

# Typescript Handbook

Felipe Rohde

Published  
with GitBook



# Table of Contents

Introduction	0
Advanced Types	1
Basic Types	2
Classes	3
Compiler Options in MSBuild	4
Compiler Options	5
Declaration Merging	6
Decorators	7
Enums	8
Functions	9
Generics	10
Integrating with Build Tools	11
Interfaces	12
Iterators and Generators	13
JSX	14
Mixins	15
Modules	16
Namespaces and Modules	17
Namespaces	18
Symbols	19
Type Compatibility	20
Type Inference	21
Typings for NPM Packages	22
Variable Declarations	23
Writing Definition Files	24

# TypeScript-Handbook

# Union Types

Occasionally, you'll run into a library that expects or gives back a `number` or `string`. For instance, take the following function:

```
/**
 * Takes a string and adds "padding" to the left.
 * If 'padding' is a string, then 'padding' is appended to the left side.
 * If 'padding' is a number, then that number of spaces is added to the left side.
 */
function padLeft(value: string, padding: any) {
  // ...
}

padLeft("Hello world", 4); // returns "    Hello world"
```

The problem with `padLeft` is that its `padding` parameter is typed as `any`. That means that we can call it with an argument that's neither a `number` nor a `string`, but TypeScript will be okay with it.

```
let indentedString = padLeft("Hello world", true); // passes at compile time, fails at ru
```

In some more traditional object-oriented languages, we might abstract over the two types by creating a hierarchy of types.

```
interface Padder {
    getPaddingString(): string
}

class SpaceRepeatingPadder implements Padder {
    constructor(private numSpaces: number) { }
    getPaddingString() {
        return Array(this.numSpaces).join(" ");
    }
}

class StringPadder implements Padder {
    constructor(private value: string) { }
    getPaddingString() {
        return this.value;
    }
}

function padLeft(value: string, padder: Padder) {
    return padder.getPaddingString() + value;
}

padLeft("Hello world", new SpaceRepeatingPadder(4));
```

While this is much more explicit, it's also a little bit overkill. One of the nice things about the original version of `padLeft` was that we were able to just pass in primitives. That meant that usage was simple and not overly verbose. This new approach also wouldn't help if we were just declaring a function that already exists elsewhere.

Instead of `any`, we can use a *union type* for the `padding` parameter:

```
/**
 * Takes a string and adds "padding" to the left.
 * If 'padding' is a string, then 'padding' is appended to the left side.
 * If 'padding' is a number, then that number of spaces is added to the left side.
 */
function padLeft(value: string, padding: string | number) {
    // ...
}

let indentedString = padLeft("Hello world", true); // errors during compilation
```

A union type describes a value that can be one of several types. We use the vertical bar (`|`) to separate each type, so `number | string | boolean` is the type of a value that can be a `number`, a `string`, or a `boolean`.

If we have a value that has a union type, we can only access members that are common to all types in the union.

```
interface Bird {
  fly();
  layEggs();
}

interface Fish {
  swim();
  layEggs();
}

function getSmallPet(): Fish | Bird {
  // ...
}

let pet = getSmallPet();
pet.layEggs(); // okay
pet.swim();    // errors
```

Union types can be a bit tricky here, but it just takes a bit of intuition to get used to. If a value has the type `A | B`, we only know for *certain* that it has members that both `A` *and* `B` have. In this example, `Bird` has a member named `fly`. We can't be sure whether a variable typed as `Bird | Fish` has a `fly` method. If the variable is really a `Fish` at runtime, then calling `pet.fly()` will fail.

## Type Guards and Differentiating Types

Union types are useful for modeling situations when values can overlap in the types they can take on. What happens when we need to know specifically whether we have a `Fish`? A common idiom in JavaScript to differentiate between two possible values is to check for the presence of a member. As we mentioned, you can only access members that are guaranteed to be in all the constituents of a union type.

```
let pet = getSmallPet();

// Each of these property accesses will cause an error
if (pet.swim) {
  pet.swim();
}
else if (pet.fly) {
  pet.fly();
}
```

To get the same code working, we'll need to use a type assertion:

```
let pet = getSmallPet();

if ((<Fish>pet).swim) {
    (<Fish>pet).swim();
}
else {
    (<Bird>pet).fly();
}
```

## User-Defined Type Guards

Notice that we had to use type assertions several times. It would be much better if once we performed the check, we could know the type of `pet` within each branch.

It just so happens that TypeScript has something called a *type guard*. A type guard is some expression that performs a runtime check that guarantees the type in some scope. To define a type guard, we simply need to define a function whose return type is a *type predicate*:

```
function isFish(pet: Fish | Bird): pet is Fish {
    return (<Fish>pet).swim !== undefined;
}
```

`pet is Fish` is our type predicate in this example. A predicate takes the form `parameterName is Type`, where `parameterName` must be the name of a parameter from the current function signature.

Any time `isFish` is called with some variable, TypeScript will *narrow* that variable to that specific type if the original type is compatible.

```
// Both calls to 'swim' and 'fly' are now okay.

if (isFish(pet) {
    pet.swim();
}
else {
    pet.fly();
}
```

Notice that TypeScript not only knows that `pet` is a `Fish` in the `if` branch; it also knows that in the `else` branch, you *don't* have a `Fish`, so you must have a `Bird`.

## `typeof` type guards

We didn't actually reveal the implementation of the version of `padLeft` which used union types. We could write it with type predicates as follows:

```
function isNumber(x: any): x is number {
    return typeof x === "number";
}

function isString(x: any): x is string {
    return typeof x === "string";
}

function padLeft(value: string, padding: string | number) {
    if (isNumber(padding)) {
        return Array(padding).join(" ") + value;
    }
    if (isString(padding)) {
        return padding + value;
    }
    throw new Error(`Expected string or number, got '${value}'.`);
}
```

However, having to define a function to figure out if a type is a primitive is kind of a pain. Luckily, you don't need to abstract `typeof x === "number"` into its own function because TypeScript will recognize it as a type guard on its own. That means we could just write these checks inline.

```
function padLeft(value: string, padding: string | number) {
    if (typeof padding === "number") {
        return Array(padding).join(" ") + value;
    }
    if (typeof padding === "string") {
        return padding + value;
    }
    throw new Error(`Expected string or number, got '${value}'.`);
}
```

These `typeof` *type guards* are recognized in two different forms: `typeof v === "typename"` and `typeof v !== "typename"`, where `"typename"` must be `"number"`, `"string"`, `"boolean"`, or `"symbol"`. While TypeScript won't prohibit using a string other than the aforementioned ones, or switching the two sides of the comparison, the language won't recognize those forms as type guards.

## instanceof type guards



If you've read about `typeof` type guards and are familiar with the `instanceof` operator in JavaScript, you probably have some idea of what this section is about.

`instanceof` *type guards* are a way of narrowing types using their constructor function. For instance, let's borrow our industrial string-padder example from earlier:

```
interface Padder {
  getPaddingString(): string
}

class SpaceRepeatingPadder implements Padder {
  constructor(private numSpaces: number) { }
  getPaddingString() {
    return Array(this.numSpaces).join(" ");
  }
}

class StringPadder implements Padder {
  constructor(private value: string) { }
  getPaddingString() {
    return this.value;
  }
}

function getRandomPadder() {
  return Math.random() < 0.5 ?
    new SpaceRepeatingPadder(4) :
    new StringPadder(" ");
}

// Type is SpaceRepeatingPadder | StringPadder
let padder: Padder = getRandomPadder();

if (padder instanceof SpaceRepeatingPadder) {
  padder; // type narrowed to 'SpaceRepeatingPadder'
}
if (padder instanceof StringPadder) {
  padder; // type narrowed to 'StringPadder'
}
```

The right side of the `instanceof` needs to be a constructor function, and TypeScript will narrow down to:

1. the type of the function's `prototype` property if its type is not `any`
2. the union of types returned by that type's construct signatures

in that order.

# Type Aliases

Type aliases create a new name for a type. Type aliases are sometimes similar to interfaces, but can name primitives, unions, tuples, and any other types that you'd otherwise have to write by hand.

```
type XCoord = number;
type YCoord = number;

type XYCoord = { x: XCoord; y: YCoord };
type XYZCoord = { x: XCoord; y: YCoord; z: number };

type Coordinate = XCoord | XYCoord | XYZCoord;
type CoordList = Coordinate[];

let coord: CoordList = [{ x: 10, y: 10}, { x: 0, y: 42, z: 10 }, { x: 5 }];
```

Aliasing doesn't actually create a new type - it creates a new *name* to refer to that type. So `10` is a perfectly valid `XCoord` and `YCoord` because they both just refer to `number`.

Aliasing a primitive is not terribly useful, though it can be used as a form of documentation.

Just like interfaces, type aliases can also be generic - we can just add type parameters and use them on the right side of the alias declaration:

```
type Container<T> = { value: T };
```

We can also have a type alias refer to itself in a property:

```
type Tree<T> = {
  value: T;
  left: Tree<T>;
  right: Tree<T>;
}
```

However, it's not possible for a type alias to appear anywhere else on the right side of the declaration:

```
type Yikes = Array<Yikes>; // error
```

## Interfaces vs. Type Aliases

As we mentioned, type aliases can act sort of like interfaces; however, there are some subtle differences.

One important difference is that type aliases cannot be extended or implemented from (nor can they extend/implement other types). Because [an ideal property of software is being open to extension](#), you should always use an interface over a type alias if possible.

On the other hand, if you can't express some shape with an interface and you need to use a union or tuple type, type aliases are usually the way to go.

# Introduction

For programs to be useful, we need to be able to work with some of the simplest units of data: numbers, strings, structures, boolean values, and the like. In TypeScript, we support much the same types as you would expect in JavaScript, with a convenient enumeration type thrown in to help things along.

## Boolean

The most basic datatype is the simple true/false value, which JavaScript and TypeScript call a `boolean` value.

```
let isDone: boolean = false;
```

## Number

As in JavaScript, all numbers in TypeScript are floating point values. These floating point numbers get the type `number`. In addition to hexadecimal and decimal literals, TypeScript also supports binary and octal literals introduced in ECMAScript 2015.

```
let decimal: number = 6;  
let hex: number = 0xf00d;  
let binary: number = 0b1010;  
let octal: number = 0o744;
```

## String

Another fundamental part of creating programs in JavaScript for webpages and servers alike is working with textual data. As in other languages, we use the type `string` to refer to these textual datatypes. Just like JavaScript, TypeScript also uses the double quote ( `"` ) or single quote ( `'` ) to surround string data.

```
let name: string = "bob";  
name = 'smith';
```

You can also use *template strings*, which can span multiple lines and have embedded expressions. These strings are surrounded by the backtick/backquote ( ``` ) character, and embedded expressions are of the form `${ expr }`

```
let name: string = `Gene`;
let age: number = 37;
let sentence: string = `Hello, my name is ${ name }.

I'll be ${ age + 1 } years old next month.`
```

This is equivalent to declaring `sentence` like so:

```
let sentence: string = "Hello, my name is " + name + ".\n\n" +
    "I'll be " + (age + 1) + " years old next month."
```

## Array

TypeScript, like JavaScript, allows you to work with arrays of values. Array types can be written in one of two ways. In the first, you use the type of the elements followed by `[]` to denote an array of that element type:

```
let list: number[] = [1, 2, 3];
```

The second way uses a generic array type, `Array<elemType>` :

```
let list: Array<number> = [1, 2, 3];
```

## Tuple

Tuple types allow you to express an array where the type of a fixed number of elements is known, but need not be the same. For example, you may want to represent a value as a pair of a `string` and a `number` :

```
// Declare a tuple type
let x: [string, number];
// Initialize it
x = ['hello', 10]; // OK
// Initialize it incorrectly
x = [10, 'hello']; // Error
```

When accessing an element with a known index, the correct type is retrieved:

```
console.log(x[0].substr(1)); // OK
console.log(x[1].substr(1)); // Error, 'number' does not have 'substr'
```

When accessing an element outside the set of known indices, a union type is used instead:

```
x[3] = 'world'; // OK, string can be assigned to (string | number)

console.log(x[5].toString()); // OK, 'string' and 'number' both have toString

x[6] = true; // Error, boolean isn't (string | number)
```

Union types are an advanced topic that we'll cover in a later chapter.

