

ECO 82800
Panel Econometrics

Midterm Exam

26 March 2015, 9:30am-11:30pm

This exam is a closed-book, closed-notes exam. Calculators without matrix functions are allowed. The exam consists of seven questions, most of them with parts. Points per question are as indicated; parts are weighted equally. The total is 100 points. Budget your time. You may answer the questions in any order, but *label them clearly and keep parts of a question together*.

Several questions refer to Stata output that is attached at the end of this exam. The data used to generate this output refer to 18 OECD countries over the period 1960-1978 (19 years). The variables are `lgaspcar` (log of gasoline demand per car), `lincomep` (log of real income per capita), `lrpmg` (log of real gasoline price), and `lcarpcap` (log of the stock of cars per capita). In the Stata output, irrelevant output is omitted.

1. (21 points)

A one-factor model is often written as $y_{it} = \alpha + X'_{it}\beta + u_{it}$ where $u_{it} = \mu_i + v_{it}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $t = 1, \dots, T$. X'_{it} is a $1 \times K$ row vector of explanatory variables. v_{it} is distributed $\text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$.

- Anticipating that this model will be estimated as a fixed effects model (i.e., with $\alpha = 0$), set up this model in a matrix notation.
- In matrix form, derive the FE estimator of β .
- A variation of a one-factor model is $y_{it} = d'_t\alpha_i + X'_{it}\beta + v_{it}$, where d'_t is a $1 \times m$ vector of individual-invariant variables (possibly including one element equal to 1 for all t) and where v_{it} is distributed $\text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$. In matrix form, derive the FE estimator of β for this model.

$$y_i = D\alpha_i + X_i\beta + v_i \rightarrow y = (I_N \otimes D)\alpha + X\beta + v$$

2. (16 points)

Examine the attached Stata output, and answer the following questions.

- Comment on the sign and significance of the OLS regression results: are they plausible?
- Comment on the sign and significance of the fixed effects (FE) regression results: are they plausible?
- The magnitude of the OLS estimates differs substantially from that of the FE estimates. *z_{μ} is omitted variable.* Give the proper econometric reason for this.
- How would you test whether the fixed effects are a necessary part of the regression model?

3. (16 points)

Examine the attached Stata output, and answer the following questions.

- The random effects (RE) model is computed with the "sa" option, referring to Swamy-Arora. What does that mean?
- The Stata command `xttest0` implements the Breusch-Pagan LM test for random effects. What does the test here tell us?
- In general, why is the LM test flawed? How can the flaw be fixed?
- However, the flaw does not impact the outcome of the LM test here. Therefore, given the outcome of the LM test, what can you say about the OLS results that you discussed in Q2a?

4. (20 points)

With the help of the attached Stata output, answer the following questions.

- In general, what hypothesis does the Hausman test examine?
- What is the result of the Hausman test in this particular application?
- Arellano (1993) offered an alternative approach to the Hausman test. For your information, relevant formulas are given below. Given the output, what does Arellano's test imply in this particular application?

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_i^+ \\ \bar{y}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_i^+ & 0 \\ \bar{X}_i & \bar{X}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_i^+ \\ \bar{u}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where $y_i^+ = (y_{i1}^+ \dots y_{iT-1}^+)'$ and $y_{it}^+ = \left(\frac{T-t}{T-t+1}\right)^{1/2} \left(y_{it} - \frac{1}{T-t} \sum_{s=t+1}^T y_{is}\right)$.

- In what way does the Stata output make use of the advantage that is inherent in Arellano's approach? Precisely, what is this advantage?

5. (12 points)

Examine the attached Stata output, and answer the following questions.

- After the OLS output, some Stata commands compute the LM1 statistic. What hypothesis does this statistic examine?
- The value of LM1 equals 1484. How would you evaluate this value, and what does the outcome of the test imply?
- Given the result of this test, what else would you want to test for?

6. (5 points)

- Comment briefly on the following statement: "The only way to estimate a two-way random effects model is by GLS."

7. (10 points)

- Hashem Pesaran designed an estimator for the following model:

