Senior Enrichment Rubric

	1	2	3	4	5
Front end	The front end is mostly incomplete, with several missing features.	Several front end features are in place, though it could use refactoring. Visibility controls may be limited, if in place at all.	Most front end logic is in place, though it could be written in a more modular way. Many visibility controls may be missing.	Most front end logic is in place, though some visibility controls may be missing (e.g. The 'Delete Aircraft' Button is visible for instructors with assigned cohorts). Must also use Redux.	Front-end logic is sound. The UI is built using a component-ba sed architecture. Visibility controls are in line with the project spec. Must also use React-Redux.
Middleware	Most routes are incomplete or missing.	Several routes included in the spec are written correctly, though many could use more work.	The majority of routes included in the spec are written, though several could use further debugging. There is no middleware for error handling.	The majority of routes included in the spec are written, as well as error handling and redirecting middleware. Most necessary routes are properly tied to the UI.	All Routes included in the spec are written, as well as error handling and redirecting middleware. All necessary routes are properly tied to the UI.
Models	Models are undefined and unassociated.	Models are defined. Seeding and correct methods are absent.	Models are correctly defined and associated. Either no seeding is evident or several model methods are incorrectly implemented.	Models are correctly defined, with instances seeded in the database. Associations are largely correct. Some model methods could use fine tuning or debugging.	Models are correctly defined, with instances seeded in the database. All the necessary and proper associations, validators and methods are built as well.

Modularity	Code is undocumented spaghetti.	Code is missing documentation OR in need of significant refactoring.	Documentation is attempted but is not thorough enough. Code could use definite refactoring in some places.	The vast majority of the code is well documented and modular. Some refactoring could be used.	Code is beautifully written and easy to maintain. Functions are well documented, and separation of concerns in evident.
------------	---------------------------------	--	--	---	---

USER STORIES

- Navigation: as a user I...
 - will land on Home by default which will show a scoreboard of top 5
 Countries ranked in order of GFI.
 - Can navigate to Countries from Home
 - o can navigate to Aircrafts from Home
 - o can navigate to view a Single Country from Countries
 - o can navigate to view a Single Aircraft from Aircraft
 - can navigate to view a Single Country from Aircraft
 - can navigate to view a Single Aircraft from Single Country
 - can navigate to view a Single Country from Single Aircraft
- Views: as a user I...
 - see a list of all countries on the Countries view
 - see a list of all aircraft on the Aircraft view.
 - see appropriate details about an aircraft on the Single Country view excluding the aircraft's description.
 - see appropriate details about a country on the Single Aircraft view, which should be represented with the country of make and a flag which links you to the proper single country view.
- Actions: as a user I...
 - can create a country
 - can edit a campus's info, including adding/removing aircraft to/from that country.
 - o can delete a country, triggering deletion of all associated aircraft.
 - can create an aircraft
 - o can edit an aircraft's info, including the country that aircraft is assigned to.
 - o can delete an aircraft

EXTRA CREDIT

- Design + Responsiveness (up to 5 bonus points)
- Additional features not specified in spec (up to 5 bonus points)

SELF-SUFFICIENCY

• Help received outside of system issues, clarifications, or getting links to docs (points subtracted case-by-case)

TOTAL =

- 0.75 * (Completed User Stories / 18)
- + 0.25 * (Rubric Total / 20)
- + Extra Credit
- Self-sufficiency Subtraction