## Enum

A helpful addition to the standard set of datatypes from JavaScript is the `enum`. As in languages like C#, an enum is a way of giving more friendly names to sets of numeric values.

```
enum Color {Red, Green, Blue};
let c: Color = Color.Green;
```

By default, enums begin numbering their members starting at `0`. You can change this by manually setting the value of one its members. For example, we can start the previous example at `1` instead of `0`:

```
enum Color {Red = 1, Green, Blue};
let c: Color = Color.Green;
```

Or, even manually set all the values in the enum:

```
enum Color {Red = 1, Green = 2, Blue = 4};
let c: Color = Color.Green;
```

A handy feature of enums is that you can also go from a numeric value to the name of that value in the enum. For example, if we had the value `2` but weren't sure what that mapped to in the `Color` enum above, we could look up the corresponding name:

```
enum Color {Red = 1, Green, Blue};  
let colorName: string = Color[2];  
  
alert(colorName);
```

## Any

We may need to describe the type of variables that we do not know when we are writing an application. These values may come from dynamic content, e.g. from the user or a 3rd party library. In these cases, we want to opt-out of type-checking and let the values pass through compile-time checks. To do so, we label these with the `any` type:

```
let notSure: any = 4;  
notSure = "maybe a string instead";  
notSure = false; // okay, definitely a boolean
```

The `any` type is a powerful way to work with existing JavaScript, allowing you to gradually opt-in and opt-out of type-checking during compilation. You might expect `object` to play a similar role, as it does in other languages. But variables of type `object` only allow you to assign any value to them -- you can't call arbitrary methods on them, even ones that actually exist:

```
let notSure: any = 4;  
notSure.exists(); // okay, exists might exist at runtime  
notSure.toFixed(); // okay, toFixed exists (but the compiler doesn't check)  
  
let prettySure: Object = 4;  
prettySure.toFixed(); // Error: Property 'toFixed' doesn't exist on type 'Object'.
```

The `any` type is also handy if you know some part of the type, but perhaps not all of it. For example, you may have an array but the array has a mix of different types:

```
let list: any[] = [1, true, "free"];  
  
list[1] = 100;
```

## Void

`void` is a little like the opposite of `any` : the absence of having any type at all. You may commonly see this as the return type of functions that do not return a value:

```
function warnUser(): void {  
    alert("This is my warning message");  
}
```

Declaring variables of type `void` is not useful because you can only assign `undefined` or `null` to them:

```
let unusable: void = undefined;
```

## A note about `let`

You may've noticed that so far, we've been using the `let` keyword instead of JavaScript's `var` keyword which you might be more familiar with. The `let` keyword is actually a newer JavaScript construct that TypeScript makes available. We'll discuss the details later, but many common problems in JavaScript are alleviated by using `let`, so it's best practice to adopt it over using `var` whenever possible.



# Introduction

Traditional JavaScript focuses on functions and prototype-based inheritance as the basic means of building up reusable components, but this may feel a bit awkward to programmers more comfortable with an object-oriented approach, where classes inherit functionality and objects are built from these classes. Starting with ECMAScript 2015, also known as ECMAScript 6, JavaScript programmers will be able to build their applications using this object-oriented class-based approach. In TypeScript, we allow developers to use these techniques now, and compile them down to JavaScript that works across all major browsers and platforms, without having to wait for the next version of JavaScript.

## Classes

Let's take a look at a simple class-based example:

```
class Greeter {
  greeting: string;
  constructor(message: string) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }
  greet() {
    return "Hello, " + this.greeting;
  }
}

let greeter = new Greeter("world");
```

The syntax should look familiar if you've used C# or Java before. We declare a new class `Greeter`. This class has three members: a property called `greeting`, a constructor, and a method `greet`.

You'll notice that in the class when we refer to one of the members of the class we prepend `this.`. This denotes that it's a member access.

In the last line we construct an instance of the `Greeter` class using `new`. This calls into the constructor we defined earlier, creating a new object with the `Greeter` shape, and running the constructor to initialize it.

## Inheritance

In TypeScript, we can use common object-oriented patterns. Of course, one of the most fundamental patterns in class-based programming is being able to extend existing classes to create new ones using inheritance.

Let's take a look at an example:

```
class Animal {
  name: string;
  constructor(theName: string) { this.name = theName; }
  move(distanceInMeters: number = 0) {
    console.log(`${this.name} moved ${distanceInMeters}m.`);
  }
}

class Snake extends Animal {
  constructor(name: string) { super(name); }
  move(distanceInMeters = 5) {
    console.log("Slithering...");
    super.move(distanceInMeters);
  }
}

class Horse extends Animal {
  constructor(name: string) { super(name); }
  move(distanceInMeters = 45) {
    console.log("Galloping...");
    super.move(distanceInMeters);
  }
}

let sam = new Snake("Sammy the Python");
let tom: Animal = new Horse("Tommy the Palomino");

sam.move();
tom.move(34);
```

This example covers quite a few of the inheritance features in TypeScript that are common to other languages. Here we see the `extends` keywords used to create a subclass. You can see this where `Horse` and `Snake` subclass the base class `Animal` and gain access to its features.

The example also shows how to override methods in the base class with methods that are specialized for the subclass. Here both `Snake` and `Horse` create a `move` method that overrides the `move` from `Animal`, giving it functionality specific to each class. Note that even though `tom` is declared as an `Animal`, since its value is a `Horse`, when `tom.move(34)` calls the overriding method in `Horse`:

Derived classes that contain constructor functions must call `super()` which will execute the constructor function on the base class.

```
Slithering...
Sammy the Python moved 5m.
Galloping...
Tommy the Palomino moved 34m.
```

## Public, private, and protected modifiers

### Public by default

In our examples, we've been able to freely access the members that we declared throughout our programs. If you're familiar with classes in other languages, you may have noticed in the above examples we haven't had to use the word `public` to accomplish this; for instance, C# requires that each member be explicitly labeled `public` to be visible. In TypeScript, each member is `public` by default.

You may still mark a member `public` explicitly. We could have written the `Animal` class from the previous section in the following way:

```
class Animal {
  public name: string;
  public constructor(theName: string) { this.name = theName; }
  public move(distanceInMeters: number) {
    console.log(`${this.name} moved ${distanceInMeters}m.`);
  }
}
```

### Understanding `private`

When a member is marked `private`, it cannot be accessed from outside of its containing class. For example:

```
class Animal {
  private name: string;
  constructor(theName: string) { this.name = theName; }
}

new Animal("Cat").name; // Error: 'name' is private;
```

TypeScript is a structural type system. When we compare two different types, regardless of where they came from, if the types of all members are compatible, then we say the types themselves are compatible.

However, when comparing types that have `private` and `protected` members, we treat these types differently. For two types to be considered compatible, if one of them has a `private` member, then the other must have a `private` member that originated in the same declaration. The same applies to `protected` members.

Let's look at an example to better see how this plays out in practice:

```
class Animal {
  private name: string;
  constructor(theName: string) { this.name = theName; }
}

class Rhino extends Animal {
  constructor() { super("Rhino"); }
}

class Employee {
  private name: string;
  constructor(theName: string) { this.name = theName; }
}

let animal = new Animal("Goat");
let rhino = new Rhino();
let employee = new Employee("Bob");

animal = rhino;
animal = employee; // Error: Animal and Employee are not compatible
```

In this example, we have an `Animal` and a `Rhino`, with `Rhino` being a subclass of `Animal`. We also have a new class `Employee` that looks identical to `Animal` in terms of shape. We create some instances of these classes and then try to assign them to each other to see what will happen. Because `Animal` and `Rhino` share the `private` side of their shape from the same declaration of `private name: string` in `Animal`, they are compatible. However, this is not the case for `Employee`. When we try to assign from an `Employee` to `Animal` we get an error that these types are not compatible. Even though `Employee` also has a `private` member called `name`, it's not the as the one we declared in `Animal`.

## Understanding `protected`

The `protected` modifier acts much like the `private` modifier with the exception that members declared `protected` can also be accessed by instances of deriving classes. For example,

```
class Person {
    protected name: string;
    constructor(name: string) { this.name = name; }
}

class Employee extends Person {
    private department: string;

    constructor(name: string, department: string) {
        super(name);
        this.department = department;
    }

    public getElevatorPitch() {
        return `Hello, my name is ${this.name} and I work in ${this.department}.`;
    }
}

let howard = new Employee("Howard", "Sales");
console.log(howard.getElevatorPitch());
console.log(howard.name); // error
```

Notice that while we can't use `name` from outside of `Person`, we can still use it from within an instance method of `Employee` because `Employee` derives from `Person`.

## Parameter properties

In our last example, we had to declare a private member `name` and a constructor parameter `theName`, and we then immediately set `name` to `theName`. This turns out to be a very common practice. *Parameter properties* let you create and initialize a member in one place. Here's a further revision of the previous `Animal` class using a parameter property:

```
class Animal {
    constructor(private name: string) { }
    move(distanceInMeters: number) {
        console.log(`${this.name} moved ${distanceInMeters}m.`);
    }
}
```

Notice how we dropped `theName` altogether and just use the shortened `private name: string` parameter on the constructor to create and initialize the `name` member. We've consolidated the declarations and assignment into one location.

Parameter properties are declared by prefixing a constructor parameter with an accessibility modifier. Using `private` for a parameter property declares and initializes a private member; likewise, the same is done for `public` and `protected`.

## Accessors

TypeScript supports getters/setters as a way of intercepting accesses to a member of an object. This gives you a way of having finer-grained control over how a member is accessed on each object.

Let's convert a simple class to use `get` and `set`. First, let's start with an example without getters and setters.

```
class Employee {
    fullName: string;
}

let employee = new Employee();
employee.fullName = "Bob Smith";
if (employee.fullName) {
    console.log(employee.fullName);
}
```

While allowing people to randomly set `fullName` directly is pretty handy, this might get us in trouble if people can change names on a whim.

In this version, we check to make sure the user has a secret passcode available before we allow them to modify the employee. We do this by replacing the direct access to `fullName` with a `set` that will check the passcode. We add a corresponding `get` to allow the previous example to continue to work seamlessly.

```
let passcode = "secret passcode";

class Employee {
  private _fullName: string;

  get fullName(): string {
    return this._fullName;
  }

  set fullName(newName: string) {
    if (passcode && passcode == "secret passcode") {
      this._fullName = newName;
    }
    else {
      console.log("Error: Unauthorized update of employee!");
    }
  }
}

let employee = new Employee();
employee.fullName = "Bob Smith";
if (employee.fullName) {
  console.log(employee.fullName);
}
```

To prove to ourselves that our accessor is now checking the passcode, we can modify the passcode and see that when it doesn't match we instead get the message warning us we don't have access to update the employee.

Note: Accessors require you to set the compiler to output ECMAScript 5 or higher.

## Static Properties

Up to this point, we've only talked about the *instance* members of the class, those that show up on the object when its instantiated. We can also create *static* members of a class, those that are visible on the class itself rather than on the instances. In this example, we use `static` on the origin, as it's a general value for all grids. Each instance accesses this value through prepending the name of the class. Similarly to prepending `this.` in front of instance accesses, here we prepend `Grid.` in front of static accesses.

```
class Grid {
  static origin = {x: 0, y: 0};
  calculateDistanceFromOrigin(point: {x: number; y: number;}) {
    let xDist = (point.x - Grid.origin.x);
    let yDist = (point.y - Grid.origin.y);
    return Math.sqrt(xDist * xDist + yDist * yDist) / this.scale;
  }
  constructor (public scale: number) { }
}

let grid1 = new Grid(1.0); // 1x scale
let grid2 = new Grid(5.0); // 5x scale

console.log(grid1.calculateDistanceFromOrigin({x: 10, y: 10}));
console.log(grid2.calculateDistanceFromOrigin({x: 10, y: 10}));
```

## Abstract Classes

Abstract classes are base classes from which other classes may be derived. They may not be instantiated directly. Unlike an interface, an abstract class may contain implementation details for its members. The `abstract` keyword is used to define abstract classes as well as abstract methods within an abstract class.

```
abstract class Animal {
  abstract makeSound(): void;
  move(): void {
    console.log('roaming the earth...');
  }
}
```

Methods within an abstract class that are marked as abstract do not contain an implementation and must be implemented in derived classes. Abstract methods share a similar syntax to interface methods. Both define the signature of a method without including a method body. However, abstract methods must include the `abstract` keyword and may optionally include access modifiers.



```
abstract class Department {  
  
    constructor(public name: string) {  
    }  
  
    printName(): void {  
        console.log('Department name: ' + this.name);  
    }  
  
    abstract printMeeting(): void; // must be implemented in derived classes  
}  
  
class AccountingDepartment extends Department {  
  
    constructor() {  
        super('Accounting and Auditing'); // constructors in derived classes must call su  
    }  
  
    printMeeting(): void {  
        console.log('The Accounting Department meets each Monday at 10am.');    }  
  
    generateReports(): void {  
        console.log('Generating accounting reports...');  
    }  
}  
  
let department: Department; // ok to create a reference to an abstract type  
department = new Department(); // error: cannot create an instance of an abstract class  
department = new AccountingDepartment(); // ok to create and assign a non-abstract subcla  
department.printName();  
department.printMeeting();  
department.generateReports(); // error: method doesn't exist on declared abstract type
```

## Advanced Techniques

### Constructor functions

When you declare a class in TypeScript, you are actually creating multiple declarations at the same time. The first is the type of the *instance* of the class.

```
class Greeter {
  greeting: string;
  constructor(message: string) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }
  greet() {
    return "Hello, " + this.greeting;
  }
}

let greeter: Greeter;
greeter = new Greeter("world");
console.log(greeter.greet());
```

Here, when we say `let greeter: Greeter`, we're using `Greeter` as the type of instances of the class `Greeter`. This is almost second nature to programmers from other object-oriented languages.

We're also creating another value that we call the *constructor function*. This is the function that is called when we `new` up instances of the class. To see what this looks like in practice, let's take a look at the JavaScript created by the above example:

```
let Greeter = (function () {
  function Greeter(message) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }
  Greeter.prototype.greet = function () {
    return "Hello, " + this.greeting;
  };
  return Greeter;
})();

let greeter;
greeter = new Greeter("world");
console.log(greeter.greet());
```

Here, `let Greeter` is going to be assigned the constructor function. When we call `new` and run this function, we get an instance of the class. The constructor function also contains all of the static members of the class. Another way to think of each class is that there is an *instance* side and a *static* side.

Let's modify the example a bit to show this difference:

```
class Greeter {
    static standardGreeting = "Hello, there";
    greeting: string;
    greet() {
        if (this.greeting) {
            return "Hello, " + this.greeting;
        }
        else {
            return Greeter.standardGreeting;
        }
    }
}

let greeter1: Greeter;
greeter1 = new Greeter();
console.log(greeter1.greet());

let greeterMaker: typeof Greeter = Greeter;
greeterMaker.standardGreeting = "Hey there!";

let greeter2: Greeter = new greeterMaker();
console.log(greeter2.greet());
```

In this example, `greeter1` works similarly to before. We instantiate the `Greeter` class, and use this object. This we have seen before.

Next, we then use the class directly. Here we create a new variable called `greeterMaker`. This variable will hold the class itself, or said another way its constructor function. Here we use `typeof Greeter`, that is "give me the type of the `Greeter` class itself" rather than the instance type. Or, more precisely, "give me the type of the symbol called `Greeter`", which is the type of the constructor function. This type will contain all of the static members of `Greeter` along with the constructor that creates instances of the `Greeter` class. We show this by using `new` on `greeterMaker`, creating new instances of `Greeter` and invoking them as before.

## Using a class as an interface

As we said in the previous section, a class declaration creates two things: a type representing instances of the class and a constructor function. Because classes create types, you can use them in the same places you would be able to use interfaces.

```
class Point {  
  x: number;  
  y: number;  
}  
  
interface Point3d extends Point {  
  z: number;  
}  
  
let point3d: Point3d = {x: 1, y: 2, z: 3};
```

## Overview

Compiler options can be specified using MSBuild properties within an MSBuild project.

## Example

```
<PropertyGroup Condition="'$(Configuration)' == 'Debug'">
  <TypeScriptRemoveComments>false</TypeScriptRemoveComments>
  <TypeScriptSourceMap>true</TypeScriptSourceMap>
</PropertyGroup>
<PropertyGroup Condition="'$(Configuration)' == 'Release'">
  <TypeScriptRemoveComments>true</TypeScriptRemoveComments>
  <TypeScriptSourceMap>false</TypeScriptSourceMap>
</PropertyGroup>
<Import Project="$(MSBuildExtensionsPath32)\Microsoft\VisualStudio\v$(VisualStudioVersion)\TypeScript\Microsoft.TypeScript.targets" Condition="Exists('$(MSBuildExtensionsPath32)\Microsoft\VisualStudio\v$(VisualStudioVersion)\TypeScript\Microsoft.TypeScript.targets')"/>
```

## Mappings

Compiler Option	MSBuild Property Name	Allowed
<code>--declaration</code>	TypeScriptGeneratesDeclarations	boolean
<code>--module</code>	TypeScriptModuleKind	AMD , CommonJS , UMD , OR
<code>--target</code>	TypeScriptTarget	ES3 , ES5 , ES6
<code>--charset</code>	TypeScriptCharset	
<code>--emitBOM</code>	TypeScriptEmitBOM	boolean
<code>--emitDecoratorMetadata</code>	TypeScriptEmitDecoratorMetadata	boolean
<code>--experimentalDecorators</code>	TypeScriptExperimentalDecorators	boolean
<code>--inlineSourceMap</code>	TypeScriptInlineSourceMap	boolean
<code>--inlineSources</code>	TypeScriptInlineSources	boolean
<code>--locale</code>	<i>automatic</i>	Automatically set to Preferred locale value

<code>--mapRoot</code>	TypeScriptMapRoot	File path
<code>--newLine</code>	TypeScriptNewLine	CRLF or
<code>--noEmitOnError</code>	TypeScriptNoEmitOnError	boolean
<code>--noEmitHelpers</code>	TypeScriptNoEmitHelpers	boolean
<code>--noImplicitAny</code>	TypeScriptNoImplicitAny	boolean
<code>--noLib</code>	TypeScriptNoLib	boolean
<code>--noResolve</code>	TypeScriptNoResolve	boolean
<code>--out</code>	TypeScriptOutFile	File path
<code>--outDir</code>	TypeScriptOutDir	File path
<code>--preserveConstEnums</code>	TypeScriptPreserveConstEnums	boolean
<code>--removeComments</code>	TypeScriptRemoveComments	boolean
<code>--rootDir</code>	TypeScriptRootDir	File path
<code>--isolatedModules</code>	TypeScriptIsolatedModules	boolean
<code>--sourceMap</code>	TypeScriptSourceMap	File path
<code>--sourceRoot</code>	TypeScriptSourceRoot	File path
<code>--suppressImplicitAnyIndexErrors</code>	TypeScriptSuppressImplicitAnyIndexErrors	boolean
<code>--suppressExcessPropertyErrors</code>	TypeScriptSuppressExcessPropertyErrors	boolean
<code>--moduleResolution</code>	TypeScriptModuleResolution	Classic NodeJS
<code>--jsx</code>	TypeScriptJSXEmit	React C Preserve
<code>--project</code>	<i>Not supported in VS</i>	
<code>--watch</code>	<i>Not supported in VS</i>	
<code>--diagnostics</code>	<i>Not supported in VS</i>	
<code>--listFiles</code>	<i>Not supported in VS</i>	
<code>--noEmit</code>	<i>Not supported in VS</i>	
<i>VS only option</i>	TypeScriptAdditionalFlags	<i>Any com option</i>

## What is supported in my version of Visual Studio

Look in your `C:\Program Files (x86)\MSBuild\Microsoft\VisualStudio\v$(VisualStudioVersion)\TypeScript\Microsoft.TypeScript.targets` file. The authoritative mappings between MSBuild XML tags and `tsc` compiler options live in there.

## Compiler Options

Option	Shorthand	Description
<code>--declaration</code>	<code>-d</code>	Generates corresponding <code>.d.ts</code> file.
<code>--help</code>	<code>-h</code>	Print help message.
<code>--version</code>	<code>-v</code>	Print the compiler's version.
<code>--module</code>	<code>-m</code>	Specify module code generation: 'commonjs', 'amd', 'system', or 'umd'. Only 'amd' and 'system' can be used in conjunction with <code>--outFile</code> .
<code>--project</code>	<code>-p</code>	Compile the project in the given directory. The directory needs to contain a <code>tsconfig.json</code> file to direct compilation. See <a href="#">tsconfig.json</a> documentation for more details.
<code>--target</code>	<code>-t</code>	Specify ECMAScript target version: 'ES3' (default), 'ES5', or 'ES6' <sup>[1]</sup>
<code>--watch</code>	<code>-w</code>	Run the compiler in watch mode. Watch input files and trigger recompilation on changes.
<code>--charset</code>		The character set of the input files.
<code>--diagnostics</code>		Show diagnostic information.
<code>--emitBOM</code>		Emit a UTF-8 Byte Order Mark (BOM) in the beginning of output files.
<code>--emitDecoratorMetadata</code> <sup>[1]</sup>		Emit design-type metadata for decorated declarations in source. See <a href="#">issue #2577</a> for details.
<code>--inlineSourceMap</code>		Emit a single file with source maps instead of having a separate file.
<code>--inlineSources</code>		Emit the source alongside the sourcemaps within a single file; requires <code>--inlineSourceMap</code> to be set.
<code>--isolatedModules</code>		Unconditionally emit imports for unresolved files.
<code>--jsx</code>		Support JSX in <code>.tsx</code> files: 'React' or 'Preserve'. See <a href="#">JSX</a> .
<code>--listFiles</code>		Print names of files part of the compilation.



<code>--locale</code>		The locale to use to show error messages, e.g. en-us.
<code>--mapRoot</code>		Specifies the location where debugger should locate map files instead of generated locations. Use this flag if the .map files will be located at run-time in a different location than the .js files. The location specified will be embedded in the sourceMap to direct the debugger where the map files will be located.
<code>--moduleResolution</code> <sup>[1]</sup>		Determine how modules get resolved. Either 'node' for Node.js/io.js style resolution, or 'classic' (default).
<code>--newLine</code>		Use the specified end of line sequence to be used when emitting files: 'CRLF' (dos) or 'LF' (unix)."
<code>--noEmit</code>		Do not emit outputs.
<code>--noEmitOnError</code>		Do not emit outputs if any errors were reported.
<code>--noEmitHelpers</code>		Do not generate custom helper functions like <code>__extends</code> in compiled output.
<code>--noImplicitAny</code>		Raise error on expressions and declarations with an implied 'any' type.
<code>--noLib</code>		Do not include the default library file (lib.d.ts).
<code>--noResolve</code>		Do not add triple-slash references or module import targets to the list of compiled files.
<code>--out</code>		DEPRECATED. Use <code>--outFile</code> instead.
<code>--outDir</code>		Redirect output structure to the directory.
<code>--outFile</code>		Concatenate and emit output to single file. The order of concatenation is determined by the list of files passed to the compiler on the command line along with triple-slash references and imports. See output file order documentation for more details.
<code>--preserveConstEnums</code>		Do not erase const enum declarations in generated code. See <a href="#">const enums</a>

		<a href="#">documentation</a> for more details.
<code>--removeComments</code>		Remove all comments except copyright header comments beginning with <code>/*</code>
<code>--rootDir</code>		Specifies the root directory of input files. Only use to control the output directory structure with <code>--outDir</code> .
<code>--sourceMap</code>		Generates corresponding '.map' file.
<code>--sourceRoot</code>		Specifies the location where debugger should locate TypeScript files instead of source locations. Use this flag if the sources will be located at run-time in a different location than that at design-time. The location specified will be embedded in the sourceMap to direct the debugger where the source files will be located.
<code>--stripInternal</code> <sup>[1]</sup>		Do not emit declarations for code that has an <code>/** @internal */</code> JSDoc annotation.
<code>--suppressImplicitAnyIndexErrors</code>		Suppress <code>--noImplicitAny</code> errors for indexing objects lacking index signatures. See <a href="#">issue #1232</a> for more details.

[1] These options are experimental.

## Related

- For tsconfig.json see [tsconfig.json](#)
- For Setting the compiler options in MSBuild projects see [Setting Compiler Options in MSBuild projects](#)

# Introduction

Some of the unique concepts in TypeScript come from the need to describe what is happening to the shape of JavaScript objects at the type level. One example that is especially unique to TypeScript is the concept of 'declaration merging'. Understanding this concept will give you an advantage when working with existing JavaScript in your TypeScript. It also opens the door to more advanced abstraction concepts.

First, before we get into how declarations merge, let's first describe what we mean by 'declaration merging'.

For the purposes of this article, declaration merging specifically means that the compiler is doing the work of merging two separate declarations declared with the same name into a single definition. This merged definition has the features of both of the original declarations. Declaration merging is not limited to just two declarations, as any number of declarations can be merged.

## Basic Concepts

In TypeScript, a declaration creates entities in at least one of three groups: namespace, type, or value. Namespace-creating declarations create a namespace, which contains names that are accessed using a dotted notation. Type-creating declarations do just that: they create a type that is visible with the declared shape and bound to the given name. Lastly, value-creating declarations create values that are visible in the output JavaScript.

Declaration Type	Namespace	Type	Value
Namespace	X		X
Class		X	X
Enum		X	X
Interface		X	
Type Alias		X	
Function			X
Variable			X

Understanding what is created with each declaration will help you understand what is merged when you perform a declaration merge.

# Merging Interfaces

The simplest, and perhaps most common, type of declaration merging is interface merging. At the most basic level, the merge mechanically joins the members of both declarations into a single interface with the same name.

```
interface Box {  
    height: number;  
    width: number;  
}  
  
interface Box {  
    scale: number;  
}  
  
let box: Box = {height: 5, width: 6, scale: 10};
```

Non-function members of the interfaces must be unique. The compiler will issue an error if the interfaces both declare a non-function member of the same name.

For function members, each function member of the same name is treated as describing an overload of the same function. Of note, too, is that in the case of interface `A` merging with later interface `A` (here called `A'`), the overload set of `A'` will have a higher precedence than that of interface `A`.

That is, in the example:

```
interface Document {  
    createElement(tagName: any): Element;  
}  
  
interface Document {  
    createElement(tagName: string): HTMLElement;  
}  
  
interface Document {  
    createElement(tagName: "div"): HTMLDivElement;  
    createElement(tagName: "span"): HTMLSpanElement;  
    createElement(tagName: "canvas"): HTMLCanvasElement;  
}
```

The two interfaces will merge to create a single declaration. Notice that the elements of each group maintains the same order, just the groups themselves are merged with later overload sets coming first:

```
interface Document {  
  createElement(tagName: "div"): HTMLDivElement;  
  createElement(tagName: "span"): HTMLSpanElement;  
  createElement(tagName: "canvas"): HTMLCanvasElement;  
  createElement(tagName: string): HTMLElement;  
  createElement(tagName: any): Element;  
}
```

## Merging Namespaces

Similarly to interfaces, namespaces of the same name will also merge their members. Since namespaces create both a namespace and a value, we need to understand how both merge.

To merge the namespaces, type definitions from exported interfaces declared in each namespace are themselves merged, forming a single namespace with merged interface definitions inside.

To merge the value, at each declaration site, if a namespace already exists with the given name, it is further extended by taking the existing namespace and adding the exported members of the second namespace to the first.

The declaration merge of `Animals` in this example:

```
namespace Animals {  
  export class Zebra { }  
}  
  
namespace Animals {  
  export interface Legged { numberOfLegs: number; }  
  export class Dog { }  
}
```

is equivalent to:

```
namespace Animals {  
  export interface Legged { numberOfLegs: number; }  
  
  export class Zebra { }  
  export class Dog { }  
}
```

This model of namespace merging is a helpful starting place, but to get a more complete picture we need to also understand what happens with non-exported members. Non-exported members are only visible in the original (un-merged) namespace. This means that after merging, merged members that came from other declarations can not see non-exported members.

We can see this more clearly in this example:

```
namespace Animal {
    let haveMuscles = true;

    export function animalsHaveMuscles() {
        return haveMuscles;
    }
}

namespace Animal {
    export function doAnimalsHaveMuscles() {
        return haveMuscles; // <-- error, haveMuscles is not visible here
    }
}
```

Because `haveMuscles` is not exported, only the `animalsHaveMuscles` function that shares the same un-merged namespace can see the symbol. The `doAnimalsHaveMuscles` function, even though it's part of the merged `Animal` namespace can not see this un-exported member.

## Merging Namespaces with Classes, Functions, and Enums

Namespaces are flexible enough to also merge with other types of declarations. To do so, the namespace declaration must follow the declaration it will merge with. The resulting declaration has properties of both declaration types. TypeScript uses this capability to model some of patterns in JavaScript as well as other programming languages.

The first namespace merge we'll cover is merging a namespace with a class. This gives the user a way of describing inner classes.

```
class Album {
    label: Album.AlbumLabel;
}
namespace Album {
    export class AlbumLabel { }
}
```

The visibility rules for merged members is the same as described in the 'Merging Namespaces' section, so we must export the `AlbumLabel` class for the merged class to see it. The end result is a class managed inside of another class. You can also use namespaces to add more static members to an existing class.

In addition to the pattern of inner classes, you may also be familiar with JavaScript practice of creating a function and then extending the function further by adding properties onto the function. TypeScript uses declaration merging to build up definitions like this in a type-safe way.

```
function buildLabel(name: string): string {
    return buildLabel.prefix + name + buildLabel.suffix;
}

namespace buildLabel {
    export let suffix = "";
    export let prefix = "Hello, ";
}

alert(buildLabel("Sam Smith"));
```

Similarly, namespaces can be used to extend enums with static members:

```
enum Color {
    red = 1,
    green = 2,
    blue = 4
}

namespace Color {
    export function mixColor(colorName: string) {
        if (colorName == "yellow") {
            return Color.red + Color.green;
        }
        else if (colorName == "white") {
            return Color.red + Color.green + Color.blue;
        }
        else if (colorName == "magenta") {
            return Color.red + Color.blue;
        }
        else if (colorName == "cyan") {
            return Color.green + Color.blue;
        }
    }
}
```

# Disallowed Merges

Not all merges are allowed in TypeScript. Currently, classes can not merge with other classes, variables and classes can not merge, nor can interfaces and classes. For information on mimicking classes merging, see the [\[Mixins in TypeScript\]](#) section.



# Introduction

With the introduction of Classes in TypeScript and ES6, there now exist certain scenarios that require additional features to support annotating or modifying classes and class members. Decorators provide a way to add both annotations and a meta-programming syntax for class declarations and members. Decorators are a [stage 1 proposal](#) for JavaScript and are available as an experimental feature of TypeScript.

**NOTE** Decorators are an experimental feature that may change in future releases.

To enable experimental support for decorators, you must enable the `experimentalDecorators` compiler option either on the command line or in your `tsconfig.json`:

## Command Line:

```
tsc --target ES5 --experimentalDecorators
```

## tsconfig.json:

```
{
  "compilerOptions": {
    "target": "ES5",
    "experimentalDecorators": true
  }
}
```

# Decorators

A *Decorator* is a special kind of declaration that can be attached to a [class declaration](#), [method](#), [accessor](#), [property](#), or [parameter](#). Decorators use the form `@expression`, where `expression` must evaluate to a function that will be called at runtime with information about the decorated declaration.

For example, given the decorator `@sealed` we might write the `sealed` function as follows:

```
function sealed(target) {
  // do something with "target" ...
}
```

**NOTE** You can see a more detailed example of a decorator in [Class Decorators](#), below.

## Decorator Factories

If we want to customize how a decorator is applied to a declaration, we can write a decorator factory. A *Decorator Factory* is simply a function that returns the expression that will be called by the decorator at runtime.

We can write a decorator factory in the following fashion:

```
function color(value: string) { // this is the decorator factory
  return function (target) { // this is the decorator
    // do something with "target" and "value"...
  }
}
```

**NOTE** You can see a more detailed example of a decorator factory in [Method Decorators](#), below.

## Decorator Composition

Multiple decorators can be applied to a declaration, as in the following examples:

- On a single line:

```
@f @g x
```

- On multiple lines:

```
@f
@g
x
```

When multiple decorators apply to a single declaration, their evaluation is similar to [function composition in mathematics](#). In this model, when composing functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the resulting composite  $(f \circ g)(x)$  is equivalent to  $f(g(x))$ .

As such, the following steps are performed when evaluating multiple decorators on a single declaration in TypeScript:

1. The expressions for each decorator are evaluated top-to-bottom.

2. The results are then called as functions from bottom-to-top.

If we were to use [decorator factories](#), we can observe this evaluation order with the following example:

```
function f() {
    console.log("f(): evaluated");
    return function (target, propertyKey: string, descriptor: PropertyDescriptor) {
        console.log("f(): called");
    }
}

function g() {
    console.log("g(): evaluated");
    return function (target, propertyKey: string, descriptor: PropertyDescriptor) {
        console.log("g(): called");
    }
}

class C {
    @f()
    @g()
    method() {}
}
```

Which would print this output to the console:

```
f(): evaluated
g(): evaluated
g(): called
f(): called
```

## Decorator Evaluation

There is a well defined order to how decorators applied to various declarations inside of a class are applied:

1. *Parameter Decorators*, followed by *Method*, *Accessor*, or *Property Decorators* are applied for each instance member.
2. *Parameter Decorators*, followed by *Method*, *Accessor*, or *Property Decorators* are applied for each static member.
3. *Parameter Decorators* are applied for the constructor.
4. *Class Decorators* are applied for the class.

# Class Decorators

A *Class Decorator* is declared just before a class declaration. The class decorator is applied to the constructor of the class and can be used to observe, modify, or replace a class definition. A class decorator cannot be used in a declaration file, or in any other ambient context (such as on a `declare class`).

The expression for the class decorator will be called as a function at runtime, with the constructor of the decorated class as its only argument.

If the class decorator returns a value, it will replace the class declaration with the provided constructor function.

**NOTE** Should you chose to return a new constructor function, you must take care to maintain the original prototype. The logic that applies decorators at runtime will **not** do this for you.

The following is an example of a class decorator ( `@sealed` ) applied to the `Greeter` class:

```
@sealed
class Greeter {
  greeting: string;
  constructor(message: string) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }
  greet() {
    return "Hello, " + this.greeting;
  }
}
```

We can define the `@sealed` decorator using the following function declaration:

```
function sealed(constructor: Function) {
  Object.seal(constructor);
  Object.seal(constructor.prototype);
}
```

When `@sealed` is executed, it will seal both the constructor and its prototype.

# Method Decorators

A *Method Decorator* is declared just before a method declaration. The decorator is applied to the *Property Descriptor* for the method, and can be used to observe, modify, or replace a method definition. A method decorator cannot be used in a declaration file, on an overload,

or in any other ambient context (such as in a `declare` class).

The expression for the method decorator will be called as a function at runtime, with the following three arguments:

1. Either the constructor function of the class for a static member, or the prototype of the class for an instance member.
2. The name of the member.
3. The *Property Descriptor* for the member.

**NOTE** The *Property Descriptor* will be `undefined` if your script target is less than ES5 .

If the method decorator returns a value, it will be used as the *Property Descriptor* for the method.

**NOTE** The return value is ignored if your script target is less than ES5 .

The following is an example of a method decorator ( `@enumerable` ) applied to a method on the `Greeter` class:

```
class Greeter {
  greeting: string;
  constructor(message: string) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }

  @enumerable(false)
  greet() {
    return "Hello, " + this.greeting;
  }
}
```

We can define the `@enumerable` decorator using the following function declaration:

```
function enumerable(value: boolean) {
  return function (target: any, propertyKey: string, descriptor: PropertyDescriptor) {
    descriptor.enumerable = value;
  };
}
```

The `@enumerable(false)` decorator here is a [decorator factory](#). When the `@enumerable(false)` decorator is called, it modifies the `enumerable` property of the property descriptor.

