ordinal logistic regression model demo

高嘉妤

2024-10-12

Table of contents

read data
Cumulative logit model
Cumulative logit model without proportional odds
Cumulative porbit model
Adjacent-Categories logits
Sequential logits

read data

library(table1)

Attaching package: 'table1'

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

units, units<-

#grouped data file

Polviews <- read.table("http://www.stat.ufl.edu/~aa/cat/data/Polviews.dat",header=TRUE)

#ungrouped data file

Polviews2 <- read.table("http://www.stat.ufl.edu/~aa/cat/data/Polviews2.dat",header=TRUE) table1(~gender+party|ideology,data=Polviews2)

Warning in table1.formula(~gender + party | ideology, data = Polviews2): Terms to the right of '|' in formula 'x' define table columns and are expected to be factors with meaningful labels.

	1	2	3	4	5	Overall
	(N=45)	(N=184)	(N=158)	(N=203)	(N=71)	(N=661)
gender female male	25 (55.6%) 20 (44.4%)	110 (59.8%) 74 (40.2%)	101 (63.9%) 57 (36.1%)	111 (54.7%) 92 (45.3%)	36 (50.7%) 35 (49.3%)	383 (57.9%) 278 (42.1%)
party dem repub	45 (100%) 0 (0%)	178 (96.7%) 6 (3.3%)	129 (81.6%) 29 (18.4%)	48 (23.6%) 155 (76.4%)	7 (9.9%) 64 (90.1%)	407 (61.6%) 254 (38.4%)

Cumulative logit model

```
library(VGAM)
Loading required package: stats4
Loading required package: splines
fit <- vglm(cbind(y1,y2,y3,y4,y5)~party+gender,family=cumulative(parallel=TRUE),data=Polviews)</pre>
summary(fit)
Call:
vglm(formula = cbind(y1, y2, y3, y4, y5) ~ party + gender, family = cumulative(parallel = TRUE),
    data = Polviews)
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept):2 0.16892
                           0.11481 1.471
                                               0.141
(Intercept):3 1.85716
                           0.15103 12.297
                                              <2e-16 ***
(Intercept):4 4.65005
                           0.23496 19.791
                                              <2e-16 ***
                           0.21785 -16.680
partyrepub
              -3.63366
                                              <2e-16 ***
gendermale
               0.04731
                           0.14955
                                     0.316
                                               0.752
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Names of linear predictors: logitlink(P[Y<=1]), logitlink(P[Y<=2]),
logitlink(P[Y<=3]), logitlink(P[Y<=4])</pre>
Residual deviance: 9.8072 on 10 degrees of freedom
Log-likelihood: -35.2032 on 10 degrees of freedom
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 4
No Hauck-Donner effect found in any of the estimates
Exponentiated coefficients:
partyrepub gendermale
0.02641936 1.04844945
For any fixed j, the estimated odds that a Republician's response is in the liberal direction rather than the conservation
direction equal \exp(\beta 1) = \exp(-3.634) = 0.0026 times the estimated odds for Democrats. In other words, The estimated
odds that a Republician's response is in the conservative direction rather than the liberal direction equal \exp(-\beta 1)
\exp(3.634) = 37.9 times the estimated odds for Democrats. We can conclude that strong Republicans tend to be much
more conservative than strong Democrats.
```

```
3 male dem 0.111549168 0.44229817 0.3165490 0.1205668 0.009036867
4 male rep 0.003306108 0.02844904 0.1189361 0.5927070 0.256601745
```

The data frame can help us to understand the effects of the explanatory variables. For each political party affiliation, the estimated distributions are very similar for females and males. The most common response is 'slightly liberal' for Democrats, who are very likely to be in category 3 or below, and 'slightly conseervative' for Republicans, who are very likely to be in category 4 or 5.

Cumulative logit model without proportional odds

```
fit2 <- vglm(cbind(y1,y2,y3,y4,y5)~party+gender,family=cumulative,data=Polviews)</pre>
summary(fit2)
Call:
vglm(formula = cbind(y1, y2, y3, y4, y5) ~ party + gender, family = cumulative,
    data = Polviews)
Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept):1 -2.17521 0.20941 -10.387 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept):2 0.12173 0.12476
                                   0.976
                                             0.329
                           0.17043 11.078 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept):3
                1.88810
(Intercept):4
                4.10365
                          0.39770 10.318 < 2e-16 ***
partyrepub:1 -20.76294 3458.04727
                                        NA
                                                 NA
                           0.42696 -9.235 < 2e-16 ***
partyrepub:2
               -3.94288
partyrepub:3
               -3.68095
                           0.23285 -15.808 < 2e-16 ***
                          0.40785 -7.221 5.17e-13 ***
partyrepub:4
               -2.94499
gendermale:1
               0.21835 0.31762 0.687
                                              0.492
gendermale:2
               0.18343
                           0.19352 0.948
                                              0.343
               -0.08638
gendermale:3
                           0.22198 -0.389
                                              0.697
gendermale:4
               -0.14633
                           0.26939 -0.543
                                             0.587
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Names of linear predictors: logitlink(P[Y<=1]), logitlink(P[Y<=2]),
logitlink(P[Y<=3]), logitlink(P[Y<=4])</pre>
Residual deviance: 3.5861 on 4 degrees of freedom
Log-likelihood: -32.0927 on 4 degrees of freedom
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 17
Warning: Hauck-Donner effect detected in the following estimate(s):
'(Intercept):4', 'partyrepub:1', 'partyrepub:2'
Exponentiated coefficients:
partyrepub:1 partyrepub:2 partyrepub:3 partyrepub:4 gendermale:1 gendermale:2
9.611007e-10 1.939224e-02 2.519906e-02 5.260246e-02 1.244018e+00 1.201330e+00
gendermale:3 gendermale:4
9.172418e-01 8.638714e-01
```

