

Panel Econometrics - Notes, Chuxin Liu. Spring 2019

Chapter 2: One-way Error Component

$$(2.1) \quad y_{it} = \alpha + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}, \quad i=1, \dots, N; t=1, \dots, T$$

Most of the panel data application utilize a one-way error component model for the disturbances, with.

$$(2.2) \quad u_{it} = \mu_i + v_{it}$$

In vector form (2.1) can be written as

$$(2.3) \quad y = \alpha \mathbf{1}_{NT} + X\beta + u = Z\delta + u \quad \text{where } Z = [\mathbf{1}_T X], \delta' = [\alpha' \beta']$$

$$(2.4) \quad u = Z_{\mu}\mu + v$$

where $u' = (u_{11}, u_{12}, \dots, u_{1T}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{2T}, \dots, u_{N1}, \dots, u_{NT})$

$$Z_{\mu} = I_N \otimes \mathbf{1}_T$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mu} Z_{\mu}' &= (I_N \otimes \mathbf{1}_T) (I_N \otimes \mathbf{1}_T)' = (I_N \otimes \mathbf{1}_T) (I_N' \otimes \mathbf{1}_T') \\ &= I_N \otimes (\mathbf{1}_T \mathbf{1}_T') \\ &= I_N \otimes J_T \end{aligned}$$

★ Define $P = Z_{\mu} (Z_{\mu}' Z_{\mu})^{-1} Z_{\mu}' = I_N \otimes \bar{J}_T$ where $\bar{J}_T = J_T / T$

★ Define $Q = I_{NT} - P$

⇒ P is the individual average across time, Q is deviation from individual average!

$$\textcircled{1} \quad Qy = y_{it} - \bar{y}_i$$

② P and Q are symmetric idempotent matrices, $P' = P$, $P^2 = P$
 $\text{rank}(P) = \text{tr}(P) = N$ and $\text{rank}(Q) = \text{tr}(Q) = N(T-1)$

③ P and Q are orthogonal, $PQ = 0$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad P + Q = I_{NT}$$

2.2 The fixed effects model

In this case, the μ_i are assumed to be fixed parameters to be estimated

$$v_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$$

x_{it} are independent of the v_{it} for all i and t

$$(2.5) \quad y = \alpha \text{Inter} + x\beta + \sum_i \mu_i + v = Z\delta + \sum_i \mu_i + v$$

LSDV (least squares dummy variables) estimator by:

$$(2.6) \quad Qy = QX\beta + Qv$$

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{\beta} = (X'QX)^{-1}X'Qy \quad \text{with} \quad \text{var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \sigma_v^2(X'QX)^{-1} = \sigma_v^2(\tilde{X}'\tilde{X})^{-1}$$

$$(2.8) \quad y_{it} = \alpha + \beta x_{it} + \mu_i + v_{it}$$

β and $(\alpha + \mu_i)$ are estimable, not α and μ_i separately, unless a restriction like $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i = 0$ is imposed!

How to separate μ_i and α ?

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{y}_i = \alpha + \beta \bar{x}_i + \mu_i + \bar{v}_i$$

$$(2.10) \quad y_{it} - \bar{y}_i = \beta(x_{it} - \bar{x}_i) + (v_{it} - \bar{v}_i) \rightarrow \text{obtain } \hat{\beta}, \text{ "within regression"}$$

$$(2.11) \quad \bar{y}_i = \alpha + \beta \bar{x}_i + \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \text{obtain } \tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow \text{obtain } \tilde{\mu}_i = \bar{y}_i - \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta} \bar{x}_i$$

* Disadvantage of FE

① When N is very large, FE or LSDV, suffers large loss of degree of freedom

② FE estimator cannot estimate the effect of any time-invariant variables like sex, race, religion, schooling because they are wiped out by Q

③ If (2.5) is true, LSDV is BLUE as long as $v_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$

$T \rightarrow \infty$. FE estimator is consistent.

T fixed, $N \rightarrow \infty$: FE of β is consistent, $(\alpha + \mu_i)$ are NOT consistent

"incidental parameter problem" 2

#1 Testing for FE

$$F_0 = \frac{(RRSS - URSS)/(N-1)}{URSS/(NT-N-K)} \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} F_{N-1, N(T-1)-K}$$

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{N-1} = 0$$

#2. Computational Warning

#3. Robust estimates of standard errors

For the within estimator, Arellano (1987) suggests a simple method for obtaining robust estimates of the standard errors that allow for a general variance-covariance matrix on the v_{it} as in White (1980)

Stack the panel as an equation for each individual:

$$(2.13) \quad y_i = \sum_{t=1}^T z_{it} + \mu_i T + v_i$$

$$E(v_i v_i') = \Omega_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, N.$$

