Test Report Super Tetris

Group#: 38

Team Name: Binary

Members:

Tongfei Wang: wangt62

Bowen Yuan : yuanb1

Tim Zhang : zhangj14

December 6, 2017

SFWR ENG 3XA3

McMaster University

Contents

1	Functional Requirements Evaluation	1												
	1.1 User Input and Navigation Test	1												
	1.2 Game Logic Test	3												
2	Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation	7												
	2.1 Usability	7												
	2.2 Performance	8												
	2.3 Robustness	9												
3	Comparison to Existing Implementation													
4	Unit Testing	10												
5	Changes Due to Testing													
6	Automated Testing													
7	Trace to Requirements													
8	Trace to Modules													
9	Code Coverage Metrics	10												
${f L}$	ist of Tables													
	1 Revision History	j												
	1 100 vision 1115001y													
\mathbf{L}	ist of Figures													
	1 Traceability Testing Matrix respect to requirements	12												
	2 Traceability Testing Matrix respect to modules													

1 Functional Requirements Evaluation

1.1 User Input and Navigation Test

Test 1.1.1: SP-IN1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Web browser is open

Input: User enters the domain of this game

Output: A new game starts

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.1.2: SP-IN2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is in process

Input: User presses 'enter'

Output: Game paused

Table 1: Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Dec 6 2017	1.0	document upload

Test 1.1.3: SP-IN3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is paused

Input: User presses 'enter'

Output: Game resumes

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.1.4: SP-IN4

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is paused or in process

Input: User presses 'r'

Output: Game is restarted

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.1.5: SP-IN5

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is in process

Input: User presses 'w'

Output: The current tetromino is rotated clockwise

Test 1.1.6: SP-IN6

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is in process

Input: User presses 'left' or 'right' by one grid

Output: The current tetromino is moved left/right

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.1.7: SP-IN7

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is in process

Input: User presses 'down

Output: The current tetromino falls to the bottom(not inter-

sected with other tetrominoes)

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.1.8: SP-IN8

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Game is in process

Input: User presses '1' or '2' or '3'

Output: User buys and uses the item they selected('1' to '3'

corresponding to four different items)

Pass: Passed.

1.2 Game Logic Test

Test 1.2.1: SP-GL2

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: Coordinate of current tetromino which is a 4*4 matrix

Output: True

Pass: Passed

Test 1.2.2: SP-GL3

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: row number

Output: new row

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.2.3: SP-GL4

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: N/A

Output: A random 4*4 piece(tetromino)

Test 1.2.4: SP-GL7

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: item

Output: True

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.2.5: SP-GL9

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: Number of rows killedplaying time

Output: Score increase 100 when one line is cleared

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.2.6: SP-GL10

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: N/A

Output: The speed of falling decrease for 15s

Test 1.2.7: SP-GL11

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: N/A

Output: 4*4 matrix

Pass: Passed

Test 1.2.8: SP-GL12

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: N/A

Output: Three rows are cleared

Pass: Passed.

Test 1.2.9: SP-GL13

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Game is in Process.

Input: Playfield

Output: True

Test 1.2.10: SP-GL14

Type: Structrual, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: State: Game is paused

Input: N/A

Output: No move happened

Pass: Passed.

2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

2.1 Usability

Test 2.1.1: Operating System Support

Description: Super Tetris shall run on all major web browsers (

Chrome/Firefox/Safari /IE)

Type: Functional (dynamic, manual)

Tester(s): Development team

Pass: We run and play the game through

Chrome/Firefox/Safari /IE successfully.

Test 2.1.2: Look and Feel

Description: The falling of the tetrimino and line clear requires

animation

Type: Functional (dynamic, manual)

Tester(s): Development team

Pass: When tetriminos are falling or when a row is elimi-

nated, the animation display correctly. After we run the game hundreds of times we did not capture any

incorrect animation display.

Test 2.1.3: Difficulty

Description: Super Tetris shall follow the same rule in the Classic

Tetris.

Type: Functional (dynamic, manual)

Tester(s): Development team

Pass: Average survey score of less than 4 in 'Difficulty' sec-

tion. The average survey score in Difficulty section

amony the surveys we received are less than 4.

