Causal Inference

Yingying Ji,15220162202134 2019/06/08

Introduction

In this report, I will do some simple empirical analyses about learning causal effect from randomized experiments, using Stata language to implement. The goal is to estimate the causal effect of small class on student's test score. The data are from CEPS database originally and have been already processed for simplicity.

Prepare the data

```
*HW7 for Microeconometrics
*By Yingying Ji, Stu.ID:15220162202134
*2019/06/08

clear //clear memory and remove data
set more off
cd "C:\Users\15068\Desktop\Microeconometrics\HW7"
//Change the working directory to a specific folder

use hw7data.dta //load the data
des //read the data
sum //read the data
```

. des //read the data

Contains data from hw7data.dta

obs: 100,966 vars: 7 size: 2,827,048

29 Mar 2018 11:41

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
stdid classid income girl small testscore classsize	float float float float float float float	%9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g	vetlb	student id class id family annual income (1000\$) indicator of girl indicator of small classes: true attendence test score

Sorted by: classid

. sum //read the data

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
stdid classid income girl small	100,966 100,966 100,966 100,966 100,966	50797.21 2852.895 50.01178 .4818058 .4040568	29335.15 1645.026 10.02449 .4996713 .490711	1 1 6.611536 0 0	101698 5714 98.59592 1
testscore classsize	100,966 100,966	79.99507 21.11501	5.16517 5.822513	55.67772 10	98.48424

Check random assignment

• In this step, I check that if students are randomly assigned to different types of classes.

```
ttest income, by(small) unequal

//Use t-test to compare the mean of income between two groups(small and regular class)

ttest girl, by(small) unequal

//Use t-test to compare the mean of the share of girls between two groups.

regress girl small

// or regress girl on the indicator of small classes

regress income small

//or regress income on the indicator of small classes
```

. ttest income, by(small) unequal

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
0	60,170 40,796	50.00338 50.02 4 17	.04075 .0498404	9.995795 10.06677	49.92351 49.92648	50.08325 50.12186
combined	100,966	50.01178	.0315482	10.02449	49.94995	50.07362
diff		0207878	.0643788		1469696	.105394
<pre>diff = mean(0) - mean(1) Ho: diff = 0</pre>		Satterthwai	te's degrees	t = of freedom =	0.0220	
Ha: diff < 0		Ha: diff !=	0	Ha: d	iff > 0	

Pr(T > t) = 0.6266

Pr(T < t) = 0.3734 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.7468. ttest girl, by(small) unequal

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
0	60,170 40,796	.4795247 .4851701	.0020367 .0024744	.4995847 .4997862	.4755328 .4803202	.4835165 .4900201
combined	100,966	.4818058	.0015725	.4996713	.4787236	. 4848879
diff		0056454	.0032048		0119268	.000636
diff = mean(0) - mean(1) $t = -1.7616$ Ho: diff = 0 Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom = 87549.3						
Ha: diff < 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.0391		Pr(Ha: diff != T > t) =	_		iff > 0) = 0.9609

• The students are randomly assinged to different types of classes. As the output shows, for income, P = 0.7468 > 0.1, we should not reject H_0 , the difference is not statistically significant at 10% significance level. For the share of girl, P = 0.0781 > 0.05, it's not statistically significant at 5% significance level. We should not reject H_0 , the difference is also not statistically significant. I also do a formal test by regressing "girl" and "income" on the indicator of small classes to check again. And the results are the same.

Necessary assumptions

- To use a regression to estimate the causal effect of small class on student's test score, we need these assumptions:
 - $-y_{0i}, y_{1i} \perp D_i$. That is, with random assignment, the test score should be independent with the treatment (small class). So Selection Bias goes to 0. A regression of Y on D (and a constant term) gives the treatment effect (ATE and ATET).
 - The error term ϵ_i has conditional mean zero given the independent variable X_i : $E(\epsilon_i|X_i)=0$;
 - $-(X_i, Y_i)$ are i.i.d(identical independent distributed) for i=1,2,...,n.
 - Large outliers are unlikely: the independent variable X_i and the dependent variable Y_i have nonzero finite fourth moments.
- The model I use in this setting:

$$testscore_i = \alpha + \rho small_i + \mathbf{x}_i \gamma + \epsilon_i$$

- Meaning of each variable:
 - testscore_i:the test score of student i;
 - ρ : the average treatment effect of class type on students scores;
 - small_i:a dummy variable that indicate small class when equals to 1, regular class when equal to 0;
 - \mathbf{x}_{i} :control variables, in this case, income and gender;
 - γ : the coefficient on control variables;
 - $-\epsilon_i$:the error term.
- I include variable "girl" and "income" in my model as control variables to make the results much preciser and therefore reduces the residual variance, which in turn lowers the standard error of the regression estimates.

Get causal effects

```
reg testscore small girl income, cluster(classid)
//regress testscore over small, girl, and income
//with standard errors allow to correlated within the same classid
```

- The type of standard error I use is clustered, which means regression with standard errors allow to correlated within the same class id.
 - . reg testscore small girl income, cluster(classid)

Linear regression	Number of obs	=	100,966
	F(3, 5227)	=	16523.95
	Prob > F	=	0.0000
	R-squared	=	0.2986
	Root MSE	=	4.3259

(Std. Err. adjusted for 5,228 clusters in classid)

testscore	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
small	4.980887	.0252245	197.46	0.000	4.931437	5.030338
girl	1.985354	.028376	69.97	0.000	1.929725	2.040983
income	.0984024	.0013883	70.88	0.000	.0956807	.101124
_cons	72.10468	.0733729	982.71	0.000	71.96084	72.24852

• The coefficient ρ captrues the difference in students' test scores when they're assigned to different class size types. ρ equals to 4.98 and it's statistically significant at 1% significant level, which means that the students who are assigned to small class tend to get 4.98 points higher than those assigned to regular class, holding others constant. In addition, γ equals to 1.99 and it's statistically significant at 1% significant level, which means that girls tend to get 1.99 points higher than boys.