Assume $E(v_i v_j') = 0$ for $i \neq j$

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{y}_i = \tilde{X}_i \beta + \tilde{v}_i \quad \text{when } \tilde{y} = Qy, \quad \tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_1', \dots, \tilde{y}_N')'$$
$$\tilde{y}_i = (I_T - \bar{J}_T) y_i$$

$$(2.15) \quad N^{1/2}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \sim N(0, M^{-1}VM^{-1})$$

where $M = \text{plim}(\tilde{X}'\tilde{X})/N$ and $V = \text{plim} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{X}_i' \Omega_i \tilde{X}_i)/N$

Note that $\tilde{X}_i = (I_T - \bar{J}_T)X_i$, $\tilde{X}'Q \text{diag}[\Omega_i]Q\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}' \text{diag}[\Omega_i]\tilde{X}$

V is estimated by $\tilde{V} = \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{X}_i' \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_i' \tilde{X}_i / N$ where $\tilde{u}_i = \tilde{y}_i - \tilde{X}_i \hat{\beta}$

$$\text{Robust var}(\hat{\beta}) = (\tilde{X}'\tilde{X})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{X}_i' \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_i' \tilde{X}_i \right] (\tilde{X}'\tilde{X})^{-1}$$

2.3 The Random Effects Model

$$\mu_i \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$$

$$v_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$$

μ_i independent of the v_{it}

x_{it} independent of the μ_i and v_{it} for all i and t

$$(2.17) \quad \Omega = E(uu') = Z_\mu E(\mu\mu') Z_\mu' + E(vv') \\ = \sigma_\mu^2 (I_N \otimes J_T) + \sigma_v^2 (I_N \otimes I_T)$$

This implies a homoskedastic variance $\text{var}(u_{it}) = \sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_v^2 \quad \forall i \text{ and } t$
This implies an equicorrelated block-diagonal covariance matrix which exhibits serial correlation over time only between the disturbance of the same individual.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(u_{it}, u_{js}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_v^2 & i=j, s=t \\ \sigma_\mu^2 & i=j, s \neq t \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = \text{correl}(u_{it}, u_{js}) = \begin{cases} 1 & i=j, t=s \\ \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_v^2} & i=j, t \neq s \end{cases}$$

How to get Ω^{-1} for GLS?

Define $E_T = I_T - \bar{J}_T$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \sigma_\mu^2 (I_N \otimes J_T) + \sigma_v^2 (I_N \otimes I_T) \\ &= \sigma_\mu^2 (I_N \otimes J_T) + \sigma_v^2 (I_N \otimes (E_T + \bar{J}_T)) \\ &= \sigma_\mu^2 (I_N \otimes J_T) + \sigma_v^2 (I_N \otimes E_T) + \sigma_v^2 (I_N \otimes \bar{J}_T) \\ &= (T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_v^2) (I_N \otimes \bar{J}_T) + \sigma_v^2 (I_N \otimes E_T) = \sigma_1^2 P + \sigma_v^2 Q \\ &\quad \text{where } \sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_v^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.19) \quad \Omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_u^2} P + \frac{1}{\sigma_v^2} Q$$

$$(2.20) \quad \Omega^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_u} P + \frac{1}{\sigma_v} Q$$

The best quadratic unbiased (BQU) estimators of the variance components arise naturally from the spectral decomposition of Ω .

In fact, $pu \sim (0, \sigma_u^2 P)$ and $Qu \sim (0, \sigma_v^2 Q)$

$$(2.21) \quad \hat{\sigma}_u^2 = \frac{u'Pu}{\text{tr}(P)} = T \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{u}_i^2 / N$$

$$(2.22) \quad \hat{\sigma}_v^2 = \frac{u'Qu}{\text{tr}(Q)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (u_{it} - \bar{u}_i)^2}{N(T-1)}$$

(2.21) and (2.22) provide the BQU Estimators for σ_u^2 and σ_v^2 respectively!

The true disturbances are not known and therefore (2.21) and (2.22) are not feasible? Wallace and Hussain (1969) suggest using \hat{u}_{OLS} instead of the true u , because under random effect model, OLS is unbiased and consistent, but no longer efficient.

Amemiya (1971) uses LSDV residual: $\tilde{u} = y - \tilde{\alpha} 1_{NT} - X\tilde{\beta}$ where $\tilde{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \bar{X} \cdot \tilde{\beta}$

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{NT} (\hat{\sigma}_v^2 - \sigma_v^2) \\ \sqrt{N} (\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2 - \sigma_\mu^2) \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 2\sigma_v^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma_\mu^4 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\sigma}_\mu^2 = (\hat{\sigma}_u^2 - \hat{\sigma}_v^2) / T$$

Swamy and Arora (1972) run 2 regressions to get variance component estimates

$$\begin{pmatrix} Qy \\ Py \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} QZ \\ PZ \end{pmatrix} \delta + \begin{pmatrix} Qu \\ Pu \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.24) \quad \hat{\sigma}_v^2 = [y'Qy - y'QX(X'QX)^{-1}X'Qy] / [N(T-1)-k]$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_v^2 Q & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_u^2 P \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.27) \quad \hat{\sigma}_u^2 = [y'Py - y'PZ(Z'PZ)^{-1}Z'Py] / (N-k-1)$$