# Accessor Decorators

An *Accessor Decorator* is declared just before an accessor declaration. The accessor decorator is applied to the *Property Descriptor* for the accessor and can be used to observe, modify, or replace an accessor's definitions. An accessor decorator cannot be used in a declaration file, or in any other ambient context (such as in a `declare` class).

**NOTE** TypeScript disallows decorating both the `get` and `set` accessor for a single member. Instead, all decorators for the member must be applied to the first accessor specified in document order. This is because decorators apply to a *Property Descriptor*, which combines both the `get` and `set` accessor, not each declaration separately.

The expression for the accessor decorator will be called as a function at runtime, with the following three arguments:

1. Either the constructor function of the class for a static member, or the prototype of the class for an instance member.
2. The name of the member.
3. The *Property Descriptor* for the member.

**NOTE** The *Property Descriptor* will be `undefined` if your script target is less than `ES5`.

If the accessor decorator returns a value, it will be used as the *Property Descriptor* for the member.

**NOTE** The return value is ignored if your script target is less than `ES5`.

The following is an example of an accessor decorator ( `@configurable` ) applied to a member of the `Point` class:

```
class Point {
  private _x: number;
  private _y: number;
  constructor(x: number, y: number) {
    this._x = x;
    this._y = y;
  }

  @configurable(false)
  get x() { return this._x; }

  @configurable(false)
  get y() { return this._y; }
}
```

We can define the `@configurable` decorator using the following function declaration:

```
function configurable(value: boolean) {  
    return function (target: any, propertyKey: string, descriptor: PropertyDescriptor) {  
        descriptor.configurable = value;  
    };  
}
```

## Property Decorators

A *Property Decorator* is declared just before a property declaration. A property decorator cannot be used in a declaration file, or in any other ambient context (such as in a `declare` class).

The expression for the property decorator will be called as a function at runtime, with the following two arguments:

1. Either the constructor function of the class for a static member, or the prototype of the class for an instance member.
2. The name of the member.

**NOTE** A *Property Descriptor* is not provided as an argument to a property decorator due to how property decorators are initialized in TypeScript. This is because there is currently no mechanism to describe an instance property when defining members of a prototype, and no way to observe or modify the initializer for a property. As such, a property decorator can only be used to observe that a property of a specific name has been declared for a class.

If the property decorator returns a value, it will be used as the *Property Descriptor* for the member.

**NOTE** The return value is ignored if your script target is less than `ES5`.

We can use this information to record metadata about the property, as in the following example:

```
class Greeter {
  @format("Hello, %s")
  greeting: string;

  constructor(message: string) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }
  greet() {
    let formatString = getFormat(this, "greeting");
    return formatString.replace("%s", this.greeting);
  }
}
```

We can then define the `@format` decorator and `getFormat` functions using the following function declarations:

```
import "reflect-metadata";

const formatMetadataKey = Symbol("format");

function format(formatString: string) {
  return Reflect.metadata(formatMetadataKey, formatString);
}

function getFormat(target: any, propertyKey: string) {
  return Reflect.getMetadata(formatMetadataKey, target, propertyKey);
}
```

The `@format("Hello, %s")` decorator here is a [decorator factory](#). When `@format("Hello, %s")` is called, it adds a metadata entry for the property using the `Reflect.metadata` function from the `reflect-metadata` library. When `getFormat` is called, it reads the metadata value for the format.

**NOTE** This example requires the `reflect-metadata` library. See [Metadata](#) for more information about the `reflect-metadata` library.

## Parameter Decorators

A *Parameter Decorator* is declared just before a parameter declaration. The parameter decorator is applied to the function for a class constructor or method declaration. A parameter decorator cannot be used in a declaration file, an overload, or in any other ambient context (such as in a `declare` class).

The expression for the parameter decorator will be called as a function at runtime, with the following three arguments:



1. Either the constructor function of the class for a static member, or the prototype of the class for an instance member.
2. The name of the member.
3. The ordinal index of the parameter in the function's parameter list.

**NOTE** A parameter decorator can only be used to observe that a parameter has been declared on a method.

The return value of the parameter decorator is ignored.

The following is an example of a parameter decorator ( `@required` ) applied to parameter of a member of the `Greeter` class:

```
class Greeter {
  greeting: string;

  constructor(message: string) {
    this.greeting = message;
  }

  @validate
  greet(@required name: string) {
    return "Hello " + name + ", " + this.greeting;
  }
}
```

We can then define the `@required` and `@validate` decorators using the following function declarations:

```
import "reflect-metadata";

const requiredMetadataKey = Symbol("required");

function required(target: Object, propertyKey: string|symbol, parameterIndex: number) {
    Reflect.defineMetadata(requiredMetadataKey, true, target, parameterIndex);
}

function validate(target: any, propertyName: string, descriptor: TypedPropertyDescriptor<
    let method = descriptor.value;
    descriptor.value = function () {
        for (let parameterIndex = 0; parameterIndex < method.length; parameterIndex++) {
            if (Reflect.getOwnMetadata(requiredMetadataKey, method, parameterIndex)) {
                if (parameterIndex >= arguments.length || arguments[parameterIndex] === u
                    throw new Error("Missing required argument.");
            }
        }
    }

    return method.apply(this, arguments);
}
```

The `@required` decorator adds a metadata entry that marks the parameter as required. The `@validate` decorator then wraps the existing `greet` method in a function that validates the arguments before invoking the original method.

**NOTE** This example requires the `reflect-metadata` library. See [Metadata](#) for more information about the `reflect-metadata` library.

## Metadata

Some examples use the `reflect-metadata` library which adds a polyfill for an [experimental metadata API](#). This library is not yet part of the ECMAScript (JavaScript) standard. However, once decorators are officially adopted as part of the ECMAScript standard these extensions will be proposed for adoption.

You can install this library via npm:

```
npm i reflect-metadata --save
```

TypeScript includes experimental support for emitting certain types of metadata for declarations that have decorators. To enable this experimental support, you must set the `emitDecoratorMetadata` compiler option either on the command line or in your

tsconfig.json :

### Command Line:

```
tsc --target ES5 --experimentalDecorators --emitDecoratorMetadata
```

### tsconfig.json:

```
{
  "compilerOptions": {
    "target": "ES5",
    "experimentalDecorators": true,
    "emitDecoratorMetadata": true
  }
}
```

When enabled, as long as the `reflect-metadata` library has been imported, additional design-time type information will be exposed at runtime.

We can see this in action in the following example:

```
import "reflect-metadata";

class Point {
  x: number;
  y: number;
}

class Line {
  private _p0: Point;
  private _p1: Point;

  @validate
  set p0(value: Point) { this._p0 = value; }
  get p0() { return this._p0; }

  @validate
  set p1(value: Point) { this._p1 = value; }
  get p1() { return this._p1; }
}

function validate<T>(target: any, propertyKey: string, descriptor: TypedPropertyDescriptor<T>) {
  let set = descriptor.set;
  descriptor.set = function (value: T) {
    let type = Reflect.getMetadata("design:type", target, propertyKey);
    if (!(value instanceof type)) {
      throw new TypeError("Invalid type.");
    }
  };
}
```

The TypeScript compiler will inject design-time type information using the

`@Reflect.metadata` decorator. You could consider it the equivalent of the following TypeScript:

```
class Line {
  private _p0: Point;
  private _p1: Point;

  @validate
  @Reflect.metadata("design:type", Point)
  set p0(value: Point) { this._p0 = value; }
  get p0() { return this._p0; }

  @validate
  @Reflect.metadata("design:type", Point)
  set p1(value: Point) { this._p1 = value; }
  get p1() { return this._p1; }
}
```

**NOTE** Decorator metadata is an experimental feature and may introduce breaking changes in future releases.

# Enums

Enums allow us to define a set of named numeric constants. An enum can be defined using the `enum` keyword.

```
enum Direction {  
    Up = 1,  
    Down,  
    Left,  
    Right  
}
```

The body of an enum consists of zero or more enum members. Enum members have numeric value associated with them and can be either *constant* or *computed*. An enum member is considered constant if:

- It does not have an initializer and the preceding enum member was constant. In this case the value of the current enum member will be the value of the preceding enum member plus one. One exception to this rule is the first element on an enum. If it does not have initializer it is assigned the value `0`.
- The enum member is initialized with a constant enum expression. A constant enum expression is a subset of TypeScript expressions that can be fully evaluated at compile time. An expression is a constant enum expression if it is either:
  - numeric literal
  - reference to previously defined constant enum member (it can be defined in different enum). If member is defined in the same enum it can be referenced using unqualified name.
  - parenthesized constant enum expression
  - `+`, `-`, `~` unary operators applied to constant enum expression
  - `+`, `-`, `*`, `/`, `%`, `<<`, `>>`, `>>>`, `&`, `|`, `^` binary operators with constant enum expressions as operands It is a compile time error for constant enum expressions to be evaluated to `NaN` or `Infinity`.

In all other cases enum member is considered computed.

```
enum FileAccess {  
    // constant members  
    None,  
    Read    = 1 << 1,  
    Write    = 1 << 2,  
    ReadWrite = Read | Write  
    // computed member  
    G = "123".length  
}
```

Enums are real objects that exist at runtime. One reason is the ability to maintain a reverse mapping from enum values to enum names.

```
enum Enum {  
    A  
}  
let a = Enum.A;  
let nameOfA = Enum[Enum.A]; // "A"
```

is compiled to:

```
var Enum;  
(function (Enum) {  
    Enum[Enum["A"] = 0] = "A";  
})(Enum || (Enum = {}));  
var a = Enum.A;  
var nameOfA = Enum[Enum.A]; // "A"
```

In generated code an enum is compiled into an object that stores both forward ( `name` -> `value` ) and reverse ( `value` -> `name` ) mappings. References to enum members are always emitted as property accesses and never inlined. In lots of cases this is a perfectly valid solution. However sometimes requirements are tighter. To avoid paying the cost of extra generated code and additional indirection when accessing enum values it is possible to use const enums. Const enums are defined using the `const` modifier that precedes the `enum` keyword.

```
const enum Enum {  
    A = 1,  
    B = A * 2  
}
```

Const enums can only use constant enum expressions and unlike regular enums they are completely removed during compilation. Const enum members are inlined at use sites. This is possible since const enums cannot have computed members.

```
const enum Directions {  
    Up,  
    Down,  
    Left,  
    Right  
}  
  
let directions = [Directions.Up, Directions.Down, Directions.Left, Directions.Right]
```

in generated code will become

```
var directions = [0 /* Up */, 1 /* Down */, 2 /* Left */, 3 /* Right */];
```

## Ambient enums

Ambient enums are used to describe the shape of already existing enum types.

```
declare enum Enum {  
    A = 1,  
    B,  
    C = 2  
}
```

One important difference between ambient and non-ambient enums is that, in regular enums, members that don't have an initializer are considered constant members. For non-const ambient enums member that does not have initializer is considered computed.



# Introduction

Functions are the fundamental building block of any applications in JavaScript. They're how you build up layers of abstraction, mimicking classes, information hiding, and modules. In TypeScript, while there are classes, namespaces, and modules, function still play the key role in describing how to *do* things. TypeScript also adds some new capabilities to the standard JavaScript functions to make them easier to work with.

## Functions

To begin, just as in JavaScript, TypeScript functions can be created both as a named function or as an anonymous function. This allows you to choose the most appropriate approach for your application, whether you're building a list of functions in an API or a one-off function to hand off to another function.

To quickly recap what these two approaches look like in JavaScript:

```
// Named function
function add(x, y) {
  return x + y;
}

// Anonymous function
let myAdd = function(x, y) { return x+y; };
```

Just as in JavaScript, functions can refer to variables outside of the function body. When they do so, they're said to `capture` these variables. While understanding how this works, and the trade-offs when using this technique, are outside of the scope of this article, having a firm understanding how this mechanic is an important piece of working with JavaScript and TypeScript.

```
let z = 100;

function addToZ(x, y) {
  return x + y + z;
}
```

## Function Types

# Typing the function

Let's add types to our simple examples from earlier:

```
function add(x: number, y: number): number {  
    return x + y;  
}  
  
let myAdd = function(x: number, y: number): number { return x+y; };
```

We can add types to each of the parameters and then to the function itself to add a return type. TypeScript can figure the return type out by looking at the return statements, so we can also optionally leave this off in many cases.