$$y_{ij} = \alpha_i' d_t + \beta_i' x_{it} + e_{it}$$

$$e_{it} = \gamma_i' f_t + \epsilon_{it}$$

$$x_{it} = A_i' d_t + \Gamma_i' f_t + v_{it}$$

where d_t is a vector of observable individual-invariant factors, f_t is a vector of unobservable individual-invariant factors that may be correlated with d_t , and ϵ_{it} and v_{it} are independent of each other and of f_t and d_t . β_i varies across i : $\beta_i \sim iid(\beta, \sigma_\beta^2)$. The objective is to estimate β . Pesaran offers two estimators, a CCEMG and a CCEP estimator. Explain the key insight that both estimators rely on.

```
. su lgaspcar lincomep lrpmpg lcarpcap;
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
lgaspcar	342	4.296242	.5489071	3.380209	6.156644
lincomep	342	-6.139425	.6345925	-8.072523	-5.221232
lrpmpg	342	-.5231032	.6782225	-2.896497	1.125311
lcarpcap	342	-9.041805	1.218896	-13.47518	-7.536176

. xtreg lgaspcar lincomep lrpmpg lcarpcap,fe

Fixed-effects (within) regression
 Group variable: ctry
 R-sq: within = 0.8396
 between = 0.5755
 overall = 0.6150
 Number of obs = 342
 Number of groups = 18
 Obs per group: min = 19
 avg = 19.0
 max = 19
 F(3,321) = 560.09
 Prob > F = 0.0000
 corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.2468

lgaspcar	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lincomep	.6622498	.073386	9.02	0.000	.5178715	.8066282
lrpmpg	-.3217025	.0440992	-7.29	0.000	-.4084626	-.2349424
lcarpcap	-.6404829	.0296788	-21.58	0.000	-.6988726	-.5820933
_cons	2.40267	.2253094	10.66	0.000	1.959401	2.84594
sigma_u	.34841289					
sigma_e	.09233034					
rho	.93438173	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

. estimates store fixed;

. xtreg lgaspcar lincomep lrpmpg lcarpcap,sa

Random-effects GLS regression
 Group variable: ctry
 R-sq: within = 0.8363
 between = 0.7099
 overall = 0.7309
 Number of obs = 342
 Number of groups = 18
 Obs per group: min = 19
 avg = 19.0
 max = 19
 Wald chi2(3) = 1642.20
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
 corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)

lgaspcar	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lincomep	.5549858	.0591282	9.39	0.000	.4390967	.6708749
lrpmpg	-.4203893	.0399781	-10.52	0.000	-.498745	-.3420336
lcarpcap	-.6068402	.025515	-23.78	0.000	-.6568487	-.5568316
_cons	1.996699	.184326	10.83	0.000	1.635427	2.357971
sigma_u	.19554468					
sigma_e	.09233034					
rho	.81769856	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

. estimates store random;
 . xttest0;

Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test for random effects

$$lgaspcar[ctid,t] = Xb + u[ctid] + e[ctid,t]$$

Estimated results:

	Var	sd = sqrt(Var)
lgaspcar	.301299	.5489071
e	.0085249	.0923303
u	.0382377	.1955447

Test: Var(u) = 0

chi2(1) = 1465.55
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

. hausman fixed random;

	---- Coefficients ----			
	(b) fixed	(B) random	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
lincomep	.6622498	.5549858	.107264	.0434669
lrpmpg	-.3217025	-.4203893	.0986868	.0186143
lcarpcap	-.6404829	-.6068402	-.0336428	.0151597

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg
 B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(3) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
 = 302.80
 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000
 (V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

. reg lgaspcar lincomep lrpmpg lcarpcap;

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	342
Model	87.8386024	3	29.2795341	F(3, 338) =	664.00
Residual	14.9043581	338	.044095734	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	102.742961	341	.301299005	R-squared =	0.8549
				Adj R-squared =	0.8536
				Root MSE =	.20999

lgaspcar	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
lincomep	.8899616	.0358058	24.86	0.000	.8195313 .9603919
lrpmpg	-.8917979	.0303147	-29.42	0.000	-.9514272 -.8321685
lcarpcap	-.7633727	.0186083	-41.02	0.000	-.7999754 -.7267701
_cons	2.391326	.1169343	20.45	0.000	2.161315 2.621336

OLS income +
 price -
 car stock -

y is gasoline
 car

x₂ is car
 pop - division bias?

And large elasticity

```
. predict u,resid;
. g uu = u^2;
. quietly su uu;
. scalar den = r(sum);
. egen uc = total(u),by(cid);
. quietly g ucuc = uc^2 if year == tmin;
. scalar numA = r(sum);
. g uul = u*u[_n-1] if year ~= tmin;
(18 missing values generated)
. quietly su uul;
. scalar numB = r(sum);
. scalar A = (numA/den) - 1;
. scalar B = numB/den;
. scalar LM1 = (N*T*T)/(2*(T-1)*(T-2)) * (A*A - 4*A*B + 2*T*B*B);
. display "LM1 = " LM1";
LM1 = 1484.571
```

*** Variables with a last letter of "d" denote forward orthogonal differences.

*** Variables with a last letter of "d" denote individual-specific means.

*** x0=1 for all (i,t)

. reg lgaspcard lincomepd lrpmpgd lcarpcapd lincomepm lrpmpgm lcarpcapm x0m,noconst vce(hc2);

Linear regression

Number of obs =	342
F(7, 335) =	2426.94
Prob > F =	0.0000
R-squared =	0.9907
Root MSE =	.09892

lgaspcard	Coef.	Robust HC2 Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
lincomepd	.66225	.078864	8.40	0.000	.507119 .817381
lrpmpgd	-.3217025	.0479153	-6.71	0.000	-.4159553 -.2274497
lcarpcapd	-.640483	.0439033	-14.59	0.000	-.7268438 -.5541222
lincomepm	.3053265	.242636	1.26	0.209	-.1719556 .7826087
lrpmpgm	-.6418482	.1813578	-3.54	0.000	-.9985919 -.2851046
lcarpcapm	-.1548162	.0988906	-1.57	0.118	-.349341 .0397086
x0m	2.54163	.6091093	4.17	0.000	1.343469 3.739791

```
. matrix b=e(b)';
. matrix v=e(v);
. matrix wald = b[4..6,1]*invsym(v[4..6,4..6])*b[4..6,1];
. matrix list wald;
```

symmetric wald[1,1]

y1
 y1 15.446815