For j=2, the estimated odds that a Republician's response is very liberal or slightly liberal rather moderate, slightly

conservative, or very conservative equal $\exp(\beta 1) = \exp(-3.94) = 0.019$ times the estimated odds for Democrats. In other words, The estimated odds that a Republician's response is moderate, slightly conservative, or very conservative rather very liberal or slightly liberal equal $\exp(-\beta 1) = \exp(3.94) = 51.4$ times the estimated odds for Democrats.

Cumulative porbit model

```
library(MASS)
y <- factor(Polviews2$ideology)</pre>
fit.probit <- polr(y~party+gender,method='probit',data=Polviews2)</pre>
summary(fit.probit)
Re-fitting to get Hessian
Call:
polr(formula = y ~ party + gender, data = Polviews2, method = "probit")
Coefficients:
               Value Std. Error t value
partyrepub 2.032496 0.10996 18.48409
gendermale -0.007489
                        0.08562 -0.08747
Intercepts:
    Value
             Std. Error t value
1|2 -1.2353 0.0890 -13.8853
    0.1033 0.0694
                         1.4901
2|3
3|4
     1.0532
               0.0808
                         13.0376
4|5
      2.6171 0.1194
                         21.9153
Residual Deviance: 1565.195
AIC: 1577.195
```

The political party estimate of β 1hat = 2.03 means that for the normal latent variable model, with higher y* values representing greater convervatism, the estimated mean political ideology for Republicans is 2.03 higher than the estimated mean for Democrats. This difference is relative to a residual standard deviation of 1.0 for the normal latent response. With an arbitary standard deviation, we estimate that the two groups have means that differ by 2.03 standard deviations, This is an extremely large effect.

Adjacent-Categories logits

```
fit_acat <- vglm(cbind(y1,y2,y3,y4,y5)~party+gender,family=acat(parallel=TRUE,reverse=TRUE),data=Polviet</pre>
summary(fit_acat)
Call:
vglm(formula = cbind(y1, y2, y3, y4, y5) ~ party + gender, family = acat(parallel = TRUE,
   reverse = TRUE), data = Polviews)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept):2 0.36218
                     0.11749
                             3.083 0.00205 **
(Intercept):3 0.77529 0.14720 5.267 1.39e-07 ***
(Intercept):4 2.99240
                     0.23132 12.936 < 2e-16 ***
partyrepub
```

```
gendermale
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Names of linear predictors: loglink(P[Y=1]/P[Y=2]), loglink(P[Y=2]/P[Y=3]),
loglink(P[Y=3]/P[Y=4]), loglink(P[Y=4]/P[Y=5])
Residual deviance: 13.4665 on 10 degrees of freedom
Log-likelihood: -37.0329 on 10 degrees of freedom
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 4
Warning: Hauck-Donner effect detected in the following estimate(s):
'partyrepub'
Exponentiated coefficients:
partyrepub gendermale
 0.1070151 1.0121943
The estimated odds that a Democrats's political ideology is in category j instead of j+1 are \exp(-\beta 1)=9.34 times the
estimated odds for Republicans.
Sequential logits
fit_sratio <- vglm(cbind(y1,y2,y3,y4,y5)~party+gender,family=sratio(parallel=TRUE),data=Polviews)
summary(fit_sratio)
Call:
vglm(formula = cbind(y1, y2, y3, y4, y5) ~ party + gender, family = sratio(parallel = TRUE),
    data = Polviews)
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
0.11297 -0.849
                                            0.396
(Intercept):2 -0.09593
(Intercept):3 0.88414 0.15341 5.763 8.25e-09 ***
(Intercept):4 3.71958 0.24101 15.433 < 2e-16 ***
partyrepub
             -2.96103
                         0.19164 -15.451 < 2e-16 ***
              0.00667
                         0.12793 0.052
                                            0.958
gendermale
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Names of linear predictors: logitlink(P[Y=1|Y>=1]), logitlink(P[Y=2|Y>=2]),
logitlink(P[Y=3|Y>=3]), logitlink(P[Y=4|Y>=4])
Residual deviance: 25.9331 on 10 degrees of freedom
Log-likelihood: -43.2662 on 10 degrees of freedom
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 5
```

0.09661 0.125 0.90016

0.01212

No Hauck-Donner effect found in any of the estimates

Exponentiated coefficients: partyrepub gendermale 0.05176572 1.00669183

For any fixed j, the estimated odds that a Republican's response is in category j rather than higher categories is $exp(\beta 1)=0.052$ times the estimated odds for Democrats.