## Writing the function type

Now that we've typed the function, let's write the full type of the function out by looking at the each piece of the function type.

```
let myAdd: (x: number, y: number) => number =  
    function(x: number, y: number): number { return x+y; };
```

A function's type has the same two parts: the type of the arguments and the return type. When writing out the whole function type, both parts are required. We write out the parameter types just like a parameter list, giving each parameter a name and a type. This name is just to help with readability. We could have instead written:

```
let myAdd: (baseValue: number, increment: number) => number =  
    function(x: number, y: number): number { return x + y; };
```

As long as the parameter types line up, it's considered a valid type for the function, regardless of the names you give the parameters in the function type.

The second part is the return type. We make it clear which is the return type by using a fat arrow ( `=>` ) between the parameters and the return type. As mentioned before, this is a required part of the function type, so if the function doesn't return a value, you would use `void` instead of leaving it off.

Of note, only the parameters and the return type make up the function type. Captured variables are not reflected in the type. In effect, captured variables are part of the 'hidden state' of any function and do not make up its API.

## Inferring the types

In playing with the example, you may notice that the TypeScript compiler can figure out the type if you have types on one side of the equation but not the other:

```
// myAdd has the full function type
let myAdd = function(x: number, y: number): number { return x + y; };

// The parameters `x` and `y` have the type number
let myAdd: (baseValue: number, increment: number) => number =
    function(x, y) { return x + y; };
```

This is called "contextual typing", a form of type inference. This helps cut down on the amount of effort to keep your program typed.

## Optional and Default Parameters

In TypeScript, every parameter is assumed to be required by the function. This doesn't mean that it can't be given `null` or `undefined`, but rather, when the function is called the compiler will check that the user has provided a value for each parameter. The compiler also assumes that these parameters are the only parameters that will be passed to the function. In short, the number of arguments given to a function has to match the number of parameters the function expects.

```
function buildName(firstName: string, lastName: string) {
    return firstName + " " + lastName;
}

let result1 = buildName("Bob");           // error, too few parameters
let result2 = buildName("Bob", "Adams", "Sr."); // error, too many parameters
let result3 = buildName("Bob", "Adams");    // ah, just right
```

In JavaScript, every parameter is optional, and users may leave them off as they see fit. When they do, their value is `undefined`. We can get this functionality in TypeScript by adding a `?` to the end of parameters we want to be optional. For example, let's say we want the last name parameter from above to be optional:

```
function buildName(firstName: string, lastName?: string) {
    if (lastName)
        return firstName + " " + lastName;
    else
        return firstName;
}

let result1 = buildName("Bob");           // works correctly now
let result2 = buildName("Bob", "Adams", "Sr."); // error, too many parameters
let result3 = buildName("Bob", "Adams");   // ah, just right
```

Any optional parameters must follow required parameters. Had we wanted to make the first name optional rather than the last name, we would need to change the order of parameters in the function, putting the first name last in the list.

In TypeScript, we can also set a value that a parameter will be assigned if the user does not provide one, or if the user passes `undefined` in its place. These are called default-initialized parameters. Let's take the previous example and default the last name to `"Smith"`.

```
function buildName(firstName: string, lastName = "Smith") {
    return firstName + " " + lastName;
}

let result1 = buildName("Bob");           // works correctly now, returns "Bob Smith"
let result2 = buildName("Bob", undefined); // still works, also returns "Bob Smith"
let result3 = buildName("Bob", "Adams", "Sr."); // error, too many parameters
let result4 = buildName("Bob", "Adams");   // ah, just right
```

Default-initialized parameters that come after all required parameters are treated as optional, and just like optional parameters, can be omitted when calling their respective function. This means optional parameters and trailing default parameters will share commonality in their types, so both

```
function buildName(firstName: string, lastName?: string) {
    // ...
}
```

and

```
function buildName(firstName: string, lastName = "Smith") {
    // ...
}
```

share the same type `(firstName: string, lastName?: string) => string`. The default value of `lastName` disappears in the type, only leaving behind the fact that the parameter is optional.

Unlike plain optional parameters, default-initialized parameters don't *need* to occur after required parameters. If a default-initialized parameter comes before a required parameter, users need to explicitly pass `undefined` to get the default initialized value. For example, we could write our last example with only a default initializer on `firstName`:

```
function buildName(firstName = "Will", lastName: string) {
    return firstName + " " + lastName;
}

let result1 = buildName("Bob");           // error, too few parameters
let result2 = buildName("Bob", "Adams", "Sr."); // error, too many parameters
let result3 = buildName("Bob", "Adams");   // okay and returns "Bob Adams"
let result4 = buildName(undefined, "Adams"); // okay and returns "Will Adams"
```

## Rest Parameters

Required, optional, and default parameters all have one thing in common: they talk about one parameter at a time. Sometimes, you want to work with multiple parameters as a group, or you may not know how many parameters a function will ultimately take. In JavaScript, you can work with the arguments directly using the `arguments` variable that is visible inside every function body.

In TypeScript, you can gather these arguments together into a variable:

```
function buildName(firstName: string, ...restOfName: string[]) {
    return firstName + " " + restOfName.join(" ");
}

let employeeName = buildName("Joseph", "Samuel", "Lucas", "MacKinzie");
```

*Rest parameters* are treated as a boundless number of optional parameters. When passing arguments for a rest parameter, can use as many as you want; you can even pass none. The compiler will build an array of the arguments passed in with the name given after the ellipsis ( `...` ), allowing you to use it in your function.

The ellipsis is also used in the type of the function with rest parameters:

```
function buildName(firstName: string, ...restOfName: string[]) {  
    return firstName + " " + restOfName.join(" ");  
}  
  
let buildNameFun: (fname: string, ...rest: string[]) => string = buildName;
```

## Lambdas and using `this`

How `this` works in JavaScript functions is a common theme in programmers coming to JavaScript. Indeed, learning how to use it is something of a rite of passage as developers become more accustomed to working in JavaScript. Since TypeScript is a superset of JavaScript, TypeScript developers also need to learn how to use `this` and how to spot when it's not being used correctly. A whole article could be written on how to use `this` in JavaScript, and many have. Here, we'll focus on some of the basics.

In JavaScript, `this` is a variable that's set when a function is called. This makes it a very powerful and flexible feature, but it comes at the cost of always having to know about the context that a function is executing in. This can be notoriously confusing when, for instance, a function is used as a callback.

Let's look at an example:

```
let deck = {  
    suits: ["hearts", "spades", "clubs", "diamonds"],  
    cards: Array(52),  
    createCardPicker: function() {  
        return function() {  
            let pickedCard = Math.floor(Math.random() * 52);  
            let pickedSuit = Math.floor(pickedCard / 13);  
  
            return {suit: this.suits[pickedSuit], card: pickedCard % 13};  
        }  
    }  
}  
  
let cardPicker = deck.createCardPicker();  
let pickedCard = cardPicker();  
  
alert("card: " + pickedCard.card + " of " + pickedCard.suit);
```

If we tried to run the example, we would get an error instead of the expected alert box. This is because the `this` being used in the function created by `createCardPicker` will be set to `window` instead of our `deck` object. This happens as a result of calling `cardPicker()`.

Here, there is no dynamic binding for `this` other than `Window`. (note: under strict mode, this will be undefined rather than `window`).

We can fix this by making sure the function is bound to the correct `this` before we return the function to be used later. This way, regardless of how its later used, it will still be able to see the original `deck` object.

To fix this, we switch the function expression to use the arrow syntax `( () => {} )` rather than the JavaScript function expression. This will automatically capture the `this` available when the function is created rather than when it is invoked:

```
let deck = {
  suits: ["hearts", "spades", "clubs", "diamonds"],
  cards: Array(52),
  createCardPicker: function() {
    // Notice: the line below is now a lambda, allowing us to capture `this` earlier
    return () => {
      let pickedCard = Math.floor(Math.random() * 52);
      let pickedSuit = Math.floor(pickedCard / 13);

      return {suit: this.suits[pickedSuit], card: pickedCard % 13};
    }
  }
}

let cardPicker = deck.createCardPicker();
let pickedCard = cardPicker();

alert("card: " + pickedCard.card + " of " + pickedCard.suit);
```

For more information on ways to think about `this`, you can read Yehuda Katz's [Understanding JavaScript Function Invocation and "this"](#).

## Overloads

JavaScript is inherently a very dynamic language. It's not uncommon for a single JavaScript function to return different types of objects based on the shape of the arguments passed in.

```
let suits = ["hearts", "spades", "clubs", "diamonds"];

function pickCard(x): any {
  // Check to see if we're working with an object/array
  // if so, they gave us the deck and we'll pick the card
  if (typeof x == "object") {
    let pickedCard = Math.floor(Math.random() * x.length);
    return pickedCard;
  }
  // Otherwise just let them pick the card
  else if (typeof x == "number") {
    let pickedSuit = Math.floor(x / 13);
    return { suit: suits[pickedSuit], card: x % 13 };
  }
}

let myDeck = [{ suit: "diamonds", card: 2 }, { suit: "spades", card: 10 }, { suit: "heart
let pickedCard1 = myDeck[pickCard(myDeck)];
alert("card: " + pickedCard1.card + " of " + pickedCard1.suit);

let pickedCard2 = pickCard(15);
alert("card: " + pickedCard2.card + " of " + pickedCard2.suit);
```

Here the `pickCard` function will return two different things based on what the user has passed in. If the users passes in an object that represents the deck, the function will pick the card. If the user picks the card, we tell them which card they've picked. But how do we describe this to the type system?

The answer is to supply multiple function types for the same function as a list of overloads. This list is what the compiler will use to resolve function calls. Let's create a list of overloads that describe what our `pickCard` accepts and what it returns.



```
let suits = ["hearts", "spades", "clubs", "diamonds"];

function pickCard(x: {suit: string; card: number; }[]): number;
function pickCard(x: number): {suit: string; card: number; };
function pickCard(x): any {
    // Check to see if we're working with an object/array
    // if so, they gave us the deck and we'll pick the card
    if (typeof x == "object") {
        let pickedCard = Math.floor(Math.random() * x.length);
        return pickedCard;
    }
    // Otherwise just let them pick the card
    else if (typeof x == "number") {
        let pickedSuit = Math.floor(x / 13);
        return { suit: suits[pickedSuit], card: x % 13 };
    }
}

let myDeck = [{ suit: "diamonds", card: 2 }, { suit: "spades", card: 10 }, { suit: "heart
let pickedCard1 = myDeck[pickCard(myDeck)];
alert("card: " + pickedCard1.card + " of " + pickedCard1.suit);

let pickedCard2 = pickCard(15);
alert("card: " + pickedCard2.card + " of " + pickedCard2.suit);
```

With this change, the overloads now give us type-checked calls to the `pickCard` function.

In order for the compiler to pick the correct typecheck, it follows a similar process to the underlying JavaScript. It looks at the overload list, and proceeding with the first overload attempts to call the function with the provided parameters. If it finds a match, it picks this overload as the correct overload. For this reason, it's customary to order overloads from most specific to least specific.

Note that the `function pickCard(x): any` piece is not part of the overload list, so it only has two overloads: one that takes an object and one that takes a number. Calling `pickCard` with any other parameter types would cause an error.

# Introduction

A major part of software engineering is building components that not only have well-defined and consistent APIs, but are also reusable. Components that are capable of working on the data of today as well as the data of tomorrow will give you the most flexible capabilities for building up large software systems.

In languages like C# and Java, one of the main tools in the toolbox for creating reusable components is 'generics', that is, being able to create a component that can work over a variety of types rather than a single one. This allows users to consume these components and use their own types.

## Hello World of Generics

To start off, let's do the "hello world" of generics: the identity function. The identity function is a function that will return back whatever is passed in. You can think of this in a similar way to the 'echo' command.

Without generics, we would either have to give the identity function a specific type:

```
function identity(arg: number): number {  
    return arg;  
}
```

Or, we could describe the identity function using the `any` type:

```
function identity(arg: any): any {  
    return arg;  
}
```

While using `any` is certainly generic in that will accept any and all types for the type of `arg`, we actually are losing the information about what that type was when the function returns. If we passed in a number, the only information we have is that any type could be returned.

