



Min Kyung Lee

WeBuildAI: Participatory Framework for Algorithmic Governance

MIN KYUNG LEE, University of Texas at Austin & Carnegie Mellon University, USA

DANIEL KUSBIT, Ethics, History & Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

ANSON KAHNG, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

JI TAE KIM, School of Design, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

XINRAN YUAN, Information Systems, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

ALLISSA CHAN, School of Design, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

DANIEL SEE, Decision Science & Art, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

RITESH NOOTHIGATTU, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

SIHEON LEE, Information Systems, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

ALEXANDROS PSOMAS, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

ARIEL D. PROCACCIA, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Algorithms increasingly govern societal functions, impacting multiple stakeholders and social groups. How can we design these algorithms to balance varying interests in a moral, legitimate way? As one answer to this question, we present WeBuildAI, a collective participatory framework that enables people to build algorithmic policy for their communities. The key idea of the framework is to enable stakeholders to construct a computational model that represents their views and to have those models vote on their behalf to create algorithmic policy. As a case study, we applied this framework to a matching algorithm that operates an on-demand food donation transportation service in order to adjudicate equity and efficiency trade-offs. The service's stakeholders—donors, volunteers, recipient organizations, and nonprofit employees—used the framework to design the algorithm through a series of studies in which we researched their experiences. Our findings suggest that the framework successfully enabled participants to build models that they felt confident represented their own beliefs. Participatory algorithm design also improved both procedural fairness and the distributive outcomes of the algorithm, raised participants' algorithmic awareness, and helped identify inconsistencies in human decision-making in the governing organization. Our work demonstrates the feasibility, potential and challenges of community involvement in algorithm design.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: participatory algorithm design, collective participation, human-centered AI, matching algorithm, algorithmic fairness

Authors' addresses: Min Kyung Lee, University of Texas at Austin & Carnegie Mellon University, USA, minkyung.lee@utexas.utexas.edu; Daniel Kusbit, Ethics, History & Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Anson Kahng, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Ji Tae Kim, School of Design, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Xinran Yuan, Information Systems, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Allissa Chan, School of Design, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Daniel See, Decision Science & Art, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Ritesh Noothigattu, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Siheon Lee, Information Systems, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Alexandros Psomas, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA; Ariel D. Procaccia, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA.