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	48	0.7%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	33	0.5%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	11	0.2%
1341	Child care services managers	6	0.1%
1342	Health services managers	14	0.2%
1343	Aged care services managers	2	0.0%
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	18	0.3%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	10	0.2%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	6	0.1%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	2	0.0%
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	17	0.3%
1411	Hotel managers	2	0.0%
1412	Restaurant managers	14	0.2%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	4	0.1%
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	7	0.1%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	4	0.1%
2000	Professionals, nos	54	0.8%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	9	0.1%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2111	Physicists and astronomers	5	0.1%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2112	Meteorologists	2	0.0%
2113	Chemists	4	0.1%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	4	0.1%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	7	0.1%
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	12	0.2%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	10	0.2%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	6	0.1%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	25	0.4%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	6	0.1%
2142	Civil engineers	37	0.6%
2143	Environmental engineers	4	0.1%
2144	Mechanical engineers	44	0.7%
2145	Chemical engineers	11	0.2%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	9	0.1%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	3	0.0%
2151	Electrical engineers	38	0.6%
2152	Electronics engineers	10	0.2%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	3	0.0%
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	5	0.1%
2161	Building architects	64	1.0%
2162	Landscape architects	5	0.1%
2163	Product and garment designers	4	0.1%
2164	Town and traffic planners	3	0.0%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	9	0.1%
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	25	0.4%
2200	Health professionals, nos	5	0.1%
2210	Medical doctors, nos	55	0.8%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	6	0.1%
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	29	0.4%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2221	Nursing professionals	20	0.3%
2222	Midwifery professionals	3	0.0%
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	10	0.2%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	6	0.1%
2260	Other health professionals, nos	3	0.0%
2261	Dentists	9	0.1%
2262	Pharmacists	16	0.2%
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	32	0.5%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	2	0.0%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	8	0.1%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	1	0.0%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	24	0.4%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	37	0.6%
2310	University and higher education teachers	33	0.5%
2320	Vocational education teachers	38	0.6%
2330	Secondary education teachers	202	3.1%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	12	0.2%
2341	Primary school teachers	132	2.0%
2342	Early childhood educators	35	0.5%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	12	0.2%
2351	Education methods specialists	6	0.1%
2352	Special needs teachers	39	0.6%
2353	Other language teachers	1	0.0%
2354	Other music teachers	2	0.0%
2355	Other arts teachers	6	0.1%
2356	Information technology trainers	1	0.0%
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	3	0.0%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	17	0.3%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	34	0.5%
2411	Accountants	68	1.0%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	45	0.7%
2413	Financial analysts	2	0.0%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	4	0.1%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	24	0.4%
2422	Policy administration professionals	58	0.9%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	34	0.5%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	1	0.0%
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	30	0.5%
2432	Public relations professionals	10	0.2%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	21	0.3%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	63	1.0%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	1	0.0%
2511	Systems analysts	22	0.3%
2512	Software developers	117	1.8%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	6	0.1%
2514	Applications programmers	5	0.1%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	0	
2521	Database designers and administrators	1	0.0%
2522	Systems administrators	1	0.0%
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	15	0.2%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2611	Lawyers	36	0.5%
2612	Judges	6	0.1%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	18	0.3%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	2	0.0%
2621	Archivists and curators	4	0.1%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	20	0.3%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	0	
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	6	0.1%
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	6	0.1%
2634	Psychologists	30	0.5%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	75	1.1%
2636	Religious professionals	18	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	2	0.0%
2641	Authors and related writers	3	0.0%
2642	Journalists	35	0.5%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	16	0.2%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	2	0.0%
2651	Visual artists	5	0.1%
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	19	0.3%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	9	0.1%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	3	0.0%
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	78	1.2%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	27	0.4%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	19	0.3%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	21	0.3%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	24	0.4%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	4	0.1%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	3	0.0%
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	15	0.2%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	3	0.0%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	38	0.6%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	41	0.6%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	32	0.5%
3121	Mining supervisors	1	0.0%
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	18	0.3%
3123	Construction supervisors	42	0.6%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	3	0.0%
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	4	0.1%
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	7	0.1%
3142	Agricultural technicians	2	0.0%
3143	Forestry technicians	5	0.1%
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	6	0.1%
3151	Ships' engineers	0	
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	1	0.0%
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	6	0.1%
3154	Air traffic controllers	4	0.1%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	16	0.2%
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	3	0.0%
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	13	0.2%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	7	0.1%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	18	0.3%
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	5	0.1%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	6	0.1%
3221	Nursing associate professionals	138	2.1%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	4	0.1%
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	4	0.1%
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	7	0.1%
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	4	0.1%
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	2	0.0%
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	9	0.1%
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	11	0.2%
3256	Medical assistants	33	0.5%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	2	0.0%
3258	Ambulance workers	8	0.1%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	43	0.7%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	77	1.2%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	38	0.6%
3312	Credit and loans officers	7	0.1%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	71	1.1%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	4	0.1%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	1	0.0%
3321	Insurance representatives	36	0.5%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	35	0.5%
3323	Buyers	56	0.8%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3324	Trade brokers	69	1.0%
3330	Business services agents, nos	2	0.0%
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	6	0.1%
3332	Conference and event planners	6	0.1%
3333	Employment agents and contractors	4	0.1%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	35	0.5%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	3	0.0%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	14	0.2%
3342	Legal secretaries	10	0.2%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	63	1.0%
3344	Medical secretaries	19	0.3%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	17	0.3%
3351	Customs and border inspectors	7	0.1%
3352	Government tax and excise officials	6	0.1%
3353	Government social benefits officials	8	0.1%
3354	Government licensing officials	2	0.0%
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	8	0.1%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	3	0.0%
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	22	0.3%
3412	Social work associate professionals	32	0.5%
3413	Religious associate professionals	5	0.1%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	1	0.0%
3421	Athletes and sports players	0	
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	16	0.2%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	7	0.1%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	1	0.0%
3431	Photographers	6	0.1%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	9	0.1%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	15	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	1	0.0%
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	23	0.3%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	8	0.1%
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	1	0.0%
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	8	0.1%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	2	0.0%
3514	Web technicians	2	0.0%
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	5	0.1%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	9	0.1%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	61	0.9%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	16	0.2%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4110	General office clerks	116	1.8%
4120	Secretaries (general)	172	2.6%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	5	0.1%
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	3	0.0%
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	21	0.3%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	7	0.1%
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	14	0.2%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	1	0.0%
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	9	0.1%
4224	Hotel receptionists	2	0.0%
4225	Enquiry clerks	1	0.0%
4226	Receptionists (general)	5	0.1%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	8	0.1%
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	30	0.5%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	12	0.2%
4313	Payroll clerks	2	0.0%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	15	0.2%
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	14	0.2%
4400		1	0.0%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	6	0.1%
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	18	0.3%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	3	0.0%
4414	Scribes and related workers	1	0.0%
4415	Filing and copying clerks	4	0.1%
4416	Personnel clerks	6	0.1%
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	11	0.2%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	13	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	1	0.0%
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	14	0.2%
5112	Transport conductors	3	0.0%
5113	Travel guides	1	0.0%
5120	Cooks	44	0.7%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	19	0.3%
5132	Bartenders	2	0.0%
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	1	0.0%
5141	Hairdressers	30	0.5%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	9	0.1%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	11	0.2%
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.0%
5152	Domestic housekeepers	10	0.2%
5153	Building caretakers	32	0.5%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	1	0.0%
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	1	0.0%
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	4	0.1%
5165	Driving instructors	6	0.1%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	23	0.3%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	4	0.1%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	11	0.2%
5221	Shop keepers	15	0.2%
5222	Shop supervisors	36	0.5%
5223	Shop sales assistants	177	2.7%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	8	0.1%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	1	0.0%
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	2	0.0%
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5300	Personal care workers, nos	12	0.2%
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	26	0.4%
5312	Teachers' aides	2	0.0%
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	36	0.5%
5321	Health care assistants	19	0.3%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	43	0.7%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	15	0.2%
5400		1	0.0%
5410	Protective services workers, nos	5	0.1%
5411	Fire-fighters	2	0.0%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5412	Police officers	34	0.5%
5413	Prison guards	5	0.1%
5414	Security guards	6	0.1%
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	5	0.1%
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	3	0.0%
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	2	0.0%
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	3	0.0%
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	23	0.3%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	1	0.0%
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	1	0.0%
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.0%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	67	1.0%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	1	0.0%
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	1	0.0%
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	16	0.2%
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	2	0.0%
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	3	0.0%
7111	House builders	1	0.0%
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	12	0.2%
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	2	0.0%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	3	0.0%
7115	Carpenters and joiners	32	0.5%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	1	0.0%
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	4	0.1%
7121	Roofers	6	0.1%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	5	0.1%
7123	Plasterers	4	0.1%
7124	Insulation workers	3	0.0%
7125	Glaziers	1	0.0%
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	24	0.4%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	3	0.0%
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	13	0.2%
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	1	0.0%
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	39	0.6%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	1	0.0%
7213	Sheet-metal workers	2	0.0%
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	11	0.2%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	1	0.0%
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	3	0.0%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	1	0.0%
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	6	0.1%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	5	0.1%
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	0	
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	24	0.4%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	42	0.6%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	2	0.0%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	12	0.2%
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	2	0.0%
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	2	0.0%
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	0	
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	22	0.3%
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	2	0.0%
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	5	0.1%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	1	0.0%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	2	0.0%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	8	0.1%
7321	Pre-press technicians	19	0.3%
7322	Printers	6	0.1%
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	3	0.0%
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	7	0.1%
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	1	0.0%
7411	Building and related electricians	19	0.3%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	38	0.6%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	4	0.1%
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	21	0.3%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	5	0.1%

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	12	0.2%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	14	0.2%
7513	Dairy-products makers	9	0.1%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	1	0.0%
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	19	0.3%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	1	0.0%
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	6	0.1%
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	8	0.1%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	1	0.0%
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	4	0.1%
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	1	0.0%
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	0.0%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	1	0.0%
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	9	0.1%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	10	0.2%
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	7	0.1%
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	2	0.0%
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	2	0.0%
8121	Metal processing plant operators	1	0.0%
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	1	0.0%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	5	0.1%
8132	Photographic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	2	0.0%
8142	Plastic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	1	0.0%
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	1	0.0%
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	

W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	2	0.0%
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	1	0.0%
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	8	0.1%
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	1	0.0%
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	2	0.0%
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	1	0.0%
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	4	0.1%
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	7	0.1%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	1	0.0%
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	20	0.3%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	8	0.1%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	1	0.0%
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	13	0.2%
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	23	0.3%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	30	0.5%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	1	0.0%
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.0%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	19	0.3%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	2	0.0%
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	22	0.3%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	3	0.0%
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	8	0.1%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	

# W1_ISCO08prof: R's current or last profession, ISCO08 4 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	5	0.1%
9211	Crop farm labourers	1	0.0%
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	1	0.0%
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	7	0.1%
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	4	0.1%
9321	Hand packers	1	0.0%
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	3	0.0%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	1	0.0%
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	4	0.1%
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	0	
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	3	0.0%
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	3	0.0%
9622	Odd job persons	2	0.0%
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
-999	No answer	120	
Sysmiss		1214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f25100: R's previous working sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1909 /-] [Invalid=6030 /-]		

# W1_f25100: R's previous working sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	1153	<div><div></div></div> 60.4%
2	Public sector	624	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
3	Other (mixed)	132	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
-99	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		6002	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f25150: Industry in which R's previous company is active			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1914 /-] [Invalid=6025 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	297	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
2	Retail and wholesale	220	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
3	Healthcare and social sector	266	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	126	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5	Construction	162	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
6	Teaching and education	215	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
7	Tourism and business related services	40	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
8	Financial services	177	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
9	Hotel and restaurant	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
10	Transportation	92	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	132	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
13	Personal services (hairstylist, interest representation)	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
14	Information and communication	78	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
15	Other	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	Don't know	0	
-99	Missing answer	20	
Sysmiss		6005	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_f28910: Gross monthly household income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7604 /-] [Invalid=335 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	550	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	421	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	498	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	720	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	802	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	724	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	697	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	588	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	493	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	438	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%

# W1_f28910: Gross monthly household income			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	360	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	298	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	226	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	184	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
15	More than 15'000 CHF	605	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
-99	No answer	291	
Sysmiss		44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_intstart: Interview start date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-09-02		934	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
2019-09-03		470	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
2019-09-04		293	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2019-09-05		566	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
2019-09-06		580	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
2019-09-07		244	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2019-09-08		335	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
2019-09-09		208	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2019-09-10		112	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2019-09-11		95	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2019-09-12		64	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2019-09-13		323	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2019-09-14		135	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2019-09-15		131	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2019-09-16		125	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2019-09-17		89	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2019-09-18		68	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2019-09-19		36	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2019-09-20		34	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2019-09-21		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2019-09-22		43	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2019-09-23		179	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2019-09-24		87	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2019-09-25		57	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2019-09-26		44	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2019-09-27		30	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2019-09-28		29	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2019-09-29		50	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2019-09-30		34	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2019-10-01		30	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2019-10-02		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2019-10-03		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

# W2_intstart: Interview start date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-04		12	0.2%
2019-10-05		11	0.2%
2019-10-06		30	0.5%
2019-10-07		6	0.1%
2019-10-08		9	0.2%
2019-10-09		12	0.2%
2019-10-10		3	0.1%
2019-10-11		4	0.1%
2019-10-12		3	0.1%
2019-10-13		2	0.0%
2019-10-14		2	0.0%
2019-10-15		5	0.1%
2019-10-16		1	0.0%
2019-10-17		2	0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_intend: Interview end date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-09-02		883	15.8%
2019-09-03		463	8.3%
2019-09-04		292	5.2%
2019-09-05		571	10.2%
2019-09-06		575	10.3%
2019-09-07		255	4.6%
2019-09-08		336	6.0%
2019-09-09		213	3.8%
2019-09-10		112	2.0%
2019-09-11		96	1.7%
2019-09-12		68	1.2%
2019-09-13		314	5.6%
2019-09-14		141	2.5%
2019-09-15		138	2.5%
2019-09-16		127	2.3%
2019-09-17		91	1.6%
2019-09-18		69	1.2%
2019-09-19		37	0.7%
2019-09-20		35	0.6%
2019-09-21		22	0.4%
2019-09-22		44	0.8%
2019-09-23		180	3.2%
2019-09-24		95	1.7%
2019-09-25		58	1.0%
2019-09-26		46	0.8%
2019-09-27		30	0.5%
2019-09-28		28	0.5%
2019-09-29		53	1.0%
2019-09-30		36	0.6%
2019-10-01		30	0.5%
2019-10-02		23	0.4%
2019-10-03		11	0.2%
2019-10-04		12	0.2%
2019-10-05		12	0.2%
2019-10-06		30	0.5%
2019-10-07		7	0.1%
2019-10-08		9	0.2%
2019-10-09		12	0.2%
2019-10-10		3	0.1%
2019-10-11		4	0.1%
2019-10-12		3	0.1%
2019-10-13		3	0.1%
2019-10-14		2	0.0%

W2_intend: Interview end date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-15		4	0.1%
2019-10-16		2	0.0%
2019-10-17		2	0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

W2_totaltime: Total interview length in minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2.98333333333333-53148.9666666667] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-] [Mean=264.043 /-] [StdDev=2341.24 /-]

W2_finished: Completion of questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	52	0.9%
1	Completed questionnaire	5525	99.1%
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

W2_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Undefined	0	
1	Yes	1463	26.2%
2	No	4114	73.8%
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

W2_spr: Language of interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3968	71.1%
2	French	1364	24.5%
3	Italian	245	4.4%
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

W2_f10100: Political interest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5570 /-] [Invalid=2369 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1144	20.5%
2	Rather interested	2958	53.1%
3	Rather not interested	1292	23.2%
4	Not at all interested	176	3.2%
-99	No answer	7	

# W2_f10100: Political interest			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5312 /-] [Invalid=2627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	210	4.0%
2	Other old age provisions	275	5.2%
3	Unemployment	13	0.2%
4	Poverty	23	0.4%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	104	2.0%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	391	7.4%
7	Drugs, addictions	3	0.1%
8	EU, Europe	733	13.8%
9	Extremism	8	0.2%
10	Finances	12	0.2%
11	Refugees	57	1.1%
12	Xenophobia, racism	10	0.2%
13	Health system	135	2.5%
14	Globalisation	15	0.3%
15	Youth unemployment	2	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	15	0.3%
17	Climate	828	15.6%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	121	2.3%
20	Crime	0	
21	Neutrality	7	0.1%
22	Politicians	44	0.8%
23	Political style	4	0.1%
24	Political system	45	0.8%
25	Direct democracy	1	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	23	0.4%
28	Security	7	0.1%
29	Social security	1	0.0%
30	Social inequalities	27	0.5%
31	Taxes	13	0.2%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	691	13.0%
34	Economic development	12	0.2%
35	Media	4	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	7	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	3	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	22	0.4%
39	Nuclear energy	4	0.1%

W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	Transportation, traffic	23	0.4%
41	Banks, banking crisis	4	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	16	0.3%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	15	0.3%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	116	2.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	79	1.5%
46	Education	20	0.4%
47	Social policy, welfare state	107	2.0%
48	Family policy, upbringing	24	0.5%
49	Labour market, workplace security	45	0.8%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	31	0.6%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	8	0.2%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	22	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	3	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	4	0.1%
60	Overpopulation	46	0.9%
61	Energy, energy policy	53	1.0%
62	Cross-border commuters	10	0.2%
63	Swiss People's Party	7	0.1%
64	Equal rights	24	0.5%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	11	0.2%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	2	0.0%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	5	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	65	1.2%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	9	0.2%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	12	0.2%
73	Army	10	0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	46	0.9%
75	Bureaucracy	6	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	18	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	11	0.2%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	2	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	30	0.6%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	16	0.3%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.0%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	13	0.2%

W2_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	3	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	14	0.3%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	30	0.6%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	3	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	336	6.3%
102	Capitalism	27	0.5%
103	5G	1	0.0%
104	Animal protection	4	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	31	0.6%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	7	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	26	0.5%
108	Data protection	2	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	3	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	5	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	2	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	5	0.1%
113	Populism	10	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	8	0.2%
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	0	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	207	
98	Don't know	58	
Sysmiss		2362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5312 /-] [Invalid=2627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	12	0.2%
2	Economy	187	3.5%
3	Education & culture	20	0.4%
4	Environment & energy	1602	30.2%
5	EU, Europe	1199	22.6%
6	Finances & taxes	25	0.5%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	27	0.5%

W2_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Immigration & asylum	589	11.1%
9	Foreign policy & defense	148	2.8%
10	Labour market	79	1.5%
11	Law & order	22	0.4%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	211	4.0%
13	Public health	259	4.9%
14	Public services & infrastructure	39	0.7%
15	Regions & national cohesion	36	0.7%
16	Social security/welfare state	814	15.3%
17	Other problems	40	0.8%
18	No problem	3	0.1%
-99	No answer	207	
98	Don't know	58	
Sysmiss		2362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4413 /-] [Invalid=3526 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	887	20.1%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	255	5.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	758	17.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	809	18.3%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	944	21.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	449	10.2%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	63	1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	9	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	8	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	38	0.9%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	26	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	25	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	22	0.5%
350	Other party	7	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	56	1.3%
404	Several parties	21	0.5%
405	All parties together, all	29	0.7%
-99	No answer	9	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	6	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	950	
Sysmiss		2561	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4413 /-] [Invalid=3526 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	809	<div></div>	18.3%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	887	<div></div>	20.1%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	255	<div></div>	5.8%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	449	<div></div>	10.2%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	758	<div></div>	17.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	944	<div></div>	21.4%
7	Others	311	<div></div>	7.0%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	965		
Sysmiss		2561		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5131 /-] [Invalid=2808 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	267	<div></div>	5.2%
2	Other old age provisions	350	<div></div>	6.8%
3	Unemployment	37	<div></div>	0.7%
4	Poverty	30	<div></div>	0.6%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	107	<div></div>	2.1%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	453	<div></div>	8.8%
7	Drugs, addictions	0		
8	EU, Europe	345	<div></div>	6.7%
9	Extremism	5	<div></div>	0.1%
10	Finances	24	<div></div>	0.5%
11	Refugees	96	<div></div>	1.9%
12	Xenophobia, racism	8	<div></div>	0.2%
13	Health system	262	<div></div>	5.1%
14	Globalisation	7	<div></div>	0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	3	<div></div>	0.1%
16	Integration of immigrants	37	<div></div>	0.7%
17	Climate	477	<div></div>	9.3%
18	Consociationalism	0		
19	Health insurance	211	<div></div>	4.1%
20	Crime	5	<div></div>	0.1%
21	Neutrality	1	<div></div>	0.0%
22	Politicians	33	<div></div>	0.6%
23	Political style	4	<div></div>	0.1%
24	Political system	44	<div></div>	0.9%
25	Direct democracy	2	<div></div>	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	16	<div></div>	0.3%
28	Security	19	<div></div>	0.4%
29	Social security	6	<div></div>	0.1%

W2_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Social inequalities	43	0.8%
31	Taxes	47	0.9%
32	National fiscal equalisation	1	0.0%
33	Environment	604	11.8%
34	Economic development	13	0.3%
35	Media	3	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	12	0.2%
37	Economic/financial crisis	1	0.0%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	20	0.4%
39	Nuclear energy	10	0.2%
40	Transportation, traffic	104	2.0%
41	Banks, banking crisis	4	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	11	0.2%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	13	0.3%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	43	0.8%
45	Economy, economic policy	151	2.9%
46	Education	63	1.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	179	3.5%
48	Family policy, upbringing	33	0.6%
49	Labour market, workplace security	72	1.4%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	70	1.4%
51	Fear, politics of fear	2	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	21	0.4%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	11	0.2%
54	Vandalism, violence	4	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	3	0.1%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.0%
59	Work for young people	2	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	44	0.9%
61	Energy, energy policy	60	1.2%
62	Cross-border commuters	16	0.3%
63	Swiss People's Party	11	0.2%
64	Equal rights	63	1.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	12	0.2%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	7	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	3	0.1%
68	Society in general	14	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	73	1.4%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	16	0.3%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	23	0.4%
73	Army	27	0.5%
74	Aging population, demographics	33	0.6%
75	Bureaucracy	11	0.2%

W2_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	12	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	13	0.3%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	1	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	3	0.1%
84	Independence, autonomy	8	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	15	0.3%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	4	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	1	0.0%
92	Low political interest and participation	3	0.1%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	4	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	14	0.3%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	4	0.1%
96	Other	24	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	6	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	93	1.8%
102	Capitalism	22	0.4%
103	5G	1	0.0%
104	Animal protection	3	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	24	0.5%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	19	0.4%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	11	0.2%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	9	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	5	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	4	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	8	0.2%
113	Populism	10	0.2%
114	Negative interest rates	3	0.1%
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	0	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	167	
98	Don't know	72	
Sysmiss		2569	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*/98/-99]
-------------	--

W2_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5131 /-] [Invalid=2808 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	23	0.4%
2	Economy	240	4.7%
3	Education & culture	63	1.2%
4	Environment & energy	1179	23.0%
5	EU, Europe	495	9.6%
6	Finances & taxes	73	1.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	66	1.3%
8	Immigration & asylum	721	14.1%
9	Foreign policy & defense	138	2.7%
10	Labour market	154	3.0%
11	Law & order	40	0.8%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	182	3.5%
13	Public health	473	9.2%
14	Public services & infrastructure	133	2.6%
15	Regions & national cohesion	45	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	1070	20.9%
17	Other problems	30	0.6%
18	No problem	6	0.1%
-99	No answer	167	
98	Don't know	72	
Sysmiss		2569	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4184 /-] [Invalid=3755 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	749	17.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	304	7.3%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1080	25.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	740	17.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	611	14.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	446	10.7%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	46	1.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	10	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	12	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	27	0.6%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	16	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	25	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	18	0.4%
350	Other party	5	0.1%
402	No party / rather persons	58	1.4%
404	Several parties	4	0.1%

# W2_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
405	All parties together, all	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	11	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	6	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	991	
Sysmiss		2747	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4184 /-] [Invalid=3755 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	740	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	749	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	304	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	446	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1080	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	611	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
7	Others	254	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	1008	
Sysmiss		2747	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400a: Attention to political news on TV			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5457 /-] [Invalid=2482 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	614	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
2	Rather attentive	1872	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
3	Rather not attentive	1635	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
4	Not at all attentive	1336	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
-99	No answer	120	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400b: Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5369 /-] [Invalid=2570 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	988	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
2	Rather attentive	1924	<div><div></div></div> 35.8%
3	Rather not attentive	1389	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
4	Not at all attentive	1068	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
-99	No answer	208	

# W2_f13400b: Attention to political news in newspapers (print or e-paper)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400c: Attention to political news in free newspapers (print or e-paper)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5090 /-] [Invalid=2849 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	225	4.4%
2	Rather attentive	949	18.6%
3	Rather not attentive	1455	28.6%
4	Not at all attentive	2461	48.3%
-99	No answer	487	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400d: Attention to political news on social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5026 /-] [Invalid=2913 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	137	2.7%
2	Rather attentive	520	10.3%
3	Rather not attentive	918	18.3%
4	Not at all attentive	3451	68.7%
-99	No answer	551	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400e: Attention to political news on online newssites (e.g., watson.ch, srf.ch, 20min.ch)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5092 /-] [Invalid=2847 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	439	8.6%
2	Rather attentive	1290	25.3%
3	Rather not attentive	1352	26.6%
4	Not at all attentive	2011	39.5%
-99	No answer	485	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13400f: Attention to political news in radio			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5240 /-] [Invalid=2699 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	489	9.3%
2	Rather attentive	1735	33.1%
3	Rather not attentive	1654	31.6%

# W2_f13400f: Attention to political news in radio			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not at all attentive	1362	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
-99	No answer	337	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13407rec: Newspaper (print or e-paper) that R read most often in past days			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-97] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5306 /-] [Invalid=2633 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten	729	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	20 Minutes	321	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	20 Minuti	87	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
4	24 heures	157	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
5	Aargauer Zeitung	155	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
6	Anzeiger von Uster	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
7	Appenzeller Zeitung	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	ArcInfo	74	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
9	Badener Tagblatt	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
11	Basler Zeitung	90	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
12	Berner Oberländer	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
13	Berner Zeitung	138	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
15	Blick	155	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
16	Bote der Urschweiz	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
17	Bündner Tagblatt	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
18	bz Basel	61	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
19	Corriere del Ticino	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
20	Der Bund	144	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
21	Der Landbote	46	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
22	Der Rheintaler	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
23	Die Soudschweiz	67	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
24	Freiburger Nachrichten	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
25	Grenchner Tagblatt	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
26	Höfner Volksblatt	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
27	Il Caffè della Domenica	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
28	Il Mattino della Domenica	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
29	La Cène	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
30	La Liberté	125	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
31	La Quotidiana	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
32	La Tribune de Genève	82	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
33	L'AGEFI	0	
34	Langenthaler Tagblatt	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
35	laRegione Ticino	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
36	Le Courrier	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

W2_f13407rec: Newspaper (print or e-paper) that R read most often in past days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37	Le Journal du Jura	15	0.3%
38	Le Matin Dimanche	34	0.6%
39	Le Nouvelliste	104	2.0%
40	Le Quotidien jurassien	42	0.8%
41	Le Temps	177	3.3%
42	Limmattaler Zeitung	11	0.2%
43	Luzerner Zeitung	160	3.0%
44	March-Anzeiger	3	0.1%
45	Neue Zürcher Zeitung (NZZ)	422	8.0%
46	Neues Bâlelacher Tagblatt	0	
47	Nidwaldner Zeitung	8	0.2%
48	NZZ am Sonntag	54	1.0%
49	Obwaldner Zeitung	9	0.2%
50	Oltner Tagblatt	22	0.4%
51	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	2	0.0%
52	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	30	0.6%
53	Schweiz am Wochenende	3	0.1%
54	Solothurner Zeitung	50	0.9%
55	SonntagsBlick	1	0.0%
56	SonntagsZeitung	29	0.5%
57	St. Galler Tagblatt	83	1.6%
58	Tages Anzeiger	460	8.7%
59	Thuner Tagblatt	30	0.6%
60	Thurgauer Zeitung	50	0.9%
61	Urner Zeitung	6	0.1%
62	Walliser Bote	29	0.5%
63	Weltwoche	18	0.3%
64	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	11	0.2%
65	Wiler Zeitung	10	0.2%
66	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	24	0.5%
67	Zofinger Tagblatt	16	0.3%
68	Zuger Zeitung	32	0.6%
69	Zürcher Oberländer	30	0.6%
70	Zürcher Unterländer	20	0.4%
71	Zürichsee-Zeitung	33	0.6%
82	Finanz und Wirtschaft	5	0.1%
86	Journal du Jura	5	0.1%
87	Beobachter	6	0.1%
88	Linth Zeitung	7	0.1%
89	Handelszeitung	4	0.1%
90	Toggenburger Tagblatt	1	0.0%
91	Willisauer Bote	2	0.0%
92	Migros Zeitung	5	0.1%
93	Coop Zeitung	1	0.0%
95	Other (local) paper	79	1.5%


























W2_f13407rec: Newspaper (print or e-paper) that R read most often in past days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Foreign newspaper	70	 1.3%
97	None	343	 6.5%
99	No answer/unidentified	49	
Sysmiss		2584	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13408rec: Website or online media that R read most often in past days

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-97] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2850 /-] [Invalid=5089 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20 Minuten	650	 22.8%
2	20 Minutes	154	 5.4%
3	20 Minuti	8	 0.3%
4	24 heures	23	 0.8%
5	Aargauer Zeitung	18	 0.6%
6	Anzeiger von Uster	0	
7	Appenzeller Zeitung	0	
8	ArcInfo	16	 0.6%
9	Badener Tagblatt	2	 0.1%
10	Basellandschaftliche Zeitung	4	 0.1%
11	Basler Zeitung	15	 0.5%
12	Berner Oberl��nder	0	
13	Berner Zeitung	10	 0.4%
14	Bieler Tagblatt	4	 0.1%
15	Blick	169	 5.9%
16	Bote der Urschweiz	2	 0.1%
17	B��ndner Tagblatt	0	
18	bz Basel	10	 0.4%
19	Corriere del Ticino	14	 0.5%
20	Der Bund	16	 0.6%
21	Der Landbote	1	 0.0%
22	Der Rheintaler	0	
23	Die S��dostschweiz	4	 0.1%
24	Freiburger Nachrichten	0	
25	Grenchner Tagblatt	0	
26	H��fner Volksblatt	0	
27	Il Caff�� della Domenica	1	 0.0%
28	Il Mattino della Domenica	0	
29	La C��te	2	 0.1%
30	La Libert��	5	 0.2%
31	La Quotidiana	0	
32	La Tribune de Gen��ve	26	 0.9%
33	L'AGEFI	1	 0.0%
34	Langenthaler Tagblatt	1	 0.0%
35	laRegione Ticino	9	 0.3%

W2_f13408rec: Website or online media that R read most often in past days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	Le Courrier	1	0.0%
37	Le Journal du Jura	0	
38	Le Matin Dimanche	2	0.1%
39	Le Nouvelliste	13	0.5%
40	Le Quotidien jurassien	1	0.0%
41	Le Temps	55	1.9%
42	Limmattaler Zeitung	0	
43	Luzerner Zeitung	16	0.6%
44	March-Anzeiger	2	0.1%
45	Neue Zürcher Zeitung (NZZ)	113	4.0%
46	Neues BÄlacher Tagblatt	0	
47	Nidwaldner Zeitung	0	
48	NZZ am Sonntag	5	0.2%
49	Obwaldner Zeitung	0	
50	Oltner Tagblatt	2	0.1%
51	Rheintalische Volkszeitung	0	
52	Schaffhauser Nachrichten	0	
53	Schweiz am Wochenende	0	
54	Solothurner Zeitung	3	0.1%
55	SonntagsBlick	1	0.0%
56	SonntagsZeitung	3	0.1%
57	St. Galler Tagblatt	9	0.3%
58	Tages Anzeiger	111	3.9%
59	Thuner Tagblatt	0	
60	Thurgauer Zeitung	0	
61	Urner Zeitung	1	0.0%
62	Walliser Bote	1	0.0%
63	Weltwoche	3	0.1%
64	Werdenberger & Obertoggenburger	0	
65	Wiler Zeitung	0	
66	WOZ Die Wochenzeitung	0	
67	Zofinger Tagblatt	1	0.0%
68	Zuger Zeitung	1	0.0%
69	ZÄlcher OberlÄnder	2	0.1%
70	ZÄlcher UnterlÄnder	1	0.0%
71	ZÄlrichsee-Zeitung	2	0.1%
72	Le Matin	38	1.3%
73	Zentralplus	3	0.1%
74	MSN	20	0.7%
75	SRF	226	7.9%
76	RTS	242	8.5%
77	Watson	240	8.4%
78	RSI	22	0.8%
79	swissinfo	11	0.4%
80	Bluewin	140	4.9%

# W2_f13408rec: Website or online media that R read most often in past days			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Ticinonews	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
82	Finanz und Wirtschaft	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
83	Die Republik	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
84	Infosperber	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
85	Journal21	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
86	Journal du Jura	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
87	Beobachter	0	
88	Linth Zeitung	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
89	Handelszeitung	0	
90	Toggenburger Tagblatt	0	
91	Willisauer Bote	0	
92	Migros Zeitung	0	
93	Coop Zeitung	0	
94	Ticinonline	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
95	Other newssite	125	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
96	Foreign newssite	67	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
97	None	116	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
99	No answer/unidentified	18	
Sysmiss		5071	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558a: Evaluation of media coverage: FDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3658 /-] [Invalid=4281 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2	Rather negative	441	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
3	Neutral	1979	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%
4	Rather positive	1100	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
5	Very positive	121	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
-99	No answer	162	
8	Don't know	1757	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558b: Evaluation of media coverage: CVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3543 /-] [Invalid=4396 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	Rather negative	696	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
3	Neutral	2087	<div><div></div></div> 58.9%
4	Rather positive	659	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
5	Very positive	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	206	
8	Don't know	1828	

# W2_f13558b: Evaluation of media coverage: CVP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558c: Evaluation of media coverage: SP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3715 /-] [Invalid=4224 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2	Rather negative	386	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
3	Neutral	1697	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
4	Rather positive	1322	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
5	Very positive	276	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
-99	No answer	218	
8	Don't know	1644	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558d: Evaluation of media coverage: SVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3898 /-] [Invalid=4041 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	479	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
2	Rather negative	2045	<div><div></div></div> 52.5%
3	Neutral	892	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
4	Rather positive	381	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
5	Very positive	101	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
-99	No answer	177	
8	Don't know	1502	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558e: Evaluation of media coverage: GPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3665 /-] [Invalid=4274 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	29	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2	Rather negative	225	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
3	Neutral	1343	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
4	Rather positive	1430	<div><div></div></div> 39.0%
5	Very positive	638	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
-99	No answer	224	
8	Don't know	1688	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558f: Evaluation of media coverage: GLP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		

# W2_f13558f: Evaluation of media coverage: GLP			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3389 /-] [Invalid=4550 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	Rather negative	195	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3	Neutral	1559	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
4	Rather positive	1228	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
5	Very positive	386	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
-99	No answer	255	
8	Don't know	1933	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13558g: Evaluation of media coverage: BDP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3032 /-] [Invalid=4907 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	63	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2	Rather negative	644	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
3	Neutral	1969	<div><div></div></div> 64.9%
4	Rather positive	314	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
5	Very positive	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	254	
8	Don't know	2291	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13430a: Did the media cover: Increased life expectancy for men and women in Switzerland			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=4705 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, often	1056	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
2	Yes, rarely	1724	<div><div></div></div> 53.3%
3	No	454	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
-99	No answer	33	
8	Don't know	1195	
Sysmiss		3477	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13430b: Did the media cover: immigration has massively increased since the agreement on the free movement of persons with EU			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=950 /-] [Invalid=6989 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, often	513	<div><div></div></div> 54.0%
2	Yes, rarely	297	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
3	No	140	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
-99	No answer	8	

W2_f13430b: Did the media cover: immigration has massively increased since the agreement on the free movement of persons with EU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Don't know	150	
Sysmiss		6831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13430c: Did the media cover: culinary and cultural diversity has increased since the agreement on the free movement of persons with the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=765 /-] [Invalid=7174 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, often	151	19.7%
2	Yes, rarely	287	37.5%
3	No	327	42.7%
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	358	
Sysmiss		6808	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13430d: Did the media cover: wages in Switzerland have been under pressure since the agreement on the free movement of persons with the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=870 /-] [Invalid=7069 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, often	372	42.8%
2	Yes, rarely	339	39.0%
3	No	159	18.3%
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't know	232	
Sysmiss		6830	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13430e: Did the media cover: Switzerland has become one of the world's most competitive countries since the agreement on the free movement of persons with the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=777 /-] [Invalid=7162 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, often	248	31.9%
2	Yes, rarely	359	46.2%
3	No	170	21.9%
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	329	
Sysmiss		6825	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13430f: Did the media cover: Switzerland signed a free trade agreement with Ecuador last year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1856 /-] [Invalid=6083 /-]

# W2_f13430f: Did the media cover: Switzerland signed a free trade agreement with Ecuador last year			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, often	186	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
2	Yes, rarely	893	<div><div></div></div> 48.1%
3	No	777	<div><div></div></div> 41.9%
-99	No answer	39	
8	Don't know	2567	
Sysmiss		3477	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13430group: Experimental group for f13430			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Control group	1115	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
2	Treatment group 1: cultural negative framing	1108	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
3	Treatment group 2: cultural positive framing	1131	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
4	Treatment group 3: economic negative framing	1109	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
5	Treatment group 4: economic positive framing	1114	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10700: Did R already receive voting material			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=690 /-] [Invalid=7249 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	417	<div><div></div></div> 60.4%
2	No	273	<div><div></div></div> 39.6%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		7245	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10701: Did R already vote by letter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=417 /-] [Invalid=7522 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	65	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
2	No	352	<div><div></div></div> 84.4%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7522	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10800: Intention to take part in 2019 national election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5501 /-] [Invalid=2438 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will certainly participate	3748	<div><div></div></div> 68.1%
2	Will probably participate	1108	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%

# W2_f10800: Intention to take part in 2019 national election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Will probably not participate	518	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
4	Will certainly not participate	127	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		2427	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10810a: Intention to take part in 2019 national election: R's partner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4775 /-] [Invalid=3164 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will certainly participate	2836	<div><div></div></div> 59.4%
2	Will probably participate	977	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
3	Will probably not participate	463	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4	Will certainly not participate	499	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
-99	No answer	261	
8	Don't know	541	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10810b: Intention to take part in 2019 national election: majority of R's family members			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5067 /-] [Invalid=2872 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will certainly participate	1849	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
2	Will probably participate	2245	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%
3	Will probably not participate	748	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
4	Will certainly not participate	225	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
-99	No answer	116	
8	Don't know	394	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10810c: Intention to take part in 2019 national election: most of R's friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4509 /-] [Invalid=3430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Will certainly participate	652	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	Will probably participate	2947	<div><div></div></div> 65.4%
3	Will probably not participate	807	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
4	Will certainly not participate	103	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	113	
8	Don't know	955	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10850rec: Vote intention 2019, likely voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W2_f10850rec: Vote intention 2019, likely voters			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4379 /-] [Invalid=3560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	814	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	335	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	922	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	814	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	663	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	483	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	83	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	80	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
350	Other party	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
404	Vote for several parties	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	19	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	974	
995	Other answer	1	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	1	
Sysmiss		2565	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10850main6: Vote intention 2019, likely voters, 6 main parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4379 /-] [Invalid=3560 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	814	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	814	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	335	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	483	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	922	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	663	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
7	Others	348	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	995	
Sysmiss		2565	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10860rec: Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=614 /-] [Invalid=7325 /-]	

W2_f10860rec: Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	128	20.8%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	65	10.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	101	16.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	92	15.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	81	13.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	90	14.7%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	21	3.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	7	1.1%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	3	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	4	0.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	4	0.7%
350	Other party	1	0.2%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	9	1.5%
404	Vote for several parties	4	0.7%
-99	No answer	4	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	355	
Sysmiss		6966	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10860main6: Vote intention 2019, undecided likely voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=614 -/] [Invalid=7325 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	92	15.0%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	128	20.8%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	65	10.6%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	90	14.7%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	101	16.4%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	81	13.2%
7	Others	57	9.3%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	359	
Sysmiss		6966	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10900rec: Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85 -/] [Invalid=7854 -/]		

W2_f10900rec: Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	20	23.5%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	3	3.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	14.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	20	23.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	7	8.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	6	7.1%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	2	2.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	2.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	1.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	3	3.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	1	1.2%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	2	2.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
350	Other party	1	1.2%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	5	5.9%
404	Vote for several parties	0	
-99	No answer	1	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		7812	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f10900main6: Vote intention 2019, likely non-voters, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85 /-] [Invalid=7854 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	20	23.5%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	20	23.5%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	3	3.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	6	7.1%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	14.1%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	7	8.2%
7	Others	17	20.0%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	42	
Sysmiss		7812	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f1085_90: Vote intention 2019, combined

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5078 /-] [Invalid=2861 /-]		

W2_f1085_90: Vote intention 2019, combined

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	962	18.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	403	7.9%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1035	20.4%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	926	18.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	751	14.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	579	11.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	106	2.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	18	0.4%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	15	0.3%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	17	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	90	1.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	41	0.8%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	22	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	29	0.6%
350	Other party	8	0.2%
402	Vote for persons, not parties	57	1.1%
404	Vote for several parties	19	0.4%
-99	No answer	24	
993	Vote blank	0	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	1	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	398	
Sysmiss		2438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f1085_90main6: Vote intention 2019, combined, 6 main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5078 /-] [Invalid=2861 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	926	18.2%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	962	18.9%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	403	7.9%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	579	11.4%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1035	20.4%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	751	14.8%
7	Others	422	8.3%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	423	
Sysmiss		2438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f10950: Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4354 /-] [Invalid=3585 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	1897	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
2	Rather certain	2210	<div><div></div></div> 50.8%
3	Rather uncertain	217	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
4	Very uncertain	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		3570	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party, likely non-voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=693 /-] [Invalid=7246 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	46	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
2	Rather certain	396	<div><div></div></div> 57.1%
3	Rather uncertain	215	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
4	Very uncertain	36	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		7240	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2019			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=7875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	14	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
350	Other party	0	
402	Voted for persons, not parties	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
404	Voted for several parties	0	
-99	No answer	1	
993	Voted blank	0	

# W2_f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2019			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		7874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f11800main6: Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=64 /-] [Invalid=7875 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	14	<div></div> 21.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	10	<div></div> 15.6%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	5	<div></div> 7.8%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	5	<div></div> 7.8%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	12	<div></div> 18.8%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	9	<div></div> 14.1%
7	Others	9	<div></div> 14.1%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	1	
Sysmiss		7874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12010: Modification of voting list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63 /-] [Invalid=7876 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	34	<div></div> 54.0%
2	Modified or blank list	29	<div></div> 46.0%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7875	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12021: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=7917 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	18	<div></div> 81.8%
2	No	4	<div></div> 18.2%
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		7910	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12022: Did R cross out candidates?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=7918 /-]		

# W2_f12022: Did R cross out candidates?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12	<div><div></div></div> 57.1%
2	No	9	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		7910	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12023: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27 /-] [Invalid=7912 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	23	<div><div></div></div> 85.2%
2	No	4	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
-99	No answer	2	
8	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		7910	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19 /-] [Invalid=7920 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	11	<div><div></div></div> 57.9%
1	Yes	8	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7920	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030b: Panachage - CVP/PDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=7917 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	18	<div><div></div></div> 81.8%
1	Yes	4	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7917	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=7925 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	10	<div><div></div></div> 71.4%
1	Yes	4	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7925	

# W2_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20 /-] [Invalid=7919 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	17	<div><div></div></div> 85.0%
1	Yes	3	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=7917 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	13	<div><div></div></div> 59.1%
1	Yes	9	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7917	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=7918 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	13	<div><div></div></div> 61.9%
1	Yes	8	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7918	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030g: Panachage - BDP/PBD			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=7916 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	19	<div><div></div></div> 82.6%
1	Yes	4	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=7939 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	0	
1	Yes	0	

# W2_f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7939	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030i: Panachage - MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=7937 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	0	
1	Yes	2	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7937	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=7916 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	22	<div><div></div></div> 95.7%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=7916 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	<div><div></div></div> 91.3%
1	Yes	2	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=7916 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	22	<div><div></div></div> 95.7%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=7916 /-]		

# W2_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	23	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
1	Yes	0	
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=7917 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	21	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7917	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing= */8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=7916 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	22	<div><div></div></div> 95.7%
1	Yes	1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12025: More men or women on the list after modification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing= */8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26 /-] [Invalid=7913 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	11	<div><div></div></div> 42.3%
2	About as many men as women on the list	10	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
3	More women on the list	5	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	3	
Sysmiss		7910	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-991] [Missing= */993/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=7880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nicole Barandun (CVP/PDC)	0	
2	Nik Gugger (EVP/PEV)	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	Daniel Jositsch (SP/PS)	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
4	Roger KÃ¶ppel (SVP/UDC)	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%

W2_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Jan Linhart (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	0	
6	Tiana Moser (GLP/PVL)	1	1.7%
7	Ruedi Noser (FDP/PLR)	0	
8	Marionna Schlatter-Schmid (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	1	1.7%
9	Jorgo Ananiadis (Piraten/Pirate)	0	
10	Kathrin Bertschy (GLP/PVL)	0	
11	Peter Eberhart (DU)	0	
12	Pascal Fouquet (Piraten/Pirate)	0	
13	Florian Gerber (PNOS/PNS)	0	
14	Joe Grin (DM)	0	
15	Philipp Jutzi (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	0	
16	Verena Lobsiger-Schmid (PUM)	0	
17	Christa Markwalder (FDP/PLR)	0	
18	Yannic Nuoffer (PNOS/PNS)	0	
19	Regula Rytz (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	1	1.7%
20	Werner Salzmann (SVP/UDC)	3	5.1%
21	Beatrice Simon (BDP/PBD)	1	1.7%
22	Hans St�ckli (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
23	Marianne Streiff (EVP/PEV)	1	1.7%
24	Monique Frey (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	0	
25	Andrea Gm�r (CVP/PDC)	0	
26	Mich�le Graber (GLP/PVL)	0	
27	Franz Gr�ter (SVP/UDC)	0	
28	Damian M�ller (FDP/PLR)	0	
29	David Roth (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
30	Florian Studer (KV)	0	
31	Josef Dittli (FDP/PLR)	0	
32	Heidi Zgraggen (CVP/PDC)	0	
33	Michael Fuchs (SP/PS)	0	
34	Alex Kuprecht (SVP/UDC)	0	
35	Kaspar Michel (FDP/PLR)	0	
36	Othmar Reichmuth (CVP/PDC)	1	1.7%
37	Pirmin Schwander (SVP/UDC)	0	
38	Honorata Z�ger (VMP)	0	
39	Erich Ettlin (CVP/PDC)	0	
40	Hans Wicki (FDP/PLR)	0	
41	Thomas Hefti (FDP/PLR)	0	
42	Werner H�sli (SVP/UDC)	0	
43	Mathias Zopfi (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	0	
44	Barbara Gysel (SP/PS)	0	
45	Peter Hegglin (CVP/PDC)	0	
46	Matthias Michel (FDP/PLR)	0	
47	Andrea Sidler Weiss (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	0	
48	Heinz T�nnler (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
49	Stefan Th�ni (PARAT)	0	

W2_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	Tabea Zimmermann Gibson (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
51	Gerhard Andrey (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	1.7%
52	Johanna Gapany (FDP/PLR)	0	
53	Flavio Guido (DDSN)	0	
54	Anthony Jaria (BDP/PBD)	0	
55	Christian Levrat (SP/PS)	0	
56	Pierre-Andr�� Page (SVP/UDC)	0	
57	Ruedi Raemy (DDSN)	0	
58	Claudio Rugo (KP/PA)	0	
59	Ralph Alexander Schmid (GLP/PVL)	0	
60	Beat Vonlanthen (CVP/PDC)	0	
61	Pirmin Bischof (CVP/PDC)	2	3.4%
62	Christian Imark (SVP/UDC)	0	
63	Stefan N��nlist (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
64	Felix Wettstein (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
65	Roberto Zanetti (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
66	Gianna Habl��tzel-B��rki (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Eva Herzog (SP/PS)	0	
68	Marc Meyer (Parteilos/Ind��pendant��e)	0	
69	Patricia von Falkenstein (LDP)	0	
70	Eric Weber (Parteilos/Ind��pendant��e)	0	
71	Elisabeth Augstburger (EVP/PEV)	0	
72	Maya Graf (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
73	Eric Nussbaumer (SP/PS)	0	
74	Daniela Schneeberger (FDP/PLR)	2	3.4%
75	Christian Amsler (FDP/PLR)	0	
76	Hannes Germann (SVP/UDC)	0	
77	Thomas Minder (Parteilos/Ind��pendant��e)	0	
78	Patrick Portmann (SP/PS)	0	
79	Andrea Caroni (FDP/PLR)	0	
80	Reto Sonderegger (Parteilos/Ind��pendant��e)	0	
81	Daniel F��ssler (CVP/PDC)	0	
82	Roland Rino B��chel (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
83	Marcel Dobler (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
84	Norbert Feldmann (BDP/PBD)	0	
85	Paul Rechsteiner (SP/PS)	0	
86	Franziska Ryser (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
87	Pietro Vernazza (GLP/PVL)	0	
88	Benedikt W��rth (CVP/PDC)	0	
89	G��raldine Danuser (JGLP/JVL)	0	
90	Stefan Engler (CVP/PDC)	0	
91	Val��rie Favre Accola (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
92	Jon Pult (SP/PS)	0	
93	Martin Schmid (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
94	Maya Bally (BDP/PBD)	1	1.7%

W2_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Marianne Binder-Keller (CVP/PDC)	0	
96	Thierry Burkart (FDP/PLR)	0	
97	Beat Flach (GLP/PVL)	0	
98	Roland Frauchiger (EVP/PEV)	0	
99	Hansjörg Knecht (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
100	Jean-Pierre Leutwyler (FW AG)	0	
101	Pius Lischer (NB)	0	
102	Ruth Mäuri (Grüne/Les Verts)	0	
103	Cédric Wermuth (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
104	Gaby Coray (Parteilos/Independant-e)	0	
105	Kurt Egger (Grüne/Les Verts)	1	1.7%
106	Ueli (Ulrich) Fisch (GLP/PVL)	0	
107	Brigitte Häberli-Koller (CVP/PDC)	0	
108	Nina Schlüsli (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
109	Jakob Stark (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
110	Marina Carobbio Guscetti (SP/PS)	0	
111	Marco Chiesa (SVP/UDC)	0	
112	Battista Ghiggia (Lega/Lega)	0	
113	Greta Gysin (Grüne/Les Verts)	0	
114	Filippo Lombardi (CVP/PDC)	0	
115	Germano Mattei (MV)	0	
116	Giovanni Merlini (FDP/PLR)	0	
117	Werner Nussbaumer (LV)	0	
118	Xenia Peran (LV)	0	
119	François Bachmann (EVP/PEV)	0	
120	Claude Bâglé (CVP/PDC)	0	
121	Bernard Borel (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
122	Michaël Buffat (SVP/UDC)	2	3.4%
123	Isabelle Chevalley (GLP/PVL)	0	
124	Olivier François (FDP/PLR)	3	5.1%
125	Yvan Luccarini (EÄ G)	0	
126	Ada Marra (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
127	Franziska Meinherz (EÄ G)	0	
128	Jacques Nicolet (SVP/UDC)	0	
129	Olivier Pahud (DDSN)	0	
130	François Pointet (GLP/PVL)	0	
131	Adèle Thorens Goumaz (Grüne/Les Verts)	5	8.5%
132	Annick Tiburzio (DDSN)	0	
133	Anaïs Timofte (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
134	Cyrille Fauchère (SVP/UDC)	0	
135	Michael Kreuzer (SVP/UDC)	1	1.7%
136	Jacqueline Lavanchy (GDF)	0	
137	Marianne Maret (CVP/PDC)	1	1.7%
138	Philippe Nantermod (FDP/PLR)	0	
139	Mathias Reynard (SP/PS)	1	1.7%

W2_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140	Beat Rieder (CVP/PDC)	1	1.7%
141	Brigitte Wolf (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
142	Zoë Bachmann (Sol)	0	
143	Philippe Bauer (FDP/PLR)	1	1.7%
144	Sarah Blum (PdA/PST-POP)	1	1.7%
145	Didier Boillat (FDP/PLR)	0	
146	Denis de la Reussille (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
147	Martine Docourt (SP/PS)	0	
148	Fabien Fivaz (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
149	Pierre Hainard (SVP/UDC)	0	
150	Magali Junod (SVP/UDC)	0	
151	Silvia Locatelli (SP/PS)	0	
152	Dimitri Paratte (Sol)	0	
153	Sarah Pearson Perret (GLP/PVL)	0	
154	Nathalie Schallenger (CVP/PDC)	0	
155	Laurent Suter (CVP/PDC)	0	
156	Mireille Tissot-Daguette (GLP/PVL)	0	
157	Cécile Vara (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
158	Cécile Amaudruz (SVP/UDC)	0	
159	Susanne Amsler (GLP/PVL)	0	
160	Paul Aymon (Prophete eco-santé)	0	
161	Florian Baier (EVP/PEV)	0	
162	François Bärtschi (MCG)	1	1.7%
163	Jean Burgermeister (EÄ G)	0	
164	Willy Cretegny (PM)	1	1.7%
165	Alexander Eniline (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
166	Hugues Hiltbold (FDP/PLR)	0	
167	Bäratrice Hirsch (CVP/PDC)	1	1.7%
168	André Leitner (BDP/PBD)	0	
169	Lisa Mazzone (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	2	3.4%
170	Stefania Prezioso Batou (EÄ G)	0	
171	Carlo Sommaruga (SP/PS)	1	1.7%
172	Thierry Vidonne (BDP/PBD)	0	
173	Marc Wuarin (GLP/PVL)	0	
174	Elisabeth Baume-Schneider (SP/PS)	0	
175	Françoise Chagnat (CVP/PDC)	0	
176	Jean-Marc Comment (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
177	Mathilde Crevoisier Crelier (SP/PS)	0	
178	Thierry Froidevaux (SVP/UDC)	0	
179	Pauline Godat (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
180	Charles Juillard (CVP/PDC)	0	
181	Thomas Stettler (SVP/UDC)	0	
991	Other candidate	2	3.4%
-99	No answer	1	
993	Voted blank	5	

# W2_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		7874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12301p: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (First choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=7880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	6	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	13	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	11	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
350	Other party	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
402	Person without party affiliation	0	
404	Voted for several parties	0	
-99	No answer	1	
993	Voted blank	5	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		7874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 6 main parties (First choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=7880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	13	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	6	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	11	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
7	Others	9	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	6	
Sysmiss		7874	

# W2_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 6 main parties (First choice)			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-993] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52 /-] [Invalid=7887 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nicole Barandun (CVP/PDC)	0	
2	Nik Gugger (EVP/PEV)	0	
3	Daniel Jositsch (SP/PS)	2	3.8%
4	Roger Käppel (SVP/UDC)	0	
5	Jan Linhart (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	0	
6	Tiana Moser (GLP/PVL)	1	1.9%
7	Ruedi Noser (FDP/PLR)	3	5.8%
8	Marionna Schlatter-Schmid (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	0	
9	Jorgo Ananiadis (Piraten/Pirate)	0	
10	Kathrin Bertschy (GLP/PVL)	0	
11	Peter Eberhart (DU)	0	
12	Pascal Fouquet (Piraten/Pirate)	0	
13	Florian Gerber (PNOS/PNS)	0	
14	Joe Grin (DM)	0	
15	Philipp Jutzi (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	0	
16	Verena Lobsiger-Schmid (PUM)	0	
17	Christa Markwalder (FDP/PLR)	2	3.8%
18	Yannic Nuoffer (PNOS/PNS)	0	
19	Regula Rytz (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	1	1.9%
20	Werner Salzmann (SVP/UDC)	1	1.9%
21	Beatrice Simon (BDP/PBD)	1	1.9%
22	Hans St�ckli (SP/PS)	1	1.9%
23	Marianne Streiff (EVP/PEV)	0	
24	Monique Frey (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	1	1.9%
25	Andrea Gm�r (CVP/PDC)	0	
26	Mich�le Graber (GLP/PVL)	0	
27	Franz Gr�ter (SVP/UDC)	0	
28	Damian M�ller (FDP/PLR)	0	
29	David Roth (SP/PS)	0	
30	Florian Studer (KV)	0	
31	Josef Dittli (FDP/PLR)	0	
32	Heidi Zraggen (CVP/PDC)	0	
33	Michael Fuchs (SP/PS)	0	
34	Alex Kuprecht (SVP/UDC)	0	
35	Kaspar Michel (FDP/PLR)	0	
36	Othmar Reichmuth (CVP/PDC)	0	
37	Pirmin Schwander (SVP/UDC)	0	
38	Honorata Z�ger (VMP)	1	1.9%
39	Erich Ettlin (CVP/PDC)	0	
40	Hans Wicki (FDP/PLR)	0	

W2_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
41	Thomas Hefti (FDP/PLR)	0	
42	Werner Häfeli (SVP/UDC)	0	
43	Mathias Zopfi (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
44	Barbara Gysel (SP/PS)	0	
45	Peter Hegglin (CVP/PDC)	1	1.9%
46	Matthias Michel (FDP/PLR)	0	
47	Andrea Sidler Weiss (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
48	Heinz TÃ¶nnler (SVP/UDC)	0	
49	Stefan ThÃ¶ni (PARAT)	0	
50	Tabea Zimmermann Gibson (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
51	Gerhard Andrey (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
52	Johanna Gapany (FDP/PLR)	0	
53	Flavio Guido (DDSN)	0	
54	Anthony Jaria (BDP/PBD)	0	
55	Christian Levrat (SP/PS)	1	1.9%
56	Pierre-AndrÃ© Page (SVP/UDC)	0	
57	Ruedi Raemy (DDSN)	0	
58	Claudio Rugo (KP/PA)	0	
59	Ralph Alexander Schmid (GLP/PVL)	0	
60	Beat Vonlanthen (CVP/PDC)	0	
61	Pirmin Bischof (CVP/PDC)	0	
62	Christian Imark (SVP/UDC)	1	1.9%
63	Stefan NÃ¼nlist (FDP/PLR)	1	1.9%
64	Felix Wettstein (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	1.9%
65	Roberto Zanetti (SP/PS)	0	
66	Gianna HablÃ©tzel-BÃ¼rki (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Eva Herzog (SP/PS)	0	
68	Marc Meyer (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
69	Patricia von Falkenstein (LDP)	0	
70	Eric Weber (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
71	Elisabeth Augstburger (EVP/PEV)	0	
72	Maya Graf (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
73	Eric Nussbaumer (SP/PS)	0	
74	Daniela Schneeberger (FDP/PLR)	0	
75	Christian Amsler (FDP/PLR)	0	
76	Hannes Germann (SVP/UDC)	0	
77	Thomas Minder (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
78	Patrick Portmann (SP/PS)	0	
79	Andrea Caroni (FDP/PLR)	0	
80	Reto Sonderegger (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
81	Daniel FÃ¶ssler (CVP/PDC)	0	
82	Roland Rino BÃ¼chel (SVP/UDC)	0	
83	Marcel Dobler (FDP/PLR)	1	1.9%
84	Norbert Feldmann (BDP/PBD)	0	
85	Paul Rechsteiner (SP/PS)	0	

W2_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
86	Franziska Ryser (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	1.9%
87	Pietro Vernazza (GLP/PVL)	0	
88	Benedikt WÃ¼rth (CVP/PDC)	0	
89	GÃ©raldine Danuser (JGLP/JVL)	0	
90	Stefan Engler (CVP/PDC)	1	1.9%
91	ValÃ©rie Favre Accola (SVP/UDC)	0	
92	Jon Pult (SP/PS)	1	1.9%
93	Martin Schmid (FDP/PLR)	0	
94	Maya Bally (BDP/PBD)	0	
95	Marianne Binder-Keller (CVP/PDC)	0	
96	Thierry Burkart (FDP/PLR)	1	1.9%
97	Beat Flach (GLP/PVL)	2	3.8%
98	Roland Frauchiger (EVP/PEV)	0	
99	HansjÃ¼rg Knecht (SVP/UDC)	0	
100	Jean-Pierre Leutwyler (FW AG)	0	
101	Pius Lischer (NB)	0	
102	Ruth MÃ¼ri (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
103	CÃ©dric Wermuth (SP/PS)	0	
104	Gaby Coray (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÃ©)	0	
105	Kurt Egger (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
106	Ueli (Ulrich) Fisch (GLP/PVL)	0	
107	Brigitte HÃ¤berli-Koller (CVP/PDC)	1	1.9%
108	Nina SchlÃ¶fli (SP/PS)	1	1.9%
109	Jakob Stark (SVP/UDC)	0	
110	Marina Carobbio Guscetti (SP/PS)	0	
111	Marco Chiesa (SVP/UDC)	0	
112	Battista Ghiggia (Lega/Lega)	0	
113	Greta Gysin (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
114	Filippo Lombardi (CVP/PDC)	0	
115	Germano Mattei (MV)	0	
116	Giovanni Merlini (FDP/PLR)	0	
117	Werner Nussbaumer (LV)	0	
118	Xenia Peran (LV)	0	
119	FranÃ§ois Bachmann (EVP/PEV)	0	
120	Claude BÃ©glÃ© (CVP/PDC)	0	
121	Bernard Borel (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
122	MichaÃ«l Buffat (SVP/UDC)	0	
123	Isabelle Chevalley (GLP/PVL)	0	
124	Olivier FranÃ§ais (FDP/PLR)	0	
125	Yvan Luccarini (EÃ G)	0	
126	Ada Marra (SP/PS)	4	7.7%
127	Franziska Meinherz (EÃ G)	0	
128	Jacques Nicolet (SVP/UDC)	0	
129	Olivier Pahud (DDSN)	0	
130	FranÃ§ois Pointet (GLP/PVL)	0	

W2_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
131	AdÃ©le Thorens Goumaz (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
132	Annick Tiburzio (DDSN)	0	
133	AnaÃ­s Timofte (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
134	Cyrille FauchÃ©re (SVP/UDC)	0	
135	Michael Kreuzer (SVP/UDC)	0	
136	Jacqueline Lavanchy (GDF)	0	
137	Marianne Maret (CVP/PDC)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
138	Philippe Nantermod (FDP/PLR)	0	
139	Mathias Reynard (SP/PS)	0	
140	Beat Rieder (CVP/PDC)	2	<div></div> 3.8%
141	Brigitte Wolf (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
142	ZoÃ© Bachmann (Sol)	0	
143	Philippe Bauer (FDP/PLR)	0	
144	Sarah Blum (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
145	Didier Boillat (FDP/PLR)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
146	Denis de la Reussille (PdA/PST-POP)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
147	Martine Docourt (SP/PS)	0	
148	Fabien Fivaz (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
149	Pierre Hainard (SVP/UDC)	0	
150	Magali Junod (SVP/UDC)	0	
151	Silvia Locatelli (SP/PS)	0	
152	Dimitri Paratte (Sol)	0	
153	Sarah Pearson Perret (GLP/PVL)	0	
154	Nathalie Schallenger (CVP/PDC)	0	
155	Laurent Suter (CVP/PDC)	0	
156	Mireille Tissot-Daguette (GLP/PVL)	0	
157	CÃ©line Vara (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
158	CÃ©line Amaudruz (SVP/UDC)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
159	Susanne Amsler (GLP/PVL)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
160	Paul Aymon (Prophete eco-santÃ©)	0	
161	Florian Baier (EVP/PEV)	0	
162	FranÃ§ois BÃ¶rtschi (MCG)	0	
163	Jean Burgermeister (EÃ G)	0	
164	Willy Cretegny (PM)	0	
165	Alexander Enline (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
166	Hugues Hiltbold (FDP/PLR)	0	
167	BÃ©atrice Hirsch (CVP/PDC)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
168	AndrÃ© Leitner (BDP/PBD)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
169	Lisa Mazzone (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
170	Stefania Prezioso Batou (EÃ G)	0	
171	Carlo Sommaruga (SP/PS)	1	<div></div> 1.9%
172	Thierry Vidonne (BDP/PBD)	0	
173	Marc Wuarin (GLP/PVL)	0	
174	Elisabeth Baume-Schneider (SP/PS)	0	
175	FranÃ§oise Chagnat (CVP/PDC)	0	

# W2_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
176	Jean-Marc Comment (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
177	Mathilde Crevoisier Crelier (SP/PS)	0	
178	Thierry Froidevaux (SVP/UDC)	0	
179	Pauline Godat (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	0	
180	Charles Juillard (CVP/PDC)	0	
181	Thomas Stettler (SVP/UDC)	0	
991	Other candidate	2	<div></div> 3.8%
993	Did not vote for a second person	6	<div></div> 11.5%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		7882	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12302p: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (Second choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-993] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52 /-] [Invalid=7887 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	9	<div></div> 17.3%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	7	<div></div> 13.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	11	<div></div> 21.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	3	<div></div> 5.8%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	6	<div></div> 11.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	4	<div></div> 7.7%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	2	<div></div> 3.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	0	
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	0	
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	1	<div></div> 1.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	0	
350	Other party	3	<div></div> 5.8%
402	Voted for persons, not parties	0	
404	Voted for several parties	0	
993	Did not vote for a second person	6	<div></div> 11.5%
-99	No answer	5	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		7882	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 6 main parties (Second choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52 /-] [Invalid=7887 /-]		

# W2_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 6 main parties (Second choice)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	9	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	4	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	11	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	6	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
7	Others	6	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
10		6	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	5	
Sysmiss		7882	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400a: Probability to vote for FDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5414 /-] [Invalid=2525 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	987	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
1		286	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2		404	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3		394	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4		302	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5		766	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
6		376	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
7		468	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
8		572	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
9		277	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	Very high probability	582	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer	163	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400b: Probability to vote for CVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5381 /-] [Invalid=2558 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1194	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
1		358	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2		492	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3		482	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
4		433	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
5		806	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
6		411	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
7		412	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8		387	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
9		172	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%

# W2_f14400b: Probability to vote for CVP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Very high probability	234	<div></div> 4.3%
-99	No answer	196	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400c: Probability to vote for SP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5399 /-] [Invalid=2540 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1139	<div></div> 21.1%
1		294	<div></div> 5.4%
2		354	<div></div> 6.6%
3		341	<div></div> 6.3%
4		327	<div></div> 6.1%
5		532	<div></div> 9.9%
6		343	<div></div> 6.4%
7		378	<div></div> 7.0%
8		497	<div></div> 9.2%
9		370	<div></div> 6.9%
10	Very high probability	824	<div></div> 15.3%
-99	No answer	178	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5407 /-] [Invalid=2532 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	2421	<div></div> 44.8%
1		365	<div></div> 6.8%
2		331	<div></div> 6.1%
3		221	<div></div> 4.1%
4		182	<div></div> 3.4%
5		379	<div></div> 7.0%
6		201	<div></div> 3.7%
7		207	<div></div> 3.8%
8		322	<div></div> 6.0%
9		225	<div></div> 4.2%
10	Very high probability	553	<div></div> 10.2%
-99	No answer	170	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400e: Probability to vote for GPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5405 /-] [Invalid=2534 /-]		

W2_f14400e: Probability to vote for GPS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1059	19.6%
1		292	5.4%
2		356	6.6%
3		350	6.5%
4		318	5.9%
5		633	11.7%
6		388	7.2%
7		447	8.3%
8		537	9.9%
9		357	6.6%
10	Very high probability	668	12.4%
-99	No answer	172	
Sysmiss		2362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14400f: Probability to vote for GLP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5370 /-] [Invalid=2569 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1055	19.6%
1		300	5.6%
2		381	7.1%
3		356	6.6%
4		412	7.7%
5		698	13.0%
6		477	8.9%
7		488	9.1%
8		549	10.2%
9		307	5.7%
10	Very high probability	347	6.5%
-99	No answer	207	
Sysmiss		2362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f14400g: Probability to vote for BDP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5352 /-] [Invalid=2587 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1735	32.4%
1		477	8.9%
2		555	10.4%
3		515	9.6%
4		419	7.8%
5		743	13.9%
6		301	5.6%

# W2_f14400g: Probability to vote for BDP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		257	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
8		203	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
9		75	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
10	Very high probability	72	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	225	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=217 /-] [Invalid=7722 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	90	<div><div></div></div> 41.5%
1		7	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2		14	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
3		9	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4		9	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
5		22	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
6		10	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
7		17	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
8		15	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
9		9	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
10	Very high probability	15	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		7705	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f14400i: Probability to vote for MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=222 /-] [Invalid=7717 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	119	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
1		14	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
2		16	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
3		16	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
4		5	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5		16	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
6		6	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
7		8	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
8		6	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
9		7	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
10	Very high probability	9	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		7700	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

W2_f15660: Trade-off: keep bilateral agreements with EU or withdraw from the agreement of free movement of persons with the EU

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5477 /-] [Invalid=2462 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep bilateral agreements	2697	49.2%
2	Rather keep bilateral agreements	1504	27.5%
3	Rather withdraw from the agreement of free movement of perso	799	14.6%
4	Withdraw from the agreement of free movement of persons	477	8.7%
-99	No answer	93	
Sysmiss		2369	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15340a: Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	472	21.8%
2	Rather in favor	861	39.8%
3	Neither in favor nor against	477	22.1%
4	Rather against	256	11.8%
5	Strongly against	97	4.5%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		5742	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2252 /-] [Invalid=5687 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	457	20.3%
2	Rather in favor	680	30.2%
3	Neither in favor nor against	437	19.4%
4	Rather against	486	21.6%
5	Strongly against	192	8.5%
-99	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		5669	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15340c: Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=5762 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	499	22.9%
2	Rather in favor	811	37.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	358	16.4%
4	Rather against	351	16.1%
5	Strongly against	158	7.3%

# W2_f15340c: Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5746	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2226 /-] [Invalid=5713 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1159	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
2	Rather in favor	725	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
3	Neither in favor nor against	226	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
4	Rather against	82	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
5	Strongly against	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		5699	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15340e: Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2210 /-] [Invalid=5729 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	618	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
2	Rather in favor	1008	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
3	Neither in favor nor against	435	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
4	Rather against	115	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5	Strongly against	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		5701	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15340ri1: Random issue1 for f15340			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	additional bilateral agreements with EU	2199	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
2	limiting immigration	1717	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
3	federal financial aid for childcare	1090	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
4	strengthening environmental protection	571	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
5	strenghtening economic competitiveness	0	
Sysmiss		2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15340ri2: Random issue2 for f15340			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5577 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]		

W2_f15340ri2: Random issue2 for f15340

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	additional bilateral agreements with EU	0	
2	limiting immigration	557	10.0%
3	federal financial aid for childcare	1106	19.8%
4	strengthening environmental protection	1673	30.0%
5	strengthening economic competitiveness	2241	40.2%
Sysmiss		2362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15330a: Party most competent in EU policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4118 /-] [Invalid=3821 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1596	38.8%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	292	7.1%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	935	22.7%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	803	19.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	131	3.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	210	5.1%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	80	1.9%
8	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	0.1%
20	Others	62	1.5%
-99	No answer	44	
98	Don't know	1404	
Sysmiss		2373	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f15330b: Party most competent in migration policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4399 /-] [Invalid=3540 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	671	15.3%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	495	11.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1411	32.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1299	29.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	141	3.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	182	4.1%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	101	2.3%
8	Lega - Ticino League	15	0.3%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	16	0.4%
20	Others	68	1.5%
-99	No answer	54	
98	Don't know	1112	
Sysmiss		2374	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15330c: Party most competent in social policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4760 /-] [Invalid=3179 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	513	<div></div>	10.8%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	644	<div></div>	13.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	2738	<div></div>	57.5%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	346	<div></div>	7.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	145	<div></div>	3.0%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	204	<div></div>	4.3%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	72	<div></div>	1.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	12	<div></div>	0.3%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	6	<div></div>	0.1%
20	Others	80	<div></div>	1.7%
-99	No answer	52		
98	Don't know	753		
Sysmiss		2374		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# W2_f15330d: Party most competent in environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4904 /-] [Invalid=3035 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	319	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	139	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	156	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	246	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	2697	<div><div></div></div> 55.0%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	1248	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	40	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Others	53	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	53	
98	Don't know	607	
Sysmiss		2375	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15330e: Party most competent in economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4587 /-] [Invalid=3352 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	2469	<div><div></div></div> 53.8%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	274	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	541	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	651	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	92	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	359	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	136	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Others	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	55	
98	Don't know	921	
Sysmiss		2376	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f10160: Attention to election campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5545 /-] [Invalid=2394 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very attentive	855	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
2	Rather attentive	2588	<div><div></div></div> 46.7%
3	Rather not attentive	1713	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
4	Not at all attentive	389	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
-99	No answer	17	












# W2_f10160: Attention to election campaign			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2377	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13310: Frequency of political talk with others last week (days per week)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5553 /-] [Invalid=2386 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Never	1787	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
1	1 day	1359	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	2 days	1104	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
3	3 days	693	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
4	4 days	308	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
5	5 days	182	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
6	6 days	51	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
7	7 days	69	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		2377	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13420a: Estimation if FDP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=* /8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4466 /-] [Invalid=3473 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	101	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2	Rather gain	1155	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
3	Stay the same	2338	<div><div></div></div> 52.4%
4	Rather lose	790	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
5	Surely lose	82	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	128	
8	Don't know	964	
Sysmiss		2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13420b: Estimation if CVP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=* /8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4441 /-] [Invalid=3498 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2	Rather gain	368	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
3	Stay the same	1738	<div><div></div></div> 39.1%
4	Rather lose	2029	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
5	Surely lose	296	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
-99	No answer	141	
8	Don't know	976	
Sysmiss		2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_f13420c: Estimation if SP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4535 /-] [Invalid=3404 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	111	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	Rather gain	1408	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
3	Stay the same	2035	<div><div></div></div> 44.9%
4	Rather lose	881	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
5	Surely lose	100	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	148	
8	Don't know	875	
Sysmiss		2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13420d: Estimation if SVP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4641 /-] [Invalid=3298 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	82	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2	Rather gain	579	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
3	Stay the same	932	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
4	Rather lose	2103	<div><div></div></div> 45.3%
5	Surely lose	945	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
-99	No answer	114	
8	Don't know	803	
Sysmiss		2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13420e: Estimation if GPS will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4605 /-] [Invalid=3334 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	1021	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
2	Rather gain	2661	<div><div></div></div> 57.8%
3	Stay the same	610	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
4	Rather lose	262	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
5	Surely lose	51	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	136	
8	Don't know	817	
Sysmiss		2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13420f: Estimation if GLP will gain or lose votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4479 /-] [Invalid=3460 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Surely gain	578	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%

# W2_f13420f: Estimation if GLP will gain or lose votes				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Rather gain	2555	<div><div></div></div>	57.0%
3	Stay the same	911	<div><div></div></div>	20.3%
4	Rather lose	361	<div><div></div></div>	8.1%
5	Surely lose	74	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
-99	No answer	144		
8	Don't know	935		
Sysmiss		2381		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f13420g: Estimation if BDP will gain or lose votes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4220 /-] [Invalid=3719 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Surely gain	13	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
2	Rather gain	242	<div><div></div></div>	5.7%
3	Stay the same	1241	<div><div></div></div>	29.4%
4	Rather lose	1861	<div><div></div></div>	44.1%
5	Surely lose	863	<div><div></div></div>	20.5%
-99	No answer	159		
8	Don't know	1179		
Sysmiss		2381		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f13420h: Estimation if Lega will gain or lose votes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=7767 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Surely gain	7	<div><div></div></div>	4.1%
2	Rather gain	28	<div><div></div></div>	16.3%
3	Stay the same	65	<div><div></div></div>	37.8%
4	Rather lose	48	<div><div></div></div>	27.9%
5	Surely lose	24	<div><div></div></div>	14.0%
-99	No answer	10		
8	Don't know	52		
Sysmiss		7705		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W2_f13420i: Estimation if MCG will gain or lose votes				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=157 /-] [Invalid=7782 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Surely gain	5	<div><div></div></div>	3.2%
2	Rather gain	15	<div><div></div></div>	9.6%
3	Stay the same	33	<div><div></div></div>	21.0%
4	Rather lose	57	<div><div></div></div>	36.3%
5	Surely lose	47	<div><div></div></div>	29.9%

# W2_f13420i: Estimation if MCG will gain or lose votes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	74	
Sysmiss		7700	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13441a: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2570 /-] [Invalid=5369 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1825	<div><div></div></div> 71.0%
1		121	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2		138	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
3		85	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
4		65	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
5		122	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
6		53	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
7		45	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
8		55	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
9		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Very much	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	187	
Sysmiss		5182	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13441b: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): hope			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2642 /-] [Invalid=5297 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	543	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
1		118	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2		232	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
3		247	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
4		208	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
5		439	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
6		211	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
7		222	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
8		184	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
9		72	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	Very much	166	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	115	
Sysmiss		5182	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13441c: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): anxiety			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2630 /-] [Invalid=5309 /-]		












W2_f13441c: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): anxiety

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	556	 21.1%
1		88	 3.3%
2		139	 5.3%
3		149	 5.7%
4		174	 6.6%
5		371	 14.1%
6		237	 9.0%
7		249	 9.5%
8		290	 11.0%
9		141	 5.4%
10	Very much	236	 9.0%
-99	No answer	127	
Sysmiss		5182	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13441d: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): pride








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2567 /-] [Invalid=5372 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1371	 53.4%
1		173	 6.7%
2		180	 7.0%
3		121	 4.7%
4		127	 4.9%
5		266	 10.4%
6		74	 2.9%
7		72	 2.8%
8		73	 2.8%
9		45	 1.8%
10	Very much	65	 2.5%
-99	No answer	190	
Sysmiss		5182	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13441e: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): disgust

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2554 /-] [Invalid=5385 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1955	 76.5%
1		124	 4.9%
2		122	 4.8%
3		85	 3.3%
4		55	 2.2%
5		90	 3.5%
6		24	 0.9%

# W2_f13441e: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): disgust			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		28	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
8		22	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
9		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Very much	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	203	
Sysmiss		5182	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13441f: Emotion when looking at election ad (Green Party, climate): shame			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2552 /-] [Invalid=5387 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1784	<div><div></div></div> 69.9%
1		121	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2		154	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3		117	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
4		78	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
5		122	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
6		47	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
7		41	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
8		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
9		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Very much	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	205	
Sysmiss		5182	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13442a: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2603 /-] [Invalid=5336 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1683	<div><div></div></div> 64.7%
1		137	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2		140	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
3		109	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
4		77	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
5		163	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
6		90	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
7		78	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
8		54	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
9		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Very much	59	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	190	
Sysmiss		5146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_f13442b: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): hope			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2658 /-] [Invalid=5281 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	700	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
1		164	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
2		262	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
3		267	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
4		188	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
5		349	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
6		204	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
7		195	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
8		141	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
9		67	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
10	Very much	121	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	135	
Sysmiss		5146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13442c: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): anxiety			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2664 /-] [Invalid=5275 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	586	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
1		112	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
2		169	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3		180	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
4		170	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
5		359	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
6		254	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
7		250	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
8		259	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
9		108	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
10	Very much	217	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
-99	No answer	129	
Sysmiss		5146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13442d: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): pride			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2590 /-] [Invalid=5349 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1544	<div><div></div></div> 59.6%
1		196	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
2		172	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
3		131	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4		104	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%

# W2_f13442d: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): pride			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		181	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
6		82	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
7		72	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
8		49	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
9		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
10	Very much	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	203	
Sysmiss		5146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13442e: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): disgust			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2583 /-] [Invalid=5356 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1716	<div><div></div></div> 66.4%
1		186	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2		136	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
3		96	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4		96	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
5		140	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
6		62	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
7		43	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
9		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Very much	57	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	210	
Sysmiss		5146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13442f: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): shame			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2586 /-] [Invalid=5353 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1634	<div><div></div></div> 63.2%
1		169	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2		161	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
3		104	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
4		91	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
5		170	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
6		59	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
7		56	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
8		43	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
9		33	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
10	Very much	66	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
-99	No answer	207	
Sysmiss		5146	

# W2_f13442f: Emotion when looking at election ad (Social-Democratic Party, climate): shame			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13443a: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2603 /-] [Invalid=5336 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	793	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
1		115	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		152	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3		122	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
4		88	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
5		207	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
6		138	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
7		157	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
8		208	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
9		89	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
10	Very much	534	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
-99	No answer	182	
Sysmiss		5154	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13443b: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): hope			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2559 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1713	<div><div></div></div> 66.9%
1		160	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
2		142	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
3		115	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4		92	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
5		135	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
6		45	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
7		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
8		41	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
9		23	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
10	Very much	55	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
-99	No answer	226	
Sysmiss		5154	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13443c: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): anxiety			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2616 /-] [Invalid=5323 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	615	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
1		92	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2		135	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%

W2_f13443c: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): anxiety

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		125	4.8%
4		117	4.5%
5		314	12.0%
6		168	6.4%
7		208	8.0%
8		260	9.9%
9		134	5.1%
10	Very much	448	17.1%
-99	No answer	169	
Sysmiss		5154	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13443d: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): pride

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2554 /-] [Invalid=5385 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1972	77.2%
1		129	5.1%
2		112	4.4%
3		74	2.9%
4		47	1.8%
5		79	3.1%
6		25	1.0%
7		22	0.9%
8		22	0.9%
9		17	0.7%
10	Very much	55	2.2%
-99	No answer	231	
Sysmiss		5154	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.












W2_f13443e: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): disgust

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2654 /-] [Invalid=5285 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	596	22.5%
1		113	4.3%
2		113	4.3%
3		92	3.5%
4		89	3.4%
5		193	7.3%
6		104	3.9%
7		139	5.2%
8		230	8.7%
9		169	6.4%
10	Very much	816	30.7%

# W2_f13443e: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): disgust			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	131	
Sysmiss		5154	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13443f: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, worms): shame			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2614 /-] [Invalid=5325 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	833	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
1		122	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2		123	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
3		120	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
4		90	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
5		204	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
6		105	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
7		130	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
8		198	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
9		123	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
10	Very much	566	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
-99	No answer	171	
Sysmiss		5154	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13444a: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): anger			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2601 /-] [Invalid=5338 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1478	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
1		122	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2		152	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3		132	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4		74	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5		175	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
6		74	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
7		105	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
8		88	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
9		45	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
10	Very much	156	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
-99	No answer	164	
Sysmiss		5174	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f13444b: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): hope			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2637 /-] [Invalid=5302 /-]		












W2_f13444b: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): hope

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1240	 47.0%
1		182	 6.9%
2		201	 7.6%
3		171	 6.5%
4		124	 4.7%
5		229	 8.7%
6		118	 4.5%
7		105	 4.0%
8		103	 3.9%
9		41	 1.6%
10	Very much	123	 4.7%
-99	No answer	128	
Sysmiss		5174	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13444c: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): anxiety








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2624 /-] [Invalid=5315 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	993	 37.8%
1		158	 6.0%
2		218	 8.3%
3		172	 6.6%
4		134	 5.1%
5		256	 9.8%
6		121	 4.6%
7		154	 5.9%
8		163	 6.2%
9		66	 2.5%
10	Very much	189	 7.2%
-99	No answer	141	
Sysmiss		5174	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13444d: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): pride

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2600 /-] [Invalid=5339 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1573	 60.5%
1		147	 5.7%
2		127	 4.9%
3		104	 4.0%
4		81	 3.1%
5		156	 6.0%
6		82	 3.2%

W2_f13444d: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): pride

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		94	3.6%
8		71	2.7%
9		50	1.9%
10	Very much	115	4.4%
-99	No answer	165	
Sysmiss		5174	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13444e: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): disgust

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2599 /-] [Invalid=5340 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1374	52.9%
1		169	6.5%
2		135	5.2%
3		109	4.2%
4		96	3.7%
5		157	6.0%
6		74	2.8%
7		100	3.8%
8		107	4.1%
9		72	2.8%
10	Very much	206	7.9%
-99	No answer	166	
Sysmiss		5174	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W2_f13444f: Emotion when looking at election ad (Swiss People's Party, liberty): shame

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2596 /-] [Invalid=5343 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1461	56.3%
1		141	5.4%
2		142	5.5%
3		100	3.9%
4		95	3.7%
5		155	6.0%
6		71	2.7%
7		88	3.4%
8		102	3.9%
9		64	2.5%
10	Very much	177	6.8%
-99	No answer	169	
Sysmiss		5174	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W2_f15900: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4940 /-] [Invalid=2999 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	2 parties	12	0.2%
2	3 parties	390	7.9%
3	4 parties	3320	67.2%
4	5 parties	772	15.6%
5	6 parties	446	9.0%
-99	No answer	26	
8	Don't know	571	
Sysmiss		2402	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f15900rec: Knowledge: Number of parties represented in Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5511 /-] [Invalid=2428 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	2191	39.8%
1	Correct answer	3320	60.2%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2402	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16310: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4606 /-] [Invalid=3333 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	3440	74.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	236	5.1%
3	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	550	11.9%
4	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	364	7.9%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	16	0.3%
-99	No answer	33	
8	Don't know	895	
Sysmiss		2405	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16310rec: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in National Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5501 /-] [Invalid=2438 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	2061	37.5%
1	Correct answer	3440	62.5%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2405	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W2_f16312: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5499 /-] [Invalid=2440 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	about 1 out of 10	724	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
2	about 2 out of 10	966	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
3	about 4 out of 10	1015	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
4	about 5 out of 10	750	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
5	about 7 out of 10	349	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
8	Don't know	1695	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2407	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16312rec: Knowledge: Number of accepted popular initiatives since 1893, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5499 /-] [Invalid=2440 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	4775	<div><div></div></div> 86.8%
1	Correct answer	724	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
-99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2407	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16313: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5255 /-] [Invalid=2684 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Swiss citizens/the people	180	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	National Council (200 MPs)	708	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
3	Council of States (46 MPs)	148	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
4	United Federal Assembly (National Council and Council of Sta	4198	<div><div></div></div> 79.9%
5	Party delegates	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	31	
8	Don't know	243	
Sysmiss		2410	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_f16313rec: Knowledge: Who elects the members of the Swiss Government (Federal Council), recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5498 /-] [Invalid=2441 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/Don't know	1300	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
1	Correct answer	4198	<div><div></div></div> 76.4%
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		2410	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_intstart: Interview start date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5125 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-21		1004	19.6%
2019-10-22		530	10.3%
2019-10-23		316	6.2%
2019-10-24		818	16.0%
2019-10-25		324	6.3%
2019-10-26		173	3.4%
2019-10-27		244	4.8%
2019-10-28		230	4.5%
2019-10-29		115	2.2%
2019-10-30		81	1.6%
2019-10-31		286	5.6%
2019-11-01		154	3.0%
2019-11-02		65	1.3%
2019-11-03		133	2.6%
2019-11-04		67	1.3%
2019-11-05		51	1.0%
2019-11-06		34	0.7%
2019-11-07		23	0.4%
2019-11-08		13	0.3%
2019-11-09		12	0.2%
2019-11-10		19	0.4%
2019-11-11		114	2.2%
2019-11-12		54	1.1%
2019-11-13		52	1.0%
2019-11-14		30	0.6%
2019-11-15		27	0.5%
2019-11-16		19	0.4%
2019-11-17		21	0.4%
2019-11-18		18	0.4%
2019-11-19		12	0.2%
2019-11-20		8	0.2%
2019-11-21		8	0.2%
2019-11-22		6	0.1%
2019-11-23		6	0.1%
2019-11-24		6	0.1%
2019-11-25		5	0.1%
2019-11-26		4	0.1%
2019-11-27		4	0.1%
2019-11-28		4	0.1%
2019-11-29		2	0.0%
2019-11-30		4	0.1%
2019-12-01		7	0.1%
2019-12-02		5	0.1%

# W3_intstart: Interview start date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-12-03		1	0.0%
2019-12-04		4	0.1%
2019-12-05		5	0.1%
2019-12-06		1	0.0%
2019-12-08		5	0.1%
2019-12-09		1	0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_intend: Interview end date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5125 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-21		964	<div></div> 18.8%
2019-10-22		531	<div></div> 10.4%
2019-10-23		310	<div></div> 6.0%
2019-10-24		769	<div></div> 15.0%
2019-10-25		333	<div></div> 6.5%
2019-10-26		171	<div></div> 3.3%
2019-10-27		241	<div></div> 4.7%
2019-10-28		230	<div></div> 4.5%
2019-10-29		118	<div></div> 2.3%
2019-10-30		83	<div></div> 1.6%
2019-10-31		286	<div></div> 5.6%
2019-11-01		165	<div></div> 3.2%
2019-11-02		68	<div></div> 1.3%
2019-11-03		137	<div></div> 2.7%
2019-11-04		71	<div></div> 1.4%
2019-11-05		52	<div></div> 1.0%
2019-11-06		35	<div></div> 0.7%
2019-11-07		22	<div></div> 0.4%
2019-11-08		14	<div></div> 0.3%
2019-11-09		13	<div></div> 0.3%
2019-11-10		20	<div></div> 0.4%
2019-11-11		132	<div></div> 2.6%
2019-11-12		63	<div></div> 1.2%
2019-11-13		56	<div></div> 1.1%
2019-11-14		35	<div></div> 0.7%
2019-11-15		30	<div></div> 0.6%
2019-11-16		21	<div></div> 0.4%
2019-11-17		23	<div></div> 0.4%
2019-11-18		22	<div></div> 0.4%
2019-11-19		12	<div></div> 0.2%
2019-11-20		9	<div></div> 0.2%
2019-11-21		9	<div></div> 0.2%
2019-11-22		6	<div></div> 0.1%

# W3_intend: Interview end date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-11-23		7	0.1%
2019-11-24		8	0.2%
2019-11-25		9	0.2%
2019-11-26		4	0.1%
2019-11-27		5	0.1%
2019-11-28		3	0.1%
2019-11-29		3	0.1%
2019-11-30		4	0.1%
2019-12-01		8	0.2%
2019-12-02		5	0.1%
2019-12-03		1	0.0%
2019-12-04		4	0.1%
2019-12-05		5	0.1%
2019-12-06		1	0.0%
2019-12-08		6	0.1%
2019-12-09		1	0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5.3-53360.1166666667] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5125 /-] [Invalid=2814 /-] [Mean=498.249 /-] [StdDev=3393.807 /-]		
# W3_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5125 /-] [Invalid=2814 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	31	0.6%
1	Completed questionnaire	5094	99.4%
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5125 /-] [Invalid=2814 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Undefined	6	0.1%
1	Yes	1169	22.8%
2	No	3950	77.1%
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_spr: Language of interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5125 /-] [Invalid=2814 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3672	<div><div></div></div> 71.6%
2	French	1239	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
3	Italian	214	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5037 /-] [Invalid=2902 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	257	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Rather agree	1412	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	964	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
4	Rather disagree	2076	<div><div></div></div> 41.2%
5	Strongly disagree	328	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	88	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_Q04c: Most politicians are trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5047 /-] [Invalid=2892 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	131	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	Rather agree	2153	<div><div></div></div> 42.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1409	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
4	Rather disagree	1214	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
5	Strongly disagree	140	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	78	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5036 /-] [Invalid=2903 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1058	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
2	Rather agree	1640	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	848	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
4	Rather disagree	1259	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
5	Strongly disagree	231	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	89	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_Q04g: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5032 /-] [Invalid=2907 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	351	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
2	Rather agree	1508	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1232	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
4	Rather disagree	1546	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
5	Strongly disagree	395	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer	93	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4770 /-] [Invalid=3169 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	253	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2	Other old age provisions	369	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
3	Unemployment	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	Poverty	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	79	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	344	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
7	Drugs, addictions	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8	EU, Europe	559	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
9	Extremism	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Finances	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	Refugees	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
12	Xenophobia, racism	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Health system	138	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
14	Globalisation	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
15	Youth unemployment	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17	Climate	836	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
18	Consociationalism	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
19	Health insurance	106	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
20	Crime	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
21	Neutrality	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
22	Politicians	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
23	Political style	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
24	Political system	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
25	Direct democracy	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
27	Party polarisation	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
28	Security	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
29	Social security	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
30	Social inequalities	31	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
31	Taxes	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	580	12.2%
34	Economic development	6	0.1%
35	Media	0	
36	Renewable energies	1	0.0%
37	Economic/financial crisis	4	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	10	0.2%
39	Nuclear energy	2	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	10	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	0	
42	Lobbying, money in politics	21	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	7	0.1%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	110	2.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	65	1.4%
46	Education	17	0.4%
47	Social policy, welfare state	126	2.6%
48	Family policy, upbringing	14	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	39	0.8%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	55	1.2%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	13	0.3%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	19	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	3	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	4	0.1%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	57	1.2%
61	Energy, energy policy	37	0.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	5	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	3	0.1%
64	Equal rights	17	0.4%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	15	0.3%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	4	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	1	0.0%
68	Society in general	18	0.4%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	47	1.0%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	8	0.2%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	6	0.1%
73	Army	4	0.1%
74	Aging population, demographics	44	0.9%
75	Bureaucracy	5	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	8	0.2%

# W3_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80	Shift to the right	3	0.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	1	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	7	0.1%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	16	0.3%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	3	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	17	0.4%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	3	0.1%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	7	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	24	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	6	0.1%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	228	4.8%
102	Capitalism	22	0.5%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	3	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	8	0.2%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	7	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	18	0.4%
108	Data protection	3	0.1%
109	Left, socialism	14	0.3%
110	Wars, peace policy	7	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	8	0.2%
112	Corruption, cronyism	6	0.1%
113	Populism	2	0.0%
114	Negative interest rates	4	0.1%
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	0	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	317	
98	Don't know	38	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4770 /-] [Invalid=3169 /-]		

W3_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	6	0.1%
2	Economy	140	2.9%
3	Education & culture	18	0.4%
4	Environment & energy	1466	30.7%
5	EU, Europe	904	19.0%
6	Finances & taxes	19	0.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	18	0.4%
8	Immigration & asylum	483	10.1%
9	Foreign policy & defense	79	1.7%
10	Labour market	80	1.7%
11	Law & order	22	0.5%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	170	3.6%
13	Public health	245	5.1%
14	Public services & infrastructure	24	0.5%
15	Regions & national cohesion	45	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	1014	21.3%
17	Other problems	31	0.6%
18	No problem	6	0.1%
-99	No answer	317	
98	Don't know	38	
Sysmiss		2814	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4140 /-] [Invalid=3799 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	718	<div></div> 17.3%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	300	<div></div> 7.2%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	757	<div></div> 18.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	719	<div></div> 17.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	899	<div></div> 21.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	493	<div></div> 11.9%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	44	<div></div> 1.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	12	<div></div> 0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	<div></div> 0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	<div></div> 0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	38	<div></div> 0.9%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	18	<div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	<div></div> 0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	20	<div></div> 0.5%
350	Other party	11	<div></div> 0.3%
402	No party / rather persons	40	<div></div> 1.0%
404	Several parties	16	<div></div> 0.4%
405	All parties together, all	23	<div></div> 0.6%

# W3_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	10	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	5	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	657	
Sysmiss		3127	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4140 /-] [Invalid=3799 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	719	<div></div> 17.4%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	718	<div></div> 17.3%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	300	<div></div> 7.2%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	493	<div></div> 11.9%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	757	<div></div> 18.3%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	899	<div></div> 21.7%
7	Others	254	<div></div> 6.1%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	672	
Sysmiss		3127	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4642 /-] [Invalid=3297 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	300	<div></div> 6.5%
2	Other old age provisions	359	<div></div> 7.7%
3	Unemployment	51	<div></div> 1.1%
4	Poverty	28	<div></div> 0.6%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	77	<div></div> 1.7%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	394	<div></div> 8.5%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	348	<div></div> 7.5%
9	Extremism	2	<div></div> 0.0%
10	Finances	21	<div></div> 0.5%
11	Refugees	70	<div></div> 1.5%
12	Xenophobia, racism	4	<div></div> 0.1%
13	Health system	255	<div></div> 5.5%
14	Globalisation	6	<div></div> 0.1%
15	Youth unemployment	1	<div></div> 0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	27	<div></div> 0.6%
17	Climate	443	<div></div> 9.5%
18	Consociationalism	2	<div></div> 0.0%
19	Health insurance	183	<div></div> 3.9%

W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Crime	6	0.1%
21	Neutrality	2	0.0%
22	Politicians	19	0.4%
23	Political style	3	0.1%
24	Political system	29	0.6%
25	Direct democracy	1	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	10	0.2%
28	Security	10	0.2%
29	Social security	12	0.3%
30	Social inequalities	42	0.9%
31	Taxes	34	0.7%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	575	12.4%
34	Economic development	9	0.2%
35	Media	2	0.0%
36	Renewable energies	5	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	3	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	5	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	6	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	67	1.4%
41	Banks, banking crisis	3	0.1%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	13	0.3%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	19	0.4%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	62	1.3%
45	Economy, economic policy	108	2.3%
46	Education	44	0.9%
47	Social policy, welfare state	143	3.1%
48	Family policy, upbringing	33	0.7%
49	Labour market, workplace security	60	1.3%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	66	1.4%
51	Fear, politics of fear	2	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	17	0.4%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	16	0.3%
54	Vandalism, violence	3	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.0%
59	Work for young people	1	0.0%
60	Overpopulation	38	0.8%
61	Energy, energy policy	49	1.1%
62	Cross-border commuters	5	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	3	0.1%
64	Equal rights	52	1.1%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	3	0.1%

W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	3	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	5	0.1%
68	Society in general	12	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	50	1.1%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	24	0.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	13	0.3%
73	Army	12	0.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	31	0.7%
75	Bureaucracy	5	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	5	0.1%
80	Shift to the right	11	0.2%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	1	0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	10	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	19	0.4%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	7	0.2%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	9	0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	2	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	12	0.3%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	2	0.0%
96	Other	21	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	2	0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	119	2.6%
102	Capitalism	20	0.4%
103	5G	2	0.0%
104	Animal protection	7	0.2%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	12	0.3%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	13	0.3%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	14	0.3%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	9	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	3	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	3	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	9	0.2%
113	Populism	1	0.0%
114	Negative interest rates	11	0.2%
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	5	0.1%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	0	
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	

# W3_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	122	
98	Don't know	44	
Sysmiss		3131	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-18] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4642 /-] [Invalid=3297 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	13	0.3%
2	Economy	186	4.0%
3	Education & culture	45	1.0%
4	Environment & energy	1104	23.8%
5	EU, Europe	541	11.7%
6	Finances & taxes	56	1.2%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	57	1.2%
8	Immigration & asylum	580	12.5%
9	Foreign policy & defense	89	1.9%
10	Labour market	143	3.1%
11	Law & order	24	0.5%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	142	3.1%
13	Public health	438	9.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	103	2.2%
15	Regions & national cohesion	23	0.5%
16	Social security/welfare state	1070	23.1%
17	Other problems	26	0.6%
18	No problem	2	0.0%
-99	No answer	122	
98	Don't know	44	
Sysmiss		3131	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3963 /-] [Invalid=3976 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	640	16.1%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	299	7.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	992	25.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	660	16.7%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	653	16.5%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	459	11.6%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	59	1.5%

# W3_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	11	0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	29	0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	21	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	29	0.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	19	0.5%
350	Other party	9	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	34	0.9%
404	Several parties	17	0.4%
405	All parties together, all	24	0.6%
-99	No answer	10	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	5	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	682	
Sysmiss		3279	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second most important problem, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3963 /-] [Invalid=3976 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	660	16.7%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	640	16.1%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	299	7.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	459	11.6%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	992	25.0%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	653	16.5%
7	Others	260	6.6%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	697	
Sysmiss		3279	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14000: Party closeness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5100 /-] [Invalid=2839 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2279	44.7%
2	No	2821	55.3%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14050: Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W3_f14050: Does R nevertheless feel somewhat close to a party			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2824 /-] [Invalid=5115 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2007	<div><div></div></div> 71.1%
2	No	817	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		5093	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14010rec: Party R feels close to			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-405] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4275 /-] [Invalid=3664 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	722	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	368	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	955	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	831	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	608	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	466	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	73	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	92	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	39	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
350	Other party	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
402	No party / rather persons	0	
404	Several parties	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
405	All parties together, all	0	
-99	No answer	10	
993	Vote blank	0	
995	Other answer	1	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		3653	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4275 /-] [Invalid=3664 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	831	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	722	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	368	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	466	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%

# W3_f14010main6: Party R feels close to, 6 main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	955	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	608	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
7	Others	325	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	11	
Sysmiss		3653	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f14070: How close does R feel to party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4272 /-] [Invalid=3667 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	365	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
2	Rather close	2199	<div><div></div></div> 51.5%
3	Not very close	1708	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		3653	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11100: Participation in the 2019 election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5054 /-] [Invalid=2885 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Did not vote	467	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
2	Thought about voting but did not vote	264	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
3	Usually votes, but not this time	321	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
4	Voted	4002	<div><div></div></div> 79.2%
-99	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		2858	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11150: Did R think about not voting in the 2019 election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3999 /-] [Invalid=3940 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	317	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2	No	3682	<div><div></div></div> 92.1%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		3937	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11400rec: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=767 /-] [Invalid=7172 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	142	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	64	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%

# W3_f11400rec: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	101	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	185	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	107	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	93	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
350	Other party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
402	Persons, not parties	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
404	Several parties	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	13	
993	Blank	1	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	271	
Sysmiss		6887	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11400main6: Hypothetical vote choice, non-voters, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=767 /-] [Invalid=7172 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	185	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	142	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	64	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	93	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	101	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	107	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
7	Others	75	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	285	
Sysmiss		6887	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11600: How did R vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4000 /-] [Invalid=3939 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At the ballot box in the polling station	275	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
2	In the municipal letterbox	2138	<div><div></div></div> 53.4%
3	Voted by letter (postal voting)	1587	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%

# W3_f11600: How did R vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		3937	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11605: When did R submit ballot			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3722 /-] [Invalid=4217 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediately after receiving voting material	494	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
2	A few weeks before the election	1185	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
3	A few days before the election	2015	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%
4	Can't remember	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		4214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11800rec: Vote choice elections 2019			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=3984 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	644	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	359	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	762	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	667	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	722	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	436	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	73	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
80	Lega - Ticino League	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	71	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
350	Other party	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
402	Voted for persons, not parties	51	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
404	Voted for several parties	45	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	33	
993	Voted blank	11	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	1	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	2	
Sysmiss		3937	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f11800main6: Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=3984 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	667	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	644	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	359	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	436	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	762	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	722	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
7	Others	365	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	47	
Sysmiss		3937	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f11900: When did R decide whom to vote for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3866 /-] [Invalid=4073 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Knew it all the time	1349	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
2	A few weeks before the election	1375	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
3	A few days before the election	833	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
4	In the last moment	237	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
5	Can't remember	72	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		4065	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12010: Modification of voting list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3896 /-] [Invalid=4043 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	1654	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%
2	Modified or blank list	2242	<div><div></div></div> 57.5%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		4034	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12021: Did R give two votes to the same candidate (cumulation)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1909 /-] [Invalid=6030 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1424	<div><div></div></div> 74.6%
2	No	485	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
-99	No answer	317	
8	Don't know	16	
Sysmiss		5697	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f12022: Did R cross out candidates?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1694 /-] [Invalid=6245 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1078	<div><div></div></div> 63.6%
2	No	616	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
-99	No answer	525	
8	Don't know	23	
Sysmiss		5697	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12023: Did R give votes to candidates from different parties (panachage)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1977 /-] [Invalid=5962 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1638	<div><div></div></div> 82.9%
2	No	339	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
-99	No answer	242	
8	Don't know	23	
Sysmiss		5697	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030a: Panachage - FDP/PLR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=6554 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	945	<div><div></div></div> 68.2%
1	Yes	440	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		6541	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030b: Panachage - CVP/PDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1463 /-] [Invalid=6476 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1065	<div><div></div></div> 72.8%
1	Yes	398	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		6467	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1294 /-] [Invalid=6645 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	721	<div><div></div></div> 55.7%
1	Yes	573	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%

# W3_f12030c: Panachage - SP/PS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		6633	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030d: Panachage - SVP/UDC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1453 /-] [Invalid=6486 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1177	<div><div></div></div> 81.0%
1	Yes	276	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		6476	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030e: Panachage - GPS/PES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1336 /-] [Invalid=6603 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	814	<div><div></div></div> 60.9%
1	Yes	522	<div><div></div></div> 39.1%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		6590	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030f: Panachage - GLP/PVL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1440 /-] [Invalid=6499 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	999	<div><div></div></div> 69.4%
1	Yes	441	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		6486	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030g: Panachage - BDP/PBD			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1238 /-] [Invalid=6701 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1096	<div><div></div></div> 88.5%
1	Yes	142	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		6691	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=46 /-] [Invalid=7893 /-]		

# W3_f12030h: Panachage - Lega			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	42	<div><div></div></div> 91.3%
1	Yes	4	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7891	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030i: Panachage - MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34 /-] [Invalid=7905 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	32	<div><div></div></div> 94.1%
1	Yes	2	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7904	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030j: Panachage - CSP/PCS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=173 /-] [Invalid=7766 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	152	<div><div></div></div> 87.9%
1	Yes	21	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7765	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030k: Panachage - EVP/PEV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1402 /-] [Invalid=6537 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1282	<div><div></div></div> 91.4%
1	Yes	120	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		6530	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030l: Panachage - EDU/UDF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1173 /-] [Invalid=6766 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1150	<div><div></div></div> 98.0%
1	Yes	23	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		6758	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f12030m: Panachage - PdA/PdT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=807 /-] [Invalid=7132 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	774	<div><div></div></div> 95.9%
1	Yes	33	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7125	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030n: Panachage - AL - Sol - EÃ G - MPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=516 /-] [Invalid=7423 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	459	<div><div></div></div> 89.0%
1	Yes	57	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7420	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12030o: Panachage - Other party/candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1625 /-] [Invalid=6314 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1562	<div><div></div></div> 96.1%
1	Yes	63	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		6301	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12025: More men or women on the list after modification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1837 /-] [Invalid=6102 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More men on the list	536	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
2	About as many men as woment on the list	793	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
3	More women on the list	508	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
-99	No answer	13	
8	Don't know	392	
Sysmiss		5697	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340a: Did R think about voting for FDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=4289 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2436	<div><div></div></div> 66.7%
1	Yes	1214	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%

# W3_f12340a: Did R think about voting for FDP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	438	
Sysmiss		3851	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340b: Did R think about voting for CVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3889 /-] [Invalid=4050 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2869	<div></div> 73.8%
1	Yes	1020	<div></div> 26.2%
-99	No answer	520	
Sysmiss		3530	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340c: Did R think about voting for SP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3382 /-] [Invalid=4557 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2220	<div></div> 65.6%
1	Yes	1162	<div></div> 34.4%
-99	No answer	438	
Sysmiss		4119	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f12340d: Did R think about voting for SVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3714 /-] [Invalid=4225 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2958	<div></div> 79.6%
1	Yes	756	<div></div> 20.4%
-99	No answer	520	
Sysmiss		3705	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340e: Did R think about voting for GPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3470 /-] [Invalid=4469 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2154	<div></div> 62.1%
1	Yes	1316	<div></div> 37.9%
-99	No answer	443	
Sysmiss		4026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340f: Did R think about voting for GLP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3781 /-] [Invalid=4158 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2282	<div></div> 60.4%
1	Yes	1499	<div></div> 39.6%
-99	No answer	492	
Sysmiss		3666	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340g: Did R think about voting for BDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4234 /-] [Invalid=3705 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3575	<div></div> 84.4%
1	Yes	659	<div></div> 15.6%
-99	No answer	689	
Sysmiss		3016	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340h: Did R think about voting for Lega			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=167 /-] [Invalid=7772 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	136	<div></div> 81.4%
1	Yes	31	<div></div> 18.6%
-99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		7747	

# W3_f12340h: Did R think about voting for Lega			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12340i: Did R think about voting for MCG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=175 /-] [Invalid=7764 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	149	<div><div></div></div> 85.1%
1	Yes	26	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
-99	No answer	35	
Sysmiss		7729	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-991] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3805 /-] [Invalid=4134 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nicole Barandun (CVP/PDC)	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	Nik Gugger (EVP/PEV)	24	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
3	Daniel Jositsch (SP/PS)	354	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
4	Roger K��ppel (SVP/UDC)	99	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	Jan Linhart (Parteilos/Ind��pendant��e)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
6	Tiana Moser (GLP/PVL)	61	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
7	Ruedi Noser (FDP/PLR)	111	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
8	Marionna Schlatter-Schmid (Gr��ne/Les Verts)	77	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
9	Jorgo Ananiadis (Piraten/Pirate)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Kathrin Bertschy (GLP/PVL)	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
11	Peter Eberhart (DU)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
12	Pascal Fouquet (Piraten/Pirate)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Florian Gerber (PNOS/PNS)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
14	Joe Grin (DM)	0	
15	Philipp Jutzi (Parteilos/Ind��pendant��e)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
16	Verena Lobsiger-Schmid (PUM)	0	
17	Christa Markwalder (FDP/PLR)	60	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
18	Yannic Nuoffer (PNOS/PNS)	0	
19	Regula Rytz (Gr��ne/Les Verts)	138	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
20	Werner Salzmann (SVP/UDC)	93	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
21	Beatrice Simon (BDP/PBD)	62	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
22	Hans St��ckli (SP/PS)	145	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
23	Marianne Streiff (EVP/PEV)	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
24	Monique Frey (Gr��ne/Les Verts)	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
25	Andrea Gm��r (CVP/PDC)	52	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
26	Mich��le Graber (GLP/PVL)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
27	Franz Gr��ter (SVP/UDC)	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
28	Damian M��ller (FDP/PLR)	58	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
29	David Roth (SP/PS)	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
30	Florian Studer (KV)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
31	Josef Dittli (FDP/PLR)	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

W3_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
32	Heidi Zgraggen (CVP/PDC)	7	0.2%
33	Michael Fuchs (SP/PS)	9	0.2%
34	Alex Kuprecht (SVP/UDC)	14	0.4%
35	Kaspar Michel (FDP/PLR)	10	0.3%
36	Othmar Reichmuth (CVP/PDC)	20	0.5%
37	Pirmin Schwander (SVP/UDC)	8	0.2%
38	Honorata ZÃ¼ger (VMP)	2	0.1%
39	Erich Ettlin (CVP/PDC)	0	
40	Hans Wicki (FDP/PLR)	0	
41	Thomas Hefti (FDP/PLR)	3	0.1%
42	Werner HÃ¶sli (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
43	Mathias Zopfi (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	3	0.1%
44	Barbara Gysel (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
45	Peter Hegglin (CVP/PDC)	27	0.7%
46	Matthias Michel (FDP/PLR)	10	0.3%
47	Andrea Sidler Weiss (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
48	Heinz TÃ¶nnler (SVP/UDC)	9	0.2%
49	Stefan ThÃ¶ni (PARAT)	0	
50	Tabea Zimmermann Gibson (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	11	0.3%
51	Gerhard Andrey (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	13	0.3%
52	Johanna Gapany (FDP/PLR)	26	0.7%
53	Flavio Guido (DDSN)	0	
54	Anthony Jaria (BDP/PBD)	4	0.1%
55	Christian Levrat (SP/PS)	67	1.8%
56	Pierre-AndrÃ© Page (SVP/UDC)	25	0.7%
57	Ruedi Raemy (DDSN)	0	
58	Claudio Rugo (KP/PA)	0	
59	Ralph Alexander Schmid (GLP/PVL)	6	0.2%
60	Beat Vonlanthen (CVP/PDC)	26	0.7%
61	Pirmin Bischof (CVP/PDC)	48	1.3%
62	Christian Imark (SVP/UDC)	16	0.4%
63	Stefan NÃ¼nlist (FDP/PLR)	10	0.3%
64	Felix Wettstein (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	9	0.2%
65	Roberto Zanetti (SP/PS)	35	0.9%
66	Gianna HablÃ¼tzel-BÃ¼rki (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
67	Eva Herzog (SP/PS)	55	1.4%
68	Marc Meyer (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	1	0.0%
69	Patricia von Falkenstein (LDP)	13	0.3%
70	Eric Weber (Parteilos/IndÃ©pendantÂ·e)	0	
71	Elisabeth Augstburger (EVP/PEV)	5	0.1%
72	Maya Graf (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	43	1.1%
73	Eric Nussbaumer (SP/PS)	43	1.1%
74	Daniela Schneeberger (FDP/PLR)	37	1.0%
75	Christian Amsler (FDP/PLR)	4	0.1%
76	Hannes Germann (SVP/UDC)	18	0.5%

W3_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
77	Thomas Minder (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	9	0.2%
78	Patrick Portmann (SP/PS)	13	0.3%
79	Andrea Caroni (FDP/PLR)	15	0.4%
80	Reto Sonderegger (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	4	0.1%
81	Daniel F�ssler (CVP/PDC)	0	
82	Roland Rino B�chel (SVP/UDC)	35	0.9%
83	Marcel Dobler (FDP/PLR)	16	0.4%
84	Norbert Feldmann (BDP/PBD)	1	0.0%
85	Paul Rechsteiner (SP/PS)	60	1.6%
86	Franziska Ryser (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	15	0.4%
87	Pietro Vernazza (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
88	Benedikt W�rth (CVP/PDC)	56	1.5%
89	G�raldine Danuser (JGLP/JVL)	5	0.1%
90	Stefan Engler (CVP/PDC)	29	0.8%
91	Val�rie Favre Accola (SVP/UDC)	16	0.4%
92	Jon Pult (SP/PS)	21	0.6%
93	Martin Schmid (FDP/PLR)	16	0.4%
94	Maya Bally (BDP/PBD)	11	0.3%
95	Marianne Binder-Keller (CVP/PDC)	26	0.7%
96	Thierry Burkart (FDP/PLR)	82	2.2%
97	Beat Flach (GLP/PVL)	19	0.5%
98	Roland Frauchiger (EVP/PEV)	6	0.2%
99	Hansj�rg Knecht (SVP/UDC)	58	1.5%
100	Jean-Pierre Leutwyler (FW AG)	2	0.1%
101	Pius Lischer (NB)	0	
102	Ruth M�ri (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	30	0.8%
103	C�dric Wermuth (SP/PS)	66	1.7%
104	Gaby Coray (Parteilos/Ind�pendant�e)	1	0.0%
105	Kurt Egger (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	8	0.2%
106	Ueli (Ulrich) Fisch (GLP/PVL)	16	0.4%
107	Brigitte H�berli-Koller (CVP/PDC)	34	0.9%
108	Nina Schl�fli (SP/PS)	16	0.4%
109	Jakob Stark (SVP/UDC)	18	0.5%
110	Marina Carobbio Guscetti (SP/PS)	44	1.2%
111	Marco Chiesa (SVP/UDC)	19	0.5%
112	Battista Ghiggia (Lega/Lega)	7	0.2%
113	Greta Gysin (Gr�ne/Les Verts)	21	0.6%
114	Filippo Lombardi (CVP/PDC)	25	0.7%
115	Germano Mattei (MV)	1	0.0%
116	Giovanni Merlini (FDP/PLR)	29	0.8%
117	Werner Nussbaumer (LV)	0	
118	Xenia Peran (LV)	0	
119	Fran�ois Bachmann (EVP/PEV)	4	0.1%
120	Claude B�gl� (CVP/PDC)	7	0.2%
121	Bernard Borel (PdA/PST-POP)	3	0.1%

W3_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
122	Michaël Buffat (SVP/UDC)	22	0.6%
123	Isabelle Chevalley (GLP/PVL)	25	0.7%
124	Olivier François (FDP/PLR)	85	2.2%
125	Yvan Luccarini (EÄ G)	1	0.0%
126	Ada Marra (SP/PS)	79	2.1%
127	Franziska Meinherz (EÄ G)	1	0.0%
128	Jacques Nicolet (SVP/UDC)	15	0.4%
129	Olivier Pahud (DDSN)	0	
130	François Pointet (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
131	Adèle Thorens Goumaz (Grüne/Les Verts)	89	2.3%
132	Annick Tiburzio (DDSN)	0	
133	Anaïs Timofte (PdA/PST-POP)	1	0.0%
134	Cyrille Fauchère (SVP/UDC)	15	0.4%
135	Michael Kreuzer (SVP/UDC)	5	0.1%
136	Jacqueline Lavanchy (GDF)	0	
137	Marianne Maret (CVP/PDC)	13	0.3%
138	Philippe Nantermod (FDP/PLR)	30	0.8%
139	Mathias Reynard (SP/PS)	52	1.4%
140	Beat Rieder (CVP/PDC)	34	0.9%
141	Brigitte Wolf (Grüne/Les Verts)	15	0.4%
142	Zoë Bachmann (Sol)	1	0.0%
143	Philippe Bauer (FDP/PLR)	16	0.4%
144	Sarah Blum (PdA/PST-POP)	2	0.1%
145	Didier Boillat (FDP/PLR)	0	
146	Denis de la Reussille (PdA/PST-POP)	16	0.4%
147	Martine Docourt (SP/PS)	7	0.2%
148	Fabien Fivaz (Grüne/Les Verts)	14	0.4%
149	Pierre Hainard (SVP/UDC)	3	0.1%
150	Magali Junod (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
151	Silvia Locatelli (SP/PS)	4	0.1%
152	Dimitri Paratte (Sol)	2	0.1%
153	Sarah Pearson Perret (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
154	Nathalie Schallenberger (CVP/PDC)	0	
155	Laurent Suter (CVP/PDC)	1	0.0%
156	Mireille Tissot-Daguette (GLP/PVL)	3	0.1%
157	Céline Vara (Grüne/Les Verts)	18	0.5%
158	Céline Amaudruz (SVP/UDC)	15	0.4%
159	Susanne Amsler (GLP/PVL)	8	0.2%
160	Paul Aymon (Prophete eco-santé)	0	
161	Florian Baier (EVP/PEV)	0	
162	François Bärtschi (MCG)	1	0.0%
163	Jean Burgermeister (EÄ G)	0	
164	Willy Cretegny (PM)	5	0.1%
165	Alexander Enline (PdA/PST-POP)	0	
166	Hugues Hiltbold (FDP/PLR)	22	0.6%

# W3_f12301: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
167	BÄ©atrice Hirsch (CVP/PDC)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
168	AndrÄ© Leitner (BDP/PBD)	0	
169	Lisa Mazzone (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	55	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
170	Stefania Prezioso Batou (EÄ G)	0	
171	Carlo Sommaruga (SP/PS)	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
172	Thierry Vidonne (BDP/PBD)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
173	Marc Wuarin (GLP/PVL)	0	
174	Elisabeth Baume-Schneider (SP/PS)	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
175	FranÄ§oise Chagnat (CVP/PDC)	0	
176	Jean-Marc Comment (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
177	Mathilde Crevoisier Crelieu (SP/PS)	0	
178	Thierry Froidevaux (SVP/UDC)	0	
179	Pauline Godat (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
180	Charles Juillard (CVP/PDC)	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
181	Thomas Stettler (SVP/UDC)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
991	Other candidate	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	49	
993	Voted blank	95	
997	Don't remember	2	
998	Don't know	2	
Sysmiss		3986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12301p: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (First choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-404] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3805 /-] [Invalid=4134 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	660	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	439	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1148	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	540	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	592	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	190	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	79	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	58	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
350	Other party	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
402	Person without party affiliation	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
404	Voted for several parties	0	
-99	No answer	49	

# W3_f12301p: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (First choice)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
993	Voted blank	95	
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	2	
998	Don't know	2	
Sysmiss		3986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12301pmain6: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 7 main parties (First choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3805 /-] [Invalid=4134 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	540	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	660	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	439	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	190	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1148	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	592	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
7	Others	236	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	148	
Sysmiss		3986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-993] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3415 /-] [Invalid=4524 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nicole Barandun (CVP/PDC)	24	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	Nik Gugger (EVP/PEV)	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
3	Daniel Jositsch (SP/PS)	102	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
4	Roger Käppel (SVP/UDC)	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
5	Jan Linhart (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
6	Tiana Moser (GLP/PVL)	114	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
7	Ruedi Noser (FDP/PLR)	188	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
8	Marionna Schlatter-Schmid (Grüne/Les Verts)	122	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
9	Jorgo Ananiadis (Piraten/Pirate)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Kathrin Bertschy (GLP/PVL)	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
11	Peter Eberhart (DU)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12	Pascal Fouquet (Piraten/Pirate)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
13	Florian Gerber (PNOS/PNS)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Joe Grin (DM)	0	
15	Philipp Jutzi (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	Verena Lobsiger-Schmid (PUM)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
17	Christa Markwalder (FDP/PLR)	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
18	Yannic Nuoffer (PNOS/PNS)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
19	Regula Rytz (Grüne/Les Verts)	124	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Werner Salzmann (SVP/UDC)	37	1.1%
21	Beatrice Simon (BDP/PBD)	56	1.6%
22	Hans StÄ¼ckli (SP/PS)	117	3.4%
23	Marianne Streiff (EVP/PEV)	12	0.4%
24	Monique Frey (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	30	0.9%
25	Andrea GmÄ¼r (CVP/PDC)	37	1.1%
26	MichÄ¼le Graber (GLP/PVL)	12	0.4%
27	Franz GrÄ¼ter (SVP/UDC)	11	0.3%
28	Damian MÄ¼ller (FDP/PLR)	45	1.3%
29	David Roth (SP/PS)	23	0.7%
30	Florian Studer (KV)	5	0.1%
31	Josef Dittli (FDP/PLR)	2	0.1%
32	Heidi Zgraggen (CVP/PDC)	5	0.1%
33	Michael Fuchs (SP/PS)	9	0.3%
34	Alex Kuprecht (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
35	Kaspar Michel (FDP/PLR)	11	0.3%
36	Othmar Reichmuth (CVP/PDC)	12	0.4%
37	Pirmin Schwander (SVP/UDC)	8	0.2%
38	Honorata ZÄ¼ger (VMP)	0	
39	Erich Ettlin (CVP/PDC)	0	
40	Hans Wicki (FDP/PLR)	0	
41	Thomas Hefti (FDP/PLR)	2	0.1%
42	Werner HÄ¼sli (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
43	Mathias Zopfi (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	3	0.1%
44	Barbara Gysel (SP/PS)	9	0.3%
45	Peter Hegglin (CVP/PDC)	9	0.3%
46	Matthias Michel (FDP/PLR)	21	0.6%
47	Andrea Sidler Weiss (Parteilos/IndÄ¼pendantÄ¼e)	0	
48	Heinz TÄ¼nnler (SVP/UDC)	6	0.2%
49	Stefan ThÄ¼ni (PARAT)	1	0.0%
50	Tabea Zimmermann Gibson (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	9	0.3%
51	Gerhard Andrey (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	26	0.8%
52	Johanna Gapany (FDP/PLR)	23	0.7%
53	Flavio Guido (DDSN)	0	
54	Anthony Jaria (BDP/PBD)	1	0.0%
55	Christian Levrat (SP/PS)	20	0.6%
56	Pierre-AndrÄ¼ Page (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
57	Ruedi Raemy (DDSN)	0	
58	Claudio Rugo (KP/PA)	1	0.0%
59	Ralph Alexander Schmid (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
60	Beat Vonlanthen (CVP/PDC)	25	0.7%
61	Pirmin Bischof (CVP/PDC)	15	0.4%
62	Christian Imark (SVP/UDC)	12	0.4%
63	Stefan NÄ¼nlist (FDP/PLR)	12	0.4%
64	Felix Wettstein (GrÄ¼ne/Les Verts)	21	0.6%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65	Roberto Zanetti (SP/PS)	33	1.0%
66	Gianna Hablützel-Bäzler (SVP/UDC)	0	
67	Eva Herzog (SP/PS)	0	
68	Marc Meyer (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	0	
69	Patricia von Falkenstein (LDP)	0	
70	Eric Weber (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	0	
71	Elisabeth Augstburger (EVP/PEV)	0	
72	Maya Graf (Grüne/Les Verts)	0	
73	Eric Nussbaumer (SP/PS)	0	
74	Daniela Schneeberger (FDP/PLR)	0	
75	Christian Amsler (FDP/PLR)	4	0.1%
76	Hannes Germann (SVP/UDC)	5	0.1%
77	Thomas Minder (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	13	0.4%
78	Patrick Portmann (SP/PS)	5	0.1%
79	Andrea Caroni (FDP/PLR)	0	
80	Reto Sonderegger (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	0	
81	Daniel Fässler (CVP/PDC)	0	
82	Roland Rino Bächli (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
83	Marcel Dobler (FDP/PLR)	27	0.8%
84	Norbert Feldmann (BDP/PBD)	4	0.1%
85	Paul Rechsteiner (SP/PS)	34	1.0%
86	Franziska Ryser (Grüne/Les Verts)	30	0.9%
87	Pietro Vernazza (GLP/PVL)	10	0.3%
88	Benedikt Wirth (CVP/PDC)	31	0.9%
89	Geraldine Danuser (JGLP/JVL)	11	0.3%
90	Stefan Engler (CVP/PDC)	13	0.4%
91	Valérie Favre Accola (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
92	Jon Pult (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
93	Martin Schmid (FDP/PLR)	27	0.8%
94	Maya Bally (BDP/PBD)	18	0.5%
95	Marianne Binder-Keller (CVP/PDC)	31	0.9%
96	Thierry Burkart (FDP/PLR)	46	1.3%
97	Beat Flach (GLP/PVL)	17	0.5%
98	Roland Frauchiger (EVP/PEV)	6	0.2%
99	Hansjörg Knecht (SVP/UDC)	35	1.0%
100	Jean-Pierre Leutwyler (FW AG)	2	0.1%
101	Pius Lischer (NB)	0	
102	Ruth Mäuri (Grüne/Les Verts)	45	1.3%
103	Cédric Wermuth (SP/PS)	33	1.0%
104	Gaby Coray (Parteilos/Indépendant-e)	2	0.1%
105	Kurt Egger (Grüne/Les Verts)	17	0.5%
106	Ueli (Ulrich) Fisch (GLP/PVL)	11	0.3%
107	Brigitte Häberli-Koller (CVP/PDC)	18	0.5%
108	Nina Schlöffli (SP/PS)	9	0.3%
109	Jakob Stark (SVP/UDC)	24	0.7%

W3_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	Marina Carobbio Guscetti (SP/PS)	19	0.6%
111	Marco Chiesa (SVP/UDC)	13	0.4%
112	Battista Ghiggia (Lega/Lega)	6	0.2%
113	Greta Gysin (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	25	0.7%
114	Filippo Lombardi (CVP/PDC)	23	0.7%
115	Germano Mattei (MV)	2	0.1%
116	Giovanni Merlini (FDP/PLR)	18	0.5%
117	Werner Nussbaumer (LV)	1	0.0%
118	Xenia Peran (LV)	0	
119	FranÃ§ois Bachmann (EVP/PEV)	5	0.1%
120	Claude BÃ©glÃ© (CVP/PDC)	5	0.1%
121	Bernard Borel (PdA/PST-POP)	2	0.1%
122	MichaÃ«l Buffat (SVP/UDC)	13	0.4%
123	Isabelle Chevalley (GLP/PVL)	28	0.8%
124	Olivier FranÃ§ais (FDP/PLR)	23	0.7%
125	Yvan Luccarini (EÃ G)	1	0.0%
126	Ada Marra (SP/PS)	60	1.8%
127	Franziska Meinherz (EÃ G)	1	0.0%
128	Jacques Nicolet (SVP/UDC)	21	0.6%
129	Olivier Pahud (DDSN)	0	
130	FranÃ§ois Pointet (GLP/PVL)	16	0.5%
131	AdÃ¨le Thorens Goumaz (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	66	1.9%
132	Annick Tiburzio (DDSN)	0	
133	AnaÃ«s Timofte (PdA/PST-POP)	7	0.2%
134	Cyrille FauchÃ©re (SVP/UDC)	7	0.2%
135	Michael Kreuzer (SVP/UDC)	11	0.3%
136	Jacqueline Lavanchy (GDF)	1	0.0%
137	Marianne Maret (CVP/PDC)	31	0.9%
138	Philippe Nantermod (FDP/PLR)	8	0.2%
139	Mathias Reynard (SP/PS)	14	0.4%
140	Beat Rieder (CVP/PDC)	18	0.5%
141	Brigitte Wolf (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	31	0.9%
142	ZoÃ© Bachmann (Sol)	2	0.1%
143	Philippe Bauer (FDP/PLR)	5	0.1%
144	Sarah Blum (PdA/PST-POP)	6	0.2%
145	Didier Boillat (FDP/PLR)	9	0.3%
146	Denis de la Reussille (PdA/PST-POP)	5	0.1%
147	Martine Docourt (SP/PS)	8	0.2%
148	Fabien Fivaz (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	15	0.4%
149	Pierre Hainard (SVP/UDC)	2	0.1%
150	Magali Junod (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
151	Silvia Locatelli (SP/PS)	7	0.2%
152	Dimitri Paratte (Sol)	2	0.1%
153	Sarah Pearson Perret (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
154	Nathalie Schallenger (CVP/PDC)	3	0.1%

# W3_f12302: Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
155	Laurent Suter (CVP/PDC)	0	
156	Mireille Tissot-Daguette (GLP/PVL)	4	0.1%
157	CÃ©line Vara (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	8	0.2%
158	CÃ©line Amaudruz (SVP/UDC)	4	0.1%
159	Susanne Amsler (GLP/PVL)	2	0.1%
160	Paul Aymon (Prophete eco-santÃ©)	0	
161	Florian Baier (EVP/PEV)	1	0.0%
162	FranÃ§ois BÃ¼rtschi (MCG)	4	0.1%
163	Jean Burgermeister (EÃ G)	0	
164	Willy Cretegny (PM)	3	0.1%
165	Alexander Eniline (PdA/PST-POP)	1	0.0%
166	Hugues Hiltbold (FDP/PLR)	6	0.2%
167	BÃ©atrice Hirsch (CVP/PDC)	17	0.5%
168	AndrÃ© Leitner (BDP/PBD)	1	0.0%
169	Lisa Mazzone (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	30	0.9%
170	Stefania Prezioso Batou (EÃ G)	0	
171	Carlo Sommaruga (SP/PS)	40	1.2%
172	Thierry Vidonne (BDP/PBD)	0	
173	Marc Wuarin (GLP/PVL)	7	0.2%
174	Elisabeth Baume-Schneider (SP/PS)	6	0.2%
175	FranÃ§oise Chagnat (CVP/PDC)	1	0.0%
176	Jean-Marc Comment (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	0.0%
177	Mathilde Crevoisier Crelier (SP/PS)	8	0.2%
178	Thierry Froidevaux (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
179	Pauline Godat (GrÃ¼ne/Les Verts)	1	0.0%
180	Charles Juillard (CVP/PDC)	7	0.2%
181	Thomas Stettler (SVP/UDC)	1	0.0%
991	Other candidate	27	0.8%
993	Did not vote for a second person	594	17.4%
-99	No answer	174	
995	Other answer	0	
997	Don't remember	5	
998	Don't know	1	
Sysmiss		4344	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12302p: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (Second choice)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-993] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3415 /-] [Invalid=4524 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	531	15.5%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	325	9.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	562	16.5%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	270	7.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	604	17.7%

# W3_f12302p: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for (Second choice)				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	286	<div></div>	8.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	80	<div></div>	2.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	6	<div></div>	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	<div></div>	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0		
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	41	<div></div>	1.2%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0		
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	21	<div></div>	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	6	<div></div>	0.2%
350	Other party	65	<div></div>	1.9%
402	Person without party affiliation	20	<div></div>	0.6%
404	Voted for several parties	0		
993	Did not vote for a second person	594	<div></div>	17.4%
-99	No answer	174		
994	Undecided	0		
995	Other answer	0		
997	Don't remember	5		
998	Don't know	1		
Sysmiss		4344		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f12302pmain6: Council of States: Party of candidate R voted for, 7 main parties (Second choice)				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3415 /-] [Invalid=4524 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	270	<div></div>	7.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	531	<div></div>	15.5%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	325	<div></div>	9.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	286	<div></div>	8.4%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	562	<div></div>	16.5%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	604	<div></div>	17.7%
7	Others	243	<div></div>	7.1%
10		594	<div></div>	17.4%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	180		
Sysmiss		4344		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W3_f13660a: Sources of information: ads/letters of parties/candidates in the mailbox				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	3936	<div></div>	77.0%
1	Yes	1175	<div></div>	23.0%
-99	No answer	14		
Sysmiss		2814		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# W3_f13660b: Sources of information: posters in the street			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4568	<div><div></div></div> 89.4%
1	Yes	543	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660c: Sources of information: web pages, blogs and social media of parties/candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4558	<div><div></div></div> 89.2%
1	Yes	553	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660d: Sources of information: party stands in the streets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4967	<div><div></div></div> 97.2%
1	Yes	144	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660e: Sources of information: political events of parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4961	<div><div></div></div> 97.1%
1	Yes	150	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660f: Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4667	<div><div></div></div> 91.3%
1	Yes	444	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	

# W3_f13660f: Sources of information: party/candidate ads in newspapers			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660g: Sources of information: voting advice applications such as smartvote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4295	<div><div></div></div> 84.0%
1	Yes	816	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660i: Sources of information: reports or political debates on TV and radio			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2953	<div><div></div></div> 57.8%
1	Yes	2158	<div><div></div></div> 42.2%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660j: Sources of information: discussions at work or school			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4442	<div><div></div></div> 86.9%
1	Yes	669	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660k: Sources of information: discussions with family and friends			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2926	<div><div></div></div> 57.2%
1	Yes	2185	<div><div></div></div> 42.8%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660l: Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4770	<div><div></div></div> 93.3%
1	Yes	341	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%

# W3_f13660l: Sources of information: opinion polls for the federal election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660m: Sources of information: door knocking and phone calls by parties/candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	5081	<div></div> 99.4%
1	Yes	30	<div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13660n: Sources of information: election news coverage in newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5111 /-] [Invalid=2828 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3348	<div></div> 65.5%
1	Yes	1763	<div></div> 34.5%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759a: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: defence and security policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5091 /-] [Invalid=2848 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	591	<div></div> 11.6%
2		600	<div></div> 11.8%
3		693	<div></div> 13.6%
4	Equally male and female	3088	<div></div> 60.7%
5		41	<div></div> 0.8%
6		24	<div></div> 0.5%
7	Female	54	<div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759b: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5079 /-] [Invalid=2860 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	229	<div></div> 4.5%
2		269	<div></div> 5.3%
3		586	<div></div> 11.5%

# W3_f13759b: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: economic policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Equally male and female	3811	<div><div></div></div> 75.0%
5		85	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6		37	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	Female	62	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759c: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: social welfare policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5090 /-] [Invalid=2849 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	46	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2		27	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
3		43	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	Equally male and female	3015	<div><div></div></div> 59.2%
5		1025	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
6		576	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
7	Female	358	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
-99	No answer	35	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759d: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: immigration and asylum policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5081 /-] [Invalid=2858 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	241	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2		195	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
3		246	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
4	Equally male and female	3597	<div><div></div></div> 70.8%
5		448	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
6		213	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
7	Female	141	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13759e: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5082 /-] [Invalid=2857 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	42	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
3		50	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
4	Equally male and female	3192	<div><div></div></div> 62.8%

# W3_f13759e: Which policy field fits better to a male or a female politician: gender equality policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		646	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
6		610	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
7	Female	525	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
-99	No answer	43	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760a: Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: ambitious			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5063 /-] [Invalid=2876 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	268	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2		387	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3		489	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4	Equally male and female	3334	<div><div></div></div> 65.9%
5		266	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
6		171	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
7	Female	148	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
-99	No answer	62	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760b: Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: consensus-building			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5056 /-] [Invalid=2883 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	72	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2		111	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
3		208	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4	Equally male and female	2781	<div><div></div></div> 55.0%
5		1132	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
6		540	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
7	Female	212	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
-99	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760c: Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5066 /-] [Invalid=2873 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	230	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2		542	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
3		991	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
4	Equally male and female	2889	<div><div></div></div> 57.0%
5		211	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%

# W3_f13760c: Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: assertive			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		91	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
7	Female	112	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13760d: Which characteristics fit better to a male or a female politician: compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5055 /-] [Invalid=2884 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3		56	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
4	Equally male and female	2016	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
5		1586	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
6		886	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
7	Female	479	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
-99	No answer	70	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13770: Role of women in politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4998 /-] [Invalid=2941 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Women must play an equal role to men in politics	4154	<div><div></div></div> 83.1%
2	Women can participate in politics, but certain tasks must be	188	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
3	Women should not interfere in politics. That's a matter for	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	I don't care if women play a role in politics	630	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
-99	No answer	20	
8	Don't know	107	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13780_f_rec: Party Sara most likely voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2017 /-] [Invalid=5922 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	136	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	267	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	88	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1434	<div><div></div></div> 71.1%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	0	
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	0	
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	

# W3_f13780_f_rec: Party Sara most likely voted for			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
20	Others	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
98	Don't know, no answer, other answer	540	
Sysmiss		5382	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f13780_m_rec: Party Luca most likely voted for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1996 /-] [Invalid=5943 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	256	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	49	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	225	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	138	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1254	<div><div></div></div> 62.8%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	0	
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	0	
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
20	Others	74	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
98	Don't know, no answer, other answer	572	
Sysmiss		5371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320a: Party that cares most about EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1631 /-] [Invalid=6308 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	615	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	54	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	322	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	530	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	57	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Others	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
-99	No answer	19	
98	Don't know	370	
Sysmiss		5919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320b: Party that cares most about migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1840 /-] [Invalid=6099 /-]		

W3_f15320b: Party that cares most about migration policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	62	3.4%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	69	3.8%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	519	28.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1127	61.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	21	1.1%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	10	0.5%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	9	0.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	10	0.5%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.2%
20	Others	10	0.5%
-99	No answer	14	
98	Don't know	224	
Sysmiss		5861	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15320c: Party that cares most about social policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1855 /-] [Invalid=6084 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	59	3.2%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	131	7.1%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1518	81.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	55	3.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	43	2.3%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	18	1.0%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	11	0.6%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.1%
20	Others	17	0.9%
-99	No answer	7	
98	Don't know	159	
Sysmiss		5918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15320d: Party that cares most about environmental policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1951 /-] [Invalid=5988 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	32	1.6%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	12	0.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	38	1.9%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	30	1.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1594	81.7%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	239	12.3%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	0	

# W3_f15320d: Party that cares most about environmental policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
20	Others	5	0.3%
-99	No answer	11	
98	Don't know	111	
Sysmiss		5866	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15320e: Party that cares most about economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1820 /-] [Invalid=6119 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1270	69.8%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	62	3.4%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	107	5.9%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	302	16.6%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	13	0.7%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	36	2.0%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	18	1.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.1%
20	Others	9	0.5%
-99	No answer	20	
98	Don't know	218	
Sysmiss		5881	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330a: Party most competent in EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1499 /-] [Invalid=6440 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	576	38.4%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	105	7.0%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	309	20.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	320	21.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	34	2.3%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	101	6.7%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	33	2.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
20	Others	19	1.3%
-99	No answer	32	
98	Don't know	489	
Sysmiss		5919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_f15330b: Party most competent in migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1630 /-] [Invalid=6309 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	223	13.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	198	12.1%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	583	35.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	478	29.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	41	2.5%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	60	3.7%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	25	1.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	6	0.4%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.2%
20	Others	13	0.8%
-99	No answer	28	
98	Don't know	420	
Sysmiss		5861	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330c: Party most competent in social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1686 /-] [Invalid=6253 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	153	9.1%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	232	13.8%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	984	58.4%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	127	7.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	50	3.0%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	79	4.7%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	29	1.7%
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.1%
20	Others	30	1.8%
-99	No answer	19	
98	Don't know	316	
Sysmiss		5918	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330d: Party most competent in environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=6155 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	101	5.7%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	49	2.7%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	67	3.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	93	5.2%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1004	56.3%

# W3_f15330d: Party most competent in environmental policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	443	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
20	Others	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	17	
98	Don't know	272	
Sysmiss		5866	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15330e: Party most competent in economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1614 /-] [Invalid=6325 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	838	<div><div></div></div> 51.9%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	91	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	191	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	259	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	38	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	148	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
7	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Others	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	33	
98	Don't know	411	
Sysmiss		5881	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15340a: Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2006 /-] [Invalid=5933 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	518	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
2	Rather in favor	757	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	370	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
4	Rather against	251	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
5	Strongly against	110	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		5919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2068 /-] [Invalid=5871 /-]		

W3_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	438	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
2	Rather in favor	628	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
3	Neither in favor nor against	377	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
4	Rather against	443	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
5	Strongly against	182	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5861	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W3_f15340c: Own opinion on federal financial aid for childcare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2006 /-] [Invalid=5933 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	414	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
2	Rather in favor	684	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
3	Neither in favor nor against	351	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
4	Rather against	400	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
5	Strongly against	157	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W3_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2057 /-] [Invalid=5882 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	913	<div></div> 44.4%
2	Rather in favor	756	<div></div> 36.8%
3	Neither in favor nor against	242	<div></div> 11.8%
4	Rather against	107	<div></div> 5.2%
5	Strongly against	39	<div></div> 1.9%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5866	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15340e: Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2030 /-] [Invalid=5909 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	570	<div></div> 28.1%
2	Rather in favor	883	<div></div> 43.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	446	<div></div> 22.0%
4	Rather against	105	<div></div> 5.2%
5	Strongly against	26	<div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		5881	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15360a: Opinion of random party1 on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=6289 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	239	<div></div> 14.5%
2	Rather in favor	726	<div></div> 44.0%
3	Neither in favor nor against	233	<div></div> 14.1%
4	Rather against	243	<div></div> 14.7%
5	Strongly against	209	<div></div> 12.7%
-99	No answer	48	
8	Don't know	322	
Sysmiss		5919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15360b: Opinion of random party1 on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1839 /-] [Invalid=6100 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	370	<div></div> 20.1%
2	Rather in favor	366	<div></div> 19.9%
3	Neither in favor nor against	271	<div></div> 14.7%

# W3_f15360b: Opinion of random party1 on limiting immigration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather against	524	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
5	Strongly against	308	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
-99	No answer	37	
8	Don't know	202	
Sysmiss		5861	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15360c: Opinion of random party1 on federal financial aid for childcare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1725 /-] [Invalid=6214 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	423	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	Rather in favor	600	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
3	Neither in favor nor against	182	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4	Rather against	294	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
5	Strongly against	226	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
-99	No answer	48	
8	Don't know	248	
Sysmiss		5918	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15360d: Opinion of random party1 on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1813 /-] [Invalid=6126 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	460	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
2	Rather in favor	642	<div><div></div></div> 35.4%
3	Neither in favor nor against	364	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
4	Rather against	226	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
5	Strongly against	121	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
-99	No answer	43	
8	Don't know	217	
Sysmiss		5866	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15360e: Opinion of random party1 on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=6185 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	415	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
2	Rather in favor	669	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
3	Neither in favor nor against	403	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
4	Rather against	208	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
5	Strongly against	59	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
-99	No answer	47	
8	Don't know	257	

# W3_f15360e: Opinion of random party1 on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5881	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15370a: Opinion of random party2 on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=6266 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	303	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
2	Rather in favor	660	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
3	Neither in favor nor against	264	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4	Rather against	218	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
5	Strongly against	228	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
-99	No answer	51	
8	Don't know	296	
Sysmiss		5919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15370b: Opinion of random party2 on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1845 /-] [Invalid=6094 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	396	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	Rather in favor	301	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
3	Neither in favor nor against	339	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
4	Rather against	463	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
5	Strongly against	346	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
-99	No answer	47	
8	Don't know	186	
Sysmiss		5861	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15370c: Opinion of random party2 on federal financial aid for childcare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1733 /-] [Invalid=6206 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	427	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
2	Rather in favor	573	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
3	Neither in favor nor against	204	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4	Rather against	289	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
5	Strongly against	240	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
-99	No answer	47	
8	Don't know	241	
Sysmiss		5918	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15370d: Opinion of random party2 on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		

# W3_f15370d: Opinion of random party2 on strengthening environmental protection			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=6117 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	463	<div></div> 25.4%
2	Rather in favor	614	<div></div> 33.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	346	<div></div> 19.0%
4	Rather against	271	<div></div> 14.9%
5	Strongly against	128	<div></div> 7.0%
-99	No answer	50	
8	Don't know	201	
Sysmiss		5866	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15370e: Opinion of random party2 on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1736 /-] [Invalid=6203 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	431	<div></div> 24.8%
2	Rather in favor	645	<div></div> 37.2%
3	Neither in favor nor against	383	<div></div> 22.1%
4	Rather against	214	<div></div> 12.3%
5	Strongly against	63	<div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	64	
8	Don't know	258	
Sysmiss		5881	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15360rp1: Random party1 for f15360			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5125 /-] [Invalid=2814 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1022	<div></div> 19.9%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	1022	<div></div> 19.9%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1051	<div></div> 20.5%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1022	<div></div> 19.9%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	1008	<div></div> 19.7%
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f15370rp2: Random party2 for f15370			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5125 /-] [Invalid=2814 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	1027	<div></div> 20.0%
2	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	1000	<div></div> 19.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	1038	<div></div> 20.3%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	1064	<div></div> 20.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	996	<div></div> 19.4%

# W3_f15370rp2: Random party2 for f15370			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610a: Signed a petition, popular initiative or a referendum (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4926 /-] [Invalid=3013 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	1750	<div></div> 35.5%
2	Yes, only online	442	<div></div> 9.0%
3	Yes, only offline	2270	<div></div> 46.1%
4	Yes, both online and offline	464	<div></div> 9.4%
-99	No answer	34	
8	Don't know	165	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610b: Contacted a politician or civil servant to express your views (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4905 /-] [Invalid=3034 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4191	<div></div> 85.4%
2	Yes, only online	221	<div></div> 4.5%
3	Yes, only offline	462	<div></div> 9.4%
4	Yes, both online and offline	31	<div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	129	
8	Don't know	91	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610c: Donated money or raised funds for a social or political activity (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4893 /-] [Invalid=3046 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	3897	<div></div> 79.6%
2	Yes, only online	376	<div></div> 7.7%
3	Yes, only offline	548	<div></div> 11.2%
4	Yes, both online and offline	72	<div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	128	
8	Don't know	104	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610d: Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3037 /-]		

# W3_f12610d: Contacted or appeared in the media to express my views (past 5 years)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4444	<div><div></div></div> 90.7%
2	Yes, only online	295	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	Yes, only offline	126	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
4	Yes, both online and offline	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	133	
8	Don't know	90	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610e: Was active for a political party (past 5 years)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4870 /-] [Invalid=3069 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4417	<div><div></div></div> 90.7%
2	Yes, only online	77	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
3	Yes, only offline	324	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4	Yes, both online and offline	52	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	165	
8	Don't know	90	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610f: Was active for a political group or organisation (past 5 years)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5009 /-] [Invalid=2930 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4426	<div><div></div></div> 88.4%
2	Yes, only online	121	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
3	Yes, only offline	377	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
4	Yes, both online and offline	85	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
-99	No answer	52	
8	Don't know	64	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610g: Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4845 /-] [Invalid=3094 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	2169	<div><div></div></div> 44.8%
2	Yes, only online	162	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3	Yes, only offline	2138	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
4	Yes, both online and offline	376	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer	97	
8	Don't know	183	
Sysmiss		2814	

# W3_f12610g: Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610h: Deliberately bought certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4839 /-] [Invalid=3100 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	2087	<div><div></div></div> 43.1%
2	Yes, only online	156	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
3	Yes, only offline	2232	<div><div></div></div> 46.1%
4	Yes, both online and offline	364	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
-99	No answer	104	
8	Don't know	182	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610i: Took part in a demonstration (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4967 /-] [Invalid=2972 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4186	<div><div></div></div> 84.3%
2	Yes, only online	41	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
3	Yes, only offline	712	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
4	Yes, both online and offline	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	102	
8	Don't know	56	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12610j: Attended a political meeting (past 5 years)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4920 /-] [Invalid=3019 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	3992	<div><div></div></div> 81.1%
2	Yes, only online	67	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
3	Yes, only offline	848	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
4	Yes, both online and offline	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	135	
8	Don't know	70	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12620a: Discussed politics and public affairs with others in person (during election campaign)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5019 /-] [Invalid=2920 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	255	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	At least once a week	2157	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%

# W3_f12620a: Discussed politics and public affairs with others in person (during election campaign)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	At least once a month	1277	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
4	Less than once a month	988	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
5	Never	342	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
-99	No answer	18	
8	Don't know	88	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12620b: Discussed politics and public affairs with others online (during election campaign)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4993 /-] [Invalid=2946 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	36	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	At least once a week	218	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	At least once a month	333	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4	Less than once a month	626	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
5	Never	3780	<div><div></div></div> 75.7%
-99	No answer	28	
8	Don't know	104	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12620c: Commented on an online news story or blog post to express an opinion about a political or social issue (during election campaign)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4980 /-] [Invalid=2959 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	36	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	At least once a week	139	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3	At least once a month	226	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4	Less than once a month	411	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
5	Never	4168	<div><div></div></div> 83.7%
-99	No answer	31	
8	Don't know	114	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12620d: Posted or reposted content related to a political or social issue (during election campaign)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4972 /-] [Invalid=2967 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	At least once a week	181	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
3	At least once a month	363	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	Less than once a month	687	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
5	Never	3707	<div><div></div></div> 74.6%

# W3_f12620d: Posted or reposted content related to a political or social issue (during election campaign)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	29	
8	Don't know	124	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12620e: Posted own thoughts or comments on political or social issue (during election campaign)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4968 /-] [Invalid=2971 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every day	43	0.9%
2	At least once a week	92	1.9%
3	At least once a month	187	3.8%
4	Less than once a month	355	7.1%
5	Never	4291	86.4%
-99	No answer	31	
8	Don't know	126	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a1: Union or employee association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4960 /-] [Invalid=2979 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4435	89.4%
1	Yes	525	10.6%
-99	No answer	91	
8	Don't know	74	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a2: Union or employee association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4960 /-] [Invalid=2979 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4839	97.6%
1	Yes	121	2.4%
-99	No answer	91	
8	Don't know	74	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4960 /-] [Invalid=2979 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4824	97.3%

# W3_n13_030a3: Union or employee association: donated money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	136	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
-99	No answer	91	
8	Don't know	74	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030a4: Union or employee association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4960 /-] [Invalid=2979 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4911	<div><div></div></div> 99.0%
1	Yes	49	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	91	
8	Don't know	74	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b1: Economic, professional or farmers' association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3037 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4288	<div><div></div></div> 87.5%
1	Yes	614	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
-99	No answer	171	
8	Don't know	52	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b2: Economic, professional or farmers' association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3037 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4678	<div><div></div></div> 95.4%
1	Yes	224	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	171	
8	Don't know	52	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b3: Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3037 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4791	<div><div></div></div> 97.7%
1	Yes	111	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	171	

# W3_n13_030b3: Economic, professional or farmers' association: donated money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Don't know	52	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030b4: Economic, professional or farmers' association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4902 /-] [Invalid=3037 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4794	97.8%
1	Yes	108	2.2%
-99	No answer	171	
8	Don't know	52	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c1: Consumer organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=3068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4613	94.7%
1	Yes	258	5.3%
-99	No answer	192	
8	Don't know	62	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c2: Consumer organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=3068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4811	98.8%
1	Yes	60	1.2%
-99	No answer	192	
8	Don't know	62	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c3: Consumer organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=3068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4649	95.4%
1	Yes	222	4.6%
-99	No answer	192	
8	Don't know	62	
Sysmiss		2814	

# W3_n13_030c3: Consumer organization: donated money			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030c4: Consumer organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=3068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4851	<div><div></div></div> 99.6%
1	Yes	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	192	
8	Don't know	62	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d1: Human rights or peace organization: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=3023 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4618	<div><div></div></div> 93.9%
1	Yes	298	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
-99	No answer	154	
8	Don't know	55	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d2: Human rights or peace organization: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=3023 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4759	<div><div></div></div> 96.8%
1	Yes	157	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
-99	No answer	154	
8	Don't know	55	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d3: Human rights or peace organization: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=3023 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3291	<div><div></div></div> 66.9%
1	Yes	1625	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
-99	No answer	154	
8	Don't know	55	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030d4: Human rights or peace organization: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		

# W3_n13_030d4: Human rights or peace organization: honorary position			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=3023 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4785	<div><div></div></div> 97.3%
1	Yes	131	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
-99	No answer	154	
8	Don't know	55	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e1: Environmental organization: member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4883 /-] [Invalid=3056 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4491	<div><div></div></div> 92.0%
1	Yes	392	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
-99	No answer	181	
8	Don't know	61	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e2: Environmental organization: participated in activities			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4883 /-] [Invalid=3056 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4663	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
1	Yes	220	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
-99	No answer	181	
8	Don't know	61	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e3: Environmental organization: donated money			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4883 /-] [Invalid=3056 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	3671	<div><div></div></div> 75.2%
1	Yes	1212	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
-99	No answer	181	
8	Don't know	61	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030e4: Environmental organization: honorary position			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4883 /-] [Invalid=3056 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4780	<div><div></div></div> 97.9%

# W3_n13_030e4: Environmental organization: honorary position			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	103	<div><div></div>2.1%</div>
-99	No answer	181	
8	Don't know	61	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f1: Religious or church association: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4899 /-] [Invalid=3040 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4379	<div><div></div>89.4%</div>
1	Yes	520	
-99	No answer	169	
8	Don't know	57	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W3_n13_030f2: Religious or church association: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4899 /-] [Invalid=3040 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4589	<div><div></div></div> 93.7%
1	Yes	310	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	169	
8	Don't know	57	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f3: Religious or church association: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4899 /-] [Invalid=3040 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4297	<div><div></div></div> 87.7%
1	Yes	602	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
-99	No answer	169	
8	Don't know	57	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030f4: Religious or church association: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4899 /-] [Invalid=3040 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4705	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
1	Yes	194	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	169	
8	Don't know	57	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g1: Political party: member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4880 /-] [Invalid=3059 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4570	<div><div></div></div> 93.6%
1	Yes	310	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
-99	No answer	204	
8	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g2: Political party: participated in activities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4880 /-] [Invalid=3059 /-]		

# W3_n13_030g2: Political party: participated in activities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4694	<div><div></div></div> 96.2%
1	Yes	186	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	204	
8	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g3: Political party: donated money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4880 /-] [Invalid=3059 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4556	<div><div></div></div> 93.4%
1	Yes	324	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
-99	No answer	204	
8	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_n13_030g4: Political party: honorary position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4880 /-] [Invalid=3059 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	4806	<div><div></div></div> 98.5%
1	Yes	74	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	204	
8	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5090 /-] [Invalid=2849 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	64	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
1		39	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		94	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
3		176	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
4		198	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
5		621	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
6		441	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
7		1072	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
8		1517	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
9		610	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
10	Full trust	258	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
-99	No answer	35	
Sysmiss		2814	

# W3_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5079 /-] [Invalid=2860 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	58	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
1		53	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		109	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3		215	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
4		294	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
5		803	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
6		761	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
7		1179	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
8		1110	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
9		348	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
10	Full trust	149	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
-99	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5069 /-] [Invalid=2870 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	76	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
1		77	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		173	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
3		338	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4		453	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
5		1170	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
6		988	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
7		975	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
8		587	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
9		149	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
10	Full trust	83	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	56	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5084 /-] [Invalid=2855 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	80	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1		74	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		141	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%

# W3_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		244	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
4		320	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5		800	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
6		762	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
7		1082	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
8		1023	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
9		421	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
10	Full trust	137	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
-99	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800e: Trust: Municipal authorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5080 /-] [Invalid=2859 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	105	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
1		92	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2		157	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
3		213	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
4		287	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5		679	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
6		603	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
7		946	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
8		1121	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
9		634	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
10	Full trust	243	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
-99	No answer	45	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5061 /-] [Invalid=2878 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	122	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
1		99	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2		164	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
3		216	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
4		283	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5		726	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
6		515	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
7		789	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
8		1008	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
9		749	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
10	Full trust	390	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%

# W3_f12800f: Trust: Swiss National Bank			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	64	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800g: Trust: Justice / Courts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5084 /-] [Invalid=2855 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	87	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1		69	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2		142	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3		182	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
4		216	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
5		535	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
6		432	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
7		733	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
8		1181	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
9		1008	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
10	Full trust	499	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
-99	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W3_f12800h: Trust: Police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5091 /-] [Invalid=2848 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	52	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
1		57	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2		83	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
3		106	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4		138	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5		465	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
6		414	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
7		776	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
8		1357	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
9		1087	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
10	Full trust	556	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
-99	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		2814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8100-9999] [Missing=*/-9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7938 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]		

W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Switzerland	6938	87.4%
8201	Albania	1	0.0%
8202	Andorra	0	
8204	Belgium	14	0.2%
8205	Bulgaria	5	0.1%
8206	Denmark	3	0.0%
8207	Germany	167	2.1%
8208	German Democratic Republic	0	
8209	West Berlin	0	
8210	Faroe Islands	0	
8211	Finland	7	0.1%
8212	France	90	1.1%
8213	Gibraltar	0	
8214	Greece	7	0.1%
8215	United Kingdom	26	0.3%
8216	Ireland	3	0.0%
8217	Iceland	0	
8218	Italy	102	1.3%
8220	Yugoslavia	21	0.3%
8222	Liechtenstein	2	0.0%
8223	Luxembourg	1	0.0%
8224	Malta	1	0.0%
8225	Isle of Man	0	
8226	Monaco	0	
8227	Netherlands	16	0.2%
8228	Norway	1	0.0%
8229	Austria	24	0.3%
8230	Poland	14	0.2%
8231	Portugal	28	0.4%
8232	Romania	6	0.1%
8233	San Marino	0	
8234	Sweden	6	0.1%
8235	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1	0.0%
8236	Spain	16	0.2%
8237	Azores	0	
8238	Czechoslovakia	1	0.0%
8239	Turkey	24	0.3%
8240	Hungary	11	0.1%
8241	Vatican City	0	
8242	Cyprus	1	0.0%
8243	Slovakia	8	0.1%
8244	Czech Republic	10	0.1%
8248	Serbia	13	0.2%
8249	Serbia and Montenegro	2	0.0%
8250	Croatia	13	0.2%

# W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8251	Slovenia	1	0.0%
8252	Bosnia and Herzegovina	17	0.2%
8253	Central Serbia	1	0.0%
8254	Montenegro	0	
8255	North Macedonia	16	0.2%
8256	Kosovo	21	0.3%
8257	Province of Vojvodina	0	
8260	Estonia	0	
8261	Latvia	0	
8262	Lithuania	0	
8263	Moldova	1	0.0%
8264	Russia	13	0.2%
8265	Ukraine	4	0.1%
8266	Belarus	0	
8270	Alderney	0	
8271	Jersey	0	
8272	Guernsey	0	
8273	Svalbard and Jan Mayen Island	0	
8274	Aland Islands	0	
8275	Sercq	0	
8280	Trieste	0	
8301	Equatorial Guinea	0	
8302	Ethiopia	3	0.0%
8303	Djibouti	0	
8304	Algeria	10	0.1%
8305	Angola	1	0.0%
8306	Biafra	0	
8307	Botswana	0	
8308	Burundi	0	
8309	Benin	1	0.0%
8310	Ivory Coast	0	
8311	Gabon	0	
8312	Gambia	0	
8313	Ghana	1	0.0%
8314	Guinea-Bissau	0	
8315	Guinea	0	
8317	Cameroon	6	0.1%
8318	Canary Islands	0	
8319	Cabo Verde	0	
8320	Kenya	0	
8321	Comoros	0	
8322	Congo (Brazzaville)	3	0.0%
8323	Congo (Kinshasa)	5	0.1%
8324	Lesotho	0	
8325	Liberia	0	

# W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8326	Libya	1	0.0%
8327	Madagascar	1	0.0%
8328	Madeira	0	
8329	Malawi	0	
8330	Mali	0	
8331	Morocco	10	0.1%
8332	Mauritania	0	
8333	Mauritius	3	0.0%
8334	Mozambique	0	
8335	Niger	0	
8336	Nigeria	1	0.0%
8337	Burkina Faso	0	
8339	Meeting	0	
8340	Zimbabwe	0	
8341	Rwanda	3	0.0%
8343	Zambia	1	0.0%
8344	Sao Tome and Principe	0	
8345	Senegal	0	
8346	Seychelles	0	
8347	Sierra Leone	0	
8348	Somalia	1	0.0%
8349	South Africa	3	0.0%
8350	Sudan	0	
8351	Namibia	0	
8352	Eswatini	0	
8353	Tanzania	1	0.0%
8354	Togo	2	0.0%
8356	Chad	0	
8357	Tunisia	8	0.1%
8358	Uganda	1	0.0%
8359	Egypt	1	0.0%
8360	Central African Republic	0	
8361	Mayotte	0	
8362	Eritrea	1	0.0%
8363	South Sudan	0	
8371	British Territories in the Indian Ocean	0	
8372	Western Sahara	0	
8373	St. Helena	0	
8374	Ascension	0	
8375	Tristan da Cunha	0	
8381	Spanish North Africa	0	
8382	Tanganyika	0	
8383	Zanzibar	0	
8384	Azawad	0	
8401	Argentina	6	0.1%

W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8402	Bahamas	0	
8403	Barbados	0	
8404	Bermuda	0	
8405	Bolivia	2	0.0%
8406	Brazil	22	0.3%
8407	Chile	2	0.0%
8408	Costa Rica	0	
8409	Dominican Republic	5	0.1%
8410	Ecuador	2	0.0%
8411	El Salvador	0	
8412	Falkland Islands	0	
8413	Greenland	0	
8414	Guadeloupe	0	
8415	Guatemala	1	0.0%
8416	French Guiana	0	
8417	Guyana	0	
8418	Haiti	0	
8419	Belize	0	
8420	Honduras	0	
8421	Jamaica	1	0.0%
8423	Canada	21	0.3%
8424	Colombia	15	0.2%
8425	Cuba	1	0.0%
8426	Martinique	0	
8427	Mexico	3	0.0%
8429	Nicaragua	1	0.0%
8430	Panama	0	
8431	Paraguay	0	
8432	Peru	19	0.2%
8433	Puerto Rico	1	0.0%
8434	Saint Pierre and Miquelon	0	
8435	Suriname	0	
8436	Trinidad and Tobago	0	
8437	Uruguay	0	
8438	Venezuela	2	0.0%
8439	United States of America	24	0.3%
8440	Dominica	0	
8441	Granada	0	
8442	Antigua and Barbuda	0	
8443	Saint Lucia	0	
8444	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0	
8445	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0	
8446	Anguilla	0	
8447	Hawaii	0	
8448	Saint-Martin (France)	0	

W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8449	Saint-Barthélemy	0	
8472	US Virgin Islands	0	
8473	Cayman Islands	0	
8474	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	
8475	Montserrat	0	
8476	British Virgin Islands	0	
8481	Netherlands Antilles	0	
8482	Aruba	0	
8483	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands	0	
8484	Curaçao	0	
8485	Sint Maarten (Netherlands)	0	
8486	Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	
8501	Afghanistan	5	0.1%
8502	Bahrain	0	
8503	Bhutan	0	
8504	Brunei Darussalam	0	
8505	Myanmar	0	
8506	Sri Lanka	12	0.2%
8507	Taiwan (Chinese Taipei)	3	0.0%
8508	China	8	0.1%
8509	Hong Kong	0	
8510	India	6	0.1%
8511	Indonesia	1	0.0%
8512	Iraq	4	0.1%
8513	Iran	5	0.1%
8514	Israel	0	
8515	Japan	2	0.0%
8516	Yemen	0	
8517	Jordan	0	
8518	Cambodia	1	0.0%
8519	Qatar	0	
8521	Kuwait	0	
8522	Laos	0	
8523	Lebanon	4	0.1%
8524	Macao	0	
8525	Malaysia	2	0.0%
8526	Maldives	0	
8527	Oman	0	
8528	Mongolia	0	
8529	Nepal	0	
8530	Korea (North)	0	
8531	North Vietnam	0	
8532	United Arab Emirates	0	
8533	Pakistan	4	0.1%
8534	Philippines	7	0.1%

# W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8535	Saudi Arabia	0	
8536	Sikkim	0	
8537	Singapore	0	
8538	South Yemen	0	
8539	Korea (South)	1	0.0%
8540	South Vietnam	0	
8541	Syria	0	
8542	Thailand	5	0.1%
8543	Tibet	0	
8544	Timor (Portugal)	0	
8545	Vietnam	5	0.1%
8546	Bangladesh	0	
8547	Timor-Leste	0	
8548	French Indochina	0	
8549	North Yemen	0	
8550	Palestine	0	
8551	United Arab Republic	0	
8552	Sarawak	0	
8560	Armenia	1	0.0%
8561	Azerbaijan	0	
8562	Georgia	1	0.0%
8563	Kazakhstan	0	
8564	Kyrgyzstan	0	
8565	Tajikistan	0	
8566	Turkmenistan	0	
8567	Uzbekistan	0	
8571	Abkhazia	0	
8572	Karachayevo-Cherkessia	0	
8573	Kabardino-Balkaria	0	
8574	North Ossetia-Alania	0	
8575	South Ossetia	0	
8576	Ingushetia	0	
8577	Chechnya	0	
8578	Dagestan	0	
8579	Adjarie	0	
8601	Australia	7	0.1%
8602	Fiji	0	
8604	Nauru	0	
8605	Vanuatu	0	
8606	New Caledonia	0	
8607	New Zealand	1	0.0%
8608	Papua New Guinea	0	
8610	Tonga	0	
8611	Wallis and Futuna	0	
8612	Samoa	0	

# W1_3_birthcountry_sample: R's country of birth from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8614	Solomon Islands	0	
8615	Tuvalu	0	
8616	Kiribati	0	
8617	Marshall Islands	0	
8618	Micronesia	0	
8619	Palau	0	
8621	American Samoa	0	
8630	Northern Mariana Islands	0	
8632	Guam	0	
8633	Johnston Island	0	
8635	Midway Islands	0	
8636	Wake Island	0	
8637	United States Minor Outlying Islands	0	
8652	Cocos Islands (Keeling)	0	
8653	Heart and McDonald Islands	0	
8654	Norfolk Island	0	
8655	Christmas Island (Australia)	0	
8671	French Polynesia	0	
8682	Cook Islands	0	
8683	Niue	0	
8684	Tokelau	0	
8685	Pitcairn Islands	0	
8701	Antarctica	0	
8702	Bouvet Island	0	
8703	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	
9996	Other country	0	
9997	Stateless	0	
9998	Don't know	0	
9999	No answer	0	
-9		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	1418	<div></div> 17.9%
2	Bern	1029	<div></div> 13.0%
3	Lucerne	448	<div></div> 5.6%
4	Uri	34	<div></div> 0.4%
5	Schwyz	135	<div></div> 1.7%
6	Obwalden	31	<div></div> 0.4%
7	Nidwalden	40	<div></div> 0.5%
8	Glarus	26	<div></div> 0.3%
9	Zug	116	<div></div> 1.5%

# W1_3_canton_sample: Canton from FSO sample				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	Fribourg	331	<div></div>	4.2%
11	Solothurn	253	<div></div>	3.2%
12	Basel-Stadt	140	<div></div>	1.8%
13	Basel-Landschaft	264	<div></div>	3.3%
14	Schaffhausen	63	<div></div>	0.8%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	51	<div></div>	0.6%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	22	<div></div>	0.3%
17	St. Gallen	387	<div></div>	4.9%
18	Graub�nden	177	<div></div>	2.2%
19	Aargau	666	<div></div>	8.4%
20	Thurgau	221	<div></div>	2.8%
21	Ticino	347	<div></div>	4.4%
22	Vaud	774	<div></div>	9.7%
23	Valais	329	<div></div>	4.1%
24	Neuch�tel	195	<div></div>	2.5%
25	Geneva	367	<div></div>	4.6%
26	Jura	75	<div></div>	0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_3_bigregion_sample: Big region of Switzerland (NUTS II) from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lake Geneva region	1470	<div></div>	18.5%
2	Espace Mittelland	1883	<div></div>	23.7%
3	North-West Switzerland	1070	<div></div>	13.5%
4	Zurich region	1418	<div></div>	17.9%
5	Eastern Switzerland	947	<div></div>	11.9%
6	Central Switzerland	804	<div></div>	10.1%
7	Ticino	347	<div></div>	4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_3_lingreg_sample: Linguistic region from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	German-speaking Switzerland	5573	<div></div>	70.2%
2	French-speaking Switzerland	1982	<div></div>	25.0%
3	Italian-speaking Switzerland	362	<div></div>	4.6%
4	Romansh-speaking Switzerland	22	<div></div>	0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W1_3_hhsize_sample: Household size from FSO sample				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# W1_3_hhsize_sample: Household size from FSO sample			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1135	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2		2990	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
3		1303	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
4		2511	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_foreignerinhh_sample: Foreigner in household from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	7271	<div><div></div></div> 91.6%
1	Yes	668	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_migback_sample: Migration background of R from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Switzerland	6938	<div><div></div></div> 87.4%
2	Neighbour country	385	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
3	Other country	616	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_marital_sample: Marital status from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	4512	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
2	Single	2466	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
3	Widowed	227	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4	Divorced	734	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_ageychildinhh_sample: Age of youngest child in household from FSO sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No children in household	5889	<div><div></div></div> 74.2%
2	0 to 2 years	351	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	3 to 6 years	459	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
4	7 to 17 years	1240	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_taxableincome: Average taxable income per taxpayer in Swiss francs (municipal level, FSO 2016)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34733.333-1068341.3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7888 /-] [Invalid=51 /-] [Mean=77882.877 /-] [StdDev=25721.616 /-]		

# W1_3_smt9: Spatial municipality typology (9 categories, FSO 2017)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-33] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7902 /-] [Invalid=37 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Urban municipality of a large agglomeration	2250	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
12	Urban municipality of a medium-sized agglomeration	1808	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
13	Urban municipality of a small agglomeration or outside	763	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
21	High density periurban municipality	545	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
22	Medium density periurban municipality	945	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
23	Low density periurban municipality	422	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
31	Rural centre municipality	350	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
32	Rural centrally located municipality	611	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
33	Rural peripheral municipality	208	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
Sysmiss		37	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_msize: Municipality size (8 categories, FSO 2018)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	> 100'000 Inhabitants	984	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
2	50'000 - 99'999 Inhabitants	246	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
3	20â€™000 - 49â€™999 Inhabitants	918	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
4	10'000 - 19'999 Inhabitants	1451	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
5	5'000 - 9'999 Inhabitants	1513	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
6	2'000 - 4'999 Inhabitants	1717	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
7	1'000 - 1'999 Inhabitants	734	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
8	< 1'000 Inhabitants	376	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_foreigners: Share of foreigners (municipal level, FSO 2018)			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.242236-57.664234] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23.877 /-] [StdDev=10.274 /-]	
# W1_3_msvp: Swiss People's Party: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.118783-81.041545] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7880 /-] [Invalid=59 /-] [Mean=24.761 /-] [StdDev=11.539 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	26	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_msp: Social Democrats: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.9145803-49.344641] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7815 /-] [Invalid=124 /-] [Mean=17.478 /-] [StdDev=6.732 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	91	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_3_mfdp: Liberals: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.18335167-69.692308] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7784 /-] [Invalid=155 /-] [Mean=15.489 /-] [StdDev=7.31 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	122	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_mgps: Greens: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.16953942-38.378378] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7728 /-] [Invalid=211 /-] [Mean=13.976 /-] [StdDev=6.276 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	178	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_mcvp: Christian Democrats: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-77.814448] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7829 /-] [Invalid=110 /-] [Mean=10.924 /-] [StdDev=10.689 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	77	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_mglp: Green Liberals: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-23.778071] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7627 /-] [Invalid=312 /-] [Mean=8.256 /-] [StdDev=4.257 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	279	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_3_mbdp: Conservative Democrats: vote share in the 2019 National Council elections (municipal level)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-64.576401] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6020 /-] [Invalid=1919 /-] [Mean=2.971 /-] [StdDev=5.139 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	Party did not stand for elections	1886	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_3_f11800main6: Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties, W2&W3 combined			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4019 /-] [Invalid=3920 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	681	16.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	654	16.3%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	364	9.1%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	441	11.0%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	774	19.3%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	731	18.2%
7	Others	374	9.3%
-99	Don't know, no answer, other answer	48	
Sysmiss		3872	

# W2_3_f11800main6: Vote choice 2019, 6 main parties, W2&W3 combined			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_3_voted: Participation in the 2019 election, W2&3 combined			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5243 /-] [Invalid=2696 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Didn't vote	1176	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
1	Voted	4067	<div><div></div></div> 77.6%
-99	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		2669	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_3_weight_p: Weight: Party choice, 6 main parties			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.711-1.511] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.198 /-]		
# W2_3_weight_t: Weight: Turnout			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.581-2.448] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.633 /-]		
# W2_3_weight_tot: Weight: Combined weight_t*weight_p			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.413091-2.448] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.643 /-]		
# W4_intstart: Start Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2020-09-28		687	<div><div></div></div> 27.5%
2020-09-29		357	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2020-09-30		129	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2020-10-01		113	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2020-10-02		82	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2020-10-03		46	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2020-10-04		63	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2020-10-05		63	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2020-10-06		51	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2020-10-07		26	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2020-10-08		156	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
2020-10-09		100	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2020-10-10		68	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2020-10-11		71	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2020-10-12		83	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2020-10-13		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2020-10-14		26	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2020-10-15		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2020-10-16		94	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2020-10-17		30	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%

# W4_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2020-10-18		38	<div></div> 1.5%
2020-10-19		16	<div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-20		13	<div></div> 0.5%
2020-10-21		14	<div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-22		4	<div></div> 0.2%
2020-10-23		14	<div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-24		4	<div></div> 0.2%
2020-10-25		14	<div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-26		34	<div></div> 1.4%
2020-10-27		11	<div></div> 0.4%
2020-10-28		6	<div></div> 0.2%
2020-10-29		8	<div></div> 0.3%
2020-10-30		1	<div></div> 0.0%
2020-10-31		7	<div></div> 0.3%
2020-11-01		12	<div></div> 0.5%
2020-11-02		6	<div></div> 0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_intend: End Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2020-09-28		669	<div></div> 26.8%
2020-09-29		359	<div></div> 14.4%
2020-09-30		133	<div></div> 5.3%
2020-10-01		113	<div></div> 4.5%
2020-10-02		85	<div></div> 3.4%
2020-10-03		48	<div></div> 1.9%
2020-10-04		64	<div></div> 2.6%
2020-10-05		65	<div></div> 2.6%
2020-10-06		52	<div></div> 2.1%
2020-10-07		25	<div></div> 1.0%
2020-10-08		151	<div></div> 6.0%
2020-10-09		103	<div></div> 4.1%
2020-10-10		67	<div></div> 2.7%
2020-10-11		71	<div></div> 2.8%
2020-10-12		83	<div></div> 3.3%
2020-10-13		32	<div></div> 1.3%
2020-10-14		25	<div></div> 1.0%
2020-10-15		21	<div></div> 0.8%
2020-10-16		92	<div></div> 3.7%
2020-10-17		32	<div></div> 1.3%
2020-10-18		39	<div></div> 1.6%
2020-10-19		19	<div></div> 0.8%
2020-10-20		13	<div></div> 0.5%

W4_intend: End Date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2020-10-21		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-22		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2020-10-23		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-24		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2020-10-25		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2020-10-26		34	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2020-10-27		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2020-10-28		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2020-10-29		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2020-10-30		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2020-10-31		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2020-11-01		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2020-11-02		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5.78333333333333-28925.2333333333] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=5440 /-] [Mean=132.729 /-] [StdDev=1036.283 /-]

W4_finished: Completion of questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=5440 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1	Complete questionnaire	2491	<div><div></div></div> 99.7%
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=5440 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Undefined	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1	Yes	613	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	No	1885	<div><div></div></div> 75.4%
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_spr: Language of interview

















Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=5440 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	1830	<div><div></div></div> 73.2%
2	French	576	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
3	Italian	93	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W4_birthyear: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1925-2001] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2483 /-] [Invalid=5456 /-] [Mean=1967.437 /-] [StdDev=17.118 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	16	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_age: Age in years			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19-95] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2483 /-] [Invalid=5456 /-] [Mean=52.563 /-] [StdDev=17.118 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	16	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_agecat: Age in categories			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2483 /-] [Invalid=5456 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	170	<div></div> 6.8%
2	25-34	313	<div></div> 12.6%
3	35-44	314	<div></div> 12.6%
4	45-54	467	<div></div> 18.8%
5	55-64	505	<div></div> 20.3%
6	65-74	464	<div></div> 18.7%
7	75+	250	<div></div> 10.1%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_sex: Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=5440 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1382	<div></div> 55.3%
2	Female	1117	<div></div> 44.7%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=5445 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	475	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
2	Bern	363	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
3	Lucerne	133	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
4	Uri	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	Schwyz	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6	Obwalden	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	Nidwalden	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Glarus	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Zug	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
10	Fribourg	103	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
11	Solothurn	86	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	50	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
13	Basel-Landschaft	91	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
14	Schaffhausen	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17	St. Gallen	108	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
18	GraubÃ¼nden	57	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
19	Aargau	203	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
20	Thurgau	73	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
21	Ticino	93	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
22	Vaud	219	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
23	Valais	102	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
24	NeuchÃ¢tel	53	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
25	Geneva	94	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
26	Jura	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13740: Satisfaction with 2019 election outcome			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2451 /-] [Invalid=5488 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	228	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
2	Fairly satisfied	1729	<div><div></div></div> 70.5%
3	Not very satisfied	440	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
4	Not at all satisfied	54	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13741: Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W4_f13741: Satisfaction with own vote choice in 2019 elections			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2159 /-] [Invalid=5780 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	640	 29.6%
2	Fairly satisfied	1334	 61.8%
3	Not very satisfied	174	 8.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	11	 0.5%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13742: Satisfaction with own decision not to participate in 2019 elections			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=288 /-] [Invalid=7651 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	38	 13.2%
2	Fairly satisfied	130	 45.1%
3	Not very satisfied	101	 35.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	19	 6.6%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		7642	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743a: Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2019 elections			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1956 /-] [Invalid=5983 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	81	 4.1%
2	Fairly satisfied	901	 46.1%
3	Not very satisfied	710	 36.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	264	 13.5%
-99	No answer	52	
8	Don't know	491	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13743b: Satisfaction with performance of CVP since 2019 elections			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1922 /-] [Invalid=6017 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	62	 3.2%
2	Fairly satisfied	805	 41.9%
3	Not very satisfied	791	 41.2%
4	Not at all satisfied	264	 13.7%
-99	No answer	66	
8	Don't know	511	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f13743c: Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2102 /-] [Invalid=5837 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	180	<div><div></div></div>	8.6%
2	Fairly satisfied	974	<div><div></div></div>	46.3%
3	Not very satisfied	542	<div><div></div></div>	25.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	406	<div><div></div></div>	19.3%
-99	No answer	66		
8	Don't know	331		
Sysmiss		5440		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f13743d: Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2087 /-] [Invalid=5852 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	105	<div><div></div></div>	5.0%
2	Fairly satisfied	394	<div><div></div></div>	18.9%
3	Not very satisfied	461	<div><div></div></div>	22.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	1127	<div><div></div></div>	54.0%
-99	No answer	60		
8	Don't know	352		
Sysmiss		5440		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2132 /-] [Invalid=5807 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	294	<div><div></div></div>	13.8%
2	Fairly satisfied	918	<div><div></div></div>	43.1%
3	Not very satisfied	496	<div><div></div></div>	23.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	424	<div><div></div></div>	19.9%
-99	No answer	47		
8	Don't know	320		
Sysmiss		5440		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1981 /-] [Invalid=5958 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	159	<div><div></div></div>	8.0%
2	Fairly satisfied	939	<div><div></div></div>	47.4%
3	Not very satisfied	579	<div><div></div></div>	29.2%
4	Not at all satisfied	304	<div><div></div></div>	15.3%

# W4_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	72	
8	Don't know	446	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newa: Number of seats FDP should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-97/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=5449 /-] [Mean=1.346 /-] [StdDev=0.708 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	5	
-97	Wrong entry	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newb: Number of seats CVP should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/-97/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2485 /-] [Invalid=5454 /-] [Mean=1.037 /-] [StdDev=0.59 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	8	
-97	Wrong entry	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newc: Number of seats SP should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-97/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2491 /-] [Invalid=5448 /-] [Mean=1.543 /-] [StdDev=0.777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	5	
-97	Wrong entry	3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newd: Number of seats SVP should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-97/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2491 /-] [Invalid=5448 /-] [Mean=1.358 /-] [StdDev=0.994 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	2	
-97	Wrong entry	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newe: Number of seats GPS should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-97/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2473 /-] [Invalid=5466 /-] [Mean=0.882 /-] [StdDev=0.806 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	20	
-97	Wrong entry	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newf: Number of seats GLP should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/-97/-99]		

# W4_f13756_newf: Number of seats GLP should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2476 /-] [Invalid=5463 /-] [Mean=0.638 /-] [StdDev=0.667 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	19	
-97	Wrong entry	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f13756_newg: Number of seats [other party] should have in national government (Federal Council)			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=5440 /-] [Mean=-0.495 /-] [StdDev=7.675 /-]	
# W4_f10850rec: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-995] [Missing=*/403/998/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2404 /-] [Invalid=5535 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	411	<div></div> 17.1%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	209	<div></div> 8.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	502	<div></div> 20.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	388	<div></div> 16.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	406	<div></div> 16.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	323	<div></div> 13.4%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	40	<div></div> 1.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	<div></div> 0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	8	<div></div> 0.3%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	46	<div></div> 1.9%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	18	<div></div> 0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	17	<div></div> 0.7%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	19	<div></div> 0.8%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	6	<div></div> 0.2%
402	Would vote for persons, not parties	6	<div></div> 0.2%
995	Other answer	0	
-99	No answer	4	
403	Would vote blank	8	
998	Don't know	83	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10850main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2404 /-] [Invalid=5535 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	388	<div></div> 16.1%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	411	<div></div> 17.1%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	209	<div></div> 8.7%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	323	<div></div> 13.4%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	502	<div></div> 20.9%

# W4_f10850main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	406	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
7	Others	165	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	87	
Sysmiss		5448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2399 /-] [Invalid=5540 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	1106	<div><div></div></div> 46.1%
2	Rather certain	1185	<div><div></div></div> 49.4%
3	Rather uncertain	101	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
4	Very uncertain	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5527	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-207] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2404 /-] [Invalid=5535 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	Other old age provisions	67	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3	Unemployment	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
4	Poverty	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	147	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	274	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
9	Extremism	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Finances	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	Refugees	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
12	Xenophobia, racism	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	Health system	45	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
14	Globalisation	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
17	Climate	313	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
20	Crime	0	
21	Neutrality	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
22	Politicians	0	
23	Political style	0	

W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Political system	12	0.5%
25	Direct democracy	1	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	13	0.5%
28	Security	3	0.1%
29	Social security	1	0.0%
30	Social inequalities	13	0.5%
31	Taxes	1	0.0%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	196	8.2%
34	Economic development	9	0.4%
35	Media	3	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	2	0.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	8	0.3%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0	
39	Nuclear energy	0	
40	Transportation, traffic	6	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	1	0.0%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	9	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	1	0.0%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	76	3.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	55	2.3%
46	Education	5	0.2%
47	Social policy, welfare state	50	2.1%
48	Family policy, upbringing	6	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	28	1.2%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	13	0.5%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	3	0.1%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	0	
59	Work for young people	5	0.2%
60	Overpopulation	24	1.0%
61	Energy, energy policy	8	0.3%
62	Cross-border commuters	2	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	2	0.1%
64	Equal rights	5	0.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	5	0.2%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.2%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	1	0.0%
68	Society in general	7	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	12	0.5%

W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	1	0.0%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	3	0.1%
73	Army	8	0.3%
74	Aging population, demographics	9	0.4%
75	Bureaucracy	3	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	1	0.0%
80	Shift to the right	2	0.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	12	0.5%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	3	0.1%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	0	
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	0	
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	0	
94	Open borders, free movement of people	13	0.5%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	3	0.1%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	1	0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	193	8.0%
102	Capitalism	13	0.5%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	4	0.2%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	1	0.0%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	1	0.0%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	5	0.2%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	3	0.1%
110	Wars, peace policy	0	
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	0	
113	Populism	2	0.1%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	389	16.2%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	109	4.5%
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	17	0.7%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	5	0.2%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	3	0.1%
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	11	0.5%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	6	

# W4_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		89	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2410 /-] [Invalid=5529 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	3	0.1%
2	Economy	90	3.7%
3	Education & culture	5	0.2%
4	Environment & energy	524	21.7%
5	EU, Europe	556	23.1%
6	Finances & taxes	5	0.2%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	6	0.2%
8	Immigration & asylum	200	8.3%
9	Foreign policy & defense	35	1.5%
10	Labour market	57	2.4%
11	Law & order	6	0.2%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	49	2.0%
13	Public health	59	2.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	10	0.4%
15	Regions & national cohesion	18	0.7%
16	Social security/welfare state	236	9.8%
17	Other problems	6	0.2%
18	No problem	1	0.0%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	538	22.3%
98	Don't know	6	0.2%
-99	No answer	89	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-995] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2088 /-] [Invalid=5851 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	464	22.2%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	159	7.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	421	20.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	363	17.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	372	17.8%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	187	9.0%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	24	1.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	0.0%

# W4_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party	0	
310	Right party	0	
320	Center party	0	
350	Other party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
402	No party / rather persons	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
404	Several parties	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
405	All parties together, all	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
-99	No answer	6	
998	Don't know	322	
Sysmiss		5523	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2088 /-] [Invalid=5851 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	363	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	464	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	159	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	187	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	421	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	372	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
7	Others	122	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	328	
Sysmiss		5523	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -99-207] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2326 /-] [Invalid=5613 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	81	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2	Other old age provisions	122	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
3	Unemployment	44	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
4	Poverty	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	184	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
7	Drugs, addictions	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	EU, Europe	148	6.4%
9	Extremism	1	0.0%
10	Finances	19	0.8%
11	Refugees	44	1.9%
12	Xenophobia, racism	3	0.1%
13	Health system	98	4.2%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	1	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	7	0.3%
17	Climate	283	12.2%
18	Consociationalism	0	
19	Health insurance	41	1.8%
20	Crime	3	0.1%
21	Neutrality	0	
22	Politicians	9	0.4%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	17	0.7%
25	Direct democracy	2	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	5	0.2%
28	Security	11	0.5%
29	Social security	3	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	31	1.3%
31	Taxes	11	0.5%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	243	10.4%
34	Economic development	10	0.4%
35	Media	0	
36	Renewable energies	6	0.3%
37	Economic/financial crisis	6	0.3%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	3	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	3	0.1%
40	Transportation, traffic	7	0.3%
41	Banks, banking crisis	1	0.0%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	8	0.3%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	10	0.4%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	48	2.1%
45	Economy, economic policy	77	3.3%
46	Education	22	0.9%
47	Social policy, welfare state	104	4.5%
48	Family policy, upbringing	14	0.6%
49	Labour market, workplace security	47	2.0%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	28	1.2%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	4	0.2%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	3	0.1%

W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	2	0.1%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	2	0.1%
59	Work for young people	4	0.2%
60	Overpopulation	17	0.7%
61	Energy, energy policy	18	0.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	3	0.1%
63	Swiss People's Party	2	0.1%
64	Equal rights	19	0.8%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	2	0.1%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	6	0.3%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	5	0.2%
68	Society in general	8	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	22	0.9%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	3	0.1%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	2	0.1%
73	Army	23	1.0%
74	Aging population, demographics	5	0.2%
75	Bureaucracy	4	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	1	0.0%
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	1	0.0%
80	Shift to the right	10	0.4%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	5	0.2%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	11	0.5%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	0	
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	2	0.1%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	1	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	10	0.4%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	11	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	0	
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	47	2.0%
102	Capitalism	9	0.4%
103	5G	1	0.0%
104	Animal protection	3	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	3	0.1%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	4	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	4	0.2%
108	Data protection	1	0.0%
109	Left, socialism	9	0.4%

W4_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	Wars, peace policy	2	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	0	
113	Populism	2	0.1%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	1	0.0%
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	0	
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	130	5.6%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	54	2.3%
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	2	0.1%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	2	0.1%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	3	0.1%
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	7	0.3%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	14	
99		159	
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2340 /-] [Invalid=5599 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	2	0.1%
2	Economy	118	5.0%
3	Education & culture	23	1.0%
4	Environment & energy	566	24.2%
5	EU, Europe	253	10.8%
6	Finances & taxes	31	1.3%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	24	1.0%
8	Immigration & asylum	260	11.1%
9	Foreign policy & defense	55	2.4%
10	Labour market	104	4.4%
11	Law & order	18	0.8%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	71	3.0%
13	Public health	140	6.0%
14	Public services & infrastructure	15	0.6%
15	Regions & national cohesion	17	0.7%
16	Social security/welfare state	416	17.8%
17	Other problems	14	0.6%
18	No problem	0	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	199	8.5%
98	Don't know	14	0.6%
-99	No answer	159	

# W4_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-995] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2116 /-] [Invalid=5823 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	381	18.0%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	148	7.0%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	588	27.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	305	14.4%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	311	14.7%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	260	12.3%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	18	0.9%
80	Lega - Ticino League	4	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	0.2%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	1	0.0%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	16	0.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	8	0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	13	0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	13	0.6%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party	0	
310	Right party	0	
320	Center party	0	
350	Other party	5	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	21	1.0%
404	Several parties	9	0.4%
405	All parties together, all	11	0.5%
994	Undecided	0	
995	Other answer	0	
-99	No answer	11	
998	Don't know	224	
Sysmiss		5588	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2116 /-] [Invalid=5823 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	305	14.4%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	381	18.0%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	148	7.0%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	260	12.3%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	588	27.8%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	311	14.7%







# W4_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Others	123	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	235	
Sysmiss		5588	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12500: Participation rate in federal votes out of 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2492 /-] [Invalid=5447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 votes out of 10	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
1		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2		34	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
3		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
4		34	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5		78	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
6		57	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
7		129	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
8		260	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
9		245	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
10	10 votes out of 10	1568	<div><div></div></div> 62.9%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10750: Participation in federal vote of September 27, 2020			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=5449 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	150	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
1	Participated	2336	<div><div></div></div> 93.8%
8	Don't know	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10751a: Vote on initiative for a moderate immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2316 /-] [Invalid=5623 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	589	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
2	No (rejected)	1698	<div><div></div></div> 73.3%
3	Blank	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	12	
Sysmiss		5603	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f10751b: Vote on Hunting Law			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=5627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	821	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
2	No (rejected)	1430	<div><div></div></div> 61.9%
3	Blank	61	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	16	
Sysmiss		5603	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10751c: Vote on Child tax deductions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2297 /-] [Invalid=5642 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	683	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
2	No (rejected)	1559	<div><div></div></div> 67.9%
3	Blank	55	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
-99	No answer	9	
8	Don't know	30	
Sysmiss		5603	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10751d: Vote on Paternity leave			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1648	<div><div></div></div> 70.9%
2	No (rejected)	652	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
3	Blank	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	6	
8	Don't know	7	
Sysmiss		5603	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10751e: Vote on Purchase of new fighter jets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1106	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
2	No (rejected)	1164	<div><div></div></div> 50.2%
3	Blank	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't know	8	
Sysmiss		5603	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on initiative for a moderate immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=137 /-] [Invalid=7802 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	22	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
2	Rather in favor	34	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
3	Rather against	33	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
4	Certainly against	48	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	17	
Sysmiss		7785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Hunting law			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=126 /-] [Invalid=7813 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	19	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
2	Rather in favor	31	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
3	Rather against	38	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
4	Certainly against	38	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	28	
Sysmiss		7785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10756c: Hypothetical vote on Child tax deductions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=123 /-] [Invalid=7816 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	17	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
2	Rather in favor	34	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
3	Rather against	34	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
4	Certainly against	38	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	30	
Sysmiss		7785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10756d: Hypothetical vote on Paternity leave			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=152 /-] [Invalid=7787 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	75	<div><div></div></div> 49.3%
2	Rather in favor	46	<div><div></div></div> 30.3%
3	Rather against	15	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4	Certainly against	16	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%

# W4_f10756d: Hypothetical vote on Paternity leave			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	2	
Sysmiss		7785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f10756e: Hypothetical vote on Purchase of new fighter jets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=141 /-] [Invalid=7798 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	23	16.3%
2	Rather in favor	36	25.5%
3	Rather against	34	24.1%
4	Certainly against	48	34.0%
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	13	
Sysmiss		7785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14400a: Probability to vote for FDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2439 /-] [Invalid=5500 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	364	14.9%
1		167	6.8%
2		195	8.0%
3		185	7.6%
4		140	5.7%
5		304	12.5%
6		206	8.4%
7		201	8.2%
8		266	10.9%
9		140	5.7%
10	Very high probability	271	11.1%
-99	No answer	60	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14400b: Probability to vote for CVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing= */-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2410 /-] [Invalid=5529 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	405	16.8%
1		178	7.4%
2		253	10.5%
3		203	8.4%
4		211	8.8%












W4_f14400b: Probability to vote for CVP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		345	 14.3%
6		218	 9.0%
7		199	 8.3%
8		187	 7.8%
9		84	 3.5%
10	Very high probability	127	 5.3%
-99	No answer	89	
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f14400c: Probability to vote for SP












Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2424 /-] [Invalid=5515 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	393	 16.2%
1		140	 5.8%
2		162	 6.7%
3		125	 5.2%
4		132	 5.4%
5		223	 9.2%
6		176	 7.3%
7		179	 7.4%
8		264	 10.9%
9		182	 7.5%
10	Very high probability	448	 18.5%
-99	No answer	75	
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2436 /-] [Invalid=5503 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1125	 46.2%
1		226	 9.3%
2		138	 5.7%
3		93	 3.8%
4		98	 4.0%
5		137	 5.6%
6		75	 3.1%
7		100	 4.1%
8		130	 5.3%
9		88	 3.6%
10	Very high probability	226	 9.3%
-99	No answer	63	
Sysmiss		5440	

# W4_f14400d: Probability to vote for SVP			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14400e: Probability to vote for GPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2417 /-] [Invalid=5522 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	421	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
1		150	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
2		181	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3		135	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
4		125	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5		238	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
6		156	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
7		191	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
8		253	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
9		164	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
10	Very high probability	403	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
-99	No answer	82	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14400f: Probability to vote for GLP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2421 /-] [Invalid=5518 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	332	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
1		138	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2		179	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
3		166	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
4		181	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5		317	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
6		241	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
7		249	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
8		272	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
9		165	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
10	Very high probability	181	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
-99	No answer	78	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14400g: Probability to vote for BDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2395 /-] [Invalid=5544 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	670	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
1		236	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
2		268	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%

W4_f14400g: Probability to vote for BDP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		234	9.8%
4		208	8.7%
5		311	13.0%
6		168	7.0%
7		139	5.8%
8		92	3.8%
9		37	1.5%
10	Very high probability	32	1.3%
-99	No answer	104	
Sysmiss		5440	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f14400h: Probability to vote for Lega

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=86 /-] [Invalid=7853 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	41	47.7%
1		5	5.8%
2		4	4.7%
3		5	5.8%
4		3	3.5%
5		6	7.0%
6		5	5.8%
7		4	4.7%
8		5	5.8%
9		1	1.2%
10	Very high probability	7	8.1%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7846	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f14400i: Probability to vote for MCG

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=90 /-] [Invalid=7849 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low probability	48	53.3%
1		5	5.6%
2		8	8.9%
3		5	5.6%
4		8	8.9%
5		6	6.7%
6		1	1.1%
7		3	3.3%
8		3	3.3%
10	Very high probability	3	3.3%
-99	No answer	4	

# W4_f14400i: Probability to vote for MCG			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		7845	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2428 /-] [Invalid=5511 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	127	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
1		120	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2		245	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
3		315	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
4		293	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
5		375	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
6		268	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
7		304	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
8		240	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
9		64	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
10	Right	77	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
-99	No answer	5	
98	Don't know	66	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2493 /-] [Invalid=5446 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	629	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
2	Fairly satisfied	1590	<div><div></div></div> 63.8%
3	Not very satisfied	244	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2487 /-] [Invalid=5452 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
1		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		35	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
3		60	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
4		66	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5		165	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
6		235	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
7		446	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
8		796	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
9		450	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
10	Full trust	180	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=5449 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		55	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
3		72	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4		143	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
5		310	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
6		432	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
7		578	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
8		595	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
9		190	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
10	Full trust	74	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
-99	No answer	9	

# W4_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2477 /-] [Invalid=5462 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
1		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		90	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
3		127	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4		228	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
5		541	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
6		579	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
7		472	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
8		278	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
9		68	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	Full trust	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	22	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800i: Trust: Federal Administration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2468 /-] [Invalid=5471 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
1		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		67	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
3		91	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4		167	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5		361	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
6		386	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
7		519	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
8		539	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
9		210	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
10	Full trust	70	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
-99	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2484 /-] [Invalid=5455 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%

# W4_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		36	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2		78	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
3		102	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4		172	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
5		310	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
6		405	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
7		538	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
8		543	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
9		210	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
10	Full trust	68	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800h: Trust: Police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2487 /-] [Invalid=5452 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2		50	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
3		76	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
4		77	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
5		212	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
6		289	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
7		437	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
8		656	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
9		431	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
10	Full trust	207	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800j: Trust: Science			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2484 /-] [Invalid=5455 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2		30	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
3		39	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
4		70	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5		177	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
6		203	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
7		375	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
8		666	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%

# W4_f12800j: Trust: Science			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		545	<div></div> 21.9%
10	Full trust	345	<div></div> 13.9%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12800k: Trust: Media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2486 /-] [Invalid=5453 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	152	<div></div> 6.1%
1		135	<div></div> 5.4%
2		223	<div></div> 9.0%
3		264	<div></div> 10.6%
4		271	<div></div> 10.9%
5		436	<div></div> 17.5%
6		370	<div></div> 14.9%
7		329	<div></div> 13.2%
8		229	<div></div> 9.2%
9		65	<div></div> 2.6%
10	Full trust	12	<div></div> 0.5%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2491 /-] [Invalid=5448 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	143	<div></div> 5.7%
2	Rather agree	688	<div></div> 27.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	475	<div></div> 19.1%
4	Rather disagree	1056	<div></div> 42.4%
5	Strongly disagree	129	<div></div> 5.2%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04c: Most politicians are trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2489 /-] [Invalid=5450 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	64	<div></div> 2.6%
2	Rather agree	1052	<div></div> 42.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	718	<div></div> 28.8%
4	Rather disagree	575	<div></div> 23.1%

# W4_Q04c: Most politicians are trustworthy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly disagree	80	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2492 /-] [Invalid=5447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	431	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
2	Rather agree	790	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	481	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
4	Rather disagree	662	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
5	Strongly disagree	128	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04g: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2493 /-] [Invalid=5446 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	190	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
2	Rather agree	700	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	663	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
4	Rather disagree	732	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
5	Strongly disagree	208	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14610: Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=5445 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	Improved slightly	124	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3	Remained the same	286	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
4	Worsened slightly	1324	<div><div></div></div> 53.1%
5	Worsened significantly	753	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15656: Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2487 /-] [Invalid=5452 /-]		

# W4_f15656: Expected national economic conditions in next 12 months			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improve significantly	54	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	Improve slightly	667	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
3	Remain the same	855	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
4	Worsen slightly	782	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
5	Worsen significantly	129	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14620: Personal financial situation in past 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2493 /-] [Invalid=5446 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	61	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	Improved slightly	400	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
3	Remained the same	1515	<div><div></div></div> 60.8%
4	Worsened slightly	398	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
5	Worsened significantly	119	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f29100: Make good ends meet with disposable income?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2495 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1751	<div><div></div></div> 70.2%
2	More or less	628	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
3	No	116	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f14625: Expected financial situation in next 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2495 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improve significantly	69	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2	Improve slightly	375	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
3	Remain the same	1773	<div><div></div></div> 71.1%
4	Worsen slightly	249	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
5	Worsen significantly	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_Q05c: Immigrants are generally good for Switzerland's economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=5445 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	370	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
2	Rather agree	1166	<div><div></div></div> 46.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	503	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4	Rather disagree	356	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5	Strongly disagree	99	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q05g: Switzerland should limit the import of foreign products to protect its national economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2495 /-] [Invalid=5444 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	198	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2	Rather agree	850	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	637	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
4	Rather disagree	652	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
5	Strongly disagree	158	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q05f: The global economic interdependence has gone too far			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2487 /-] [Invalid=5452 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	340	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	Rather agree	960	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	611	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
4	Rather disagree	467	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
5	Strongly disagree	109	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15644: EU restricts the power of the Swiss government too much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2489 /-] [Invalid=5450 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	384	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
2	Rather agree	795	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	512	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
4	Rather disagree	657	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%

# W4_f15644: EU restricts the power of the Swiss government too much			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly disagree	141	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15655: Trade-off: keep bilateral agreements with EU or limit immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2486 /-] [Invalid=5453 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Restrict immigration	268	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
2	Rather restrict immigration	362	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
3	Rather keep bilateral agreements	838	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
4	Keep bilateral agreements	1018	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15640: Opinion on institutional framework agreement with the EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2474 /-] [Invalid=5465 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In favor of the institutional framework agreement	443	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
2	Rather in favor of the institutional framework agreement	1186	<div><div></div></div> 47.9%
3	Rather against the institutional framework agreement	571	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
4	Against the institutional framework agreement	274	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		5441	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15641: Trade-off: accept institutional framework agreement with the EU or keep flanking measures against social dumping			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2433 /-] [Invalid=5506 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Accept the institutional framework agreement	186	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
2	Rather accept the institutional framework agreement	694	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
3	Rather keep flanking measures against social dumping	1126	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
4	Keep flanking measures against social dumping	427	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
-99	No answer	64	
Sysmiss		5442	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2491 /-] [Invalid=5448 /-]		

# W4_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have already gone much too far	49	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	Have rather gone too far	189	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3	Are just about right	726	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
4	Don't go far enough	1155	<div><div></div></div> 46.4%
5	Don't nearly go far enough	372	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5442	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2488 /-] [Invalid=5451 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for more state interventions in the economy	100	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	Rather for more state interventions in the economy	530	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
3	Neither nor	823	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
4	Rather for more free market competition	870	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
5	Strongly for more free market competition	165	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5442	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15470: Opinion on environmental protection vs economic growth			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2486 /-] [Invalid=5453 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Environmental protection much more important	794	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
2	Environmental protection rather more important	858	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
3	Neither nor	544	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
4	Economic growth rather more important	234	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
5	Economic growth much more important	56	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5443	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12810: Government performance in handling coronavirus pandemic			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2493 /-] [Invalid=5446 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	733	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
2	Fairly satisfied	1353	<div><div></div></div> 54.3%
3	Not very satisfied	314	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
4	Not at all satisfied	93	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5443	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f15495: Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=5449 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Privacy and civil rights may be restricted without further a	145	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
1		110	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		256	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
3		398	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
4		317	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
5		451	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
6		165	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
7		180	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
8		183	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
9		66	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	Privacy and civil rights must be protected at all costs	219	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5443	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04h: Elected officials should stop talking and just take action on important problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2492 /-] [Invalid=5447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	471	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
2	Rather agree	1107	<div><div></div></div> 44.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	463	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
4	Rather disagree	352	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
5	Strongly disagree	99	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5443	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04i: Important political decisions should be taken by nonelected, independent experts rather than politicians or the people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2485 /-] [Invalid=5454 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	259	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
2	Rather agree	819	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	508	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Rather disagree	647	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
5	Strongly disagree	252	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5444	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_Q04j: People's health should always come first, even if this entails economic costs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W4_Q04j: People's health should always come first, even if this entails economic costs				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=5449 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	587	<div></div>	23.6%
2	Rather agree	1196	<div></div>	48.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	391	<div></div>	15.7%
4	Rather disagree	258	<div></div>	10.4%
5	Strongly disagree	58	<div></div>	2.3%
-99	No answer	5		
Sysmiss		5444		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_Q04k: Independent judges should be able to overrule the decisions of democratically elected government if the decisions violate the rights of individuals or groups in society				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=5449 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	338	<div></div>	13.6%
2	Rather agree	867	<div></div>	34.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	520	<div></div>	20.9%
4	Rather disagree	556	<div></div>	22.3%
5	Strongly disagree	209	<div></div>	8.4%
-99	No answer	5		
Sysmiss		5444		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_Q04e: Having a strong leader in government is good for Switzerland even if the leader bends the rules to get things done				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2489 /-] [Invalid=5450 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	131	<div></div>	5.3%
2	Rather agree	585	<div></div>	23.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	387	<div></div>	15.5%
4	Rather disagree	798	<div></div>	32.1%
5	Strongly disagree	588	<div></div>	23.6%
-99	No answer	6		
Sysmiss		5444		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W4_f15471a: Measures to achieve climate targets must be put on hold so as not to burden the economy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2482 /-] [Invalid=5457 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	154	<div></div>	6.2%
2	Rather agree	464	<div></div>	18.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	406	<div></div>	16.4%
4	Rather disagree	842	<div></div>	33.9%

# W4_f15471a: Measures to achieve climate targets must be put on hold so as not to burden the economy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly disagree	616	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15471b: Measures must be adopted to achieve the climate targets as well as measures to combat the economic crisis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2479 /-] [Invalid=5460 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	980	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
2	Rather agree	1078	<div><div></div></div> 43.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	180	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	Rather disagree	173	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
5	Strongly disagree	68	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15471c: Measures to combat the economic crisis must be linked to climate policy conditions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2478 /-] [Invalid=5461 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	723	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
2	Rather agree	915	<div><div></div></div> 36.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	316	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
4	Rather disagree	343	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
5	Strongly disagree	181	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15330f: Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-995] [Missing=*/998/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1509 /-] [Invalid=6430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	346	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
20	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	168	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	541	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	149	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	84	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	117	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
70	BDP/PBD - Conservative Democratic Party	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	0	

# W4_f15330f: Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	0	
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	0	
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party	0	
310	Right party	0	
320	Center party	0	
350	Other party	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
402	No party / rather persons	45	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
404	Several parties	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
405	All parties together, all	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
995	Other answer	0	
-99	No answer	27	
998	Don't know	958	
Sysmiss		5445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f15330fmain6: Party most competent to handle coronavirus pandemic, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1509 /-] [Invalid=6430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	149	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	346	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
3	CVP/PDC - Christian Democratic People's Party	168	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	117	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	541	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	84	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
7	Others	104	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	985	
Sysmiss		5445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f12820: Should Confederation or cantons be handling the coronavirus pandemic?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2486 /-] [Invalid=5453 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Confederation must have strong leadership, the cantons s	313	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
2	The Confederation must take on the lead, but the cantons sho	1451	<div><div></div></div> 58.4%
3	The Confederation and the cantons have equal responsibility	603	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
4	The cantons must take on the lead, but the Confederation sho	102	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
5	The cantons must have strong leadership, the Confederation s	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f12825: Should Government or Parliament be handling the coronavirus pandemic?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2477 /-] [Invalid=5462 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Government must have strong leadership, Parliament shoul	292	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2	The Government must take on the lead, but Parliament should	1385	<div><div></div></div> 55.9%
3	The Government and Parliament have equal responsibility	713	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
4	Parliament must take on the lead, but the Government should	70	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5	Parliament must have strong leadership, the Government shoul	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5446	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21300: Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2486 /-] [Invalid=5453 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1829	<div><div></div></div> 73.6%
1	Yes	657	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5446	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21301: Professional change related to coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=656 /-] [Invalid=7283 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	415	<div><div></div></div> 63.3%
1	Yes	241	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f21400: R's current working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=657 /-] [Invalid=7282 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	215	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	254	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	66	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
4	Homemaker	13	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	47	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	10	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7	Unemployed	32	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	20	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W4_f21500: R's current position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=466 /-] [Invalid=7473 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	34	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
2	Employee with supervision function	103	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
3	Employee with operative function	268	<div><div></div></div> 57.5%
4	Self-employed	61	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7470	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=461 /-] [Invalid=7478 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
1000	Managers, nos	16	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	0	
1112	Senior government officials	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	0	
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	0	
1211	Finance managers	0	
1212	Human resource managers	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
1213	Policy and planning managers	0	
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	0	
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	0	
1221	Sales and marketing managers	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	0	
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	0	
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1321	Manufacturing managers	0	
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	0	
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	0	
1340	Professional services managers, nos	0	
1341	Child care services managers	1	0.2%
1342	Health services managers	0	
1343	Aged care services managers	0	
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	4	0.9%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	0	
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	0	
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	0	
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	2	0.4%
1411	Hotel managers	1	0.2%
1412	Restaurant managers	1	0.2%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	0	
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	2	0.4%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	1	0.2%
2000	Professionals, nos	5	1.1%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	0	
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	0	
2112	Meteorologists	0	
2113	Chemists	2	0.4%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	2	0.4%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	0	
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	0	
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	1	0.2%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	1	0.2%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	1	0.2%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	0	
2142	Civil engineers	2	0.4%
2143	Environmental engineers	0	
2144	Mechanical engineers	1	0.2%
2145	Chemical engineers	3	0.7%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	0	
2151	Electrical engineers	0	
2152	Electronics engineers	0	
2153	Telecommunications engineers	0	
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	0	
2161	Building architects	5	1.1%
2162	Landscape architects	0	
2163	Product and garment designers	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2164	Town and traffic planners	1	0.2%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	0	
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	4	0.9%
2200	Health professionals, nos	0	
2210	Medical doctors, nos	3	0.7%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	0	
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	2	0.4%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	0	
2221	Nursing professionals	2	0.4%
2222	Midwifery professionals	0	
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	5	1.1%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	0	
2260	Other health professionals, nos	0	
2261	Dentists	3	0.7%
2262	Pharmacists	0	
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	5	1.1%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	1	0.2%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	0	
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	0	
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	3	0.7%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	2	0.4%
2310	University and higher education teachers	3	0.7%
2320	Vocational education teachers	2	0.4%
2330	Secondary education teachers	20	4.3%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	0	
2341	Primary school teachers	5	1.1%
2342	Early childhood educators	3	0.7%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	0	
2351	Education methods specialists	0	
2352	Special needs teachers	2	0.4%
2353	Other language teachers	0	
2354	Other music teachers	0	
2355	Other arts teachers	0	
2356	Information technology trainers	0	
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.2%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	1	0.2%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	0	
2411	Accountants	4	0.9%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	1	0.2%
2413	Financial analysts	0	
2420	Administration professionals, nos	0	
2421	Management and organisation analysts	1	0.2%
2422	Policy administration professionals	14	3.0%

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	3	0.7%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	0	
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	0	
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	4	0.9%
2432	Public relations professionals	2	0.4%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	0	
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	4	0.9%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	0	
2511	Systems analysts	2	0.4%
2512	Software developers	6	1.3%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	0	
2514	Applications programmers	0	
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	0	
2521	Database designers and administrators	0	
2522	Systems administrators	0	
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	2	0.4%
2611	Lawyers	0	
2612	Judges	0	
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.2%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	0	
2621	Archivists and curators	0	
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	2	0.4%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	2	0.4%
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	0	
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	0	
2634	Psychologists	5	1.1%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	8	1.7%
2636	Religious professionals	1	0.2%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	0	
2641	Authors and related writers	0	
2642	Journalists	1	0.2%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	2	0.4%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	1	0.2%
2651	Visual artists	0	
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	6	1.3%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	1	0.2%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	1	0.2%

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	0	
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	8	1.7%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	2	0.4%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	1	0.2%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	3	0.7%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	3	0.7%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	0	
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	0	
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	1	0.2%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	1	0.2%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	4	0.9%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	2	0.4%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	2	0.4%
3121	Mining supervisors	0	
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	1	0.2%
3123	Construction supervisors	4	0.9%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	0	
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	0	
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	1	0.2%
3142	Agricultural technicians	0	
3143	Forestry technicians	0	
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	0	
3151	Ships' engineers	0	
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	0	
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	4	0.9%
3154	Air traffic controllers	1	0.2%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	0	
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	0	
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	0	
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	0	
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	0	
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	1	0.2%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	0	
3221	Nursing associate professionals	14	3.0%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	1	0.2%
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	0	
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	0	
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	1	0.2%
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	0	
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	3	0.7%
3256	Medical assistants	1	0.2%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	0	
3258	Ambulance workers	0	
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	4	0.9%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	2	0.4%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	0	
3312	Credit and loans officers	1	0.2%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	7	1.5%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	1	0.2%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	0	
3321	Insurance representatives	1	0.2%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	1	0.2%
3323	Buyers	2	0.4%
3324	Trade brokers	3	0.7%
3330	Business services agents, nos	0	
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	0	
3332	Conference and event planners	0	
3333	Employment agents and contractors	0	
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	3	0.7%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	1	0.2%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	0	
3342	Legal secretaries	3	0.7%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	1	0.2%
3344	Medical secretaries	2	0.4%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	0	
3351	Customs and border inspectors	0	
3352	Government tax and excise officials	0	
3353	Government social benefits officials	3	0.7%
3354	Government licensing officials	0	
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	2	0.4%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	0	
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	0	
3412	Social work associate professionals	2	0.4%
3413	Religious associate professionals	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	0	
3421	Athletes and sports players	1	0.2%
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	4	0.9%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	2	0.4%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	0	
3431	Photographers	3	0.7%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	0	
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	1	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	0	
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	0	
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	1	0.2%
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	0	
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	0	
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	0	
3514	Web technicians	0	
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	2	0.4%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	2	0.4%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	0	
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	2	0.4%
4110	General office clerks	6	1.3%
4120	Secretaries (general)	10	2.2%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	0	
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	0	
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	1	0.2%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	0	
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	3	0.7%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	0	
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	0	
4224	Hotel receptionists	0	
4225	Enquiry clerks	0	
4226	Receptionists (general)	2	0.4%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	0	
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	0	
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4313	Payroll clerks	1	0.2%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	1	0.2%
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	2	0.4%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	0	
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	1	0.2%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	0	
4414	Scribes and related workers	0	
4415	Filing and copying clerks	0	
4416	Personnel clerks	0	
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	0	
5100	Personal service workers, nos	1	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	0	
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	4	0.9%
5112	Transport conductors	0	
5113	Travel guides	0	
5120	Cooks	6	1.3%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	4	0.9%
5132	Bartenders	0	
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	1	0.2%
5141	Hairdressers	1	0.2%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	2	0.4%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	0	
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	0	
5152	Domestic housekeepers	1	0.2%
5153	Building caretakers	2	0.4%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	0	
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	0	
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	0	
5165	Driving instructors	0	
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	1	0.2%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	1	0.2%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	0	
5221	Shop keepers	0	
5222	Shop supervisors	0	
5223	Shop sales assistants	18	3.9%

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	1	0.2%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	0	
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5300	Personal care workers, nos	0	
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	1	0.2%
5312	Teachers' aides	0	
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	3	0.7%
5321	Health care assistants	3	0.7%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	4	0.9%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	2	0.4%
5410	Protective services workers, nos	3	0.7%
5411	Fire-fighters	0	
5412	Police officers	1	0.2%
5413	Prison guards	2	0.4%
5414	Security guards	0	
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	0	
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	0	
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	0	
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	1	0.2%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	0	
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	1	0.2%
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	0	
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	2	0.4%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	0	
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	0	
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	0	
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	0	
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	0	
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	0	
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	0	
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	0	
7115	Carpenters and joiners	2	0.4%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	0	
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7121	Roofers	0	
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	1	0.2%
7123	Plasterers	0	
7124	Insulation workers	0	
7125	Glaziers	0	
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	0	
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	0	
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	0	
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	0	
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	0	
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	0	
7213	Sheet-metal workers	0	
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	2	0.4%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	0	
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	1	0.2%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	1	0.2%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	0	
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	0	
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	0	
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	1	0.2%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	1	0.2%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	0	
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	0	
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	1	0.2%
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	0	
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	0	
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	1	0.2%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	1	0.2%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	1	0.2%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	1	0.2%
7321	Pre-press technicians	3	0.7%
7322	Printers	1	0.2%
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	0	
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	0	
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	0	
7411	Building and related electricians	0	
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	3	0.7%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	0	
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	0	
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	0	
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	1	0.2%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	1	0.2%
7513	Dairy-products makers	1	0.2%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	0	
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	0	
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	0	
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	0	
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	0	
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	0	
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	0	
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	0	
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	0.2%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	0	
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.2%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	0	



W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	2	0.4%
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	0	
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	0	
8121	Metal processing plant operators	1	0.2%
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	1	0.2%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	0	
8132	Photographic products machine operators	0	
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	0	
8142	Plastic products machine operators	0	
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	0	
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	0	
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	0	
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	0	
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	0	
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	0	
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	0	
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	0	
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	0	
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	0	
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	0	
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	0	
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	0	
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	0	
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits




Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	1	0.2%
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	2	0.4%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	0	
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	0	
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	0	
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	0	
8344	Lifting truck operators	0	
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	1	0.2%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	0	
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	2	0.4%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	0	
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	0	
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	0	
9211	Crop farm labourers	0	
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	0	
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	0	
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	0	
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	0	
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	0	
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	

W4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	0	
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	1	 0.2%
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	0	
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	1	 0.2%
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	0	
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
-999		4	
Sysmiss		7474	















Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f21700: R's current working sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=466 /-] [Invalid=7473 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	288	 61.8%
2	Public sector	129	 27.7%
3	Other (mixed)	49	 10.5%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=466 /-] [Invalid=7473 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	52	 11.2%
2	Retail and wholesale	25	 5.4%
3	Healthcare and social sector	103	 22.1%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	26	 5.6%
5	Construction	34	 7.3%
6	Teaching and education	49	 10.5%
7	Tourism and business related services	17	 3.6%
8	Financial services	15	 3.2%
9	Hotel and restaurant	21	 4.5%
10	Transportation	27	 5.8%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	24	 5.2%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	4	 0.9%
13	Personal services (hairstylist, interest representation)	9	 1.9%
14	Information and communication	22	 4.7%

# W4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Other	38	<div></div> 8.2%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7471	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W4_f28910: Gross monthly household income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 -/] [Invalid=7289 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	52	<div></div> 8.0%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	51	<div></div> 7.8%
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	43	<div></div> 6.6%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	63	<div></div> 9.7%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	73	<div></div> 11.2%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	52	<div></div> 8.0%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	61	<div></div> 9.4%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	45	<div></div> 6.9%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	38	<div></div> 5.8%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	34	<div></div> 5.2%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	33	<div></div> 5.1%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	33	<div></div> 5.1%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	21	<div></div> 3.2%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	15	<div></div> 2.3%
15	More than 15'000 CHF	36	<div></div> 5.5%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		7283	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W1_4_f21400: R's current working situation, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7915 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2882	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	1991	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	551	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
4	Homemaker	345	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	1724	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	147	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
7	Unemployed	115	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	160	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	24	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f21500: R's current position at work, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4922 /-] [Invalid=3017 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	596	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
2	Employee with supervision function	1313	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
3	Employee with operative function	2405	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
4	Self-employed	608	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		2975	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6405 /-] [Invalid=1534 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Military professions	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1000	Managers, nos	120	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1112	Senior government officials	57	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	127	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1211	Finance managers	35	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1212	Human resource managers	34	0.5%
1213	Policy and planning managers	4	0.1%
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	2	0.0%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	3	0.0%
1221	Sales and marketing managers	76	1.2%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	6	0.1%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	42	0.7%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	5	0.1%
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	14	0.2%
1321	Manufacturing managers	11	0.2%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	13	0.2%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	48	0.7%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	32	0.5%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	7	0.1%
1341	Child care services managers	7	0.1%
1342	Health services managers	11	0.2%
1343	Aged care services managers	2	0.0%
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	20	0.3%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	9	0.1%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	5	0.1%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	2	0.0%
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	17	0.3%
1411	Hotel managers	3	0.0%
1412	Restaurant managers	14	0.2%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	4	0.1%
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	6	0.1%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	3	0.0%
2000	Professionals, nos	47	0.7%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	6	0.1%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	5	0.1%
2112	Meteorologists	2	0.0%
2113	Chemists	5	0.1%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	5	0.1%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	7	0.1%
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	11	0.2%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	10	0.2%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	7	0.1%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	26	0.4%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	5	0.1%
2142	Civil engineers	37	0.6%
2143	Environmental engineers	4	0.1%
2144	Mechanical engineers	42	0.7%
2145	Chemical engineers	12	0.2%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	9	0.1%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	3	0.0%
2151	Electrical engineers	38	0.6%
2152	Electronics engineers	8	0.1%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	3	0.0%
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	5	0.1%
2161	Building architects	64	1.0%
2162	Landscape architects	5	0.1%
2163	Product and garment designers	4	0.1%
2164	Town and traffic planners	4	0.1%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	9	0.1%
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	27	0.4%
2200	Health professionals, nos	4	0.1%
2210	Medical doctors, nos	51	0.8%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	6	0.1%
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	28	0.4%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2221	Nursing professionals	19	0.3%
2222	Midwifery professionals	3	0.0%
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	14	0.2%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	6	0.1%
2260	Other health professionals, nos	2	0.0%
2261	Dentists	9	0.1%
2262	Pharmacists	16	0.2%
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	32	0.5%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	2	0.0%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	8	0.1%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	1	0.0%
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	25	0.4%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	34	0.5%
2310	University and higher education teachers	34	0.5%
2320	Vocational education teachers	36	0.6%
2330	Secondary education teachers	210	3.3%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	5	0.1%
2341	Primary school teachers	128	2.0%
2342	Early childhood educators	35	0.5%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	7	0.1%
2351	Education methods specialists	5	0.1%
2352	Special needs teachers	41	0.6%
2353	Other language teachers	1	0.0%
2354	Other music teachers	1	0.0%
2355	Other arts teachers	6	0.1%
2356	Information technology trainers	1	0.0%
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	3	0.0%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	18	0.3%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	32	0.5%
2411	Accountants	67	1.0%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	42	0.7%
2413	Financial analysts	2	0.0%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	4	0.1%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	24	0.4%
2422	Policy administration professionals	61	1.0%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	33	0.5%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	1	0.0%
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	32	0.5%
2432	Public relations professionals	12	0.2%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	20	0.3%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	65	1.0%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	1	0.0%
2511	Systems analysts	23	0.4%
2512	Software developers	119	1.9%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	6	0.1%
2514	Applications programmers	5	0.1%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	0	
2521	Database designers and administrators	1	0.0%
2522	Systems administrators	1	0.0%
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.0%
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	16	0.2%
2611	Lawyers	34	0.5%
2612	Judges	6	0.1%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	19	0.3%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	1	0.0%
2621	Archivists and curators	4	0.1%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	20	0.3%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	2	0.0%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	6	0.1%
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	6	0.1%
2634	Psychologists	33	0.5%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	79	1.2%
2636	Religious professionals	17	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	1	0.0%
2641	Authors and related writers	3	0.0%
2642	Journalists	32	0.5%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	13	0.2%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	3	0.0%
2651	Visual artists	5	0.1%
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	21	0.3%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	8	0.1%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	3	0.0%
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	74	1.2%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	25	0.4%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	20	0.3%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	22	0.3%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	24	0.4%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	4	0.1%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	3	0.0%
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	15	0.2%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	3	0.0%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	35	0.5%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	41	0.6%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	32	0.5%
3121	Mining supervisors	1	0.0%
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	16	0.2%
3123	Construction supervisors	42	0.7%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	3	0.0%
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	4	0.1%
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	7	0.1%
3142	Agricultural technicians	2	0.0%
3143	Forestry technicians	5	0.1%
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	4	0.1%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3151	Ships' engineers	0	
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	0	
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	6	0.1%
3154	Air traffic controllers	4	0.1%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	12	0.2%
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	3	0.0%
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	12	0.2%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	7	0.1%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	18	0.3%
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	5	0.1%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	5	0.1%
3221	Nursing associate professionals	138	2.2%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	4	0.1%
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	4	0.1%
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	6	0.1%
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	3	0.0%
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	2	0.0%
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	8	0.1%
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	14	0.2%
3256	Medical assistants	30	0.5%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	2	0.0%
3258	Ambulance workers	8	0.1%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	42	0.7%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	76	1.2%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	38	0.6%
3312	Credit and loans officers	8	0.1%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	74	1.2%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	5	0.1%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	1	0.0%
3321	Insurance representatives	37	0.6%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	35	0.5%
3323	Buyers	40	0.6%
3324	Trade brokers	65	1.0%
3330	Business services agents, nos	0	
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	6	0.1%
3332	Conference and event planners	4	0.1%
3333	Employment agents and contractors	3	0.0%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	36	0.6%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	4	0.1%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3341	Office supervisors	13	0.2%
3342	Legal secretaries	12	0.2%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	62	1.0%
3344	Medical secretaries	19	0.3%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	17	0.3%
3351	Customs and border inspectors	7	0.1%
3352	Government tax and excise officials	5	0.1%
3353	Government social benefits officials	11	0.2%
3354	Government licensing officials	1	0.0%
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	7	0.1%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	3	0.0%
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	22	0.3%
3412	Social work associate professionals	30	0.5%
3413	Religious associate professionals	5	0.1%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	1	0.0%
3421	Athletes and sports players	1	0.0%
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	17	0.3%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	8	0.1%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	1	0.0%
3431	Photographers	8	0.1%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	8	0.1%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	14	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	1	0.0%
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	14	0.2%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	7	0.1%
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	1	0.0%
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	8	0.1%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	2	0.0%
3514	Web technicians	2	0.0%
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	6	0.1%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	11	0.2%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	38	0.6%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	8	0.1%
4110	General office clerks	113	1.8%
4120	Secretaries (general)	168	2.6%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	5	0.1%
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	2	0.0%
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	21	0.3%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	6	0.1%
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	15	0.2%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	1	0.0%
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	8	0.1%
4224	Hotel receptionists	2	0.0%
4225	Enquiry clerks	1	0.0%
4226	Receptionists (general)	6	0.1%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	6	0.1%
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	29	0.5%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	12	0.2%
4313	Payroll clerks	3	0.0%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	15	0.2%
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	14	0.2%
4400	Other clerical support workers	1	0.0%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	6	0.1%
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	16	0.2%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	3	0.0%
4414	Scribes and related workers	1	0.0%
4415	Filing and copying clerks	3	0.0%
4416	Personnel clerks	5	0.1%
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	7	0.1%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	10	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	1	0.0%
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	15	0.2%
5112	Transport conductors	3	0.0%
5113	Travel guides	1	0.0%
5120	Cooks	39	0.6%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	18	0.3%
5132	Bartenders	2	0.0%
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	2	0.0%
5141	Hairdressers	30	0.5%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	10	0.2%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	11	0.2%
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.0%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5152	Domestic housekeepers	10	0.2%
5153	Building caretakers	32	0.5%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	1	0.0%
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	1	0.0%
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	4	0.1%
5165	Driving instructors	6	0.1%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	15	0.2%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	3	0.0%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	8	0.1%
5221	Shop keepers	14	0.2%
5222	Shop supervisors	35	0.5%
5223	Shop sales assistants	183	2.9%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	8	0.1%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	1	0.0%
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
5300	Personal care workers, nos	11	0.2%
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	24	0.4%
5312	Teachers' aides	2	0.0%
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	33	0.5%
5321	Health care assistants	22	0.3%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	46	0.7%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	16	0.2%
5400	Protective services workers, nos	0	
5410	Protective services workers, nos	5	0.1%
5411	Fire-fighters	2	0.0%
5412	Police officers	33	0.5%
5413	Prison guards	4	0.1%
5414	Security guards	5	0.1%
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	4	0.1%
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	2	0.0%
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	2	0.0%

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	3	0.0%
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	23	0.4%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	1	0.0%
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	2	0.0%
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.0%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	65	1.0%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	1	0.0%
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	1	0.0%
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	12	0.2%
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	0	
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	3	0.0%
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	12	0.2%
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	2	0.0%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	3	0.0%
7115	Carpenters and joiners	32	0.5%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	1	0.0%
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	3	0.0%
7121	Roofers	5	0.1%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	6	0.1%
7123	Plasterers	4	0.1%
7124	Insulation workers	3	0.0%
7125	Glaziers	1	0.0%
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	22	0.3%
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	3	0.0%
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	13	0.2%
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	1	0.0%
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	35	0.5%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7212	Welders and flamecutters	1	0.0%
7213	Sheet-metal workers	2	0.0%
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	12	0.2%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	1	0.0%
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	2	0.0%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	7	0.1%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	5	0.1%
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	0	
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	22	0.3%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	41	0.6%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	3	0.0%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	12	0.2%
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	2	0.0%
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	1	0.0%
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	0	
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	20	0.3%
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	2	0.0%
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	4	0.1%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	2	0.0%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	2	0.0%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	7	0.1%
7321	Pre-press technicians	20	0.3%
7322	Printers	6	0.1%
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	3	0.0%
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	6	0.1%
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	1	0.0%
7411	Building and related electricians	18	0.3%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	39	0.6%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	3	0.0%
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	21	0.3%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	5	0.1%
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	12	0.2%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	15	0.2%
7513	Dairy-products makers	10	0.2%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	1	0.0%
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	17	0.3%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	1	0.0%
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	6	0.1%
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	8	0.1%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	1	0.0%
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	4	0.1%
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	1	0.0%
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	0.0%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	1	0.0%
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	9	0.1%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	5	0.1%
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	8	0.1%
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	2	0.0%
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	2	0.0%
8121	Metal processing plant operators	1	0.0%
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	2	0.0%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	3	0.0%
8132	Photographic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	2	0.0%
8142	Plastic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	1	0.0%
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	1	0.0%
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	2	0.0%
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	1	0.0%
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	8	0.1%
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	1	0.0%
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	2	0.0%
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	1	0.0%
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	4	0.1%
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	7	0.1%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	1	0.0%
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	20	0.3%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	8	0.1%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	1	0.0%
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	11	0.2%
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	24	0.4%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	30	0.5%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	1	0.0%
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	1	0.0%
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.0%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	18	0.3%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	1	0.0%
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	22	0.3%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	3	0.0%
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	8	0.1%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	5	0.1%
9211	Crop farm labourers	1	0.0%
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	1	0.0%
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	

W1_4_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1 updated with W4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	7	0.1%
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	3	0.0%
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	3	0.0%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	4	0.1%
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	1	0.0%
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	2	0.0%
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	4	0.1%
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
-999		84	
Sysmiss		1450	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_4_f21700: R's current working sector, W1 updated with W4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4928 /-] [Invalid=3011 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	3062	62.1%
2	Public sector	1443	29.3%
3	Other (mixed)	423	8.6%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W1_4_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4901 /-] [Invalid=3038 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	667	13.6%
2	Retail and wholesale	364	7.4%
3	Healthcare and social sector	864	17.6%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	317	6.5%
5	Construction	435	8.9%
6	Teaching and education	546	11.1%
7	Tourism and business related services	145	3.0%
8	Financial services	352	7.2%
9	Hotel and restaurant	125	2.6%
10	Transportation	177	3.6%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	366	7.5%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	93	1.9%
13	Personal services (hairstylist, interest representation)	137	2.8%
14	Information and communication	258	5.3%
15	Other	55	1.1%
-99	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		2990	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_4_f28910: Gross monthly household income, W1 updated with W4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7606 /-] [Invalid=333 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	542	7.1%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	444	5.8%
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	499	6.6%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	730	9.6%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	810	10.6%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	723	9.5%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	706	9.3%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	584	7.7%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	482	6.3%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	421	5.5%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	361	4.7%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	296	3.9%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	222	2.9%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	185	2.4%
15	More than 15'000 CHF	601	7.9%
-99	No answer	289	
Sysmiss		44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_intstart: Start Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# W5_intstart: Start Date			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2021-09-23		1	0.0%
2021-09-27		463	19.9%
2021-09-28		215	9.3%
2021-09-29		266	11.5%
2021-09-30		138	5.9%
2021-10-01		86	3.7%
2021-10-02		60	2.6%
2021-10-03		90	3.9%
2021-10-04		85	3.7%
2021-10-05		57	2.5%
2021-10-06		41	1.8%
2021-10-07		172	7.4%
2021-10-08		108	4.6%
2021-10-09		58	2.5%
2021-10-10		78	3.4%
2021-10-11		65	2.8%
2021-10-12		35	1.5%
2021-10-13		30	1.3%
2021-10-14		20	0.9%
2021-10-15		19	0.8%
2021-10-16		13	0.6%
2021-10-17		13	0.6%
2021-10-18		89	3.8%
2021-10-19		37	1.6%
2021-10-20		23	1.0%
2021-10-21		8	0.3%
2021-10-22		14	0.6%
2021-10-23		8	0.3%
2021-10-24		3	0.1%
2021-10-25		4	0.2%
2021-10-26		6	0.3%
2021-10-27		8	0.3%
2021-10-28		2	0.1%
2021-10-29		3	0.1%
2021-10-30		1	0.0%
2021-11-01		4	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_intend: End Date			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2021-09-27		450	19.4%
2021-09-28		216	9.3%

# W5_intend: End Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2021-09-29		264	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
2021-09-30		135	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2021-10-01		87	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2021-10-02		60	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2021-10-03		90	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2021-10-04		88	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2021-10-05		57	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2021-10-06		40	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2021-10-07		171	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2021-10-08		110	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2021-10-09		59	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2021-10-10		79	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2021-10-11		65	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2021-10-12		35	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2021-10-13		31	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2021-10-14		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2021-10-15		19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2021-10-16		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2021-10-17		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2021-10-18		93	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2021-10-19		39	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2021-10-20		23	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2021-10-21		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2021-10-22		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2021-10-23		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2021-10-24		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2021-10-25		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2021-10-26		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2021-10-27		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2021-10-28		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2021-10-29		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2021-10-30		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2021-11-01		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.31666666666667-43728.85] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-] [Mean=231.588 /-] [StdDev=2187.142 /-]		
# W5_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
1	Complete questionnaire	2313	<div><div></div></div> 99.6%
Sysmiss		5616	

# W5_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_mobile: Survey taken on mobile phone			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 -/] [Invalid=5616 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mobile	480	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
2	Desktop	1843	<div><div></div></div> 79.3%
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_spr: Language of interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 -/] [Invalid=5616 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	1694	<div><div></div></div> 72.9%
2	French	539	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
3	Italian	90	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_birthyear: Year of birth			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1925-2001] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 -/] [Invalid=5616 -/] [Mean=1967.212 -/] [StdDev=16.966 -/]	
# W5_age: Age in years			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20-96] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 -/] [Invalid=5616 -/] [Mean=53.788 -/] [StdDev=16.966 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_agecat: Age in categories			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 -/] [Invalid=5616 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	114	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2	25-34	306	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
3	35-44	276	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
4	45-54	408	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
5	55-64	501	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
6	65-74	466	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
7	75+	252	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_sex: Gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-99]	

# W5_sex: Gender			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1298	<div><div></div></div> 55.9%
2	Female	1025	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	443	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
2	Bern	328	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
3	Lucerne	122	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
4	Uri	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	Schwyz	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6	Obwalden	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	Nidwalden	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
8	Glarus	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Zug	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
10	Fribourg	106	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
11	Solothurn	75	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
12	Basel-Stadt	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
13	Basel-Landschaft	91	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
14	Schaffhausen	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17	St. Gallen	103	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
18	GraubÃ¼nden	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
19	Aargau	187	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
20	Thurgau	65	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
21	Ticino	89	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
22	Vaud	192	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
23	Valais	107	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
24	NeuchÃ¢tel	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
25	Geneva	91	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
26	Jura	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10850rec: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-402] [Missing=*/403/998/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=5768 /-]	

W5_f10850rec: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	377	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	254	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	479	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	349	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	286	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	311	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
350	Other party	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
402	Would vote for persons, not parties	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	7	
403	Would vote blank	18	
998	Don't know	127	
Sysmiss		5616	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10850main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=5768 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	349	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	377	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	254	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	311	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	479	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	286	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
7	Others	115	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	152	
Sysmiss		5616	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2188 /-] [Invalid=5751 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	815	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
2	Rather certain	1248	<div><div></div></div> 57.0%
3	Rather uncertain	111	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4	Very uncertain	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

# W5_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5748	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f13743a: Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1970 /-] [Invalid=5969 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	58	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
2	Fairly satisfied	870	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
3	Not very satisfied	739	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	303	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
-99	No answer	51	
8	Don't know	302	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f13743b: Satisfaction with performance of The Centre (CVP/BDP) since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1907 /-] [Invalid=6032 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	69	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	Fairly satisfied	855	<div><div></div></div> 44.8%
3	Not very satisfied	721	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	262	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
-99	No answer	78	
8	Don't know	338	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f13743c: Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2035 /-] [Invalid=5904 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	152	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
2	Fairly satisfied	848	<div><div></div></div> 41.7%
3	Not very satisfied	552	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	483	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
-99	No answer	73	
8	Don't know	215	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f13743d: Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=5881 /-]		

# W5_f13743d: Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	104	<div><div></div></div>	5.1%
2	Fairly satisfied	394	<div><div></div></div>	19.1%
3	Not very satisfied	410	<div><div></div></div>	19.9%
4	Not at all satisfied	1150	<div><div></div></div>	55.9%
-99	No answer	55		
8	Don't know	210		
Sysmiss		5616		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2025 /-] [Invalid=5914 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	167	<div><div></div></div>	8.2%
2	Fairly satisfied	822	<div><div></div></div>	40.6%
3	Not very satisfied	557	<div><div></div></div>	27.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	479	<div><div></div></div>	23.7%
-99	No answer	71		
8	Don't know	227		
Sysmiss		5616		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1925 /-] [Invalid=6014 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	118	<div><div></div></div>	6.1%
2	Fairly satisfied	816	<div><div></div></div>	42.4%
3	Not very satisfied	653	<div><div></div></div>	33.9%
4	Not at all satisfied	338	<div><div></div></div>	17.6%
-99	No answer	72		
8	Don't know	326		
Sysmiss		5616		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f12500: Participation rate in federal votes out of 10				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2322 /-] [Invalid=5617 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	0 votes out of 10	17	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
1		16	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
2		21	<div><div></div></div>	0.9%
3		31	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
4		25	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
5		89	<div><div></div></div>	3.8%
6		60	<div><div></div></div>	2.6%

# W5_f12500: Participation rate in federal votes out of 10			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		99	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
8		234	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
9		285	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
10	10 votes out of 10	1445	<div><div></div></div> 62.2%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10750: Participation in federal vote of September 26, 2021			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	249	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
1	Participated	2069	<div><div></div></div> 89.2%
8	Don't know	1	0.0%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10751a: 99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2055 /-] [Invalid=5884 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	773	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
2	No (rejected)	1213	<div><div></div></div> 59.0%
3	Blank	69	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	14	
Sysmiss		5870	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10751b: Marriage for all			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2042 /-] [Invalid=5897 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1464	<div><div></div></div> 71.7%
2	No (rejected)	530	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
3	Blank	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
-99	No answer	22	
8	Don't know	5	
Sysmiss		5870	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on 99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=235 /-] [Invalid=7704 /-]		

# W5_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on 99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	39	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
2	Rather in favor	48	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
3	Rather against	43	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
4	Certainly against	92	<div><div></div></div> 39.1%
5	Blank	13	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	14	
Sysmiss		7689	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Marriage for all			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=242 /-] [Invalid=7697 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	115	<div><div></div></div> 47.5%
2	Rather in favor	65	<div><div></div></div> 26.9%
3	Rather against	30	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
4	Certainly against	20	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
5	Blank	12	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
-99	No answer	4	
8	Don't know	4	
Sysmiss		7689	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10761a: Voting recommendation of party that R would vote for in next election (W5_f10850rec), 99% initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1583 /-] [Invalid=6356 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accept)	610	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
2	No (reject)	934	<div><div></div></div> 59.0%
3	Blank	39	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
-99	No answer	395	
8	Don't know	345	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10761b: Voting recommendation of party that R would vote for in next election (W5_f10850rec), marriage for all			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1701 /-] [Invalid=6238 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accept)	1399	<div><div></div></div> 82.2%
2	No (reject)	243	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
3	Blank	59	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
-99	No answer	394	
8	Don't know	228	












W5_f10761b: Voting recommendation of party that R would vote for in next election (W5_f10850rec), marriage for all

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5616	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10758a: Personal importance of vote: 99% initiative (popular initiative 'relieving wages, taxing capital fairly')












Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2056 /-] [Invalid=5883 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	122	 5.9%
1		45	 2.2%
2		116	 5.6%
3		143	 7.0%
4		94	 4.6%
5		292	 14.2%
6		207	 10.1%
7		245	 11.9%
8		319	 15.5%
9		138	 6.7%
10	Very important	335	 16.3%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5876	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Marriage for all

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2052 /-] [Invalid=5887 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	156	 7.6%
1		60	 2.9%
2		107	 5.2%
3		93	 4.5%
4		68	 3.3%
5		241	 11.7%
6		135	 6.6%
7		161	 7.8%
8		245	 11.9%
9		190	 9.3%
10	Very important	596	 29.0%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5876	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10770: Participation in federal vote of June 13, 2021

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2237 /-] [Invalid=5702 /-]

# W5_f10770: Participation in federal vote of June 13, 2021			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	150	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
1	Participated	2087	<div><div></div></div> 93.3%
-99	No answer	11	
8	Don't remember	75	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10771a: Popular initiative 'For clean drinking water and healthy food'			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1983 /-] [Invalid=5956 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1179	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%
2	No (rejected)	765	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
3	Blank	39	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	2	
8	Don't remember	102	
Sysmiss		5852	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10771b: Popular initiative 'For a Switzerland without artificial pesticides'			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2006 /-] [Invalid=5933 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1074	<div><div></div></div> 53.5%
2	No (rejected)	898	<div><div></div></div> 44.8%
3	Blank	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
-99	No answer	9	
8	Don't remember	72	
Sysmiss		5852	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10771c: COVID-19 Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1972 /-] [Invalid=5967 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1512	<div><div></div></div> 76.7%
2	No (rejected)	428	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
3	Blank	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	15	
8	Don't remember	100	
Sysmiss		5852	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10771d: CO2 Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1946 /-] [Invalid=5993 /-]		

# W5_f10771d: CO2 Act			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1232	<div><div></div></div> 63.3%
2	No (rejected)	681	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
3	Blank	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
-99	No answer	13	
8	Don't remember	128	
Sysmiss		5852	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10771e: Federal Act on Police Measures to Combat Terrorism (PMCT)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1903 /-] [Invalid=6036 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1167	<div><div></div></div> 61.3%
2	No (rejected)	696	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
3	Blank	40	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
-99	No answer	9	
8	Don't remember	175	
Sysmiss		5852	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10759a: Personal importance of vote: Popular initiative 'For clean drinking water and healthy food'			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2062 /-] [Invalid=5877 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1		20	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		43	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3		59	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4		71	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
5		243	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
6		142	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
7		245	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
8		369	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
9		204	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
10	Very important	630	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5868	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10759b: Personal importance of vote: Popular initiative 'For a Switzerland without artificial pesticides'			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2060 /-] [Invalid=5879 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		47	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%

W5_f10759b: Personal importance of vote: Popular initiative 'For a Switzerland without artificial pesticides'

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		66	3.2%
4		78	3.8%
5		253	12.3%
6		149	7.2%
7		236	11.5%
8		362	17.6%
9		210	10.2%
10	Very important	610	29.6%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5868	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10759c: Personal importance of vote: 'COVID-19 Act'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2050 /-] [Invalid=5889 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	42	2.0%
1		17	0.8%
2		47	2.3%
3		56	2.7%
4		54	2.6%
5		225	11.0%
6		153	7.5%
7		194	9.5%
8		347	16.9%
9		248	12.1%
10	Very important	667	32.5%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		5868	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f10759d: Personal importance of vote: CO2 Act

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2043 /-] [Invalid=5896 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	33	1.6%
1		12	0.6%
2		36	1.8%
3		41	2.0%
4		45	2.2%
5		187	9.2%
6		131	6.4%
7		175	8.6%
8		317	15.5%
9		261	12.8%
10	Very important	805	39.4%

# W5_f10759d: Personal importance of vote: CO2 Act			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		5868	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f10759e: Personal importance of vote: 'Federal Act on Police Measures to Combat Terrorism (PMCT)'			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2050 /-] [Invalid=5889 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	50	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
1		39	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		85	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
3		85	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4		95	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
5		302	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
6		204	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
7		235	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
8		335	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
9		184	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
10	Very important	436	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
-99	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		5868	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f13700: Satisfaction with democracy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2318 /-] [Invalid=5621 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	541	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
2	Fairly satisfied	1498	<div><div></div></div> 64.6%
3	Not very satisfied	233	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=5619 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	58	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
1		19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		46	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
3		63	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
4		61	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5		165	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
6		171	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%

# W5_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		374	<div></div> 16.1%
8		736	<div></div> 31.7%
9		453	<div></div> 19.5%
10	Full trust	174	<div></div> 7.5%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2315 /-] [Invalid=5624 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	27	<div></div> 1.2%
1		26	<div></div> 1.1%
2		60	<div></div> 2.6%
3		78	<div></div> 3.4%
4		101	<div></div> 4.4%
5		289	<div></div> 12.5%
6		378	<div></div> 16.3%
7		529	<div></div> 22.9%
8		569	<div></div> 24.6%
9		190	<div></div> 8.2%
10	Full trust	68	<div></div> 2.9%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W5_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2311 /-] [Invalid=5628 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	34	<div><div></div></div>	1.5%
1		49	<div><div></div></div>	2.1%
2		82	<div><div></div></div>	3.5%
3		121	<div><div></div></div>	5.2%
4		216	<div><div></div></div>	9.3%
5		493	<div><div></div></div>	21.3%
6		473	<div><div></div></div>	20.5%
7		477	<div><div></div></div>	20.6%
8		285	<div><div></div></div>	12.3%
9		59	<div><div></div></div>	2.6%
10	Full trust	22	<div><div></div></div>	1.0%
-99	No answer	12		
Sysmiss		5616		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f12800i: Trust: Federal Administration				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2301 /-] [Invalid=5638 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	39	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
1		25	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
2		52	<div><div></div></div>	2.3%
3		89	<div><div></div></div>	3.9%
4		135	<div><div></div></div>	5.9%
5		289	<div><div></div></div>	12.6%
6		325	<div><div></div></div>	14.1%
7		496	<div><div></div></div>	21.6%
8		531	<div><div></div></div>	23.1%
9		246	<div><div></div></div>	10.7%
10	Full trust	74	<div><div></div></div>	3.2%
-99	No answer	22		
Sysmiss		5616		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=5627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	29	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
1		28	<div><div></div></div>	1.2%
2		46	<div><div></div></div>	2.0%
3		92	<div><div></div></div>	4.0%
4		128	<div><div></div></div>	5.5%

# W5_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		288	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
6		286	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
7		535	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
8		548	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
9		256	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
10	Full trust	76	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12800h: Trust: Police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2318 /-] [Invalid=5621 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
1		26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2		29	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
3		54	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
4		70	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
5		177	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
6		207	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
7		409	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
8		658	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
9		445	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
10	Full trust	217	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12800j: Trust: Science			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2314 /-] [Invalid=5625 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
1		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
3		33	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
4		54	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5		149	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
6		153	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
7		294	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
8		549	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
9		612	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
10	Full trust	417	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5616	

# W5_f12800j: Trust: Science			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12800k: Trust: Media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	165	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
1		133	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2		187	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
3		275	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4		216	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5		423	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
6		338	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
7		275	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
8		227	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
9		68	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
10	Full trust	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_Q10a: People like me don't have any say about what the government does			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=5619 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	186	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
2	Rather agree	585	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	398	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
4	Rather disagree	885	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
5	Strongly disagree	266	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_Q10b: Government does not really care about what people like me think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=5619 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	180	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	Rather agree	546	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	409	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
4	Rather disagree	937	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
5	Strongly disagree	248	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W5_Q10c: I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2320 /-] [Invalid=5619 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	378	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
2	Rather agree	1243	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	380	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
4	Rather disagree	278	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
5	Strongly disagree	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_Q10d: Most people in Switzerland are better informed about politics and the government than I am			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2	Rather agree	215	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	623	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
4	Rather disagree	1033	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
5	Strongly disagree	407	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f14610: Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	238	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
2	Improved slightly	899	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
3	Remained the same	497	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
4	Worsened slightly	565	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
5	Worsened significantly	122	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f14620: Personal financial situation in past 12 months			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2309 /-] [Invalid=5630 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	103	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	Improved slightly	399	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
3	Remained the same	1429	<div><div></div></div> 61.9%
4	Worsened slightly	300	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%

# W5_f14620: Personal financial situation in past 12 months			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Worsened significantly	78	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f29100: Make good ends meet with disposable income?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2322 /-] [Invalid=5617 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1640	<div><div></div></div> 70.6%
2	More or less	579	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
3	No	103	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for more state interventions in the economy	157	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2	Rather for more state interventions in the economy	578	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
3	Neither nor	636	<div><div></div></div> 27.4%
4	Rather for more free market competition	796	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
5	Strongly for more free market competition	152	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15440: Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=5622 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for equal opportunities	588	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
2	Rather for equal opportunities	813	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
3	Neither nor	227	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
4	Rather for better opportunities for the Swiss	555	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
5	Strongly for better opportunities for the Swiss	134	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15425: Opinion on cooperation with the EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-]		

# W5_f15425: Opinion on cooperation with the EU			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of strengthening the cooperation with the	429	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
2	Rather in favor of strengthening the cooperation with the EU	886	<div><div></div></div> 38.2%
3	Neither nor	299	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
4	Rather in favor of increasing independence vis-À-vis the EU	493	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
5	Strongly in favor of increasing independence vis-À-vis the	214	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15431: Opinion on EU membership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1613 /-] [Invalid=6326 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	117	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
2	Rather in favor	384	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
3	Neither nor	285	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
4	Rather against	561	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
5	Strongly against	266	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		6325	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=5622 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have already gone much too far	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2	Have rather gone too far	184	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3	Are just about right	632	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
4	Don't go far enough	1162	<div><div></div></div> 50.2%
5	Don't nearly go far enough	306	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15478: We worry too much about the environment and not enough about costs of living and jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2316 /-] [Invalid=5623 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	133	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2	Rather agree	446	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	452	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
4	Rather disagree	706	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
5	Strongly disagree	579	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5616	

# W5_f15478: We worry too much about the environment and not enough about costs of living and jobs			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15810: Opinion on abandoning the use of fossil fuels from 2050 onwards			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2322 /-] [Invalid=5617 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	836	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
2	Rather in favor	762	<div><div></div></div> 32.8%
3	Neither nor	241	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
4	Rather against	333	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5	Strongly against	150	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15811: Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	236	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
2	Rather in favor	521	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
3	Neither nor	251	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
4	Rather against	658	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
5	Strongly against	655	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15813: Opinion on increasing the minimum franchise under the compulsory health insurance scheme			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2317 /-] [Invalid=5622 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	108	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2	Rather in favor	337	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
3	Neither nor	428	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
4	Rather against	802	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
5	Strongly against	642	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2248 /-] [Invalid=5691 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	61	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
1		77	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%

# W5_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		231	<div></div> 10.3%
3		326	<div></div> 14.5%
4		263	<div></div> 11.7%
5		385	<div></div> 17.1%
6		244	<div></div> 10.9%
7		301	<div></div> 13.4%
8		263	<div></div> 11.7%
9		63	<div></div> 2.8%
10	Right	34	<div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	1	
98	Don't know	74	
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=5731 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	43	<div></div> 1.9%
2	Other old age provisions	78	<div></div> 3.5%
3	Unemployment	8	<div></div> 0.4%
4	Poverty	9	<div></div> 0.4%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	6	<div></div> 0.3%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	50	<div></div> 2.3%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	262	<div></div> 11.9%
9	Extremism	7	<div></div> 0.3%
10	Finances	7	<div></div> 0.3%
11	Refugees	8	<div></div> 0.4%
12	Xenophobia, racism	2	<div></div> 0.1%
13	Health system	33	<div></div> 1.5%
14	Globalisation	1	<div></div> 0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	0	
16	Integration of immigrants	5	<div></div> 0.2%
17	Climate	267	<div></div> 12.1%
18	Consociationalism	2	<div></div> 0.1%
19	Health insurance	6	<div></div> 0.3%
20	Crime	2	<div></div> 0.1%
21	Neutrality	2	<div></div> 0.1%
22	Politicians	9	<div></div> 0.4%
23	Political style	3	<div></div> 0.1%
24	Political system	21	<div></div> 1.0%
25	Direct democracy	2	<div></div> 0.1%
27	Party polarisation	8	<div></div> 0.4%
28	Security	7	<div></div> 0.3%