Instead, we need a way of capturing the type of the argument in such a way that we can also use it to denote what is being returned. Here, we will use a *type variable*, a special kind of variable that works on types rather than values.

```
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}
```

We've now added a type variable `T` to the identity function. This `T` allows us to capture the type the user provides (e.g. `number`), so that we can use that information later. Here, we use `T` again as the return type. On inspection, we can now see the same type is used for the argument and the return type. This allows us to traffic that type information in one side of the function and out the other.

We say that this version of the `identity` function is generic, as it works over a range of types. Unlike using `any`, it's also just as precise (ie, it doesn't lose any information) as the first `identity` function that used numbers for the argument and return type.

Once we've written the generic identity function, we can call it in one of two ways. The first way is to pass all of the arguments, including the type argument, to the function:

```
let output = identity<string>("myString"); // type of output will be 'string'
```

Here we explicitly set `T` to be `string` as one of the arguments to the function call, denoted using the `<>` around the arguments rather than `()`.

The second way is also perhaps the most common. Here we use *type argument inference*, that is, we want the compiler to set the value of `T` for us automatically based on the type of the argument we pass in:

```
let output = identity("myString"); // type of output will be 'string'
```

Notice that we didn't have explicitly pass the type in the angle brackets (`<>`), the compiler just looked at the value `"myString"`, and set `T` to its type. While type argument inference can be a helpful tool to keep code shorter and more readable, you may need to explicitly pass in the type arguments as we did in the previous example when the compiler fails to infer the type, as may happen in more complex examples.

## Working with Generic Type Variables

When you begin to use generics, you'll notice that when you create generic functions like `identity`, the compiler will enforce that you use any generically typed parameters in the body of the function correctly. That is, that you actually treat these parameters as if they could be any and all types.

Let's take our `identity` function from earlier:

```
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}
```

What if we want to also log the length of the argument `arg` to the console with each call? We might be tempted to write this:

```
function loggingIdentity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    console.log(arg.length); // Error: T doesn't have .length  
    return arg;  
}
```

When we do, the compiler will give us an error that we're using the `.length` member of `arg`, but nowhere have we said that `arg` has this member. Remember, we said earlier that these type variables stand in for any and all types, so someone using this function could have passed in a `number` instead, which does not have a `.length` member.

Let's say that we've actually intended this function to work on arrays of `T` rather than `T` directly. Since we're working with arrays, the `.length` member should be available. We can describe this just like we would create arrays of other types:

```
function loggingIdentity<T>(arg: T[]): T[] {  
    console.log(arg.length); // Array has a .length, so no more error  
    return arg;  
}
```

You can read the type of `loggingIdentity` as "the generic function `loggingIdentity` takes a type parameter `T`, and an argument `arg` which is an array of `T`s, and returns an array of `T`s." If we passed in an array of numbers, we'd get an array of numbers back out, as `T` would bind to `number`. This allows us to use our generic type variable `T` as part of the types we're working with, rather than the whole type, giving us greater flexibility.

We can alternatively write the sample example this way:

```
function loggingIdentity<T>(arg: Array<T>): Array<T> {  
    console.log(arg.length); // Array has a .length, so no more error  
    return arg;  
}
```

You may already be familiar with this style of type from other languages. In the next section, we'll cover how you can create your own generic types like `Array<T>`.

# Generic Types

In previous sections, we created generic identity functions that worked over a range of types. In this section, we'll explore the type of the functions themselves and how to create generic interfaces.

The type of generic functions is just like those of non-generic functions, with the type parameters listed first, similarly to function declarations:

```
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}  
  
let myIdentity: <T>(arg: T) => T = identity;
```

We could also have used a different name for the generic type parameter in the type, so long as the number of type variables and how the type variables are used line up.

```
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}  
  
let myIdentity: <U>(arg: U) => U = identity;
```

We can also write the generic type as a call signature of an object literal type:

```
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}  
  
let myIdentity: {<T>(arg: T): T} = identity;
```

Which leads us to writing our first generic interface. Let's take the object literal from the previous example and move it to an interface:

```
interface GenericIdentityFn {  
    <T>(arg: T): T;  
}  
  
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}  
  
let myIdentity: GenericIdentityFn = identity;
```

In a similar example, we may want to move the generic parameter to be a parameter of the whole interface. This lets us see what type(s) we're generic over (e.g. `Dictionary<string>` rather than just `Dictionary`). This makes the type parameter visible to all the other members of the interface.

```
interface GenericIdentityFn<T> {  
    (arg: T): T;  
}  
  
function identity<T>(arg: T): T {  
    return arg;  
}  
  
let myIdentity: GenericIdentityFn<number> = identity;
```

Notice that our example has changed to be something slightly different. Instead of describing a generic function, we now have a non-generic function signature that is a part of a generic type. When we use `GenericIdentityFn`, we now will also need to specify the corresponding type argument (here: `number`), effectively locking in what the underlying call signature will use. Understanding when to put the type parameter directly on the call signature and when to put it on the interface itself will be helpful in describing what aspects of a type are generic.

In addition to generic interfaces, we can also create generic classes. Note that it is not possible to create generic enums and namespaces.

## Generic Classes

A generic class has a similar shape to a generic interface. Generic classes have a generic type parameter list in angle brackets ( `<>` ) following the name of the class.

```
class GenericNumber<T> {  
    zeroValue: T;  
    add: (x: T, y: T) => T;  
}  
  
let myGenericNumber = new GenericNumber<number>();  
myGenericNumber.zeroValue = 0;  
myGenericNumber.add = function(x, y) { return x + y; };
```

This is a pretty literal use of the `GenericNumber` class, but you may have noticed that nothing is restricting is to only use the `number` type. We could have instead used `string` or even more complex objects.

```
let stringNumeric = new GenericNumber<string>();
stringNumeric.zeroValue = "";
stringNumeric.add = function(x, y) { return x + y; };

alert(stringNumeric.add(stringNumeric.zeroValue, "test"));
```

Just as with interface, putting the type parameter on the class itself lets us make sure all of the properties of the class are working with the same type.

As we covered in [Classes|Classes in TypeScript], a class has two side to its type: the static side and the instance side. Generic classes are only generic over their instance side rather than their static side, so when working with classes, static members can not use the class's type parameter.

## Generic Constraints

If you remember from an earlier example, you may sometimes want to write a generic function that works on a set of types where you have some knowledge about what capabilities that set of types will have. In our `loggingIdentity` example, we wanted to be able access the `.length` property of `arg`, but the compiler could not prove that every type had a `.length` property, so it warns us that we can't make this assumption.

```
function loggingIdentity<T>(arg: T): T {
    console.log(arg.length); // Error: T doesn't have .length
    return arg;
}
```

Instead of working with any and all types, we'd like to constrain this function to work with any and all types that also have the `.length` property. As long as the type has this member, we'll allow it, but it's required to have at least this member. To do so, we must list our requirement as a constraint on what T can be.

To do so, we'll create an interface that describes our constraint. Here, we'll create an interface that has a single `.length` property and then we'll use this interface and the `extends` keyword to denote our constraint:

```
interface Lengthwise {  
    length: number;  
}  
  
function loggingIdentity<T extends Lengthwise>(arg: T): T {  
    console.log(arg.length); // Now we know it has a .length property, so no more error  
    return arg;  
}
```

Because the generic function is now constrained, it will no longer work over any and all types:

```
loggingIdentity(3); // Error, number doesn't have a .length property
```

Instead, we need to pass in values whose type has all the required properties:

```
loggingIdentity({length: 10, value: 3});
```

## Using Type Parameters in Generic Constraints

In some cases, it may be useful to declare a type parameter that is constrained by another type parameter. For example,

```
function find<T, U extends Findable<T>>(n: T, s: U) { // errors because type parameter  
    // ...  
}  
find(giraffe, myAnimals);
```

You can achieve the pattern above by replacing the type parameter with its constraint. Rewriting the example above,

```
function find<T>(n: T, s: Findable<T>) {  
    // ...  
}  
find(giraffe, myAnimals);
```

*Note:* The above is not strictly identical, as the return type of the first function could have returned `U`, which the second function pattern does not provide a means to do.



## Using Class Types in Generics

When creating factories in TypeScript using generics, it is necessary to refer to class types by their constructor functions. For example,

```
function create<T>(c: {new(): T; }): T {  
    return new c();  
}
```

A more advanced example uses the prototype property to infer and constrain relationships between the constructor function and the instance side of class types.

```
class BeeKeeper {  
    hasMask: boolean;  
}  
  
class ZooKeeper {  
    nametag: string;  
}  
  
class Animal {  
    numLegs: number;  
}  
  
class Bee extends Animal {  
    keeper: BeeKeeper;  
}  
  
class Lion extends Animal {  
    keeper: ZooKeeper;  
}  
  
function findKeeper<A extends Animal, K> (a: {new(): A;  
    prototype: {keeper: K}}): K {  
  
    return a.prototype.keeper;  
}  
  
findKeeper(Lion).nametag; // typechecks!
```

# Browserify

## Install

```
npm install tsify
```

## Using Command Line Interface

```
browserify main.ts -p [ tsify --noImplicitAny ] > bundle.js
```

## Using API

```
var browserify = require("browserify");
var tsify = require("tsify");

browserify()
  .add('main.ts')
  .plugin('tsify', { noImplicitAny: true })
  .bundle()
  .pipe(process.stdout);
```

More details: [smrq/tsify](#)

# Duo

## Install

```
npm install duo-typescript
```

## Using Command Line Interface

```
duo --use duo-typescript entry.ts
```

## Using API

```
var Duo = require('duo');
var fs = require('fs')
var path = require('path')
var typescript = require('duo-typescript');

var out = path.join(__dirname, "output.js")

Duo(__dirname)
  .entry('entry.ts')
  .use(typescript())
  .run(function (err, results) {
    if (err) throw err;
    // Write compiled result to output file
    fs.writeFileSync(out, results.code);
  });
```

More details: [frankwallis/duo-typescript](https://github.com/frankwallis/duo-typescript)

# Grunt

## Install

```
npm install grunt-ts
```

## Basic Gruntfile.js

```
module.exports = function(grunt) {
  grunt.initConfig({
    ts: {
      default : {
        src: ["**/*.ts", "!node_modules/**/*.ts"]
      }
    }
  });
  grunt.loadNpmTasks("grunt-ts");
  grunt.registerTask("default", ["ts"]);
};
```

More details: [TypeStrong/grunt-ts](https://github.com/TypeStrong/grunt-ts)

# gulp

## Install

```
npm install gulp-typescript
```

## Basic gulpfile.js

```
var gulp = require("gulp");
var ts = require("gulp-typescript");

gulp.task("default", function () {
  var tsResult = gulp.src("src/*.ts")
    .pipe(ts({
      noImplicitAny: true,
      out: "output.js"
    }));
  return tsResult.js.pipe(gulp.dest('built/local'));
});
```

More details: [ivogabe/gulp-typescript](https://github.com/vogabe/gulp-typescript)

## jspm

### Install

```
npm install -g jspm@beta
```

*Note: Currently TypeScript support in jspm is in 0.16beta*

More details: [TypeScriptSamples/jspm](https://github.com/TypeScriptSamples/jspm)

## webpack

### Install

```
npm install awesome-typescript-loader --save-dev
```

## Basic webpack.config.js

```
module.exports = {  
  
  // Currently we need to add '.ts' to resolve.extensions array.  
  resolve: {  
    extensions: ['', '.ts', '.webpack.js', '.web.js', '.js']  
  },  
  
  // Source maps support (or 'inline-source-map' also works)  
  devtool: 'source-map',  
  
  // Add loader for .ts files.  
  module: {  
    loaders: [  
      {  
        test: /\.ts$/,  
        loader: 'awesome-typescript-loader'  
      }  
    ]  
  }  
};
```

More details: [s-panferov/awesome-typescript-loader](https://github.com/s-panferov/awesome-typescript-loader)

# Introduction

One of TypeScript's core principles is that type-checking focuses on the *shape* that values have. This is sometimes called "duck typing" or "structural subtyping". In TypeScript, interfaces fill the role of naming these types, and are a powerful way of defining contracts within your code as well as contracts with code outside of your project.