2.2 Performance

Test 2.2.1: Precision

Description: The event shall happen at the correct time.

Type: Functional (dynamic, manual)

Tester(s): Development team

Pass: The boom shall explode when it stops. The ice shall

slow the falling time when it is triggered. The line shall be cleared when a complete line is made. They

are all triggered at the right timing.

Test 2.2.2: Capacity

Description: Super Tetris needs less than 10MB in memory.

Type: Functional (dynamic, manual)

Tester(s): Development team

Pass: Test team calculates the size of whole program file

before uploading. The whole game file takes less than

2MB in memory

2.3 Robustness

If an unexpected error occurs, the system will stop running at the first time. Additionally, The system is published on a server. The server we bought is robust and it will check if the system is cracked or a dead loop is running. If it is, the server will shut the system down and notice us.

3 Comparison to Existing Implementation

By comparing to the original implementation, this system makes several improvements. First, this system has a module structure which results in several modules while the original one has only one module. Secondly, we make the system connect to the server by coding some parts in HTML.

Then, numerous functions and systems are added. Finally, our codes are well-commented while the existing code does not have any comment.

4 Unit Testing

As the system is implemented by Javascript, Mocha was used for unit testing. The test coverts most of the functions and it called 'supertetristest.js' and can be found in 'src/mochatest/test'.

5 Changes Due to Testing

While testing, we found that when a user uses the item clear random three lines the money was increased as three normal lines were eliminated. However, we planed the item costs 20 and the user gain 10 if a line is cleared. As a result, every time the user used this item, the users money will not decreased. In the contrary, the user gain 10 everytimes / heused the item. For solving this problem.

In the contrary, the user gain 10 every times/heused the item. For solving this problem, we increased the interval of the contrary of the user gain <math>10 every times/heused the item. For solving this problem, we increased the item of the interval of the contrary of the item of the

6 Automated Testing

For Automated Testing, we used mocha unit test. For almost every function, it generates numerous inputs and check if the answers match the outputs and report them as pass or fail. Then it will classify where the issue comes from and what the supposed output is to make is traceable.

7 Trace to Requirements

refer to the table in the end of the document.

8 Trace to Modules

refer to the table in the end of the document.

9 Code Coverage Metrics

Mocha testing shall test 80% of the functions including edge cases. The rest of the codes are mostly in HTML and the purpose of HTML is to connect the system to the website and create the layout. As a result, it is not that meaningful to test and HTML is hard to be tested. Thus, basically, we manually test the layout part. In conclusion, the test coverage shall be above 75%.

	FQ1	FQ2	FQ3	FQ4	FQ5	FQ6	FQ7	FQ8	FQ9	FQ10	FQ11	FQ12	FQ13	FQ14
SP-	1													
IN1														
SP-							1							
IN2														
SP-				1										
IN3														
SP-						1								
IN4														
SP-		1	1											
IN5														
SP-		1			1									
IN6														
SP-		1			1									
IN7														
SP-												1	1	1
IN8														
SP-										1				
GL2												_		_
SP-									1			1	1	1
GL3 SP-								1						
GL4								1						
SP-												1	1	1
GL7												_	_	_
SP-										1		1	1	1
GL9														
SP-											1	1	1	1
GL10														
SP-											1	1	1	1
GL11														
SP-									1	1		1	1	1
GL12														
SP-										1				
GL13														
SP-					1									
GL14														
SP-											1	1	1	1
POC2														

Figure 1: Traceability Testing Matrix respect to requirements

	M1	M2	М3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	М9
SP-IN1	1								
SP-IN2				1		1			
SP-IN3				1		1			
SP-IN4				1		1			
SP-IN5				1		1			
SP-IN6				1		1			
SP-IN7				1		1			
SP-IN8				1		1	1	1	1
SP-GL2					1				
SP-GL3			1	1					
SP-GL4		1	1						
SP-GL7			1		1		1		
SP-GL9			1						
SP-GL10			1	1					1
SP-GL11				1					
SP-GL12			1						
SP-GL13		1	1		1				
SP-GL14			1	1					
SP-POC2				1		1	1		

Figure 2: Traceability Testing Matrix respect to modules