W5_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Social security	2	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	18	0.8%
31	Taxes	3	0.1%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	228	10.3%
34	Economic development	1	0.0%
35	Media	7	0.3%
36	Renewable energies	10	0.5%
37	Economic/financial crisis	1	0.0%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0	
39	Nuclear energy	5	0.2%
40	Transportation, traffic	5	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	1	0.0%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	9	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	1	0.0%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	37	1.7%
45	Economy, economic policy	31	1.4%
46	Education	6	0.3%
47	Social policy, welfare state	30	1.4%
48	Family policy, upbringing	6	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	18	0.8%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	35	1.6%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	5	0.2%
54	Vandalism, violence	3	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	1	0.0%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	1	0.0%
59	Work for young people	4	0.2%
60	Overpopulation	17	0.8%
61	Energy, energy policy	40	1.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	1	0.0%
63	Swiss People's Party	5	0.2%
64	Equal rights	12	0.5%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	32	1.4%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	3	0.1%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	1	0.0%
68	Society in general	11	0.5%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	13	0.6%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	3	0.1%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	1	0.0%
73	Army	0	
74	Aging population, demographics	2	0.1%

W5_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75	Bureaucracy	1	0.0%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	6	0.3%
80	Shift to the right	2	0.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	7	0.3%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	8	0.4%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	3	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	4	0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	1	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	0	
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	0.0%
96	Other	13	0.6%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	0	
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	26	1.2%
102	Capitalism	1	0.0%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	1	0.0%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	0	
106	Digitisation, robotisation	4	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	4	0.2%
108	Data protection	2	0.1%
109	Left, socialism	5	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	2	0.1%
111	Islam, islamism	2	0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	0	
113	Populism	1	0.0%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	5	0.2%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	461	20.9%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	21	1.0%
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	31	1.4%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	56	2.5%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	54	2.4%
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	28	1.3%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	101	
98	Don't know	13	
Sysmiss		5617	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W5_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=5731 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	1	0.0%
2	Economy	45	2.0%
3	Education & culture	6	0.3%
4	Environment & energy	552	25.0%
5	EU, Europe	325	14.7%
6	Finances & taxes	10	0.5%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	18	0.8%
8	Immigration & asylum	74	3.4%
9	Foreign policy & defense	24	1.1%
10	Labour market	35	1.6%
11	Law & order	21	1.0%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	78	3.5%
13	Public health	39	1.8%
14	Public services & infrastructure	9	0.4%
15	Regions & national cohesion	52	2.4%
16	Social security/welfare state	245	11.1%
17	Other problems	23	1.0%
18	No problem	0	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	651	29.5%
-99	No answer	101	
98	Don't know	13	
Sysmiss		5617	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-994] [Missing=*/998/995/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1823 /-] [Invalid=6116 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	322	17.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	179	9.8%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	343	18.8%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	310	17.0%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	317	17.4%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	221	12.1%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	8	0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	18	1.0%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	10	0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	10	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÄ G - Ensemble Ä	7	0.4%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party	0	

# W5_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
310	Right party	0	
320	Center party	0	
350	Other party	6	0.3%
402	No party / rather persons	48	2.6%
404	Several parties	4	0.2%
405	All parties together, all	17	0.9%
994	Undecided	0	
-99	No answer	7	
995	Other answer	1	
998	Don't know	390	
Sysmiss		5718	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1823 /-] [Invalid=6116 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	310	17.0%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	322	17.7%
3	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	179	9.8%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	221	12.1%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	343	18.8%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	317	17.4%
7	Others	131	7.2%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	398	
Sysmiss		5718	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2134 /-] [Invalid=5805 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	74	3.5%
2	Other old age provisions	115	5.4%
3	Unemployment	13	0.6%
4	Poverty	15	0.7%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	17	0.8%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	87	4.1%
7	Drugs, addictions	3	0.1%
8	EU, Europe	204	9.6%
9	Extremism	5	0.2%
10	Finances	12	0.6%
11	Refugees	14	0.7%
12	Xenophobia, racism	2	0.1%
13	Health system	70	3.3%
14	Globalisation	0	

W5_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Youth unemployment	2	0.1%
16	Integration of immigrants	12	0.6%
17	Climate	190	8.9%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	21	1.0%
20	Crime	4	0.2%
21	Neutrality	2	0.1%
22	Politicians	5	0.2%
23	Political style	7	0.3%
24	Political system	12	0.6%
25	Direct democracy	1	0.0%
27	Party polarisation	5	0.2%
28	Security	10	0.5%
29	Social security	3	0.1%
30	Social inequalities	30	1.4%
31	Taxes	18	0.8%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	341	16.0%
34	Economic development	1	0.0%
35	Media	3	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	8	0.4%
37	Economic/financial crisis	3	0.1%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	1	0.0%
39	Nuclear energy	4	0.2%
40	Transportation, traffic	11	0.5%
41	Banks, banking crisis	8	0.4%
42	Lobbying, money in politics	9	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	10	0.5%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	16	0.7%
45	Economy, economic policy	73	3.4%
46	Education	13	0.6%
47	Social policy, welfare state	60	2.8%
48	Family policy, upbringing	6	0.3%
49	Labour market, workplace security	45	2.1%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	60	2.8%
51	Fear, politics of fear	0	
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	2	0.1%
54	Vandalism, violence	3	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	2	0.1%
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	5	0.2%
59	Work for young people	5	0.2%
60	Overpopulation	14	0.7%

W5_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61	Energy, energy policy	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
62	Cross-border commuters	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
63	Swiss People's Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
64	Equal rights	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
68	Society in general	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
73	Army	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
75	Bureaucracy	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
80	Shift to the right	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
82	Invalidity insurance	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
84	Independence, autonomy	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	0	
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
96	Other	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
102	Capitalism	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	0	
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
108	Data protection	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
109	Left, socialism	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
110	Wars, peace policy	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
111	Islam, islamism	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
112	Corruption, cronyism	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
113	Populism	0	
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	0	

W5_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	4	0.2%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	125	5.9%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	11	0.5%
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	8	0.4%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	12	0.6%
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	6	0.3%
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	4	0.2%
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	63	
98	Don't know	22	
Sysmiss		5720	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2134 /-] [Invalid=5805 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	11	0.5%
2	Economy	104	4.9%
3	Education & culture	13	0.6%
4	Environment & energy	602	28.2%
5	EU, Europe	231	10.8%
6	Finances & taxes	30	1.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	54	2.5%
8	Immigration & asylum	138	6.5%
9	Foreign policy & defense	42	2.0%
10	Labour market	66	3.1%
11	Law & order	25	1.2%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	65	3.0%
13	Public health	94	4.4%
14	Public services & infrastructure	27	1.3%
15	Regions & national cohesion	35	1.6%
16	Social security/welfare state	390	18.3%
17	Other problems	40	1.9%
18	No problem	1	0.0%
19	COVID-19 pandemic	166	7.8%
-99	No answer	63	
98	Don't know	22	
Sysmiss		5720	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-994] [Missing=*/998/995/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1772 /-] [Invalid=6167 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	282	15.9%

# W5_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	170	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	411	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	267	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	282	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	252	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party	0	
310	Right party	0	
320	Center party	0	
350	Other party	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
402	No party / rather persons	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
404	Several parties	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
405	All parties together, all	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
994	Undecided	0	
-99	No answer	8	
995	Other answer	2	
998	Don't know	375	
Sysmiss		5782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1772 /-] [Invalid=6167 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	267	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	282	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
3	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	170	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	252	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	411	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	282	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
7	Others	108	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	385	
Sysmiss		5782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310a: Importance of EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2306 /-] [Invalid=5633 /-]		

# W5_f15310a: Importance of EU policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	338	<div></div> 14.7%
2	Very important	972	<div></div> 42.2%
3	Rather important	806	<div></div> 35.0%
4	Rather not important	190	<div></div> 8.2%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310b: Importance of migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2309 /-] [Invalid=5630 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	370	<div></div> 16.0%
2	Very important	937	<div></div> 40.6%
3	Rather important	819	<div></div> 35.5%
4	Rather not important	183	<div></div> 7.9%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310c: Importance of social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2311 /-] [Invalid=5628 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	541	<div></div> 23.4%
2	Very important	1068	<div></div> 46.2%
3	Rather important	611	<div></div> 26.4%
4	Rather not important	91	<div></div> 3.9%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310d: Importance of environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2310 /-] [Invalid=5629 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	963	<div></div> 41.7%
2	Very important	833	<div></div> 36.1%
3	Rather important	445	<div></div> 19.3%
4	Rather not important	69	<div></div> 3.0%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310e: Importance of economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W5_f15310e: Importance of economic policy			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2305 /-] [Invalid=5634 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	345	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
2	Very important	1169	<div><div></div></div> 50.7%
3	Rather important	704	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
4	Rather not important	87	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310g: Importance of health policy			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2309 /-] [Invalid=5630 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	625	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
2	Very important	1198	<div><div></div></div> 51.9%
3	Rather important	447	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
4	Rather not important	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310a_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310a Importance of EU policy			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-] [Mean=3.536 /-] [StdDev=1.685 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		356	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
2		386	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
3		405	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
4		389	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
5		403	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
6		382	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310b_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310b Importance of migration policy			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-] [Mean=3.483 /-] [StdDev=1.692 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		381	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
2		404	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3		367	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4		421	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
5		379	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
6		369	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W5_f15310c_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310c Importance of social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-] [Mean=3.58 /-] [StdDev=1.694 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		359	<div></div> 15.5%
2		361	<div></div> 15.6%
3		385	<div></div> 16.6%
4		405	<div></div> 17.4%
5		412	<div></div> 17.8%
6		399	<div></div> 17.2%
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310d_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310d Importance of environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-] [Mean=3.428 /-] [StdDev=1.714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		414	<div></div> 17.8%
2		398	<div></div> 17.1%
3		403	<div></div> 17.4%
4		363	<div></div> 15.6%
5		373	<div></div> 16.1%
6		370	<div></div> 15.9%
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310e_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310e Importance of economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-] [Mean=3.498 /-] [StdDev=1.744 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		418	<div></div> 18.0%
2		372	<div></div> 16.0%
3		373	<div></div> 16.1%
4		362	<div></div> 15.6%
5		387	<div></div> 16.7%
6		409	<div></div> 17.6%
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15310g_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310g Importance of health policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2321 /-] [Invalid=5618 /-] [Mean=3.476 /-] [StdDev=1.715 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		393	<div></div> 16.9%
2		400	<div></div> 17.2%
3		388	<div></div> 16.7%
4		381	<div></div> 16.4%

# W5_f15310g_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15310g Importance of health policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		367	<div></div> 15.8%
6		392	<div></div> 16.9%
Sysmiss		5618	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340a: Own opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2300 /-] [Invalid=5639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	623	<div></div> 27.1%
2	Rather in favor	1004	<div></div> 43.7%
3	Neither in favor nor against	371	<div></div> 16.1%
4	Rather against	214	<div></div> 9.3%
5	Strongly against	88	<div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2311 /-] [Invalid=5628 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	396	<div></div> 17.1%
2	Rather in favor	560	<div></div> 24.2%
3	Neither in favor nor against	592	<div></div> 25.6%
4	Rather against	537	<div></div> 23.2%
5	Strongly against	226	<div></div> 9.8%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340c: Own opinion on federal financial aid for external childcare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2310 /-] [Invalid=5629 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	410	<div></div> 17.7%
2	Rather in favor	809	<div></div> 35.0%
3	Neither in favor nor against	522	<div></div> 22.6%
4	Rather against	427	<div></div> 18.5%
5	Strongly against	142	<div></div> 6.1%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2309 /-] [Invalid=5630 /-]		

# W5_f15340d: Own opinion on strengthening environmental protection			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1045	<div><div></div></div> 45.3%
2	Rather in favor	836	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
3	Neither in favor nor against	281	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4	Rather against	115	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
5	Strongly against	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340e: Own opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2308 /-] [Invalid=5631 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	584	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
2	Rather in favor	1080	<div><div></div></div> 46.8%
3	Neither in favor nor against	509	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
4	Rather against	109	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
5	Strongly against	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340a_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15340a Opinion on additional bilateral agreements with EU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-] [Mean=2.962 /-] [StdDev=1.423 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		489	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
2		474	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
3		446	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
4		457	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
5		453	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340b_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15340b Opinion on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-] [Mean=3.025 /-] [StdDev=1.396 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		435	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
2		465	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
3		487	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
4		471	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
5		461	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W5_f15340c_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15340c Opinion on federal financial aid for external childcare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-] [Mean=2.977 /-] [StdDev=1.419 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		481	<div></div> 20.7%
2		462	<div></div> 19.9%
3		462	<div></div> 19.9%
4		457	<div></div> 19.7%
5		457	<div></div> 19.7%
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340d_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15340d Opinion on strengthening environmental protection			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-] [Mean=3.011 /-] [StdDev=1.413 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		464	<div></div> 20.0%
2		450	<div></div> 19.4%
3		463	<div></div> 20.0%
4		481	<div></div> 20.7%
5		461	<div></div> 19.9%
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15340e_RandOrd: Random order of question W5_f15340e Opinion on strengthening economic competitiveness			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2319 /-] [Invalid=5620 /-] [Mean=3.025 /-] [StdDev=1.419 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		450	<div></div> 19.4%
2		468	<div></div> 20.2%
3		461	<div></div> 19.9%
4		453	<div></div> 19.5%
5		487	<div></div> 21.0%
Sysmiss		5620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15320a: Party that cares most about EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1005 /-] [Invalid=6934 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	385	<div></div> 38.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	242	<div></div> 24.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	252	<div></div> 25.1%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	15	<div></div> 1.5%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	42	<div></div> 4.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	

# W5_f15320a: Party that cares most about EU policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	65	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
20	Others	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	15	
98	Don't know	165	
Sysmiss		6754	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15320b: Party that cares most about migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1042 /-] [Invalid=6897 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	329	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	620	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	32	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
20	Others	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	11	
98	Don't know	92	
Sysmiss		6794	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15320c: Party that cares most about social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1101 /-] [Invalid=6838 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	936	<div><div></div></div> 85.0%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	24	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	72	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
20	Others	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	11	
98	Don't know	67	
Sysmiss		6760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15320d: Party that cares most about environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1083 /-] [Invalid=6856 /-]		

W5_f15320d: Party that cares most about environmental policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	11	1.0%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	24	2.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	13	1.2%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	890	82.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	130	12.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	11	1.0%
20	Others	4	0.4%
-99	No answer	11	
98	Don't know	39	
Sysmiss		6806	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f15320e: Party that cares most about economic policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1049 /-] [Invalid=6890 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	762	72.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	41	3.9%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	169	16.1%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	2	0.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	26	2.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	0.1%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	42	4.0%
20	Others	5	0.5%
-99	No answer	14	
98	Don't know	93	
Sysmiss		6783	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f15320g: Party that cares most about health policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=936 /-] [Invalid=7003 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	71	7.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	517	55.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	54	5.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	26	2.8%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	19	2.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	0.3%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	242	25.9%
20	Others	4	0.4%

# W5_f15320g: Party that cares most about health policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	15	
98	Don't know	207	
Sysmiss		6781	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15330a: Party most competent in EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=939 /-] [Invalid=7000 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	335	<div></div> 35.7%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	208	<div></div> 22.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	162	<div></div> 17.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	18	<div></div> 1.9%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	87	<div></div> 9.3%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0	
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	125	<div></div> 13.3%
20	Others	4	<div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	14	
98	Don't know	232	
Sysmiss		6754	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15330b: Party most competent in migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=909 /-] [Invalid=7030 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	118	<div></div> 13.0%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	344	<div></div> 37.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	212	<div></div> 23.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	28	<div></div> 3.1%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	54	<div></div> 5.9%
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	<div></div> 0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	<div></div> 0.3%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	142	<div></div> 15.6%
20	Others	7	<div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	17	
98	Don't know	218	
Sysmiss		6795	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15330c: Party most competent in social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1010 /-] [Invalid=6929 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	68	<div></div> 6.7%

# W5_f15330c: Party most competent in social policy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	649	<div></div>	64.3%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	59	<div></div>	5.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	26	<div></div>	2.6%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	39	<div></div>	3.9%
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	<div></div>	0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	163	<div></div>	16.1%
20	Others	5	<div></div>	0.5%
-99	No answer	17		
98	Don't know	151		
Sysmiss		6761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f15330d: Party most competent in environmental policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1011 /-] [Invalid=6928 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	56	<div></div>	5.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	47	<div></div>	4.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	52	<div></div>	5.1%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	552	<div></div>	54.6%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	265	<div></div>	26.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0		
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	34	<div></div>	3.4%
20	Others	5	<div></div>	0.5%
-99	No answer	16		
98	Don't know	106		
Sysmiss		6806		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W5_f15330e: Party most competent in economic policy				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=963 /-] [Invalid=6976 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	556	<div></div>	57.7%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	94	<div></div>	9.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	123	<div></div>	12.8%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	15	<div></div>	1.6%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	101	<div></div>	10.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	0		
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	69	<div></div>	7.2%
20	Others	5	<div></div>	0.5%
-99	No answer	12		
98	Don't know	180		

# W5_f15330e: Party most competent in economic policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		6784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15330g: Party most competent in health policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=867 /-] [Invalid=7072 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	109	<div></div> 12.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	383	<div></div> 44.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	54	<div></div> 6.2%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	32	<div></div> 3.7%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	42	<div></div> 4.8%
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	<div></div> 0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	<div></div> 0.2%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	240	<div></div> 27.7%
20	Others	4	<div></div> 0.5%
-99	No answer	27	
98	Don't know	263	
Sysmiss		6782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15332a: Party second most competent in EU policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=876 /-] [Invalid=7063 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	245	<div></div> 28.0%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	141	<div></div> 16.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	50	<div></div> 5.7%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	72	<div></div> 8.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	125	<div></div> 14.3%
8	Lega - Ticino League	4	<div></div> 0.5%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	<div></div> 0.2%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	234	<div></div> 26.7%
20	Others	3	<div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	11	
98	Don't know	60	
Sysmiss		6992	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15332b: Party second most competent in migration policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=837 /-] [Invalid=7102 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	197	<div></div> 23.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	137	<div></div> 16.4%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	44	<div></div> 5.3%

# W5_f15332b: Party second most competent in migration policy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	138	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	109	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	199	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
20	Others	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	11	
98	Don't know	69	
Sysmiss		7022	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15332c: Party second most competent in social policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=952 /-] [Invalid=6987 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	130	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	134	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	60	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	221	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	127	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	267	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
20	Others	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	16	
98	Don't know	50	
Sysmiss		6921	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15332d: Party second most competent in environmental policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=963 /-] [Invalid=6976 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	98	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	225	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	22	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	205	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	318	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0	
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	91	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
20	Others	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
-99	No answer	4	
98	Don't know	49	
Sysmiss		6923	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W5_f15332e: Party second most competent in economic policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=910 /-] [Invalid=7029 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	157	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	115	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	181	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	47	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	158	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	244	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
20	Others	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	8	
98	Don't know	47	
Sysmiss		6974	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15332g: Party second most competent in health policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=784 /-] [Invalid=7155 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	169	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	182	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	37	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	97	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	80	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
8	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	The Centre (CVP/ BDP)	213	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
20	Others	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	12	
98	Don't know	81	
Sysmiss		7062	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_randiss1: First random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EU Policy	408	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
2	Migration policy	360	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
3	Social policy	403	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
4	Environmental policy	402	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
5	Economic policy	362	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
6	Health policy	388	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
Sysmiss		5616	

# W5_randiss1: First random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_randiss2: Second random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EU Policy	382	<div></div> 16.4%
2	Migration policy	387	<div></div> 16.7%
3	Social policy	388	<div></div> 16.7%
4	Environmental policy	374	<div></div> 16.1%
5	Economic policy	417	<div></div> 18.0%
6	Health policy	375	<div></div> 16.1%
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_randiss3: Third random issue seen in Issue Ownership questions (W5_f15320, W5_f15330, W5_f15332)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=5616 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EU Policy	395	<div></div> 17.0%
2	Migration policy	400	<div></div> 17.2%
3	Social policy	391	<div></div> 16.8%
4	Environmental policy	360	<div></div> 15.5%
5	Economic policy	380	<div></div> 16.4%
6	Health policy	397	<div></div> 17.1%
Sysmiss		5616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12810a: Performance of the Federal Council in handling coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2307 /-] [Invalid=5632 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	780	<div></div> 33.8%
2	Fairly satisfied	1040	<div></div> 45.1%
3	Not very satisfied	307	<div></div> 13.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	180	<div></div> 7.8%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12810b: Performance of the Government in my canton in handling coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2296 /-] [Invalid=5643 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	481	<div></div> 20.9%
2	Fairly satisfied	1266	<div></div> 55.1%
3	Not very satisfied	421	<div></div> 18.3%

# W5_f12810b: Performance of the Government in my canton in handling coronavirus pandemic			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not at all satisfied	128	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12812: Satisfaction with how the scientific task force has advised the authorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2311 /-] [Invalid=5628 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	575	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
2	Fairly satisfied	1203	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
3	Not very satisfied	351	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
4	Not at all satisfied	182	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15330f: Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1441 /-] [Invalid=6498 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	280	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	542	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	245	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	94	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
8	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	218	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
20	Others	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
-99	No answer	82	
98	Don't know	793	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12830a: Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for particularly affected industries (e.g. culture, gastronomy)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2277 /-] [Invalid=5662 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too low	110	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2	Too low	593	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
3	Appropriate	1452	<div><div></div></div> 63.8%
4	Too high	107	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
5	Much too high	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	39	

W5_f12830a: Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for particularly affected industries (e.g. culture, gastronomy)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f12830b: Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for self-employed persons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2261 /-] [Invalid=5678 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too low	141	6.2%
2	Too low	748	33.1%
3	Appropriate	1286	56.9%
4	Too high	76	3.4%
5	Much too high	10	0.4%
-99	No answer	55	
Sysmiss		5623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f12830c: Evaluation of financial support by federal government and cantons for low-income earners

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2257 /-] [Invalid=5682 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too low	270	12.0%
2	Too low	991	43.9%
3	Appropriate	964	42.7%
4	Too high	24	1.1%
5	Much too high	8	0.4%
-99	No answer	59	
Sysmiss		5623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f12831a: I am concerned about the high level of public debt in CH in the coming years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2304 /-] [Invalid=5635 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	250	10.9%
2	Rather agree	665	28.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	455	19.7%
4	Rather disagree	722	31.3%
5	Strongly disagree	212	9.2%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W5_f12831b: Large public sector investments are needed to stimulate the economy in the coming years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2293 /-] [Invalid=5646 /-]

# W5_f12831b: Large public sector investments are needed to stimulate the economy in the coming years			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	154	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2	Rather agree	727	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	741	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
4	Rather disagree	563	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
5	Strongly disagree	108	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12832: The vaccination is a good protection measure against the coronavirus			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2313 /-] [Invalid=5626 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1553	<div><div></div></div> 67.1%
2	Rather agree	395	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	116	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
4	Rather disagree	98	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
5	Strongly disagree	151	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f12833: Opinion on COVID certificate requirement in publicly accessible indoor spaces (e.g., restaurant, cinema)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2314 /-] [Invalid=5625 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1239	<div><div></div></div> 53.5%
2	Rather in favor	465	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
3	Neither nor	122	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
4	Rather against	193	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
5	Strongly against	295	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f15495: Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=5627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Privacy and civil rights may be restricted without further a	224	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
1		141	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
2		262	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
3		306	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
4		203	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
5		374	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%

# W5_f15495: Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		120	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
7		187	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
8		139	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
9		69	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
10	Privacy and civil rights must be protected at all costs	287	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_E11: Current marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2315 /-] [Invalid=5624 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or in registered partnership	1364	<div><div></div></div> 58.9%
2	Widowed	72	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
3	Divorced or separated	245	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4	Single	634	<div><div></div></div> 27.4%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5623	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f20500: Household size, including R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2295 /-] [Invalid=5644 /-] [Mean=2.502 /-] [StdDev=1.182 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	21	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f20710: Number of children under 18 in the household			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1881 /-] [Invalid=6058 /-] [Mean=0.458 /-] [StdDev=0.861 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	61	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f21310: R's highest level of education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=5627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	0	
2	Primary school	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
3	Secondary school	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	Basic vocational training	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	584	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
6	Diploma school	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
7	Trading school	110	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%

# W5_f21310: R's highest level of education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	74	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	152	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	284	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
11	Higher vocational college: for technical, economics, social	167	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	322	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	521	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
14	Other	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5624	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f21300: Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=5627 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1760	<div><div></div></div> 76.1%
1	Yes	552	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5624	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f21301: Professional change related to coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=552 /-] [Invalid=7387 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	413	<div><div></div></div> 74.8%
1	Yes	139	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7387	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f21400: R's current working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=552 /-] [Invalid=7387 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	187	<div><div></div></div> 33.9%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	197	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	45	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4	Homemaker	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5	Retired (AHV)	56	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
7	Unemployed	29	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	18	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7387	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W5_f21500: R's current position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=383 /-] [Invalid=7556 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	39	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
2	Employee with supervision function	85	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
3	Employee with operative function	226	<div><div></div></div> 59.0%
4	Self-employed	33	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7555	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=7562 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
1000	Managers, nos	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	0	
1112	Senior government officials	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	0	
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1211	Finance managers	0	
1212	Human resource managers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1213	Policy and planning managers	0	
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	0	
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	0	
1221	Sales and marketing managers	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	0	
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	0	
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	0	
1321	Manufacturing managers	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	0	

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	3	0.8%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	0	
1341	Child care services managers	1	0.3%
1342	Health services managers	0	
1343	Aged care services managers	0	
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	1	0.3%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	0	
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	0	
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	0	
1411	Hotel managers	1	0.3%
1412	Restaurant managers	0	
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	0	
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	1	0.3%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	0	
2000	Professionals, nos	3	0.8%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	0	
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	1	0.3%
2112	Meteorologists	0	
2113	Chemists	0	
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	0	
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	0	
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	2	0.5%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	0	
2133	Environmental protection professionals	2	0.5%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	2	0.5%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	0	
2142	Civil engineers	2	0.5%
2143	Environmental engineers	0	
2144	Mechanical engineers	3	0.8%
2145	Chemical engineers	1	0.3%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	0	
2151	Electrical engineers	0	
2152	Electronics engineers	0	
2153	Telecommunications engineers	0	
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	0	
2161	Building architects	8	2.1%
2162	Landscape architects	0	
2163	Product and garment designers	0	

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2164	Town and traffic planners	1	0.3%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	0	
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	0	
2200	Health professionals, nos	0	
2210	Medical doctors, nos	6	1.6%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	0	
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	2	0.5%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2221	Nursing professionals	1	0.3%
2222	Midwifery professionals	0	
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	4	1.1%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	0	
2260	Other health professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2261	Dentists	1	0.3%
2262	Pharmacists	0	
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	1	0.3%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	1	0.3%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	0	
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	0	
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	2	0.5%
2310	University and higher education teachers	2	0.5%
2320	Vocational education teachers	4	1.1%
2330	Secondary education teachers	17	4.5%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	0	
2341	Primary school teachers	12	3.2%
2342	Early childhood educators	2	0.5%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	2	0.5%
2351	Education methods specialists	0	
2352	Special needs teachers	0	
2353	Other language teachers	0	
2354	Other music teachers	0	
2355	Other arts teachers	0	
2356	Information technology trainers	0	
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2411	Accountants	4	1.1%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	1	0.3%
2413	Financial analysts	1	0.3%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	0	
2421	Management and organisation analysts	2	0.5%
2422	Policy administration professionals	4	1.1%

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	5	1.3%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	0	
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	0	
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	4	1.1%
2432	Public relations professionals	3	0.8%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	0	
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	3	0.8%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	0	
2511	Systems analysts	1	0.3%
2512	Software developers	8	2.1%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	0	
2514	Applications programmers	1	0.3%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2521	Database designers and administrators	0	
2522	Systems administrators	0	
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2611	Lawyers	1	0.3%
2612	Judges	0	
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	0	
2621	Archivists and curators	1	0.3%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	3	0.8%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	1	0.3%
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	2	0.5%
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	0	
2634	Psychologists	3	0.8%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	9	2.4%
2636	Religious professionals	1	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	0	
2641	Authors and related writers	1	0.3%
2642	Journalists	4	1.1%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	0	
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	0	
2651	Visual artists	0	
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	2	0.5%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	0	
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	1	0.3%

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	0	
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	4	1.1%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	3	0.8%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	0	
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	1	0.3%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	0	
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	0	
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	0	
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	1	0.3%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	0	
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	4	1.1%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	4	1.1%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	0	
3121	Mining supervisors	0	
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	0	
3123	Construction supervisors	2	0.5%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	0	
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	0	
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	0	
3142	Agricultural technicians	0	
3143	Forestry technicians	0	
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	0	
3151	Ships' engineers	0	
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	0	
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	3	0.8%
3154	Air traffic controllers	0	
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	0	
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	0	
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	1	0.3%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	2	0.5%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	0	
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	0	
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	0	
3221	Nursing associate professionals	10	2.7%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	0	
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	0	

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	1	0.3%
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	0	
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	0	
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	0	
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	3	0.8%
3256	Medical assistants	1	0.3%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	0	
3258	Ambulance workers	2	0.5%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	1	0.3%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	1	0.3%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	1	0.3%
3312	Credit and loans officers	1	0.3%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	4	1.1%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	1	0.3%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	1	0.3%
3321	Insurance representatives	2	0.5%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	3	0.8%
3323	Buyers	1	0.3%
3324	Trade brokers	0	
3330	Business services agents, nos	0	
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	1	0.3%
3332	Conference and event planners	0	
3333	Employment agents and contractors	1	0.3%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	1	0.3%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	0	
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	1	0.3%
3342	Legal secretaries	0	
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	3	0.8%
3344	Medical secretaries	0	
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	0	
3351	Customs and border inspectors	0	
3352	Government tax and excise officials	0	
3353	Government social benefits officials	1	0.3%
3354	Government licensing officials	0	
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	0	
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	0	
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	2	0.5%
3412	Social work associate professionals	4	1.1%
3413	Religious associate professionals	2	0.5%

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	0	
3421	Athletes and sports players	0	
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	0	
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	2	0.5%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	0	
3431	Photographers	0	
3432	Interior designers and decorators	0	
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	1	0.3%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	0	
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	1	0.3%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	0	
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	0	
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	1	0.3%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	1	0.3%
3514	Web technicians	0	
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	2	0.5%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	0	
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	0	
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	1	0.3%
4110	General office clerks	7	1.9%
4120	Secretaries (general)	6	1.6%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	0	
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	0	
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	1	0.3%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	0	
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	1	0.3%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	0	
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	0	
4224	Hotel receptionists	0	
4225	Enquiry clerks	0	
4226	Receptionists (general)	1	0.3%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	0	
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	1	0.3%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	0	

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4313	Payroll clerks	0	
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	0	
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	2	0.5%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	0	
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	1	0.3%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	0	
4414	Scribes and related workers	0	
4415	Filing and copying clerks	0	
4416	Personnel clerks	0	
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	0	
5100	Personal service workers, nos	1	0.3%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	0	
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	4	1.1%
5112	Transport conductors	0	
5113	Travel guides	0	
5120	Cooks	4	1.1%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	3	0.8%
5132	Bartenders	0	
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	0	
5141	Hairdressers	0	
5142	Beauticians and related workers	0	
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	0	
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.3%
5152	Domestic housekeepers	0	
5153	Building caretakers	3	0.8%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	0	
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	0	
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	1	0.3%
5165	Driving instructors	1	0.3%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	1	0.3%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	0	
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	1	0.3%
5221	Shop keepers	0	
5222	Shop supervisors	1	0.3%
5223	Shop sales assistants	10	2.7%

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	0	
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	0	
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	1	0.3%
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5300	Personal care workers, nos	0	
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	0	
5312	Teachers' aides	0	
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	3	0.8%
5321	Health care assistants	0	
5322	Home-based personal care workers	5	1.3%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	0	
5400		1	0.3%
5410	Protective services workers, nos	1	0.3%
5411	Fire-fighters	0	
5412	Police officers	1	0.3%
5413	Prison guards	0	
5414	Security guards	0	
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	0	
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	0	
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	0	
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	1	0.3%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	0	
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	0	
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.3%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	3	0.8%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	0	
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	0	
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	0	
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	0	
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	1	0.3%
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	0	
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	0	
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	0	
7115	Carpenters and joiners	0	
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	0	
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7121	Roofers	1	0.3%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	0	
7123	Plasterers	0	
7124	Insulation workers	0	
7125	Glaziers	0	
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	1	0.3%
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	0	
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	0	
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	0	
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	2	0.5%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	0	
7213	Sheet-metal workers	0	
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	0	
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	0	
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	0	
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	0	
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	1	0.3%
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	1	0.3%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	1	0.3%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	0	
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	0	
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	0	
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	0	
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	1	0.3%
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	0	

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	0	
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	3	0.8%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	0	
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	0	
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	0	
7321	Pre-press technicians	0	
7322	Printers	0	
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	0	
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	0	
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	0	
7411	Building and related electricians	2	0.5%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	2	0.5%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	0	
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	0	
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	0	
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	1	0.3%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	1	0.3%
7513	Dairy-products makers	0	
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	0	
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	3	0.8%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	0	
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	0	
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	1	0.3%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	0	
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	0	
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	0	
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	0	
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	0	
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%

W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	0	
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	0	
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	0	
8121	Metal processing plant operators	0	
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	0	
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	0	
8132	Photographic products machine operators	0	
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	0	
8142	Plastic products machine operators	0	
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	0	
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	0	
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	0	
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	0	
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	0	
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	0	
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	0	
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	0	
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	0	
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	1	0.3%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	1	0.3%
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	1	0.3%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	1	0.3%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	0	





W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	0	
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	3	0.8%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	0	
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	0	
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	0	
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	0	
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.3%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	0	
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	0	
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	1	0.3%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	0	
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	0	
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	0	
9211	Crop farm labourers	0	
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	0	
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	0	
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	0	
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	0	
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	0	
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	

# W5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	0	
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	0	
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	0	
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	0	
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
Sysmiss		7562	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f21700: R's current working sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=383 /-] [Invalid=7556 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	220	57.4%
2	Public sector	120	31.3%
3	Other (mixed)	43	11.2%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7555	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=383 /-] [Invalid=7556 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	34	8.9%
2	Retail and wholesale	26	6.8%
3	Healthcare and social sector	91	23.8%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	25	6.5%
5	Construction	32	8.4%
6	Teaching and education	53	13.8%
7	Tourism and business related services	13	3.4%
8	Financial services	11	2.9%
9	Hotel and restaurant	13	3.4%
10	Transportation	22	5.7%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	23	6.0%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	5	1.3%
13	Personal services (hairstylist, interest representation)	14	3.7%
14	Information and communication	19	5.0%

# W5_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Other	2	0.5%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7555	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f28910: Gross monthly household income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2273 /-] [Invalid=5666 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	86	3.8%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	80	3.5%
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	134	5.9%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	178	7.8%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	226	9.9%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	225	9.9%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	232	10.2%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	209	9.2%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	175	7.7%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	167	7.3%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	113	5.0%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	88	3.9%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	71	3.1%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	79	3.5%
15	More than 15'000 CHF	210	9.2%
-99	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		5626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f30021: The questionnaire was interesting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2306 /-] [Invalid=5633 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	821	35.6%
2	Rather agree	1195	51.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	231	10.0%
4	Rather disagree	53	2.3%
5	Strongly disagree	6	0.3%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f30022: The length of the questionnaire was appropriate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2300 /-] [Invalid=5639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1030	44.8%

W5_f30022: The length of the questionnaire was appropriate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather agree	972	 42.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	161	 7.0%
4	Rather disagree	130	 5.7%
5	Strongly disagree	7	 0.3%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W5_f30023: The questions were easy to understand			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2299 /-] [Invalid=5640 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1510	<div><div></div></div> 65.7%
2	Rather agree	726	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
4	Rather disagree	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
5	Strongly disagree	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		5626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W5_f30024: The questionnaire could be filled in without problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2300 /-] [Invalid=5639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1470	<div><div></div></div> 63.9%
2	Rather agree	682	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	88	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
4	Rather disagree	54	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	Strongly disagree	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5626	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_5_f21400: R's current working situation, W1_4 updated with W5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7916 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2869	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	1999	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	522	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
4	Homemaker	347	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	1748	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	151	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
7	Unemployed	122	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	158	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_5_f21500: R's current position at work, W1_4 updated with W5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4971 /-] [Invalid=2968 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	610	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
2	Employee with supervision function	1305	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%

W1_5_f21500: R's current position at work, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Employee with operative function	2442	<div></div> 49.1%
4	Self-employed	614	<div></div> 12.4%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		2926	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9997] [Missing=*/-999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2732 /-] [Invalid=5207 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Military professions	1	<div></div> 0.0%
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	<div></div> 0.0%
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	<div></div> 0.0%
1000	Managers, nos	49	<div></div> 1.8%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	0	
1112	Senior government officials	22	<div></div> 0.8%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	8	<div></div> 0.3%
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	37	<div></div> 1.4%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	14	<div></div> 0.5%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	4	<div></div> 0.1%
1211	Finance managers	14	<div></div> 0.5%
1212	Human resource managers	19	<div></div> 0.7%
1213	Policy and planning managers	0	
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	1	<div></div> 0.0%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	2	<div></div> 0.1%
1221	Sales and marketing managers	26	<div></div> 1.0%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	0	
1223	Research and development managers	3	<div></div> 0.1%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	16	<div></div> 0.6%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	3	<div></div> 0.1%
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	5	<div></div> 0.2%
1321	Manufacturing managers	9	<div></div> 0.3%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	2	<div></div> 0.1%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	22	<div></div> 0.8%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	14	<div></div> 0.5%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	3	<div></div> 0.1%
1341	Child care services managers	3	<div></div> 0.1%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1342	Health services managers	5	0.2%
1343	Aged care services managers	1	0.0%
1344	Social welfare managers	0	
1345	Education managers	10	0.4%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	6	0.2%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	3	0.1%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	2	0.1%
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	8	0.3%
1411	Hotel managers	2	0.1%
1412	Restaurant managers	8	0.3%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	2	0.1%
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	3	0.1%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
2000	Professionals, nos	16	0.6%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	5	0.2%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	4	0.1%
2112	Meteorologists	1	0.0%
2113	Chemists	2	0.1%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	3	0.1%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	2	0.1%
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	4	0.1%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	1	0.0%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	4	0.1%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	4	0.1%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	1	0.0%
2142	Civil engineers	19	0.7%
2143	Environmental engineers	0	
2144	Mechanical engineers	15	0.5%
2145	Chemical engineers	5	0.2%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	3	0.1%
2151	Electrical engineers	19	0.7%
2152	Electronics engineers	2	0.1%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	0	
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	4	0.1%
2161	Building architects	29	1.1%
2162	Landscape architects	1	0.0%
2163	Product and garment designers	1	0.0%
2164	Town and traffic planners	1	0.0%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	3	0.1%
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	12	0.4%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2200	Health professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2210	Medical doctors, nos	21	0.8%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	2	0.1%
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	12	0.4%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2221	Nursing professionals	11	0.4%
2222	Midwifery professionals	0	
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	10	0.4%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	4	0.1%
2260	Other health professionals, nos	3	0.1%
2261	Dentists	6	0.2%
2262	Pharmacists	7	0.3%
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	13	0.5%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	1	0.0%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	2	0.1%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	0	
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	9	0.3%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	23	0.8%
2310	University and higher education teachers	16	0.6%
2320	Vocational education teachers	17	0.6%
2330	Secondary education teachers	92	3.4%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	4	0.1%
2341	Primary school teachers	54	2.0%
2342	Early childhood educators	12	0.4%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	9	0.3%
2351	Education methods specialists	1	0.0%
2352	Special needs teachers	18	0.7%
2353	Other language teachers	1	0.0%
2354	Other music teachers	1	0.0%
2355	Other arts teachers	2	0.1%
2356	Information technology trainers	0	
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	3	0.1%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	10	0.4%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	10	0.4%
2411	Accountants	15	0.5%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	11	0.4%
2413	Financial analysts	1	0.0%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	2	0.1%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	6	0.2%
2422	Policy administration professionals	18	0.7%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	16	0.6%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	0	
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	1	0.0%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	10	0.4%
2432	Public relations professionals	4	0.1%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	6	0.2%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	20	0.7%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	1	0.0%
2511	Systems analysts	9	0.3%
2512	Software developers	32	1.2%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	0	
2514	Applications programmers	2	0.1%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	0	
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2521	Database designers and administrators	0	
2522	Systems administrators	0	
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	12	0.4%
2611	Lawyers	6	0.2%
2612	Judges	3	0.1%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	5	0.2%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	1	0.0%
2621	Archivists and curators	1	0.0%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	8	0.3%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	1	0.0%
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	3	0.1%
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	2	0.1%
2634	Psychologists	13	0.5%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	34	1.2%
2636	Religious professionals	7	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	0	
2641	Authors and related writers	2	0.1%
2642	Journalists	15	0.5%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	2	0.1%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	1	0.0%
2651	Visual artists	1	0.0%
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	10	0.4%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	3	0.1%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	1	0.0%
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	0	
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	32	1.2%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	12	0.4%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	5	0.2%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	10	0.4%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	10	0.4%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	1	0.0%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	1	0.0%
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	4	0.1%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	1	0.0%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	20	0.7%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	19	0.7%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	8	0.3%
3121	Mining supervisors	0	
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	8	0.3%
3123	Construction supervisors	22	0.8%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	0	
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	1	0.0%
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	3	0.1%
3142	Agricultural technicians	0	
3143	Forestry technicians	0	
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	1	0.0%
3151	Ships' engineers	0	
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	0	
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	4	0.1%
3154	Air traffic controllers	2	0.1%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	11	0.4%
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	2	0.1%
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	4	0.1%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	5	0.2%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	6	0.2%
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	3	0.1%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	5	0.2%
3221	Nursing associate professionals	57	2.1%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	0	
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	1	0.0%
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	7	0.3%
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	0	
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	1	0.0%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	2	0.1%
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	4	0.1%
3256	Medical assistants	6	0.2%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	0	
3258	Ambulance workers	3	0.1%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	25	0.9%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	34	1.2%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	13	0.5%
3312	Credit and loans officers	4	0.1%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	27	1.0%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	3	0.1%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	2	0.1%
3321	Insurance representatives	14	0.5%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	11	0.4%
3323	Buyers	20	0.7%
3324	Trade brokers	14	0.5%
3330	Business services agents, nos	0	
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	5	0.2%
3332	Conference and event planners	0	
3333	Employment agents and contractors	3	0.1%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	12	0.4%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	7	0.3%
3342	Legal secretaries	6	0.2%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	28	1.0%
3344	Medical secretaries	6	0.2%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	6	0.2%
3351	Customs and border inspectors	3	0.1%
3352	Government tax and excise officials	1	0.0%
3353	Government social benefits officials	6	0.2%
3354	Government licensing officials	0	
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	4	0.1%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	3	0.1%
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	3	0.1%
3412	Social work associate professionals	16	0.6%
3413	Religious associate professionals	3	0.1%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	1	0.0%
3421	Athletes and sports players	1	0.0%
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	6	0.2%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	4	0.1%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	1	0.0%
3431	Photographers	4	0.1%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	5	0.2%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	6	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	0	
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	15	0.5%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	2	0.1%
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	0	
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	2	0.1%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	1	0.0%
3514	Web technicians	2	0.1%
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	3	0.1%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	6	0.2%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	36	1.3%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	8	0.3%
4110	General office clerks	56	2.0%
4120	Secretaries (general)	74	2.7%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	5	0.2%
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	2	0.1%
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	13	0.5%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	6	0.2%
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	7	0.3%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	1	0.0%
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	6	0.2%
4224	Hotel receptionists	0	
4225	Enquiry clerks	1	0.0%
4226	Receptionists (general)	3	0.1%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	6	0.2%
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	9	0.3%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	6	0.2%
4313	Payroll clerks	2	0.1%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	6	0.2%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4322	Production clerks	0	
4323	Transport clerks	10	0.4%
4400	Other clerical support workers, nos	1	0.0%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	5	0.2%
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	8	0.3%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	2	0.1%
4414	Scribes and related workers	0	
4415	Filing and copying clerks	2	0.1%
4416	Personnel clerks	4	0.1%
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	2	0.1%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	7	0.3%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	6	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	1	0.0%
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	10	0.4%
5112	Transport conductors	1	0.0%
5113	Travel guides	1	0.0%
5120	Cooks	21	0.8%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	7	0.3%
5132	Bartenders	1	0.0%
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	1	0.0%
5141	Hairdressers	12	0.4%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	3	0.1%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	5	0.2%
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.0%
5152	Domestic housekeepers	3	0.1%
5153	Building caretakers	13	0.5%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	0	
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	0	
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	0	
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	1	0.0%
5165	Driving instructors	4	0.1%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	16	0.6%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	1	0.0%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	3	0.1%
5221	Shop keepers	6	0.2%
5222	Shop supervisors	12	0.4%
5223	Shop sales assistants	83	3.0%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	2	0.1%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	0	
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	0	
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	1	0.0%
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5300	Personal care workers, nos	11	0.4%
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	7	0.3%
5312	Teachers' aides	0	
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	10	0.4%
5321	Health care assistants	7	0.3%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	16	0.6%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	11	0.4%
5400	Protective services workers, nos	1	0.0%
5410	Protective services workers, nos	4	0.1%
5411	Fire-fighters	1	0.0%
5412	Police officers	6	0.2%
5413	Prison guards	2	0.1%
5414	Security guards	2	0.1%
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	2	0.1%
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	0	
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	1	0.0%
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	5	0.2%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	0	
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	2	0.1%
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.0%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	22	0.8%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting worke	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	0	
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	0	
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	11	0.4%
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	0	
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	2	0.1%
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	4	0.1%
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	1	0.0%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	2	0.1%
7115	Carpenters and joiners	8	0.3%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere cla	0	
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7121	Roofers	2	0.1%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	5	0.2%
7123	Plasterers	2	0.1%
7124	Insulation workers	2	0.1%
7125	Glaziers	1	0.0%
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	9	0.3%
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	1	0.0%
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades wo	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	6	0.2%
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	0	
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	11	0.4%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	0	
7213	Sheet-metal workers	0	
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	6	0.2%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	0	
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	1	0.0%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	6	0.2%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	2	0.1%
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	1	0.0%
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	15	0.5%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	17	0.6%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	2	0.1%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	1	0.0%
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	0	
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	0	
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	1	0.0%
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	8	0.3%
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	2	0.1%
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	4	0.1%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	1	0.0%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	1	0.0%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	3	0.1%
7321	Pre-press technicians	18	0.7%
7322	Printers	4	0.1%
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	0	
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	6	0.2%
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	1	0.0%
7411	Building and related electricians	7	0.3%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	12	0.4%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	1	0.0%
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	9	0.3%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	1	0.0%
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	9	0.3%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	8	0.3%
7513	Dairy-products makers	4	0.1%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	0	
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	0	
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	6	0.2%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	0	
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	3	0.1%
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	7	0.3%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	1	0.0%
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	3	0.1%
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	0	
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	0.0%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	0	
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	5	0.2%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	5	0.2%
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	4	0.1%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	1	0.0%
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	2	0.1%
8121	Metal processing plant operators	0	
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	1	0.0%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	0	
8132	Photographic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	1	0.0%
8142	Plastic products machine operators	0	
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	1	0.0%
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	0	
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	1	0.0%
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	0	
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	1	0.0%
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	0	
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	0	
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	1	0.0%
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	0	
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	5	0.2%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	2	0.1%
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	7	0.3%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	7	0.3%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	1	0.0%
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	6	0.2%

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	11	0.4%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	5	0.2%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	1	0.0%
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	0	
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	0	
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.0%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	14	0.5%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	0	
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	4	0.1%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	1	0.0%
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	2	0.1%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9211	Crop farm labourers	0	
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	0	
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	0	
9313	Building construction labourers	0	
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	1	0.0%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	

W1_5_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	2	0.1%
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	1	0.0%
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	2	0.1%
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	2	0.1%
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	2	0.1%
9997	Recoding impossible	0	
-999	No answer	78	
Sysmiss		5129	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_5_f21700: R's current working sector, W1_4 updated with W5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4977 /-] [Invalid=2962 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	3085	62.0%
2	Public sector	1462	29.4%
3	Other (mixed)	430	8.6%
-99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_5_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, W1_4 updated with W5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4949 /-] [Invalid=2990 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	666	13.5%
2	Retail and wholesale	370	7.5%
3	Healthcare and social sector	874	17.7%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	318	6.4%
5	Construction	445	9.0%
6	Teaching and education	551	11.1%
7	Tourism and business related services	146	3.0%
8	Financial services	350	7.1%
9	Hotel and restaurant	125	2.5%
10	Transportation	181	3.7%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	374	7.6%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	94	1.9%
13	Personal services (hairstylist, interest representation)	144	2.9%
14	Information and communication	262	5.3%
15	Other	49	1.0%

W1_5_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, W1_4 updated with W5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	49	
Sysmiss		2941	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_intstart: Start Date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2022-09-26		294	<div></div> 13.5%
2022-09-27		195	<div></div> 9.0%
2022-09-28		305	<div></div> 14.0%
2022-09-29		221	<div></div> 10.1%
2022-09-30		100	<div></div> 4.6%
2022-10-01		78	<div></div> 3.6%
2022-10-02		89	<div></div> 4.1%
2022-10-03		66	<div></div> 3.0%
2022-10-04		40	<div></div> 1.8%
2022-10-05		38	<div></div> 1.7%
2022-10-06		30	<div></div> 1.4%
2022-10-07		104	<div></div> 4.8%
2022-10-08		87	<div></div> 4.0%
2022-10-09		76	<div></div> 3.5%
2022-10-10		64	<div></div> 2.9%
2022-10-11		55	<div></div> 2.5%
2022-10-12		35	<div></div> 1.6%
2022-10-13		19	<div></div> 0.9%
2022-10-14		33	<div></div> 1.5%
2022-10-15		12	<div></div> 0.6%
2022-10-16		15	<div></div> 0.7%
2022-10-17		85	<div></div> 3.9%
2022-10-18		23	<div></div> 1.1%
2022-10-19		19	<div></div> 0.9%
2022-10-20		14	<div></div> 0.6%
2022-10-21		8	<div></div> 0.4%
2022-10-22		8	<div></div> 0.4%
2022-10-23		7	<div></div> 0.3%
2022-10-24		13	<div></div> 0.6%
2022-10-25		6	<div></div> 0.3%
2022-10-26		5	<div></div> 0.2%
2022-10-27		2	<div></div> 0.1%
2022-10-28		3	<div></div> 0.1%
2022-10-29		3	<div></div> 0.1%
2022-10-30		4	<div></div> 0.2%
2022-10-31		1	<div></div> 0.0%
2022-11-01		6	<div></div> 0.3%