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{pmatrix} Qy \\ (P - \bar{J}_{NT})y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} QX \\ (P - \bar{J}_{NT})X \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} Qu \\ (P - \bar{J}_{NT})u \end{pmatrix} \quad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_v^2 Q & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_u^2 (P - \bar{J}_{NT}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2.30) \quad \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = [(X'QX/\sigma_v^2) + X'(P - \bar{J}_{NT})X/\sigma_1^2]^{-1} [(X'Qy/\sigma_v^2) + X'(P - \bar{J}_{NT})y/\sigma_1^2]$$

$$= [W_{xx} + \phi^2 B_{xx}]^{-1} [W_{xy} + \phi^2 B_{xy}]$$

with $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{GLS}) = \sigma_v^2 [W_{xx} + \phi^2 B_{xx}]^{-1}$

Note that: $W_{xx} = X'QX$, $B_{xx} = X'(P - \bar{J}_{NT})X$

$$\phi^2 = \sigma_v^2 / \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_v^2 / (T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_v^2)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{within}} = W_{xx}^{-1} W_{xy}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{between}} = B_{xx}^{-1} B_{xy}$$

$$(2.31) \quad \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = W_1 \hat{\beta}_{\text{within}} + W_2 \hat{\beta}_{\text{between}}$$

where $W_1 = [W_{xx} + \phi^2 B_{xx}]^{-1} W_{xx}$

$$W_2 = [W_{xx} + \phi^2 B_{xx}]^{-1} (\phi^2 B_{xx}) = I - W_1$$

(1) $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$, $\phi^2 = 1$, $\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$

(2) $T \rightarrow \infty$, $\phi^2 \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\beta}_{GLS} \rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{\text{within}}$

(3) B_{xx} dominates W_{xx} , $\hat{\beta}_{GLS} \rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{\text{between}}$

x "Feasible GLS is more efficient than LSDV for all but the fewest degrees of freedom"

x Nerlove (1971a)

2.4 Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Under normality of the disturbances,

$$(2.32) \quad L(\alpha, \beta, \phi^2, \sigma_v^2) = \text{constant} - \frac{NT}{2} \log \sigma_v^2 + \frac{N}{2} \log \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_v^2} u' \Sigma^{-1} u$$

$$\text{where } \Omega = \sigma_v^2 \Sigma, \quad \phi^2 = \sigma_v^2 / \sigma_e^2$$

$$\Sigma = Q + \phi^{-2} P$$

$$(2.33) \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_{v,mle}^2} = d' [Q + \phi^2 (P - \bar{J}_{NT})] d / NT$$

$$\text{where } d = y - X \hat{\beta}_{mle}$$

$$(2.34) \quad L_c(\beta, \phi^2) = \text{constant} - \frac{NT}{2} \log \{ d' [Q + \phi^2 (P - \bar{J}_{NT})] d \} + \frac{N}{2} \log \phi^2$$

↓
concentrated likelihood

$$\Rightarrow (2.35) \quad \hat{\phi}^2 = \frac{d' Q d}{(T-1) d' (P - \bar{J}_{NT}) d} = \frac{\sum \sum (d_{it} - \bar{d}_{i.})^2}{T(T-1) \sum (\bar{d}_{i.} - \bar{d}_{..})^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (2.36) \quad \hat{\beta}_{mle} = [X' (Q + \phi^2 (P - \bar{J}_{NT})) X]^{-1} X' [Q + \phi^2 (P - \bar{J}_{NT})] y$$

2.5 Prediction

Best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) of $y_{i,T+S}$ under GLS

$$(2.37) \quad \hat{y}_{i,T+S} = \bar{z}_{i,T+S}' \hat{\delta}_{GLS} + w' \Omega^{-1} \hat{u}_{GLS} \quad \text{for } S \geq 1$$

$$\text{where } \hat{u}_{GLS} = y - \bar{Z} \hat{\delta}_{GLS}, \quad w = E(u_{i,T+S} \cdot u)$$

$$(2.38) \quad u_{i,T+S} = \mu_i + v_{i,T+S}$$

and $w = \sigma_{\mu}^2 (l_i \otimes l_T)$ where l_i is the i th column of I_N , l_i is a vector that has 1 in the i th position and 0 elsewhere

$$(2.39) \quad w' \Omega^{-1} = \nabla_{\mu}^2 (h' \otimes \zeta') \left[\frac{1}{\nabla_1^2} P + \frac{1}{\nabla_2^2} Q \right] = \frac{\nabla_{\mu}^2}{\nabla_1^2} (h' \otimes \zeta')$$

$$\text{since } (h' \otimes \zeta') P = (h' \otimes \zeta')$$

$$(h' \otimes \zeta') Q = 0$$