# W6_intstart: Start Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2022-11-02		2	0.1%
2022-11-03		4	0.2%
2022-11-04		2	0.1%
2022-11-05		5	0.2%
2022-11-06		2	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_intend: End Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2022-09-26		289	13.3%
2022-09-27		194	8.9%
2022-09-28		295	13.5%
2022-09-29		217	10.0%
2022-09-30		100	4.6%
2022-10-01		78	3.6%
2022-10-02		87	4.0%
2022-10-03		65	3.0%
2022-10-04		38	1.7%
2022-10-05		39	1.8%
2022-10-06		29	1.3%
2022-10-07		107	4.9%
2022-10-08		89	4.1%
2022-10-09		76	3.5%
2022-10-10		66	3.0%
2022-10-11		58	2.7%
2022-10-12		36	1.7%
2022-10-13		19	0.9%
2022-10-14		34	1.6%
2022-10-15		13	0.6%
2022-10-16		16	0.7%
2022-10-17		87	4.0%
2022-10-18		26	1.2%
2022-10-19		20	0.9%
2022-10-20		15	0.7%
2022-10-21		8	0.4%
2022-10-22		9	0.4%
2022-10-23		8	0.4%
2022-10-24		13	0.6%
2022-10-25		6	0.3%
2022-10-26		5	0.2%
2022-10-27		2	0.1%
2022-10-28		3	0.1%
2022-10-29		3	0.1%

# W6_intend: End Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2022-10-30		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2022-10-31		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2022-11-01		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2022-11-02		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2022-11-03		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2022-11-04		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2022-11-05		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2022-11-06		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_totalltime: Total interview length in minutes			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7.51666666666667-47127.2166666667] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-] [Mean=300.093 /-] [StdDev=2413.501 /-]		
# W6_finished: Completion of questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Uncompleted questionnaire	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1	Complete questionnaire	2167	<div><div></div></div> 99.5%
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_mobile: Mobile versus Desktop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mobile	452	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
2	Desktop	1726	<div><div></div></div> 79.2%
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_spr: Language of interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	1594	<div><div></div></div> 73.2%
2	French	501	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
3	Italian	83	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_birthyear: Year of birth			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1925-2001] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-] [Mean=1967.049 /-] [StdDev=16.802 /-]		
# W6_age: Age in years			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21-97] [Missing=*]		

# W6_age: Age in years			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-] [Mean=54.951 /-] [StdDev=16.802 /-]	
# W6_agecat: Age in categories			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-24	85	<div></div> 3.9%
2	25-34	269	<div></div> 12.4%
3	35-44	266	<div></div> 12.2%
4	45-54	362	<div></div> 16.6%
5	55-64	483	<div></div> 22.2%
6	65-74	441	<div></div> 20.2%
7	75+	272	<div></div> 12.5%
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_sex: Gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=5761 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1223	<div></div> 56.2%
2	Female	955	<div></div> 43.8%
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2176 /-] [Invalid=5763 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zurich	411	<div></div> 18.9%
2	Bern	306	<div></div> 14.1%
3	Lucerne	121	<div></div> 5.6%
4	Uri	14	<div></div> 0.6%
5	Schwyz	29	<div></div> 1.3%
6	Obwalden	11	<div></div> 0.5%
7	Nidwalden	15	<div></div> 0.7%
8	Glarus	5	<div></div> 0.2%
9	Zug	28	<div></div> 1.3%
10	Fribourg	97	<div></div> 4.5%
11	Solothurn	73	<div></div> 3.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	48	<div></div> 2.2%
13	Basel-Landschaft	75	<div></div> 3.4%
14	Schaffhausen	20	<div></div> 0.9%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	21	<div></div> 1.0%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	6	<div></div> 0.3%
17	St. Gallen	91	<div></div> 4.2%
18	Graubünden	52	<div></div> 2.4%

# W6_f10000: Canton in which R currently has the right to vote				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
19	Aargau	175	<div></div>	8.0%
20	Thurgau	62	<div></div>	2.8%
21	Ticino	83	<div></div>	3.8%
22	Vaud	184	<div></div>	8.5%
23	Valais	108	<div></div>	5.0%
24	NeuchÃ¢tel	44	<div></div>	2.0%
25	Geneva	73	<div></div>	3.4%
26	Jura	24	<div></div>	1.1%
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f10850rec: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-402] [Missing=*/403/998/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2038 /-] [Invalid=5901 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	359	<div></div>	17.6%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	246	<div></div>	12.1%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	440	<div></div>	21.6%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	310	<div></div>	15.2%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	272	<div></div>	13.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	311	<div></div>	15.3%
80	Lega - Ticino League	7	<div></div>	0.3%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div></div>	0.0%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	12	<div></div>	0.6%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	36	<div></div>	1.8%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	12	<div></div>	0.6%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	8	<div></div>	0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	15	<div></div>	0.7%
201	PP - Pirate Party	2	<div></div>	0.1%
350	Other party	5	<div></div>	0.2%
402	Would vote for persons, not parties	2	<div></div>	0.1%
-99	No answer	2		
403	Would vote blank	17		
998	Don't know	121		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f10850main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2038 /-] [Invalid=5901 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	310	<div></div>	15.2%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	359	<div></div>	17.6%
3	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	246	<div></div>	12.1%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	311	<div></div>	15.3%

# W6_f10850main6: Party choice if elections were held next Sunday, main parties			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	440	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	272	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
7	Others	100	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	140	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10951: Certainty to vote for mentioned party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2050 /-] [Invalid=5889 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very certain	764	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
2	Rather certain	1162	<div><div></div></div> 56.7%
3	Rather uncertain	111	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4	Very uncertain	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5883	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f13743a: Satisfaction with performance of FDP since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1836 /-] [Invalid=6103 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	70	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Fairly satisfied	844	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
3	Not very satisfied	659	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
4	Not at all satisfied	263	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
-99	No answer	50	
8	Don't know	292	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f13743b: Satisfaction with performance of The Centre (CVP/BDP) since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1800 /-] [Invalid=6139 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	68	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Fairly satisfied	854	<div><div></div></div> 47.4%
3	Not very satisfied	662	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	216	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
-99	No answer	78	
8	Don't know	300	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f13743c: Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		

# W6_f13743c: Satisfaction with performance of SP since 2019 elections				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=6020 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	119	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%	
2	Fairly satisfied	739	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%	
3	Not very satisfied	577	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%	
4	Not at all satisfied	484	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%	
-99	No answer	67		
8	Don't know	192		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f13743d: Satisfaction with performance of SVP since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1895 /-] [Invalid=6044 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	93	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%	
2	Fairly satisfied	400	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%	
3	Not very satisfied	435	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%	
4	Not at all satisfied	967	<div><div></div></div> 51.0%	
-99	No answer	69		
8	Don't know	214		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f13743e: Satisfaction with performance of GPS since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1885 /-] [Invalid=6054 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	142	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%	
2	Fairly satisfied	707	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%	
3	Not very satisfied	549	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%	
4	Not at all satisfied	487	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%	
-99	No answer	71		
8	Don't know	222		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=6117 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	105	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%	
2	Fairly satisfied	781	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%	
3	Not very satisfied	614	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%	
4	Not at all satisfied	322	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%	
-99	No answer	74		
8	Don't know	282		

# W6_f13743f: Satisfaction with performance of GLP since 2019 elections			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10750: Participation in federal vote of September 25, 2022			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=5767 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	198	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
1	Participated	1973	<div><div></div></div> 90.8%
8	Don't know	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10751a: Factory Farming Initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1961 /-] [Invalid=5978 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	808	<div><div></div></div> 41.2%
2	No (rejected)	1122	<div><div></div></div> 57.2%
3	Blank	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	5	
8	Don't know	7	
Sysmiss		5966	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10751b: Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1955 /-] [Invalid=5984 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1190	<div><div></div></div> 60.9%
2	No (rejected)	752	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
3	Blank	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	5	
8	Don't know	13	
Sysmiss		5966	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10751c: Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1951 /-] [Invalid=5988 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1061	<div><div></div></div> 54.4%
2	No (rejected)	872	<div><div></div></div> 44.7%
3	Blank	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
-99	No answer	8	

# W6_f10751c: Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Don't know	14	
Sysmiss		5966	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10751d: Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1913 /-] [Invalid=6026 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	766	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
2	No (rejected)	1079	<div><div></div></div> 56.4%
3	Blank	68	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	10	
8	Don't know	50	
Sysmiss		5966	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10756a: Hypothetical vote on Factory Farming Initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=179 /-] [Invalid=7760 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	26	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	Rather in favor	32	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
3	Rather against	45	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
4	Certainly against	68	<div><div></div></div> 38.0%
5	Blank	8	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	19	
Sysmiss		7740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10756b: Hypothetical vote on Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=7765 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	34	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
2	Rather in favor	74	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%
3	Rather against	29	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
4	Certainly against	28	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
5	Blank	9	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
-99	No answer	2	
8	Don't know	23	
Sysmiss		7740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10756c: Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=175 /-] [Invalid=7764 /-]		

# W6_f10756c: Hypothetical vote on Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	41	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
2	Rather in favor	50	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
3	Rather against	34	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
4	Certainly against	39	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
5	Blank	11	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	23	
Sysmiss		7740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10756d: Hypothetical vote on Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=145 /-] [Invalid=7794 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certainly in favor	13	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
2	Rather in favor	35	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
3	Rather against	34	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
4	Certainly against	33	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
5	Blank	30	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	53	
Sysmiss		7740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10758a: Personal importance of vote: Factory Farming Initiative			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1960 /-] [Invalid=5979 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	53	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
1		29	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		86	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3		119	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4		75	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
5		237	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
6		155	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
7		261	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
8		333	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
9		149	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
10	Very important	463	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5978	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1947 /-] [Invalid=5992 /-]	

W6_f10758b: Personal importance of vote: Supplementary financing of OASI by increasing Value Added Tax

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	19	1.0%
1		10	0.5%
2		23	1.2%
3		41	2.1%
4		40	2.1%
5		150	7.7%
6		135	6.9%
7		223	11.5%
8		384	19.7%
9		289	14.8%
10	Very important	633	32.5%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5984	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f10758c: Personal importance of vote: Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance (OASI 21)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1935 /-] [Invalid=6004 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	25	1.3%
1		10	0.5%
2		16	0.8%
3		26	1.3%
4		35	1.8%
5		115	5.9%
6		90	4.7%
7		169	8.7%
8		338	17.5%
9		316	16.3%
10	Very important	795	41.1%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f10758d: Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1897 /-] [Invalid=6042 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	63	3.3%
1		62	3.3%
2		115	6.1%
3		112	5.9%
4		135	7.1%
5		343	18.1%
6		230	12.1%

# W6_f10758d: Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the Federal Act on Withholding Tax			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		212	<div></div> 11.2%
8		254	<div></div> 13.4%
9		134	<div></div> 7.1%
10	Very important	237	<div></div> 12.5%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		6026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10770: Participation in federal vote of May 15, 2022			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2087 /-] [Invalid=5852 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not participate	208	<div></div> 10.0%
1	Participated	1879	<div></div> 90.0%
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't remember	84	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10771a: Amendment to the Federal Act on Film Production and Film Culture			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1522 /-] [Invalid=6417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	817	<div></div> 53.7%
2	No (rejected)	645	<div></div> 42.4%
3	Blank	60	<div></div> 3.9%
-99	No answer	5	
8	Don't remember	352	
Sysmiss		6060	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10771b: Transplantation Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1683 /-] [Invalid=6256 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	1136	<div></div> 67.5%
2	No (rejected)	514	<div></div> 30.5%
3	Blank	33	<div></div> 2.0%
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't remember	189	
Sysmiss		6060	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10771c: Development of the Schengen Acquis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1511 /-] [Invalid=6428 /-]		

# W6_f10771c: Development of the Schengen Acquis			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (accepted)	883	<div><div></div></div> 58.4%
2	No (rejected)	553	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
3	Blank	75	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
-99	No answer	9	
8	Don't remember	359	
Sysmiss		6060	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10759a: Personal importance of vote: Amendment to the Federal Act on Film Production and Film Culture			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1516 /-] [Invalid=6423 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	108	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
1		62	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2		147	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
3		174	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
4		129	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
5		357	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
6		172	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
7		145	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
8		131	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
9		33	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
10	Very important	58	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		6417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f10759b: Personal importance of vote: Transplantation Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1678 /-] [Invalid=6261 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
1		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2		22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
3		36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4		53	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
5		187	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
6		157	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
7		217	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
8		333	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
9		225	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
10	Very important	415	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		6256	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f10759c: Personal importance of vote: Development of the Schengen Acquis			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1505 /-] [Invalid=6434 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all important	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
1		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		39	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
3		59	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
4		74	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
5		247	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
6		160	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
7		225	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
8		287	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
9		141	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
10	Very important	218	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		6428	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12800a: Trust: Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2175 /-] [Invalid=5764 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
1		22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		49	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3		62	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4		86	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
5		215	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
6		255	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
7		473	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
8		652	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
9		236	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
10	Full trust	78	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2174 /-] [Invalid=5765 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		49	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3		93	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
4		134	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%

# W6_f12800b: Trust: Federal Assembly			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		333	<div></div> 15.3%
6		382	<div></div> 17.6%
7		513	<div></div> 23.6%
8		441	<div></div> 20.3%
9		132	<div></div> 6.1%
10	Full trust	29	<div></div> 1.3%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12800c: Trust: Political parties at national level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2168 /-] [Invalid=5771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	42	<div></div> 1.9%
1		48	<div></div> 2.2%
2		86	<div></div> 4.0%
3		148	<div></div> 6.8%
4		203	<div></div> 9.4%
5		488	<div></div> 22.5%
6		482	<div></div> 22.2%
7		387	<div></div> 17.9%
8		221	<div></div> 10.2%
9		54	<div></div> 2.5%
10	Full trust	9	<div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f12800i: Trust: Federal Administration				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2165 /-] [Invalid=5774 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	54	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
1		31	<div><div></div></div>	1.4%
2		66	<div><div></div></div>	3.0%
3		90	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%
4		145	<div><div></div></div>	6.7%
5		317	<div><div></div></div>	14.6%
6		340	<div><div></div></div>	15.7%
7		471	<div><div></div></div>	21.8%
8		433	<div><div></div></div>	20.0%
9		176	<div><div></div></div>	8.1%
10	Full trust	42	<div><div></div></div>	1.9%
-99	No answer	13		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f12800d: Trust: Cantonal authorities				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2168 /-] [Invalid=5771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	43	<div><div></div></div>	2.0%
1		34	<div><div></div></div>	1.6%
2		54	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
3		96	<div><div></div></div>	4.4%
4		134	<div><div></div></div>	6.2%
5		282	<div><div></div></div>	13.0%
6		363	<div><div></div></div>	16.7%
7		468	<div><div></div></div>	21.6%
8		476	<div><div></div></div>	22.0%
9		189	<div><div></div></div>	8.7%
10	Full trust	29	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
-99	No answer	10		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f12800h: Trust: Police				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=5768 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No trust	28	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
1		19	<div><div></div></div>	0.9%
2		37	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
3		56	<div><div></div></div>	2.6%
4		82	<div><div></div></div>	3.8%

# W6_f12800h: Trust: Police			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		183	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
6		205	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
7		419	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
8		558	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
9		410	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
10	Full trust	174	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12800j: Trust: Science			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2170 /-] [Invalid=5769 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
1		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
3		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
4		48	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5		149	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
6		157	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
7		309	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
8		555	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
9		515	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
10	Full trust	349	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12800k: Trust: Media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2175 /-] [Invalid=5764 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	160	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
1		126	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2		186	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
3		211	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4		233	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
5		399	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
6		303	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
7		275	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
8		207	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
9		60	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
10	Full trust	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5761	




# W6_f12800k: Trust: Media			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q04b: Most politicians do not care about the people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2176 /-] [Invalid=5763 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	169	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	Rather agree	688	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	467	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
4	Rather disagree	760	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
5	Strongly disagree	92	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q04c: Most politicians are trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=5767 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	Rather agree	803	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	715	<div><div></div></div> 32.9%
4	Rather disagree	508	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
5	Strongly disagree	103	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q04f: The people should make our most important policy decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=5768 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	396	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
2	Rather agree	655	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	454	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
4	Rather disagree	562	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
5	Strongly disagree	104	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q04g: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=5768 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	202	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
2	Rather agree	658	<div><div></div></div> 30.3%

# W6_Q04g: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither agree nor disagree	536	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
4	Rather disagree	610	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
5	Strongly disagree	165	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q22: Political leaders in Switzerland should be better educated than people in general			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2169 /-] [Invalid=5770 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	324	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
2	Rather agree	745	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	560	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
4	Rather disagree	387	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
5	Strongly disagree	153	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q21: The state should be run like a company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2170 /-] [Invalid=5769 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much	72	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2	Much	498	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
3	Moderately	851	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
4	A little	355	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
5	Not at all	394	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q23: Policy decisions should be based on scientific evidence or political convictions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=5767 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly based on scientific evidence	233	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
1		124	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2		319	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
3		322	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
4		235	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
5		613	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
6		124	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
7		103	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
8		55	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%

# W6_Q23: Policy decisions should be based on scientific evidence or political convictions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
10	Strongly based on political convictions	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q24: The problems in Switzerland would be better solved by experts or by elected politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=5767 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	By experts only	75	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
1		73	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2		192	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
3		335	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
4		318	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
5		865	<div><div></div></div> 39.8%
6		150	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
7		108	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
8		39	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
9		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
10	By politicians only	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q25: Are experts' opinions based on scientific knowledge or political convictions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2167 /-] [Invalid=5772 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely based on scientific knowledge	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
1		98	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2		292	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
3		496	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
4		359	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
5		577	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
6		133	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
7		98	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8		44	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
9		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Completely based on political convictions	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_Q26: Are experts more likely to agree with each other or disagree with each other?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		

# W6_Q26: Are experts more likely to agree with each other or disagree with each other?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2166 /-] [Invalid=5773 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Always in disagreement with each other	104	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
1		68	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2		165	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3		310	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
4		255	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
5		560	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
6		278	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
7		301	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
8		108	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
9		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Always in agreement with each other	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f14610: Perceived national economic conditions in past 12 months			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2174 /-] [Invalid=5765 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	85	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Improved slightly	378	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3	Remained the same	322	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
4	Worsened slightly	999	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
5	Worsened significantly	390	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f14620: Personal financial situation in past 12 months			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improved significantly	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	Improved slightly	216	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
3	Remained the same	1034	<div><div></div></div> 47.8%
4	Worsened slightly	663	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
5	Worsened significantly	199	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f29100: Make good ends meet with disposable income?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=5762 /-]	






W6_f29100: Make good ends meet with disposable income?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1440	 66.1%
2	More or less	626	 28.8%
3	No	111	 5.1%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f15435: Opinion on state intervention in economy






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2175 /-] [Invalid=5764 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for more state interventions in the economy	114	 5.2%
2	Rather for more state interventions in the economy	561	 25.8%
3	Neither nor	676	 31.1%
4	Rather for more free market competition	703	 32.3%
5	Strongly for more free market competition	121	 5.6%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f15440: Opinion on equal opportunities for foreigners






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2167 /-] [Invalid=5772 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for equal opportunities	528	 24.4%
2	Rather for equal opportunities	720	 33.2%
3	Neither nor	286	 13.2%
4	Rather for better opportunities for the Swiss	496	 22.9%
5	Strongly for better opportunities for the Swiss	137	 6.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f15425: Opinion on cooperation with the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=5762 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor of strengthening the cooperation with the	405	 18.6%
2	Rather in favor of strengthening the cooperation with the EU	829	 38.1%
3	Neither nor	310	 14.2%
4	Rather in favor of increasing independence vis-À-vis the EU	406	 18.6%
5	Strongly in favor of increasing independence vis-À-vis the	227	 10.4%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W6_f15431: Opinion on EU membership				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1541 /-] [Invalid=6398 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly in favor	108	<div><div></div></div>	7.0%
2	Rather in favor	383	<div><div></div></div>	24.9%
3	Neither nor	259	<div><div></div></div>	16.8%
4	Rather against	554	<div><div></div></div>	36.0%
5	Strongly against	237	<div><div></div></div>	15.4%
-99	No answer	3		
Sysmiss		6395		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f15475: Opinion on existing measures in favor of gender equality				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=5762 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Have already gone much too far	33	<div><div></div></div>	1.5%
2	Have rather gone too far	153	<div><div></div></div>	7.0%
3	Are just about right	489	<div><div></div></div>	22.5%
4	Don't go far enough	1057	<div><div></div></div>	48.6%
5	Don't nearly go far enough	445	<div><div></div></div>	20.4%
-99	No answer	1		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f15478: We worry too much about the environment and not enough about costs of living and jobs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2176 /-] [Invalid=5763 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	158	<div><div></div></div>	7.3%
2	Rather agree	454	<div><div></div></div>	20.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	406	<div><div></div></div>	18.7%
4	Rather disagree	663	<div><div></div></div>	30.5%
5	Strongly disagree	495	<div><div></div></div>	22.7%
-99	No answer	2		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f15490: Are you in favor of a Switzerland with nuclear power or of a Switzerland without nuclear power?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2173 /-] [Invalid=5766 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly in favor of a Switzerland with nuclear power	266	<div><div></div></div>	12.2%
2	Rather in favor of a Switzerland with nuclear power	547	<div><div></div></div>	25.2%
3	Neither nor	179	<div><div></div></div>	8.2%
4	Rather in favor of a Switzerland without nuclear power	598	<div><div></div></div>	27.5%

# W6_f15490: Are you in favor of a Switzerland with nuclear power or of a Switzerland without nuclear power?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly in favor of a Switzerland without nuclear power	583	<div></div> 26.8%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15810: Opinion on abandoning the use of fossil fuels from 2050 onwards			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=5762 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	725	<div></div> 33.3%
2	Rather in favor	677	<div></div> 31.1%
3	Neither nor	246	<div></div> 11.3%
4	Rather against	385	<div></div> 17.7%
5	Strongly against	144	<div></div> 6.6%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15811: Opinion on raising the retirement age to 67 years for men and women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2173 /-] [Invalid=5766 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	148	<div></div> 6.8%
2	Rather in favor	370	<div></div> 17.0%
3	Neither nor	256	<div></div> 11.8%
4	Rather against	569	<div></div> 26.2%
5	Strongly against	830	<div></div> 38.2%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15813: Opinion on increasing the minimum franchise under the compulsory health insurance scheme			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2170 /-] [Invalid=5769 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	133	<div></div> 6.1%
2	Rather in favor	369	<div></div> 17.0%
3	Neither nor	340	<div></div> 15.7%
4	Rather against	675	<div></div> 31.1%
5	Strongly against	653	<div></div> 30.1%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2175 /-] [Invalid=5764 /-]		

# W6_f15340b: Own opinion on limiting immigration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	351	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
2	Rather in favor	649	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
3	Neither in favor nor against	413	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
4	Rather against	549	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
5	Strongly against	213	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15200: Left-right self-placement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2119 /-] [Invalid=5820 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	63	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
1		59	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2		179	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
3		334	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4		278	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
5		435	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
6		247	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
7		274	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
8		160	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
9		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
10	Right	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
-99	No answer	1	
98	Don't know	58	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2082 /-] [Invalid=5857 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	Other old age provisions	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	Unemployment	0	
4	Poverty	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	62	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	146	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
9	Extremism	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10	Finances	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
11	Refugees	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
12	Xenophobia, racism	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

W6_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Health system	26	1.2%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	1	0.0%
16	Integration of immigrants	7	0.3%
17	Climate	220	10.6%
18	Consociationalism	9	0.4%
19	Health insurance	33	1.6%
20	Crime	0	
21	Neutrality	57	2.7%
22	Politicians	19	0.9%
23	Political style	3	0.1%
24	Political system	22	1.1%
25	Direct democracy	2	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	6	0.3%
28	Security	4	0.2%
29	Social security	0	
30	Social inequalities	5	0.2%
31	Taxes	4	0.2%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	170	8.2%
34	Economic development	2	0.1%
35	Media	2	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	22	1.1%
37	Economic/financial crisis	9	0.4%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	3	0.1%
39	Nuclear energy	4	0.2%
40	Transportation, traffic	3	0.1%
41	Banks, banking crisis	0	
42	Lobbying, money in politics	8	0.4%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	6	0.3%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	15	0.7%
45	Economy, economic policy	41	2.0%
46	Education	6	0.3%
47	Social policy, welfare state	16	0.8%
48	Family policy, upbringing	2	0.1%
49	Labour market, workplace security	23	1.1%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	27	1.3%
51	Fear, politics of fear	4	0.2%
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	3	0.1%
54	Vandalism, violence	0	
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	0	

W6_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	20	1.0%
61	Energy, energy policy	549	26.4%
62	Cross-border commuters	0	
63	Swiss People's Party	4	0.2%
64	Equal rights	20	1.0%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	8	0.4%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.2%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	0	
68	Society in general	3	0.1%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	17	0.8%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	3	0.1%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	0	
73	Army	5	0.2%
74	Aging population, demographics	8	0.4%
75	Bureaucracy	2	0.1%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	0	
80	Shift to the right	2	0.1%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	21	1.0%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	132	6.3%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	6	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	0	
94	Open borders, free movement of people	2	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	8	0.4%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	0	
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	7	0.3%
102	Capitalism	2	0.1%
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	2	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	9	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	3	0.1%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	10	0.5%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	4	0.2%
110	Wars, peace policy	104	5.0%
111	Islam, islamism	1	0.0%
112	Corruption, cronyism	2	0.1%
113	Populism	1	0.0%
114	Negative interest rates	0	

# W6_f12700c: Most important problem in Switzerland			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	0	
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	2	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	4	0.2%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	1	0.0%
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	1	0.0%
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	83	
98	Don't know	13	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12700rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2082 /-] [Invalid=5857 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	0	
2	Economy	190	9.1%
3	Education & culture	6	0.3%
4	Environment & energy	973	46.7%
5	EU, Europe	170	8.2%
6	Finances & taxes	10	0.5%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	22	1.1%
8	Immigration & asylum	96	4.6%
9	Foreign policy & defense	213	10.2%
10	Labour market	28	1.3%
11	Law & order	5	0.2%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	104	5.0%
13	Public health	59	2.8%
14	Public services & infrastructure	8	0.4%
15	Regions & national cohesion	16	0.8%
16	Social security/welfare state	166	8.0%
17	Other problems	10	0.5%
18	No problem	0	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	6	0.3%
-99	No answer	83	
98	Don't know	13	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-994] [Missing=*/998/995/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1724 /-] [Invalid=6215 /-]		

# W6_f12720rec: Party most competent to solve most important problem				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	305	<div></div>	17.7%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	198	<div></div>	11.5%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	258	<div></div>	15.0%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	286	<div></div>	16.6%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	313	<div></div>	18.2%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	253	<div></div>	14.7%
80	Lega - Ticino League	3	<div></div>	0.2%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	0		
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	4	<div></div>	0.2%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	12	<div></div>	0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	5	<div></div>	0.3%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	8	<div></div>	0.5%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / EÃ G - Ensemble Ã	8	<div></div>	0.5%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0		
300	Left party	0		
310	Right party	0		
320	Center party	0		
350	Other party	3	<div></div>	0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	47	<div></div>	2.7%
404	Several parties	7	<div></div>	0.4%
405	All parties together, all	14	<div></div>	0.8%
994	Undecided	0		
-99	No answer	102		
995	Other answer	5		
998	Don't know	347		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f12720main6: Party most competent to solve most important problem, main parties				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1724 /-] [Invalid=6215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	286	<div></div>	16.6%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	305	<div></div>	17.7%
3	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	198	<div></div>	11.5%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	253	<div></div>	14.7%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	258	<div></div>	15.0%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	313	<div></div>	18.2%
7	Others	111	<div></div>	6.4%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	454		
Sysmiss		5761		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-207] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2016 /-] [Invalid=5923 /-]		

W6_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Old age insurance (AHV/AVS)	44	2.2%
2	Other old age provisions	76	3.8%
3	Unemployment	3	0.1%
4	Poverty	13	0.6%
5	Asylum seekers, asylum policy	2	0.1%
6	Foreigners, immigration policy	83	4.1%
7	Drugs, addictions	0	
8	EU, Europe	104	5.2%
9	Extremism	2	0.1%
10	Finances	18	0.9%
11	Refugees	17	0.8%
12	Xenophobia, racism	1	0.0%
13	Health system	113	5.6%
14	Globalisation	1	0.0%
15	Youth unemployment	3	0.1%
16	Integration of immigrants	8	0.4%
17	Climate	164	8.1%
18	Consociationalism	1	0.0%
19	Health insurance	63	3.1%
20	Crime	2	0.1%
21	Neutrality	21	1.0%
22	Politicians	14	0.7%
23	Political style	1	0.0%
24	Political system	11	0.5%
25	Direct democracy	2	0.1%
27	Party polarisation	2	0.1%
28	Security	5	0.2%
29	Social security	5	0.2%
30	Social inequalities	21	1.0%
31	Taxes	6	0.3%
32	National fiscal equalisation	0	
33	Environment	214	10.6%
34	Economic development	3	0.1%
35	Media	2	0.1%
36	Renewable energies	11	0.5%
37	Economic/financial crisis	6	0.3%
38	Overvaluation of Swiss franc	0	
39	Nuclear energy	1	0.0%
40	Transportation, traffic	5	0.2%
41	Banks, banking crisis	0	
42	Lobbying, money in politics	13	0.6%
43	Urban sprawl, overconstruction	6	0.3%
44	Bilateral agreements with EU	4	0.2%
45	Economy, economic policy	58	2.9%
46	Education	21	1.0%

W6_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	Social policy, welfare state	58	2.9%
48	Family policy, upbringing	4	0.2%
49	Labour market, workplace security	36	1.8%
50	Difference between rich and poor, income inequality	43	2.1%
51	Fear, politics of fear	1	0.0%
52	Labour market for 50+	1	0.0%
53	Impoverishment/loss of the middle class	9	0.4%
54	Vandalism, violence	2	0.1%
55	Road rowdies	0	
56	Terrorism	0	
57	2nd Gotthard tunnel	0	
58	Future	1	0.0%
59	Work for young people	0	
60	Overpopulation	11	0.5%
61	Energy, energy policy	217	10.8%
62	Cross-border commuters	0	
63	Swiss People's Party	3	0.1%
64	Equal rights	81	4.0%
65	Lack of cohesion in society	12	0.6%
66	National cohesion, regions, languages, cantons	5	0.2%
67	Lack of tolerance, discrimination	1	0.0%
68	Society in general	6	0.3%
69	Foreign policy, relations with other countries	11	0.5%
70	Housing, excessive costs of housing	5	0.2%
71	Agricultural policy, farmers	4	0.2%
73	Army	9	0.4%
74	Aging population, demographics	9	0.4%
75	Bureaucracy	4	0.2%
78	Bank secrecy	0	
79	Respect, honesty, loss of values	5	0.2%
80	Shift to the right	8	0.4%
81	Lack of kindergartens and nurseries	0	
82	Invalidity insurance	0	
84	Independence, autonomy	10	0.5%
85	Rising prices, purchasing power	164	8.1%
86	Disregard of popular will, too slow implementation of laws a	2	0.1%
90	Popular initiative against mass immigration	0	
92	Low political interest and participation	7	0.3%
93	Penal system, legal system, justice	1	0.0%
94	Open borders, free movement of people	2	0.1%
95	Excessive salaries, 'rip-off'	0	
96	Other	10	0.5%
97	No problems, things should stay as they are	0	
101	Institutional framework agreement with EU	4	0.2%
102	Capitalism	3	0.1%

W6_f12730c: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
103	5G	0	
104	Animal protection	2	0.1%
105	Politics abroad (such as Trump, Brexit)	9	0.4%
106	Digitisation, robotisation	4	0.2%
107	Lack of general objectives, visions and strategies	9	0.4%
108	Data protection	0	
109	Left, socialism	9	0.4%
110	Wars, peace policy	69	3.4%
111	Islam, islamism	0	
112	Corruption, cronyism	2	0.1%
113	Populism	1	0.0%
114	Negative interest rates	0	
115	Culture	0	
116	Infrastructure	1	0.0%
117	Individual liberty, liberty, government control	3	0.1%
201	COVID-19 pandemic - in general or several aspects	8	0.4%
202	COVID-19 pandemic - economic aspects	0	
203	COVID-19 pandemic - health aspects	0	
204	COVID-19 pandemic - legal aspects	0	
205	COVID-19 pandemic - social aspects	0	
206	COVID-19 pandemic - political aspects	0	
207	COVID-19 pandemic - other aspects	0	
-99	No answer	147	
98	Don't know	15	
Sysmiss		5761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing= */98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2016 /-] [Invalid=5923 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	4	<div></div> 0.2%
2	Economy	235	<div></div> 11.7%
3	Education & culture	21	<div></div> 1.0%
4	Environment & energy	615	<div></div> 30.5%
5	EU, Europe	114	<div></div> 5.7%
6	Finances & taxes	24	<div></div> 1.2%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	85	<div></div> 4.2%
8	Immigration & asylum	111	<div></div> 5.5%
9	Foreign policy & defense	129	<div></div> 6.4%
10	Labour market	47	<div></div> 2.3%
11	Law & order	12	<div></div> 0.6%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	86	<div></div> 4.3%
13	Public health	176	<div></div> 8.7%
14	Public services & infrastructure	15	<div></div> 0.7%

# W6_f12730rec: Most important problem in Switzerland, 2nd mention, reduced list			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Regions & national cohesion	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
16	Social security/welfare state	293	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
17	Other problems	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
18	No problem	0	
19	COVID-19 pandemic	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	147	
98	Don't know	15	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12740rec: Party most competent to solve second most important problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-994] [Missing=*/998/995/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1683 /-] [Invalid=6256 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	284	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
21	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	197	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
30	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	416	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
40	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	227	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
50	GPS/PES - Green Party	223	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
60	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	227	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
80	Lega - Ticino League	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
90	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	CSP/PCS - Christian Social Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
110	EVP/PEV - Evangelical People's Party	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
120	EDU/UDF - Federal Democratic Union	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
130	PdA/PdT - Swiss Party of Labour	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
140	AL - Alternative List / Sol - Solidarity / E� G - Ensemble �	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
201	PP - Pirate Party	0	
300	Left party	0	
310	Right party	0	
320	Center party	0	
350	Other party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
402	No party / rather persons	39	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
404	Several parties	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
405	All parties together, all	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
994	Undecided	0	
-99	No answer	165	
995	Other answer	3	
998	Don't know	327	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1683 /-] [Invalid=6256 /-]		

W6_f12740main6: Party most competent to solve second important problem, main parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	227	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
2	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	284	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
3	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	197	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
4	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	227	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
5	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	416	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
6	GPS/PES - Green Party	223	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
7	Others	109	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
9	Don't know, no answer, other answer	495	
Sysmiss		5761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f17100: Performance of the Federal Council in relation to the Ukraine war

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2158 /-] [Invalid=5781 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	115	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2	Fairly satisfied	1309	<div><div></div></div> 60.7%
3	Not very satisfied	569	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
4	Not at all satisfied	165	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		5762	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f17210a: Switzerland should strengthen economic sanctions against Russia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2167 /-] [Invalid=5772 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	621	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%
2	Rather agree	744	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	358	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
4	Rather disagree	217	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
5	Strongly disagree	227	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5763	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f17210b: Switzerland should allow other European countries to transfer Swiss war material to Ukraine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	460	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
2	Rather agree	573	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	360	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
4	Rather disagree	354	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
5	Strongly disagree	416	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
-99	No answer	13	

# W6_f17210b: Switzerland should allow other European countries to transfer Swiss war material to Ukraine			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17210c: Switzerland should supply weapons to Ukraine			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	159	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2	Rather agree	307	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	344	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
4	Rather disagree	506	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
5	Strongly disagree	847	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		5763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17220: Opinion on reinforcing cooperation with NATO			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2169 /-] [Invalid=5770 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	397	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
2	Rather in favor	904	<div><div></div></div> 41.7%
3	Neither nor	397	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
4	Rather against	286	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
5	Strongly against	185	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17230: Opinion on increasing the annual army budget from CHF 5 to 7 billion by 2030			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2173 /-] [Invalid=5766 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	263	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
2	Rather in favor	557	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
3	Neither nor	309	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
4	Rather against	552	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
5	Strongly against	492	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17240: Opinion on buying the new F-35 fighter planes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=5767 /-]		

# W6_f17240: Opinion on buying the new F-35 fighter planes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	454	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
2	Rather in favor	514	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
3	Neither nor	239	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
4	Rather against	386	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
5	Strongly against	579	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		5763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17250a: As soon as the situation allows, the Ukrainian refugees should travel back to their home country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2162 /-] [Invalid=5777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	696	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
2	Rather agree	908	<div><div></div></div> 42.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	321	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
4	Rather disagree	195	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
5	Strongly disagree	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5766	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17250b: It is justified that only Ukrainian refugees benefit from S protection status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2158 /-] [Invalid=5781 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	329	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
2	Rather agree	554	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	286	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
4	Rather disagree	621	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
5	Strongly disagree	368	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		5766	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17250c: Switzerland should no longer accept refugees from Ukraine			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2153 /-] [Invalid=5786 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	82	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Rather agree	164	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	333	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
4	Rather disagree	667	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
5	Strongly disagree	907	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
-99	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		5766	

W6_f17250c: Switzerland should no longer accept refugees from Ukraine

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f17250d: Switzerland should only accept refugees from Ukraine who come from active war zones

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2161 /-] [Invalid=5778 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	251	11.6%
2	Rather agree	551	25.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	295	13.7%
4	Rather disagree	567	26.2%
5	Strongly disagree	497	23.0%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		5766	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f17410a: Trust to do the right thing in world politics: Joe Biden

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2168 /-] [Invalid=5771 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	203	9.4%
1		80	3.7%
2		131	6.0%
3		161	7.4%
4		173	8.0%
5		353	16.3%
6		315	14.5%
7		326	15.0%
8		309	14.3%
9		80	3.7%
10	Full trust	37	1.7%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5766	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W6_f17410b: Trust to do the right thing in world politics: Vladimir Putin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2165 /-] [Invalid=5774 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	1683	<div><div></div></div> 77.7%
1		205	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
2		115	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
3		45	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5		36	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
8		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
9		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Full trust	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5766	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17410c: Trust to do the right thing in world politics: Volodymyr Zelensky			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2162 /-] [Invalid=5777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No trust	236	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
1		89	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2		147	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
3		187	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
4		192	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
5		376	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
6		297	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
7		272	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
8		235	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
9		81	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
10	Full trust	50	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		5766	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17420: Opinion on Putin's motivations to attack Ukraine			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2165 /-] [Invalid=5774 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Putin motives are completely unjustified	1157	<div><div></div></div> 53.4%
1		319	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
2		198	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3		147	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
4		62	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%

# W6_f17420: Opinion on Putin's motivations to attack Ukraine			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		146	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
6		48	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
7		41	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
8		23	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
9		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
10	Putin motives are justified	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5766	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17320a: Faster and stronger expansion of renewable energies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2166 /-] [Invalid=5773 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1466	<div><div></div></div> 67.7%
2	Rather in favor	569	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
3	Neither nor	89	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4	Rather against	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Strongly against	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		5767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17320b: Increased import of oil and gas from countries other than Russia			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2164 /-] [Invalid=5775 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	441	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
2	Rather in favor	826	<div><div></div></div> 38.2%
3	Neither nor	403	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
4	Rather against	330	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
5	Strongly against	164	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17320c: Extending the operating lifespan of existing nuclear power plants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	514	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
2	Rather in favor	704	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
3	Neither nor	283	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
4	Rather against	392	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
5	Strongly against	270	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
-99	No answer	9	

# W6_f17320c: Extending the operating lifespan of existing nuclear power plants			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17320d: Acceleration of energy-efficient building refurbishments			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly in favor	1146	53.0%
2	Rather in favor	744	34.4%
3	Neither nor	225	10.4%
4	Rather against	35	1.6%
5	Strongly against	13	0.6%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17325: Opinion on preventing blackouts vs. protecting the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2167 /-] [Invalid=5772 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	We must not risk power cuts	322	14.9%
1		131	6.0%
2		212	9.8%
3		226	10.4%
4		172	7.9%
5		388	17.9%
6		182	8.4%
7		190	8.8%
8		152	7.0%
9		69	3.2%
10	Environmental protection must always have top priority	123	5.7%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f17330: Performance of the Federal Council in handling the energy shortage			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2152 /-] [Invalid=5787 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	69	3.2%
2	Fairly satisfied	977	45.4%
3	Not very satisfied	893	41.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	213	9.9%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f12810a: Performance of the Federal Council in handling coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2161 /-] [Invalid=5778 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	552	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
2	Fairly satisfied	1197	<div><div></div></div> 55.4%
3	Not very satisfied	283	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	129	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
-99	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f12810b: Performance of the Government in my canton in handling coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2152 /-] [Invalid=5787 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	397	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
2	Fairly satisfied	1299	<div><div></div></div> 60.4%
3	Not very satisfied	357	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
4	Not at all satisfied	99	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15330f: Party most competent in handling the coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/98/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1278 /-] [Invalid=6661 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP/PLR - Liberal Radical Party	262	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
3	SP/PS - Social Democratic Party	419	<div><div></div></div> 32.8%
4	SVP/UDC - Swiss People's Party	200	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
5	GPS/PES - Green Party	56	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
6	GLP/PVL - Green Liberal Party	93	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
8	Lega - Ticino League	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	MCG - Geneva Citizens' Movement	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	The Centre (former CVP/ BDP)	223	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
20	Others	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
-99	No answer	82	
98	Don't know	818	
Sysmiss		5761	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f15495: Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2164 /-] [Invalid=5775 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Privacy and civil rights may be restricted without further a	118	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%

# W6_f15495: Infringements on privacy and civil liberties to fight coronavirus pandemic			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		74	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2		212	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
3		328	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
4		314	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
5		340	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
6		134	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
7		175	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
8		146	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
9		78	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
10	Privacy and civil rights must be protected at all costs	245	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16511: Knowledge: party in favor of affordable housing initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=636 /-] [Invalid=7303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SP	574	<div><div></div></div> 90.3%
2	GLP	15	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
3	SVP	13	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
4	EDU	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
5	CVP	29	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
-99	No answer	0	
8	Don't know	86	
Sysmiss		7217	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16511rec: Knowledge: party in favor of affordable housing initiative, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=722 /-] [Invalid=7217 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	148	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
1	Correct answer	574	<div><div></div></div> 79.5%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7217	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16512: Knowledge: party against the modification of the stemple law			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=502 /-] [Invalid=7437 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP	28	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	GLP	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
3	SVP	102	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
4	Die Mitte	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%

# W6_f16512: Knowledge: party against the modification of the stemple law			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	SP	355	<div></div> 70.7%
-99	No answer	8	
8	Don't know	215	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16512rec: Knowledge: party against the modification of the stemple law, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=717 /-] [Invalid=7222 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	362	<div></div> 50.5%
1	Correct answer	355	<div></div> 49.5%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16513: Knowledge: party against the measures to support the media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=461 /-] [Invalid=7478 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SP	83	<div></div> 18.0%
2	GPS	22	<div></div> 4.8%
3	GLP	24	<div></div> 5.2%
4	Die Mitte	44	<div></div> 9.5%
5	FDP	288	<div></div> 62.5%
-99	No answer	9	
8	Don't know	254	
Sysmiss		7215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16513rec: Knowledge: party against the measures to support the media, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=715 /-] [Invalid=7224 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	427	<div></div> 59.7%
1	Correct answer	288	<div></div> 40.3%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		7215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16521: Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the expansion of social welfare programs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=684 /-] [Invalid=7255 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SP	610	<div></div> 89.2%
2	GLP	19	<div></div> 2.8%

# W6_f16521: Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the expansion of social welfare programs			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	SVP	26	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
4	EDU	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	Die Mitte	27	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
-99	No answer	3	
8	Don't know	36	
Sysmiss		7216	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16521rec: Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the expansion of social welfare programs, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=720 -] [Invalid=7219 -]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	110	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
1	Correct answer	610	<div><div></div></div> 84.7%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7216	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16522: Knowledge: party most strongly opposed to European integration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=693 -] [Invalid=7246 -]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SP	14	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	FDP	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
3	SVP	664	<div><div></div></div> 95.8%
4	GPS	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5	GLP	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	3	
8	Don't know	30	
Sysmiss		7213	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16522rec: Knowledge: party most strongly opposed to European integration, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=723 -] [Invalid=7216 -]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	59	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
1	Correct answer	664	<div><div></div></div> 91.8%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7213	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16523: Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the limitation of immigration			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=704 -] [Invalid=7235 -]		

# W6_f16523: Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the limitation of immigration			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SP	4	0.6%
2	GPS	0	
3	SVP	694	98.6%
4	Die Mitte	2	0.3%
5	FDP	4	0.6%
-99	No answer	2	
8	Don't know	16	
Sysmiss		7217	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16523rec: Knowledge: party most strongly advocating for the limitation of immigration, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=720 /-] [Invalid=7219 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	26	3.6%
1	Correct answer	694	96.4%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7217	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16531: Knowledge: what issue does the FDP pay the most attention to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=663 /-] [Invalid=7276 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Economy	597	90.0%
2	Environment protection	10	1.5%
3	Immigration	5	0.8%
4	Welfare state	16	2.4%
5	International cooperation	35	5.3%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	60	
Sysmiss		7215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16531rec: Knowledge: what issue does the FDP pay the most attention to, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=723 /-] [Invalid=7216 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	126	17.4%
1	Correct answer	597	82.6%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16532: Knowledge: what issue do the Greens pay the most attention to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		

# W6_f16532: Knowledge: what issue do the Greens pay the most attention to			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=716 -/] [Invalid=7223 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Economy	1	0.1%
2	Environment protection	703	<div></div> 98.2%
3	Immigration	2	0.3%
4	Welfare state	7	1.0%
5	International cooperation	3	0.4%
-99	No answer	3	
8	Don't know	10	
Sysmiss		7210	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16532rec: Knowledge: what issue do the Greens pay the most attention to, recoded			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=726 -/] [Invalid=7213 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	23	<div></div> 3.2%
1	Correct answer	703	<div></div> 96.8%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7210	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16533: Knowledge: what issue does the SP pay the most attention to			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*-/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=674 -/] [Invalid=7265 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Economy	31	<div></div> 4.6%
2	Environment protection	42	<div></div> 6.2%
3	Immigration	63	<div></div> 9.3%
4	Welfare state	493	<div></div> 73.1%
5	International cooperation	45	<div></div> 6.7%
-99	No answer	3	
8	Don't know	41	
Sysmiss		7221	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16533rec: Knowledge: what issue does the SP pay the most attention to, recoded			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=715 -/] [Invalid=7224 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	222	<div></div> 31.0%
1	Correct answer	493	<div></div> 69.0%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		7221	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16541: Knowledge: vote recommendations on the self-determination initiative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=7422 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All parties against	16	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	All parties in favor	23	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	SP and Greens against; FDP, SVP and CVP in favor	120	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
4	FDP, SVP and CVP against; SP and Greens in favor	62	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
5	All parties against, except the SVP	296	<div><div></div></div> 57.3%
-99	No answer	1	
8	Don't know	209	
Sysmiss		7212	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16541rec: Knowledge: vote recommendations on the self-determination initiative, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=726 /-] [Invalid=7213 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	430	<div><div></div></div> 59.2%
1	Correct answer	296	<div><div></div></div> 40.8%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7212	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16542: Knowledge: vote recommendations on the CO2 law			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=558 /-] [Invalid=7381 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All parties in favor	26	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2	All parties against	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
3	All parties against, except the Greens	25	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4	SP and Greens in favor; FDP, Die Mitte and SVP against	240	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
5	All parties in favor, except the SVP	263	<div><div></div></div> 47.1%
-99	No answer	6	
8	Don't know	161	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16542rec: Knowledge: vote recommendations on the CO2 law, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=719 /-] [Invalid=7220 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	456	<div><div></div></div> 63.4%
1	Correct answer	263	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16543: Knowledge: vote recommendations on the federal law on measures against terrorism			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=485 /-] [Invalid=7454 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All parties in favor	77	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
2	All parties against	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
3	All parties against, except the Greens	10	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4	SP and Greens against; FDP, Die Mitte and SVP in favor	303	<div><div></div></div> 62.5%
5	All parties in favor, except the SVP	91	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
-99	No answer	7	
8	Don't know	227	
Sysmiss		7220	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16543rec: Knowledge: vote recommendations on the federal law on measures against terrorism, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=712 /-] [Invalid=7227 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/dont' know	409	<div><div></div></div> 57.4%
1	Correct answer	303	<div><div></div></div> 42.6%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		7220	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16551a: Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the SVP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=706 /-] [Invalid=7233 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party most to the Left	23	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2		15	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3		29	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4	Party most to the Right	639	<div><div></div></div> 90.5%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16551b: Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the SP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=708 /-] [Invalid=7231 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party most to the Left	592	<div><div></div></div> 83.6%
2		84	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
3		17	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
4	Party most to the Right	15	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
-99	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16551c: Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the GLP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=701 /-] [Invalid=7238 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party most to the Left	68	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
2		512	<div><div></div></div> 73.0%
3		104	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
4	Party most to the Right	17	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
-99	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16551d: Knowledge: Estimated left-right placement of the FDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=706 /-] [Invalid=7233 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party most to the Left	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2		77	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
3		568	<div><div></div></div> 80.5%
4	Party most to the Right	50	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
-99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16551rec: Knowledge: Order of SVP, SP, GLP and FDP on left-right scale, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=712 /-] [Invalid=7227 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer	267	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
1	Correct answer	445	<div><div></div></div> 62.5%
-99	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		7214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16552: Knowledge: most conservative party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=691 /-] [Invalid=7248 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP	32	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
2	GLP	10	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
3	SP	20	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4	GPS	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	SVP	613	<div><div></div></div> 88.7%
-99	No answer	2	
8	Don't know	35	
Sysmiss		7211	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16552rec: Knowledge: most conservative party, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=726 /-] [Invalid=7213 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	113	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
1	Correct answer	613	<div><div></div></div> 84.4%
-99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		7211	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16553: Knowledge: which parties merged to form the Center			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=624 /-] [Invalid=7315 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EVP and CVP	61	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
2	BDP and EVP	17	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
3	EVP and EDU	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
4	EDU and AL	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
5	CVP and BDP	536	<div><div></div></div> 85.9%
-99	No answer	4	
8	Don't know	90	
Sysmiss		7221	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16553rec: Knowledge: which parties merged to form the Center, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=714 /-] [Invalid=7225 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	178	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
1	Correct answer	536	<div><div></div></div> 75.1%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		7221	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16611: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in the National Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1239 /-] [Invalid=6700 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FDP	97	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	Die Mitte	63	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3	SP	61	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4	SVP	1013	<div><div></div></div> 81.8%
5	GPS	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	12	
8	Don't know	193	
Sysmiss		6495	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16611rec: Knowledge: Party with the most seats in the National Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1432 /-] [Invalid=6507 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	419	<div></div> 29.3%
1	Correct answer	1013	<div></div> 70.7%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		6495	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16612: Knowledge: Allocation of seats in the National Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1253 /-] [Invalid=6686 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	2 seats for each canton	125	<div></div> 10.0%
2	Proportional to population, but fixed in 1848	332	<div></div> 26.5%
3	Proportional to population, but reevaluted	749	<div></div> 59.8%
4	10 seats to big cantons, 5 seats to small cantons	36	<div></div> 2.9%
5	15 seats for each canton, 7 seats for half cantons	11	<div></div> 0.9%
-99	No answer	15	
8	Don't know	181	
Sysmiss		6490	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16612rec: Knowledge: Allocation of seats in the National Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1434 /-] [Invalid=6505 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	685	<div></div> 47.8%
1	Correct answer	749	<div></div> 52.2%
-99	No answer	15	
Sysmiss		6490	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16613: Knowledge: how can party lists be modified			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1248 /-] [Invalid=6691 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not possible to modify party lists	56	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	Possible to choose party list and to erase party labels	106	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
3	Only possible to erase candidates	67	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4	Possible to erase candidates or to compose new list with can	869	<div><div></div></div> 69.6%
5	Possible to erase candidates or to compose new list new with	150	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
-99	No answer	12	
8	Don't know	189	
Sysmiss		6490	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16613rec: Knowledge: how can party lists be modified, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1437 /-] [Invalid=6502 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	568	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
1	Correct answer	869	<div><div></div></div> 60.5%
-99	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		6490	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16621: Knowledge: which direct-democratic institution requires collection of 50,000 signatures			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1842 /-] [Invalid=6097 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandatory referendum	226	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
2	Facultative referendum	858	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
3	Popular Initiative	606	<div><div></div></div> 32.9%
4	Counter proposal	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	None of the above	104	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
-99	No answer	23	
8	Don't know	306	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16621rec: Knowledge: which direct-democratic institution requires collection of 50,000 signatures, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2148 /-] [Invalid=5791 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	1290	<div><div></div></div> 60.1%
1	Correct answer	858	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
-99	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16622: Knowledge: what changes when popular initiative adopted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=5944 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal law	816	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
2	Cantonal law	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
3	Swiss constitution	774	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
4	Rules adopted by parliament	223	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
5	Nothing unless	164	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
-99	No answer	16	
8	Don't know	160	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16622rec: Knowledge: what changes when popular initiative adopted, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2155 /-] [Invalid=5784 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	1381	<div><div></div></div> 64.1%
1	Correct answer	774	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
-99	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16631: Knowledge: who elects the members of the Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1061 /-] [Invalid=6878 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Group of experts	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2	Federal Assembly	1016	<div><div></div></div> 95.8%
3	Federal judges	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	Swiss citizens	36	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
5	Randomly selected among MPs	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
-99	No answer	6	
8	Don't know	23	
Sysmiss		6849	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16631rec: Knowledge: who elects the members of the Federal Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1084 /-] [Invalid=6855 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	68	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
1	Correct answer	1016	<div><div></div></div> 93.7%
-99	No answer	6	
Sysmiss		6849	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16632: Knowledge: How often changes the President of the Confederation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1058 /-] [Invalid=6881 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Every four years	96	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
2	Every 6 months	0	
3	Every year	926	<div><div></div></div> 87.5%
4	Every 2 years	30	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5	Changes if required by parliament	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
-99	No answer	3	
8	Don't know	24	
Sysmiss		6854	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16632rec: Knowledge: How often changes the President of the Confederation, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1082 /-] [Invalid=6857 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	156	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
1	Correct answer	926	<div><div></div></div> 85.6%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		6854	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16633: Knowledge: Who is the current President of the Confederation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1057 /-] [Invalid=6882 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Alain Berset	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2	Viola Amherd	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3	Karin Keller-Sutter	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
4	Ignazio Cassis	945	<div><div></div></div> 89.4%
5	Guy Parmelin	68	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
-99	No answer	4	
8	Don't know	30	
Sysmiss		6848	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16633rec: Knowledge: Who is the current President of the Confederation, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1087 /-] [Invalid=6852 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	142	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
1	Correct answer	945	<div><div></div></div> 86.9%
-99	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		6848	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# W6_f16634: Knowledge: Party composition of Federal Council			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=903 /-] [Invalid=7036 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 SP, 1 Green, 2 FDP, 1 The Center and 2 SVP	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
2	2 SP, 1 Green, 1 FDP, 1 The Center and 2 SVP	40	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	1 SP, 2 FDP, 2 The Center and 2 SVP	57	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
4	2 SP, 1 FDP, 2 The Center and 2 SVP	74	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
5	2 SP, 2 FDP, 1 The Center and 2 SVP	706	<div><div></div></div> 78.2%
-99	No answer	11	
8	Don't know	162	
Sysmiss		6863	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f16634rec: Knowledge: Party composition of Federal Council, recoded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1065 /-] [Invalid=6874 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Wrong answer/don't know	359	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
1	Correct answer	706	<div><div></div></div> 66.3%
-99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		6863	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_E11: Current marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2168 /-] [Invalid=5771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or in registered partnership	1291	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%
2	Widowed	68	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
3	Divorced or separated	244	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
4	Single	565	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
-99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		5768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f20500: Household size, including R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2156 /-] [Invalid=5783 /-] [Mean=2.467 /-] [StdDev=1.164 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f20710: Number of children under 18 in the household			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1748 /-] [Invalid=6191 /-] [Mean=0.459 /-] [StdDev=0.866 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-99	No answer	68	

# W6_f20710: Number of children under 18 in the household			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f21310: R's highest level of education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2162 /-] [Invalid=5777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	1	0.0%
2	Primary school	13	0.6%
3	Secondary school	20	0.9%
4	Basic vocational training	18	0.8%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	542	25.1%
6	Diploma school	42	1.9%
7	Trading school	106	4.9%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	63	2.9%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	136	6.3%
10	Higher vocational education with federal diploma	262	12.1%
11	Higher vocational college: for technical, economics, social	178	8.2%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	277	12.8%
13	University, Federal Institute of technology	504	23.3%
14	Other	0	
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5769	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f21300: Has R's professional situation changed in the past 12 months (e.g. new job, retirement, unemployment)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1690	78.1%
1	Yes	473	21.9%
-99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		5769	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f21301: Professional change related to coronavirus pandemic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=473 /-] [Invalid=7466 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	420	88.8%
1	Yes	53	11.2%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7466	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f21400: R's current working situation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=473 /-] [Invalid=7466 /-]		

# W6_f21400: R's current working situation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	144	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	188	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	29	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4	Homemaker	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Retired (AHV)	53	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	14	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
7	Unemployed	18	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	20	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
-99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		7466	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f21500: R's current position at work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=331 /-] [Invalid=7608 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	36	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2	Employee with supervision function	69	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
3	Employee with operative function	194	<div><div></div></div> 58.6%
4	Self-employed	32	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7607	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=327 /-] [Invalid=7612 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	0	
1000	Managers, nos	0	
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1112	Senior government officials	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	0	
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1211	Finance managers	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
1212	Human resource managers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1213	Policy and planning managers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	2	0.6%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	0	
1221	Sales and marketing managers	2	0.6%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	2	0.6%
1223	Research and development managers	3	0.9%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	0	
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	0	
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	0	
1321	Manufacturing managers	5	1.5%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	0	
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	3	0.9%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	3	0.9%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	0	
1341	Child care services managers	1	0.3%
1342	Health services managers	1	0.3%
1343	Aged care services managers	0	
1344	Social welfare managers	3	0.9%
1345	Education managers	3	0.9%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	2	0.6%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	4	1.2%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	0	
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	1	0.3%
1411	Hotel managers	0	
1412	Restaurant managers	0	
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	0	
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	1	0.3%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	0	
2000	Professionals, nos	4	1.2%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	1	0.3%
2112	Meteorologists	0	
2113	Chemists	0	
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	0	
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	1	0.3%
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	1	0.3%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	1	0.3%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	1	0.3%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	0	
2141	Industrial and production engineers	1	0.3%

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2142	Civil engineers	0	
2143	Environmental engineers	1	0.3%
2144	Mechanical engineers	4	1.2%
2145	Chemical engineers	1	0.3%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	0	
2151	Electrical engineers	2	0.6%
2152	Electronics engineers	1	0.3%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	0	
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	0	
2161	Building architects	2	0.6%
2162	Landscape architects	0	
2163	Product and garment designers	0	
2164	Town and traffic planners	2	0.6%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	0	
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	2	0.6%
2200	Health professionals, nos	0	
2210	Medical doctors, nos	0	
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	0	
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	4	1.2%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2221	Nursing professionals	6	1.8%
2222	Midwifery professionals	0	
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	1	0.3%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	0	
2260	Other health professionals, nos	0	
2261	Dentists	2	0.6%
2262	Pharmacists	0	
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	3	0.9%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	0	
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	1	0.3%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	0	
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	0	
2310	University and higher education teachers	2	0.6%
2320	Vocational education teachers	6	1.8%
2330	Secondary education teachers	7	2.1%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	0	
2341	Primary school teachers	9	2.8%
2342	Early childhood educators	1	0.3%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	0	
2351	Education methods specialists	1	0.3%

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2352	Special needs teachers	4	1.2%
2353	Other language teachers	2	0.6%
2354	Other music teachers	0	
2355	Other arts teachers	2	0.6%
2356	Information technology trainers	0	
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	0	
2410	Finance professionals, nos	0	
2411	Accountants	2	0.6%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	3	0.9%
2413	Financial analysts	1	0.3%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	1	0.3%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	5	1.5%
2422	Policy administration professionals	1	0.3%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	6	1.8%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	0	
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	0	
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	2	0.6%
2432	Public relations professionals	2	0.6%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	2	0.6%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	3	0.9%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	1	0.3%
2511	Systems analysts	3	0.9%
2512	Software developers	6	1.8%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	0	
2514	Applications programmers	1	0.3%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	1	0.3%
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	0	
2521	Database designers and administrators	0	
2522	Systems administrators	0	
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	2	0.6%
2611	Lawyers	3	0.9%
2612	Judges	1	0.3%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	0	
2621	Archivists and curators	1	0.3%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	2	0.6%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	0	
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	0	
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2634	Psychologists	2	<div></div> 0.6%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	4	<div></div> 1.2%
2636	Religious professionals	1	<div></div> 0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	0	
2641	Authors and related writers	2	<div></div> 0.6%
2642	Journalists	1	<div></div> 0.3%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	1	<div></div> 0.3%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	0	
2651	Visual artists	0	
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	2	<div></div> 0.6%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	2	<div></div> 0.6%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	0	
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	0	
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	9	<div></div> 2.8%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	0	
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	0	
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	0	
3112	Civil engineering technicians	2	<div></div> 0.6%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	1	<div></div> 0.3%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	0	
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	2	<div></div> 0.6%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	0	
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	0	
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	3	<div></div> 0.9%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	0	
3121	Mining supervisors	0	
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	0	
3123	Construction supervisors	0	
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	0	
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	0	
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	0	
3142	Agricultural technicians	0	
3143	Forestry technicians	0	
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	0	
3151	Ships engineers	1	<div></div> 0.3%
3152	Ships deck officers and pilots	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	1	0.3%
3154	Air traffic controllers	0	
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	0	
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	0	
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	0	
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	0	
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	0	
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	0	
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	0	
3221	Nursing associate professionals	3	0.9%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	0	
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	0	
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	0	
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	1	0.3%
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	0	
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	0	
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	1	0.3%
3256	Medical assistants	1	0.3%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	0	
3258	Ambulance workers	1	0.3%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	1	0.3%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	0	
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	0	
3312	Credit and loans officers	0	
3313	Accounting associate professionals	3	0.9%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	1	0.3%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	0	
3321	Insurance representatives	1	0.3%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	1	0.3%
3323	Buyers	1	0.3%
3324	Trade brokers	0	
3330	Business services agents, nos	0	
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	2	0.6%
3332	Conference and event planners	1	0.3%
3333	Employment agents and contractors	0	
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	0	
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	0	
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	0	
3342	Legal secretaries	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	4	1.2%
3344	Medical secretaries	0	
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	0	
3351	Customs and border inspectors	0	
3352	Government tax and excise officials	0	
3353	Government social benefits officials	1	0.3%
3354	Government licensing officials	0	
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	0	
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	0	
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	2	0.6%
3412	Social work associate professionals	2	0.6%
3413	Religious associate professionals	1	0.3%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	0	
3421	Athletes and sports players	0	
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	2	0.6%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	0	
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	0	
3431	Photographers	0	
3432	Interior designers and decorators	1	0.3%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	0	
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	1	0.3%
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	0	
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	0	
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	1	0.3%
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	2	0.6%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	0	
3514	Web technicians	0	
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	2	0.6%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	0	
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	1	0.3%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	0	
4110	General office clerks	11	3.4%
4120	Secretaries (general)	0	
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	0	
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	0	
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	4	1.2%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	0	
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	0	
4222	Contact centre information clerks	0	
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	1	0.3%
4224	Hotel receptionists	0	
4225	Enquiry clerks	0	
4226	Receptionists (general)	1	0.3%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	0	
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	1	0.3%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	0	
4313	Payroll clerks	0	
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	1	0.3%
4322	Production clerks	1	0.3%
4323	Transport clerks	1	0.3%
4400	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	0	
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	0	
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	0	
4414	Scribes and related workers	0	
4415	Filing and copying clerks	0	
4416	Personnel clerks	0	
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	4	1.2%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	3	0.9%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	0	
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	0	
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	3	0.9%
5112	Transport conductors	0	
5113	Travel guides	0	
5120	Cooks	3	0.9%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	3	0.9%
5132	Bartenders	0	
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	0	
5141	Hairdressers	2	0.6%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	0	
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	0	
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	0	
5152	Domestic housekeepers	0	
5153	Building caretakers	2	0.6%

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	1	0.3%
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	0	
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	0	
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	0	
5165	Driving instructors	1	0.3%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	1	0.3%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	0	
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	0	
5221	Shop keepers	0	
5222	Shop supervisors	0	
5223	Shop sales assistants	2	0.6%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	0	
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	1	0.3%
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	0	
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5300	Personal care workers, nos	0	
5310	Child care workers and teachers aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	0	
5312	Teachers aides	0	
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	0	
5321	Health care assistants	0	
5322	Home-based personal care workers	2	0.6%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	0	
5400	Protective services workers, nos	0	
5410	Protective services workers, nos	0	
5411	Fire-fighters	0	
5412	Police officers	0	
5413	Prison guards	0	
5414	Security guards	0	
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	1	0.3%
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	0	
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	0	
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	0	
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	0	
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	0	
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	0	
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	0	
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting workers	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	0	
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	0	
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	0	
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	0	
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	0	
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	0	
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	1	0.3%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	0	
7115	Carpenters and joiners	1	0.3%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7121	Roofers	1	0.3%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	0	
7123	Plasterers	0	
7124	Insulation workers	0	
7125	Glaziers	0	
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	0	
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	0	
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades workers	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	0	
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	
7133	Building structure cleaners	0	
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	1	0.3%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, and related workers	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	0	
7213	Sheet-metal workers	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	0	
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	0	
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	0	
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	0	
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	0	
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	0	
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	1	0.3%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	0	
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repairers	0	
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	0	
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	0	
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	0	
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	0	
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	0	
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	0	
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	0	
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	0	
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	0	
7321	Pre-press technicians	0	
7322	Printers	0	
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	0	
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	0	
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	0	
7411	Building and related electricians	0	
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	1	0.3%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	0	
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	1	0.3%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and servicers	0	
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and related trades workers, nos	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	0	
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	1	0.3%
7513	Dairy-products makers	0	
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	1	0.3%
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7521	Wood treaters	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	0	0.3%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	0	
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	0	
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	1	
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	0	
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	0	
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	0	
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	0	
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	0	
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	0	
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	0	
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	0	
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	0	
8121	Metal processing plant operators	0	
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	0	
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	0	
8132	Photographic products machine operators	0	
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	0	
8142	Plastic products machine operators	0	
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	0	
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	0	
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	0	
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	
8157	Laundry machine operators	0	
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	0	
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	0	
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	0	
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	0	
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	0	
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	0	
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	0	
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	0	
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	1	0.3%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	0	
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	0	
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	1	0.3%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	2	0.6%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	0	
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	0	
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	0	
8344	Lifting truck operators	0	
8350	Ships deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	0	
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	0	
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	0	
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	0	
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	1	0.3%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9200	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	0	
9211	Crop farm labourers	0	
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	0	
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	

W6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO-08 4 digits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	0	
9313	Building construction labourers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	0	
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9400	Food preparation assistants, nos	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	0	
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	0	
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	0	
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	0	
9997	Recoding impossible	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		7612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W6_f21700: R's current working sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=331 /-] [Invalid=7608 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	188	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
2	Public sector	103	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
3	Other (mixed)	40	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
-99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		7607	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# W6_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=328 /-] [Invalid=7611 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Product fabrication	32	<div></div>	9.8%
2	Retail and wholesale	21	<div></div>	6.4%
3	Healthcare and social sector	62	<div></div>	18.9%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	16	<div></div>	4.9%
5	Construction	25	<div></div>	7.6%
6	Teaching and education	46	<div></div>	14.0%
7	Tourism and business related services	14	<div></div>	4.3%
8	Financial services	19	<div></div>	5.8%
9	Hotel and restaurant	9	<div></div>	2.7%
10	Transportation	19	<div></div>	5.8%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	28	<div></div>	8.5%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	0		
13	Personal services (hairstylist, interest representation)	12	<div></div>	3.7%
14	Information and communication	25	<div></div>	7.6%
15	Other	0		
-99	No answer	4		
Sysmiss		7607		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f28910: Gross monthly household income				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2124 /-] [Invalid=5815 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Less than 2'000 CHF	65	<div></div>	3.1%
2	2'001-3'000 CHF	68	<div></div>	3.2%
3	3'001-4'000 CHF	112	<div></div>	5.3%
4	4'001-5'000 CHF	181	<div></div>	8.5%
5	5'001-6'000 CHF	234	<div></div>	11.0%
6	6'001-7'000 CHF	185	<div></div>	8.7%
7	7'001-8'000 CHF	229	<div></div>	10.8%
8	8'001-9'000 CHF	182	<div></div>	8.6%
9	9'001-10'000 CHF	160	<div></div>	7.5%
10	10'001-11'000 CHF	160	<div></div>	7.5%
11	11'001-12'000 CHF	99	<div></div>	4.7%
12	12'001-13'000 CHF	107	<div></div>	5.0%
13	13'001-14'000 CHF	69	<div></div>	3.2%
14	14'001-15'000 CHF	73	<div></div>	3.4%
15	More than 15'000 CHF	200	<div></div>	9.4%
-99	No answer	45		
Sysmiss		5770		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# W6_f30021: The questionnaire was interesting				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-99]			

# W6_f30021: The questionnaire was interesting			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2163 /-] [Invalid=5776 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	861	<div><div></div></div> 39.8%
2	Rather agree	1077	<div><div></div></div> 49.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	175	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
4	Rather disagree	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5	Strongly disagree	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		5771	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f30022: The length of the questionnaire was appropriate			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2160 /-] [Invalid=5779 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	787	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
2	Rather agree	916	<div><div></div></div> 42.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	192	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4	Rather disagree	240	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
5	Strongly disagree	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
-99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		5771	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f30023: The questions were easy to understand			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2159 /-] [Invalid=5780 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1208	<div><div></div></div> 56.0%
2	Rather agree	850	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	70	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
4	Rather disagree	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5	Strongly disagree	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
-99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		5771	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W6_f30024: The questionnaire could be filled in without problems			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing= */-99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2155 /-] [Invalid=5784 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1097	<div><div></div></div> 50.9%
2	Rather agree	833	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	117	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4	Rather disagree	100	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
5	Strongly disagree	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
-99	No answer	13	

# W6_f30024: The questionnaire could be filled in without problems			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		5771	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_6_f21400: R's current working situation, W1_5 updated with W6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7916 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time (40 hrs per week or more)	2833	35.8%
2	Part time (5-39 hrs per week)	2014	25.4%
3	In training (apprentice, student)	509	6.4%
4	Homemaker	343	4.3%
5	Retired (AHV)	1776	22.4%
6	Person receiving invalidity insurance or other benefits	158	2.0%
7	Unemployed	118	1.5%
8	Other (e.g., further training, sabbatical)	165	2.1%
-99	No answer	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_6_f21500: R's current position at work, W1_5 updated with W6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5000 /-] [Invalid=2939 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	614	12.3%
2	Employee with supervision function	1300	26.0%
3	Employee with operative function	2469	49.4%
4	Self-employed	617	12.3%
-99	No answer	42	
Sysmiss		2897	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9997] [Missing=*/-999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2856 /-] [Invalid=5083 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Military professions	1	0.0%
100	Commissioned armed forces officers	1	0.0%
110	Commissioned armed forces officers	0	
210	Non-commissioned armed forces officers	0	
310	Armed forces occupations, other ranks	1	0.0%
1000	Managers, nos	41	1.4%
1100	Chief executives, senior officials and legislators, nos	0	
1110	Legislators and senior officials, nos	0	
1111	Legislators	1	0.0%
1112	Senior government officials	23	0.8%
1113	Traditional chiefs and heads of village	0	
1114	Senior officials of special-interest organisations	9	0.3%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1120	Managing directors and chief executives	45	1.6%
1200	Administrative and commercial managers, nos	12	0.4%
1210	Business services and administration managers, nos	5	0.2%
1211	Finance managers	16	0.6%
1212	Human resource managers	19	0.7%
1213	Policy and planning managers	1	0.0%
1219	Business services and administration managers not elsewhere	3	0.1%
1220	Sales, marketing and development managers, nos	2	0.1%
1221	Sales and marketing managers	26	0.9%
1222	Advertising and public relations managers	2	0.1%
1223	Research and development managers	6	0.2%
1300	Production and specialized services managers, nos	14	0.5%
1310	Production managers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries,	0	
1311	Agricultural and forestry production managers	3	0.1%
1312	Aquaculture and fisheries production managers	0	
1320	Manufacturing, mining, construction, and distribution manag	5	0.2%
1321	Manufacturing managers	14	0.5%
1322	Mining managers	0	
1323	Construction managers	2	0.1%
1324	Supply, distribution and related managers	25	0.9%
1330	Information and communications technology service managers	16	0.6%
1340	Professional services managers, nos	3	0.1%
1341	Child care services managers	3	0.1%
1342	Health services managers	6	0.2%
1343	Aged care services managers	1	0.0%
1344	Social welfare managers	3	0.1%
1345	Education managers	12	0.4%
1346	Financial and insurance services branch managers	8	0.3%
1349	Professional services managers not elsewhere classified	6	0.2%
1400	Hospitality, retail and other services managers, nos	2	0.1%
1410	Hotel and restaurant managers, nos	9	0.3%
1411	Hotel managers	2	0.1%
1412	Restaurant managers	8	0.3%
1420	Retail and wholesale trade managers	2	0.1%
1430	Other services managers, nos	0	
1431	Sports, recreation and cultural centre managers	2	0.1%
1439	Services managers not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
2000	Professionals, nos	17	0.6%
2100	Science and engineering professionals, nos	6	0.2%
2110	Physical and earth science professionals, nos	0	
2111	Physicists and astronomers	4	0.1%
2112	Meteorologists	1	0.0%
2113	Chemists	2	0.1%
2114	Geologists and geophysicists	3	0.1%
2120	Mathematicians, actuaries and statisticians	3	0.1%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2130	Life science professionals, nos	0	
2131	Biologists, botanists, zoologists and related professionals	5	0.2%
2132	Farming, forestry and fisheries advisers	2	0.1%
2133	Environmental protection professionals	5	0.2%
2140	Engineering professionals (excluding electrotechnology), no	3	0.1%
2141	Industrial and production engineers	2	0.1%
2142	Civil engineers	18	0.6%
2143	Environmental engineers	1	0.0%
2144	Mechanical engineers	16	0.6%
2145	Chemical engineers	5	0.2%
2146	Mining engineers, metallurgists and related professionals	0	
2149	Engineering professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.1%
2150	Electrotechnology engineers, nos	3	0.1%
2151	Electrical engineers	21	0.7%
2152	Electronics engineers	3	0.1%
2153	Telecommunications engineers	0	
2160	Architects, planners, surveyors and designers, nos	4	0.1%
2161	Building architects	29	1.0%
2162	Landscape architects	1	0.0%
2163	Product and garment designers	1	0.0%
2164	Town and traffic planners	3	0.1%
2165	Cartographers and surveyors	3	0.1%
2166	Graphic and multimedia designers	13	0.5%
2200	Health professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2210	Medical doctors, nos	20	0.7%
2211	Generalist medical practitioners	2	0.1%
2212	Specialist medical practitioners	15	0.5%
2220	Nursing and midwifery professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2221	Nursing professionals	17	0.6%
2222	Midwifery professionals	0	
2230	Traditional and complementary medicine professionals	10	0.4%
2240	Paramedical practitioners	0	
2250	Veterinarians	4	0.1%
2260	Other health professionals, nos	2	0.1%
2261	Dentists	6	0.2%
2262	Pharmacists	7	0.2%
2263	Environmental and occupational health and hygiene professio	0	
2264	Physiotherapists	13	0.5%
2265	Dieticians and nutritionists	1	0.0%
2266	Audiologists and speech therapists	3	0.1%
2267	Optometrists and ophthalmic opticians	0	
2269	Health professionals not elsewhere classified	9	0.3%
2300	Teaching professionals, nos	20	0.7%
2310	University and higher education teachers	15	0.5%
2320	Vocational education teachers	21	0.7%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2330	Secondary education teachers	90	3.2%
2340	Primary school and early childhood teachers, nos	4	0.1%
2341	Primary school teachers	55	1.9%
2342	Early childhood educators	11	0.4%
2350	Other teaching professionals, nos	7	0.2%
2351	Education methods specialists	2	0.1%
2352	Special needs teachers	19	0.7%
2353	Other language teachers	3	0.1%
2354	Other music teachers	1	0.0%
2355	Other arts teachers	4	0.1%
2356	Information technology trainers	0	
2359	Teaching professionals not elsewhere classified	2	0.1%
2400	Business and administration professionals, nos	9	0.3%
2410	Finance professionals, nos	10	0.4%
2411	Accountants	15	0.5%
2412	Financial and investment advisers	14	0.5%
2413	Financial analysts	2	0.1%
2420	Administration professionals, nos	3	0.1%
2421	Management and organisation analysts	10	0.4%
2422	Policy administration professionals	16	0.6%
2423	Personnel and careers professionals	18	0.6%
2424	Training and staff development professionals	0	
2430	Sales, marketing and public relations professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2431	Advertising and marketing professionals	10	0.4%
2432	Public relations professionals	4	0.1%
2433	Technical and medical sales professionals (excluding ICT)	8	0.3%
2434	Information and communications technology sales professiona	0	
2500	Information and communications technology professionals, no	22	0.8%
2510	Software and applications developers and analysts, nos	2	0.1%
2511	Systems analysts	10	0.4%
2512	Software developers	36	1.3%
2513	Web and multimedia developers	0	
2514	Applications programmers	2	0.1%
2519	Software and applications developers and analysts not elsew	1	0.0%
2520	Database and network professionals, nos	1	0.0%
2521	Database designers and administrators	0	
2522	Systems administrators	0	
2523	Computer network professionals	0	
2529	Database and network professionals not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
2600	Legal, social and cultural professionals, nos	0	
2610	Legal professionals, nos	12	0.4%
2611	Lawyers	9	0.3%
2612	Judges	4	0.1%
2619	Legal professionals not elsewhere classified	5	0.2%
2620	Librarians, archivists and curators , nos	1	0.0%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2621	Archivists and curators	2	0.1%
2622	Librarians and related information professionals	8	0.3%
2630	Social and religious professionals, nos	0	
2631	Economists	0	
2632	Sociologists, anthropologists and related professionals	2	0.1%
2633	Philosophers, historians and political scientists	2	0.1%
2634	Psychologists	14	0.5%
2635	Social work and counselling professionals	35	1.2%
2636	Religious professionals	8	0.3%
2640	Authors, journalists and linguists, nos	0	
2641	Authors and related writers	4	0.1%
2642	Journalists	16	0.6%
2643	Translators, interpreters and other linguists	3	0.1%
2650	Creative and performing artists, nos	1	0.0%
2651	Visual artists	1	0.0%
2652	Musicians, singers and composers	10	0.4%
2653	Dancers and choreographers	0	
2654	Film, stage and related directors and producers	4	0.1%
2655	Actors	0	
2656	Announcers on radio, television and other media	1	0.0%
2659	Creative and performing artists not elsewhere classified	0	
3000	Technicians and associate professionals, nos	37	1.3%
3100	Science and engineering associate professionals, nos	9	0.3%
3110	Physical and engineering science technicians, nos	5	0.2%
3111	Chemical and physical science technicians	10	0.4%
3112	Civil engineering technicians	12	0.4%
3113	Electrical engineering technicians	2	0.1%
3114	Electronics engineering technicians	1	0.0%
3115	Mechanical engineering technicians	6	0.2%
3116	Chemical engineering technicians	1	0.0%
3117	Mining and metallurgical technicians	0	
3118	Draughtspersons	20	0.7%
3119	Physical and engineering science technicians not elsewhere	22	0.8%
3120	Mining, manufacturing and construction supervisors, nos	8	0.3%
3121	Mining supervisors	0	
3122	Manufacturing supervisors	8	0.3%
3123	Construction supervisors	22	0.8%
3130	Process control technicians, nos	0	
3131	Power production plant operators	0	
3132	Incinerator and water treatment plant operators	1	0.0%
3133	Chemical processing plant controllers	0	
3134	Petroleum and natural gas refining plant operators	0	
3135	Metal production process controllers	0	
3139	Process control technicians not elsewhere classified	0	
3140	Life science technicians and related associate professional	0	

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3141	Life science technicians (excluding medical)	3	0.1%
3142	Agricultural technicians	0	
3143	Forestry technicians	0	
3150	Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians, nos	1	0.0%
3151	Ships' engineers	1	0.0%
3152	Ships' deck officers and pilots	0	
3153	Aircraft pilots and related associate professionals	3	0.1%
3154	Air traffic controllers	2	0.1%
3155	Air traffic safety electronics technicians	0	
3200	Health associate professionals, nos	10	0.4%
3210	Medical and pharmaceutical technicians, nos	2	0.1%
3211	Medical imaging and therapeutic equipment technicians	4	0.1%
3212	Medical and pathology laboratory technicians	5	0.2%
3213	Pharmaceutical technicians and assistants	6	0.2%
3214	Medical and dental prosthetic technicians	3	0.1%
3220	Nursing and midwifery associate professionals, nos	5	0.2%
3221	Nursing associate professionals	57	2.0%
3222	Midwifery associate professionals	0	
3230	Traditional and complementary medicine associate profession	0	
3240	Veterinary technicians and assistants	1	0.0%
3250	Other health associate professionals, nos	6	0.2%
3251	Dental assistants and therapists	1	0.0%
3252	Medical records and health information technicians	1	0.0%
3253	Community health workers	0	
3254	Dispensing opticians	2	0.1%
3255	Physiotherapy technicians and assistants	5	0.2%
3256	Medical assistants	6	0.2%
3257	Environmental and occupational health inspectors and associ	0	
3258	Ambulance workers	4	0.1%
3259	Health associate professionals not elsewhere classified	0	
3300	Business and administration associate professionals, nos	26	0.9%
3310	Financial and mathematical associate professionals, nos	33	1.2%
3311	Securities and finance dealers and brokers	12	0.4%
3312	Credit and loans officers	4	0.1%
3313	Accounting associate professionals	28	1.0%
3314	Statistical, mathematical and related associate professiona	0	
3315	Valuers and loss assessors	3	0.1%
3320	Sales and purchasing agents and brokers, nos	2	0.1%
3321	Insurance representatives	15	0.5%
3322	Commercial sales representatives	10	0.4%
3323	Buyers	19	0.7%
3324	Trade brokers	14	0.5%
3330	Business services agents, nos	0	
3331	Clearing and forwarding agents	7	0.2%
3332	Conference and event planners	1	0.0%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3333	Employment agents and contractors	3	0.1%
3334	Real estate agents and property managers	12	0.4%
3339	Business services agents not elsewhere classified	1	0.0%
3340	Administrative and specialized secretaries, nos	0	
3341	Office supervisors	7	0.2%
3342	Legal secretaries	5	0.2%
3343	Administrative and executive secretaries	32	1.1%
3344	Medical secretaries	6	0.2%
3350	Regulatory government associate professionals, nos	6	0.2%
3351	Customs and border inspectors	3	0.1%
3352	Government tax and excise officials	1	0.0%
3353	Government social benefits officials	7	0.2%
3354	Government licensing officials	0	
3355	Police inspectors and detectives	4	0.1%
3359	Regulatory government associate professionals not elsewhere	0	
3400	Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals	3	0.1%
3410	Legal, social and religious associate professionals, nos	0	
3411	Legal and related associate professionals	5	0.2%
3412	Social work associate professionals	16	0.6%
3413	Religious associate professionals	4	0.1%
3420	Sports and fitness workers, nos	1	0.0%
3421	Athletes and sports players	1	0.0%
3422	Sports coaches, instructors and officials	7	0.2%
3423	Fitness and recreation instructors and program leaders	4	0.1%
3430	Artistic, cultural and culinary associate professionals, no	1	0.0%
3431	Photographers	3	0.1%
3432	Interior designers and decorators	6	0.2%
3433	Gallery, museum and library technicians	0	
3434	Chefs	6	0.2%
3435	Other artistic and cultural associate professionals	1	0.0%
3500	Information and communications technicians, nos	15	0.5%
3510	Information and communications technology operations and us	2	0.1%
3511	Information and communications technology operations techni	1	0.0%
3512	Information and communications technology user support tech	4	0.1%
3513	Computer network and systems technicians	0	
3514	Web technicians	2	0.1%
3520	Telecommunications and broadcasting technicians, nos	0	
3521	Broadcasting and audio-visual technicians	2	0.1%
3522	Telecommunications engineering technicians	5	0.2%
4000	Clerical support workers, nos	35	1.2%
4100	General and keyboard clerks, nos	8	0.3%
4110	General office clerks	65	2.3%
4120	Secretaries (general)	68	2.4%
4130	Keyboard operators, nos	0	
4131	Typists and word processing operators	5	0.2%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4132	Data entry clerks	0	
4200	Customer services clerks, nos	2	0.1%
4210	Tellers, money collectors and related clerks, nos	0	
4211	Bank tellers and related clerks	17	0.6%
4212	Bookmakers, croupiers and related gaming workers	0	
4213	Pawnbrokers and money-lenders	0	
4214	Debt-collectors and related workers	0	
4220	Client information workers, nos	6	0.2%
4221	Travel consultants and clerks	7	0.2%
4222	Contact centre information clerks	1	0.0%
4223	Telephone switchboard operators	7	0.2%
4224	Hotel receptionists	0	
4225	Enquiry clerks	1	0.0%
4226	Receptionists (general)	2	0.1%
4227	Survey and market research interviewers	0	
4229	Client information workers not elsewhere classified	0	
4300	Numerical and material recording clerks, nos	6	0.2%
4310	Numerical clerks, nos	0	
4311	Accounting and bookkeeping clerks	10	0.4%
4312	Statistical, finance and insurance clerks	6	0.2%
4313	Payroll clerks	2	0.1%
4320	Material-recording and transport clerks, nos	0	
4321	Stock clerks	7	0.2%
4322	Production clerks	1	0.0%
4323	Transport clerks	9	0.3%
4400	Other clerical support workers, nos	1	0.0%
4410	Other clerical support workers, nos	0	
4411	Library clerks	5	0.2%
4412	Mail carriers and sorting clerks	7	0.2%
4413	Coding, proof-reading and related clerks	2	0.1%
4414	Scribes and related workers	0	
4415	Filing and copying clerks	2	0.1%
4416	Personnel clerks	4	0.1%
4419	Clerical support workers not elsewhere classified	5	0.2%
5000	Service and sales workers, nos	10	0.4%
5100	Personal service workers, nos	6	0.2%
5110	Travel attendants, conductors and guides, nos	1	0.0%
5111	Travel attendants and travel stewards	11	0.4%
5112	Transport conductors	1	0.0%
5113	Travel guides	1	0.0%
5120	Cooks	21	0.7%
5130	Waiters and bartenders, nos	0	
5131	Waiters	10	0.4%
5132	Bartenders	1	0.0%
5140	Hairdressers, beauticians and related workers, nos	1	0.0%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5141	Hairdressers	13	0.5%
5142	Beauticians and related workers	3	0.1%
5150	Building and housekeeping supervisors, nos	5	0.2%
5151	Cleaning and housekeeping supervisors in offices, hotels an	1	0.0%
5152	Domestic housekeepers	3	0.1%
5153	Building caretakers	13	0.5%
5160	Other personal services workers, nos	1	0.0%
5161	Astrologers, fortune-tellers and related workers	0	
5162	Companions and valets	0	
5163	Undertakers and embalmers	0	
5164	Pet groomers and animal care workers	1	0.0%
5165	Driving instructors	5	0.2%
5169	Personal services workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5200	Sales workers, nos	16	0.6%
5210	Street and market salespersons, nos	0	
5211	Stall and market salespersons	1	0.0%
5212	Street food salespersons	0	
5220	Shop salespersons , nos	3	0.1%
5221	Shop keepers	6	0.2%
5222	Shop supervisors	11	0.4%
5223	Shop sales assistants	81	2.8%
5230	Cashiers and ticket clerks	2	0.1%
5240	Other sales workers, nos	0	
5241	Fashion and other models	0	
5242	Sales demonstrators	1	0.0%
5243	Door to door salespersons	0	
5244	Contact centre salespersons	0	
5245	Service station attendants	0	
5246	Food service counter attendants	0	
5249	Sales workers not elsewhere classified	0	
5300	Personal care workers, nos	11	0.4%
5310	Child care workers and teachers' aides, nos	0	
5311	Child care workers	7	0.2%
5312	Teachers' aides	0	
5320	Personal care workers in health services, nos	7	0.2%
5321	Health care assistants	7	0.2%
5322	Home-based personal care workers	17	0.6%
5329	Personal care workers in health services not elsewhere clas	10	0.4%
5400	Protective services workers, nos	0	
5410	Protective services workers, nos	4	0.1%
5411	Fire-fighters	1	0.0%
5412	Police officers	6	0.2%
5413	Prison guards	2	0.1%
5414	Security guards	2	0.1%
5419	Protective services workers not elsewhere classified	2	0.1%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6000	Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, nos	2	0.1%
6100	Market-oriented skilled agricultural workers, nos	0	
6110	Market gardeners and crop growers, nos	0	
6111	Field crop and vegetable growers	0	
6112	Tree and shrub crop growers	1	0.0%
6113	Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers	5	0.2%
6114	Mixed crop growers	0	
6120	Animal producers, nos	0	
6121	Livestock and dairy producers	2	0.1%
6122	Poultry producers	0	
6123	Apiarists and sericulturists	1	0.0%
6129	Animal producers not elsewhere classified	0	
6130	Mixed crop and animal producers	21	0.7%
6200	Market-oriented skilled forestry, fishery and hunting workers	0	
6210	Forestry and related workers	0	
6220	Fishery workers, hunters and trappers, nos	0	
6221	Aquaculture workers	0	
6222	Inland and coastal waters fishery workers	0	
6223	Deep-sea fishery workers	0	
6224	Hunters and trappers	0	
6300	Subsistence farmers, fishers, hunters and gatherers, nos	0	
6310	Subsistence crop farmers	0	
6320	Subsistence livestock farmers	0	
6330	Subsistence mixed crop and livestock farmers	0	
6340	Subsistence fishers, hunters, trappers and gatherers	0	
7000	Craft and related trades workers, nos	11	0.4%
7100	Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians	0	
7110	Building frame and related trades workers, nos	2	0.1%
7111	House builders	0	
7112	Bricklayers and related workers	4	0.1%
7113	Stonemasons, stone cutters, splitters and carvers	2	0.1%
7114	Concrete placers, concrete finishers and related workers	2	0.1%
7115	Carpenters and joiners	8	0.3%
7119	Building frame and related trades workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7120	Building finishers and related trades workers, nos	0	
7121	Roofers	2	0.1%
7122	Floor layers and tile setters	5	0.2%
7123	Plasterers	2	0.1%
7124	Insulation workers	2	0.1%
7125	Glaziers	1	0.0%
7126	Plumbers and pipe fitters	8	0.3%
7127	Air conditioning and refrigeration mechanics	1	0.0%
7130	Painters, building structure cleaners and related trades workers	0	
7131	Painters and related workers	6	0.2%
7132	Spray painters and varnishers	0	

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7133	Building structure cleaners	0	
7200	Metal, machinery and related trades workers, nos	10	0.4%
7210	Sheet and structural metal workers, moulders and welders, a	0	
7211	Metal moulders and coremakers	0	
7212	Welders and flamecutters	0	
7213	Sheet-metal workers	0	
7214	Structural-metal preparers and erectors	6	0.2%
7215	Riggers and cable splicers	0	
7220	Blacksmiths, toolmakers and related trades workers, nos	1	0.0%
7221	Blacksmiths, hammersmiths and forging press workers	0	
7222	Toolmakers and related workers	6	0.2%
7223	Metal working machine tool setters and operators	2	0.1%
7224	Metal polishers, wheel grinders and tool sharpeners	1	0.0%
7230	Machinery mechanics and repairers, nos	15	0.5%
7231	Motor vehicle mechanics and repairers	17	0.6%
7232	Aircraft engine mechanics and repairers	2	0.1%
7233	Agricultural and industrial machinery mechanics and repaire	1	0.0%
7234	Bicycle and related repairers	0	
7300	Handicraft and printing workers, nos	0	
7310	Handicraft workers, nos	1	0.0%
7311	Precision-instrument makers and repairers	8	0.3%
7312	Musical instrument makers and tuners	2	0.1%
7313	Jewellery and precious-metal workers	4	0.1%
7314	Potters and related workers	0	
7315	Glass makers, cutters, grinders and finishers	1	0.0%
7316	Sign writers, decorative painters, engravers and etchers	1	0.0%
7317	Handicraft workers in wood, basketry and related materials	0	
7318	Handicraft workers in textile, leather and related material	0	
7319	Handicraft workers not elsewhere classified	0	
7320	Printing trades workers, nos	3	0.1%
7321	Pre-press technicians	18	0.6%
7322	Printers	4	0.1%
7323	Print finishing and binding workers	0	
7400	Electrical and electronic trades workers, nos	5	0.2%
7410	Electrical equipment installers and repairers, nos	1	0.0%
7411	Building and related electricians	6	0.2%
7412	Electrical mechanics and fitters	12	0.4%
7413	Electrical line installers and repairers	1	0.0%
7420	Electronics and telecommunications installers and repairers	0	
7421	Electronics mechanics and servicers	10	0.4%
7422	Information and communications technology installers and se	1	0.0%
7500	Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and	0	
7510	Food processing and related trades workers, nos	0	
7511	Butchers, fishmongers and related food preparers	8	0.3%
7512	Bakers, pastry-cooks and confectionery makers	8	0.3%

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7513	Dairy-products makers	3	0.1%
7514	Fruit, vegetable and related preservers	0	
7515	Food and beverage tasters and graders	1	0.0%
7516	Tobacco preparers and tobacco products makers	0	
7520	Wood treaters, cabinet-makers and related trades workers, n	0	
7521	Wood treaters	0	
7522	Cabinet-makers and related workers	4	0.1%
7523	Woodworking-machine tool setters and operators	0	
7530	Garment and related trades workers, nos	3	0.1%
7531	Tailors, dressmakers, furriers and hatters	7	0.2%
7532	Garment and related pattern-makers and cutters	1	0.0%
7533	Sewing, embroidery and related workers	3	0.1%
7534	Upholsterers and related workers	0	
7535	Pelt dressers, tanners and fellmongers	0	
7536	Shoemakers and related workers	0	
7540	Other craft and related workers, nos	0	
7541	Underwater divers	0	
7542	Shotfirers and blasters	1	0.0%
7543	Product graders and testers (excluding foods and beverages)	0	
7544	Fumigators and other pest and weed controllers	0	
7549	Craft and related workers not elsewhere classified	5	0.2%
8000	Plant and machine operators and assemblers, nos	4	0.1%
8100	Stationary plant and machine operators, nos	4	0.1%
8110	Mining and mineral processing plant operators, nos	0	
8111	Miners and quarriers	1	0.0%
8112	Mineral and stone processing plant operators	0	
8113	Well drillers and borers and related workers	0	
8114	Cement, stone and other mineral products machine operators	0	
8120	Metal processing and finishing plant operators, nos	2	0.1%
8121	Metal processing plant operators	0	
8122	Metal finishing, plating and coating machine operators	1	0.0%
8130	Chemical and photographic products plant and machine operat	0	
8131	Chemical products plant and machine operators	0	
8132	Photographic products machine operators	1	0.0%
8140	Rubber, plastic and paper products machine operators, nos	0	
8141	Rubber products machine operators	1	0.0%
8142	Plastic products machine operators	0	
8143	Paper products machine operators	0	
8150	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators, nos	1	0.0%
8151	Fibre preparing, spinning and winding machine operators	0	
8152	Weaving and knitting machine operators	0	
8153	Sewing machine operators	0	
8154	Bleaching, dyeing and fabric cleaning machine operators	1	0.0%
8155	Fur and leather preparing machine operators	0	
8156	Shoemaking and related machine operators	0	

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8157	Laundry machine operators	0	
8159	Textile, fur and leather products machine operators not els	0	
8160	Food and related products machine operators	1	0.0%
8170	Wood processing and papermaking plant operators, nos	0	
8171	Pulp and papermaking plant operators	0	
8172	Wood processing plant operators	0	
8180	Other stationary plant and machine operators, nos	0	
8181	Glass and ceramics plant operators	0	
8182	Steam engine and boiler operators	0	
8183	Packing, bottling and labelling machine operators	1	0.0%
8189	Stationary plant and machine operators not elsewhere classi	0	
8200	Assemblers, nos	0	
8210	Assemblers, nos	0	
8211	Mechanical machinery assemblers	0	
8212	Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	0	
8219	Assemblers not elsewhere classified	0	
8300	Drivers and mobile plant operators, nos	5	0.2%
8310	Locomotive engine drivers and related workers, nos	2	0.1%
8311	Locomotive engine drivers	7	0.2%
8312	Railway brake, signal and switch operators	7	0.2%
8320	Car, van and motorcycle drivers, nos	1	0.0%
8321	Motorcycle drivers	0	
8322	Car, taxi and van drivers	6	0.2%
8330	Heavy truck and bus drivers, nos	0	
8331	Bus and tram drivers	12	0.4%
8332	Heavy truck and lorry drivers	6	0.2%
8340	Mobile plant operators, nos	1	0.0%
8341	Mobile farm and forestry plant operators	0	
8342	Earthmoving and related plant operators	0	
8343	Crane, hoist and related plant operators	0	
8344	Lifting truck operators	1	0.0%
8350	Ships' deck crews and related workers	0	
9000	Elementary occupations, nos	14	0.5%
9100	Cleaners and helpers, nos	0	
9110	Domestic, hotel and office cleaners and helpers, nos	4	0.1%
9111	Domestic cleaners and helpers	1	0.0%
9112	Cleaners and helpers in offices, hotels and other establish	3	0.1%
9120	Vehicle, window, laundry and other hand cleaning workers, n	0	
9121	Hand launderers and pressers	0	
9122	Vehicle cleaners	0	
9123	Window cleaners	0	
9129	Other cleaning workers	0	
9210	Agricultural, forestry and fishery labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9211	Crop farm labourers	0	
9212	Livestock farm labourers	0	

W1_6_f216_08: R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits, W1_5 updated with W6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9213	Mixed crop and livestock farm labourers	0	
9214	Garden and horticultural labourers	0	
9215	Forestry labourers	0	
9216	Fishery and aquaculture labourers	0	
9300	Labourers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transp	0	
9310	Mining and construction labourers, nos	2	0.1%
9311	Mining and quarrying labourers	0	
9312	Civil engineering labourers	0	
9313	Building construction labourers	1	0.0%
9320	Manufacturing labourers, nos	1	0.0%
9321	Hand packers	0	
9329	Manufacturing labourers not elsewhere classified	0	
9330	Transport and storage labourers, nos	0	
9331	Hand and pedal vehicle drivers	0	
9332	Drivers of animal-drawn vehicles and machinery	0	
9333	Freight handlers	2	0.1%
9334	Shelf fillers	0	
9410	Food preparation assistants, nos	1	0.0%
9411	Fast food preparers	0	
9412	Kitchen helpers	0	
9500	Street and related sales and service workers, nos	0	
9510	Street and related service workers	0	
9520	Street vendors (excluding food)	0	
9600	Refuse workers and other elementary workers, nos	0	
9610	Refuse workers, nos	2	0.1%
9611	Garbage and recycling collectors	0	
9612	Refuse sorters	0	
9613	Sweepers and related labourers	1	0.0%
9620	Other elementary workers, nos	2	0.1%
9621	Messengers, package deliverers and luggage porters	3	0.1%
9622	Odd job persons	0	
9623	Meter readers and vending-machine collectors	0	
9624	Water and firewood collectors	0	
9629	Elementary workers not elsewhere classified	2	0.1%
9997	Recoding impossible	2	0.1%
-999	No answer	76	
Sysmiss		5007	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

W1_6_f21700: R's current working sector, W1_6 updated with W5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5005 /-] [Invalid=2934 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	3107	62.1%
2	Public sector	1460	29.2%

# W1_6_f21700: R's current working sector, W1_6 updated with W5			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Other (mixed)	438	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
-99	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		2907	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W1_6_f21750: Industry in which R's current company is active, W1_5 updated with W6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/-99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4975 /-] [Invalid=2964 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Product fabrication	666	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
2	Retail and wholesale	372	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3	Healthcare and social sector	885	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
4	Services, expertise, research and development	314	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5	Construction	454	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
6	Teaching and education	550	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
7	Tourism and business related services	151	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
8	Financial services	353	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
9	Hotel and restaurant	125	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
10	Transportation	183	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
11	Public administration, defence, social insurance	383	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
12	Agriculture, forestry, fishery	93	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
13	Personal services (hairdresser, interest representation)	145	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
14	Information and communication	263	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
15	Other	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
-99	No answer	52	
Sysmiss		2912	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# W2_longweight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.67584469717767] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.003 /-] [StdDev=0.712 /-]		
# W3_longweight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.59036019322931] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.003 /-] [StdDev=0.824 /-]		
# W4_longweight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-36.006620104345] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.011 /-] [StdDev=1.859 /-]		
# W5_longweight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-36.3532161236908] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.008 /-] [StdDev=1.903 /-]		
# W6_longweight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-43.3922811707062] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7939 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.012 /-] [StdDev=2.062 /-]		