## Our First Interface

The easiest way to see how interfaces work is to start with a simple example:

```
function printLabel(labelledObj: {label: string}) {  
    console.log(labelledObj.label);  
}  
  
let myObj = {size: 10, label: "Size 10 Object"};  
printLabel(myObj);
```

The type-checker checks the call to `printLabel`. The `printLabel` function has a single parameter that requires that the object passed in has a property called `label` of type `string`. Notice that our object actually has more properties than this, but the compiler only checks that *at least* the ones required are present and match the types required.

We can write the same example again, this time using an interface to describe the requirement of having the `label` property that is a string:

```
interface LabelledValue {  
    label: string;  
}  
  
function printLabel(labelledObj: LabelledValue) {  
    console.log(labelledObj.label);  
}  
  
let myObj = {size: 10, label: "Size 10 Object"};  
printLabel(myObj);
```

The interface `LabelledValue` is a name we can now use to describe the requirement in the previous example. It still represents having a single property called `label` that is of type `string`. Notice we didn't have to explicitly say that the object we pass to `printLabel`

implements this interface like we might have to in other languages. Here, it's only the shape that matters. If the object we pass to the function meets the requirements listed, then it's allowed.

It's worth pointing out that the type-checker does not require that these properties come in any sort of order, only that the properties the interface requires are present and have the required type.

## Optional Properties

Not all properties of an interface may be required. Some exist under certain conditions or may not be there at all. These optional properties are popular when creating patterns like "option bags" where you pass an object to a function that only has a couple of properties filled in.

Here's an example of this pattern:

```
interface SquareConfig {
  color?: string;
  width?: number;
}

function createSquare(config: SquareConfig): {color: string; area: number} {
  let newSquare = {color: "white", area: 100};
  if (config.color) {
    newSquare.color = config.color;
  }
  if (config.width) {
    newSquare.area = config.width * config.width;
  }
  return newSquare;
}

let mySquare = createSquare({color: "black"});
```

Interfaces with optional properties are written similar to other interfaces, with each optional property denoted by a `?` at the end of the property name in the declaration.

The advantage of optional properties is that you can describe these possibly available properties while still also preventing use of properties that are not part of the interface. For example, had we mistyped the name of the `color` property in `createSquare`, we would get an error message letting us know:

```
interface SquareConfig {
  color?: string;
  width?: number;
}

function createSquare(config: SquareConfig): {color: string; area: number} {
  let newSquare = {color: "white", area: 100};
  if (config.color) {
    // Error: Property 'collor' does not exist on type 'SquareConfig'
    newSquare.color = config.collor;
  }
  if (config.width) {
    newSquare.area = config.width * config.width;
  }
  return newSquare;
}

let mySquare = createSquare({color: "black"});
```

## Function Types

Interfaces are capable of describing the wide range of shapes that JavaScript objects can take. In addition to describing an object with properties, interfaces are also capable of describing function types.

To describe a function type with an interface, we give the interface a call signature. This is like a function declaration with only the parameter list and return type given. Each parameter in the parameter list requires both name and type.

```
interface SearchFunc {
  (source: string, subString: string): boolean;
}
```

Once defined, we can use this function type interface like we would other interfaces. Here, we show how you can create a variable of a function type and assign it a function value of the same type.



```
let mySearch: SearchFunc;
mySearch = function(source: string, subString: string) {
    let result = source.search(subString);
    if (result == -1) {
        return false;
    }
    else {
        return true;
    }
}
```

For function types to correctly type-check, the name of the parameters do not need to match. We could have, for example, written the above example like this:

```
let mySearch: SearchFunc;
mySearch = function(src: string, sub: string): boolean {
    let result = src.search(sub);
    if (result == -1) {
        return false;
    }
    else {
        return true;
    }
}
```

Function parameters are checked one at a time, with the type in each corresponding parameter position checked against each other. If you do not want to specify types at all, Typescript's contextual typing can infer the argument types since the function value is assigned directly to a variable of type `SearchFunc`. Here, also, the return type of our function expression is implied by the values it returns (here `false` and `true`). Had the function expression returned numbers or strings, the type-checker would have warned us that return type doesn't match the return type described in the `SearchFunc` interface.

```
let mySearch: SearchFunc;
mySearch = function(src, sub) {
    let result = src.search(sub);
    if (result == -1) {
        return false;
    }
    else {
        return true;
    }
}
```

# Array Types

Similarly to how we can use interfaces to describe function types, we can also describe array types. Array types have an `index` type that describes the types allowed to index the object, along with the corresponding return type for accessing the index.

```
interface StringArray {  
    [index: number]: string;  
}  
  
let myArray: StringArray;  
myArray = ["Bob", "Fred"];
```

There are two types of supported index types: string and number. It is possible to support both types of indexers, with the restriction that the type returned from the numeric indexer must be a subtype of the type returned from the string indexer.

While string index signatures are a powerful way to describe the "dictionary" pattern, they also enforce that all properties match their return type. This is because a string index declares that `obj.property` is also available as `obj["property"]`. In this example, `name`'s type does not match the string index's type, and the type-checker gives an error:

```
interface NumberDictionary {  
    [index: string]: number;  
    length: number;    // ok, length is a number  
    name: string;      // error, the type of 'name' is not a subtype of the indexer  
}
```

## Class Types

### Implementing an interface

One of the most common uses of interfaces in languages like C# and Java, that of explicitly enforcing that a class meets a particular contract, is also possible in TypeScript.

```
interface ClockInterface {  
    currentTime: Date;  
}  
  
class Clock implements ClockInterface {  
    currentTime: Date;  
    constructor(h: number, m: number) { }  
}
```

You can also describe methods in an interface that are implemented in the class, as we do with `setTime` in the below example:

```
interface ClockInterface {  
    currentTime: Date;  
    setTime(d: Date);  
}  
  
class Clock implements ClockInterface {  
    currentTime: Date;  
    setTime(d: Date) {  
        this.currentTime = d;  
    }  
    constructor(h: number, m: number) { }  
}
```

Interfaces describe the public side of the class, rather than both the public and private side. This prohibits you from using them to check that a class also has particular types for the private side of the class instance.

## Difference between the static and instance sides of classes

When working with classes and interfaces, it helps to keep in mind that a class has *two* types: the type of the static side and the type of the instance side. You may notice that if you create an interface with a construct signature and try to create a class that implements this interface you get an error:

```
interface ClockConstructor {  
    new (hour: number, minute: number);  
}  
  
class Clock implements ClockConstructor {  
    currentTime: Date;  
    constructor(h: number, m: number) { }  
}
```

This is because when a class implements an interface, only the instance side of the class is checked. Since the constructor sits in the static side, it is not included in this check.

Instead, you would need to work with the static side of the class directly. In this example, we define two interfaces, `ClockConstructor` for the constructor and `ClockInterface` for the instance methods. Then for convenience we define a constructor function `createClock` that creates instances of the type that is passed to it.

```
interface ClockConstructor {  
    new (hour: number, minute: number): ClockInterface;  
}  
  
interface ClockInterface {  
    tick();  
}  
  
function createClock(ctor: ClockConstructor, hour: number, minute: number): ClockInterface {  
    return new ctor(hour, minute);  
}  
  
class DigitalClock implements ClockInterface {  
    constructor(h: number, m: number) { }  
    tick() {  
        console.log("beep beep");  
    }  
}  
  
class AnalogClock implements ClockInterface {  
    constructor(h: number, m: number) { }  
    tick() {  
        console.log("tick tock");  
    }  
}  
  
let digital = createClock(DigitalClock, 12, 17);  
let analog = createClock(AnalogClock, 7, 32);
```

Because `createClock`'s first parameter is of type `ClockConstructor`, in `createClock(AnalogClock, 12, 17)`, it checks that `AnalogClock` has the correct constructor signature.

# Extending Interfaces

Like classes, interfaces can extend each other. This allows you to copy the members of one interface into another, which gives you more flexibility in how you separate your interfaces into reusable components.

```
interface Shape {
  color: string;
}

interface Square extends Shape {
  sideLength: number;
}

let square = <Square>{};
square.color = "blue";
square.sideLength = 10;
```

An interface can extend multiple interfaces, creating a combination of all of the interfaces.

```
interface Shape {
  color: string;
}

interface PenStroke {
  penWidth: number;
}

interface Square extends Shape, PenStroke {
  sideLength: number;
}

let square = <Square>{};
square.color = "blue";
square.sideLength = 10;
square.penWidth = 5.0;
```

# Hybrid Types

As we mentioned earlier, interfaces can describe the rich types present in real world JavaScript. Because of JavaScript's dynamic and flexible nature, you may occasionally encounter an object that works as a combination of some of the types described above.

One such example is an object that acts as both a function and an object, with additional properties:

```
interface Counter {
  (start: number): string;
  interval: number;
  reset(): void;
}

function getCounter(): Counter {
  let counter = <Counter>function (start: number) { };
  counter.interval = 123;
  counter.reset = function () { };
  return counter;
}

let c = getCounter();
c(10);
c.reset();
c.interval = 5.0;
```

When interacting with 3rd-party JavaScript, you may need to use patterns like the above to fully describe the shape of the type.

## Interfaces Extending Classes

When an interface type extends a class type it inherits the members of the class but not their implementations. It is as if the interface had declared all of the members of the class without providing an implementation. Interfaces inherit even the private and protected members of a base class. This means that when you create an interface that extends a class with private or protected members, that interface type can only be implemented by that class or a subclass of it.

This is useful when you have a large inheritance hierarchy, but want to specify that your code works with only subclasses that have certain properties. The subclasses don't have to be related besides inheriting from the base class. For example:

```
class Control {  
    private state: any;  
}  
  
interface SelectableControl extends Control {  
    select(): void;  
}  
  
class Button extends Control {  
    select() { }  
}  
  
class TextBox extends Control {  
    select() { }  
}  
  
class Image extends Control {  
}  
  
class Location {  
    select() { }  
}
```

In the above example, 'SelectableControl' contains all of the members of 'Control', including the private 'state' property. Since 'state' is a private member it is only possible for descendants of 'Control' to implement 'SelectableControl'. This is because only descendants of 'Control' will have a 'state' private member that originates in the same declaration, which is a requirement for private members to be compatible.

Within the 'Control' class it is possible to access the 'state' private member through an instance of 'SelectableControl'. Effectively, a 'SelectableControl' acts like a 'Control' that is known to have a 'select' method. The 'Button' and 'TextBox' classes are subtypes of 'SelectableControl' (because they both inherit from 'Control' and have a 'select' method), but the 'Image' and 'Location' classes are not.

# Iterables

An object is deemed iterable if it has an implementation for the `Symbol.iterator` property. Some built-in types like `Array`, `Map`, `Set`, `String`, `Int32Array`, `Uint32Array`, etc. have their `Symbol.iterator` property already implemented. `Symbol.iterator` function on an object is responsible for returning the list of values to iterate on.

## for..of statements

`for..of` loops over an iterable object, invoking the `Symbol.iterator` property on the object. Here is a simple `for..of` loop on an array:

```
let someArray = [1, "string", false];

for (let entry of someArray) {
  console.log(entry); // 1, "string", false
}
```

## for..of vs. for..in statements

Both `for..of` and `for..in` statements iterate over lists; the values iterated on are different though, `for..in` returns a list of *keys* on the object being iterated, whereas `for..of` returns a list of *values* of the numeric properties of the object being iterated.

Here is an example that demonstrates this distinction:

```
let list = [4, 5, 6];

for (let i in list) {
  console.log(i); // "0", "1", "2",
}

for (let i of list) {
  console.log(i); // "4", "5", "6"
```

Another distinction is that `for..in` operates on any object; it serves as a way to inspect properties on this object. `for..in` on the other hand, is mainly interested in values of iterable objects. Built-in objects like `Map` and `Set` implement `Symbol.iterator` property allowing access to stored values.



```
let pets = new Set(["Cat", "Dog", "Hamster"]);
pets["species"] = "mammals";

for (let pet in pets) {
  console.log(pet); // "species"
}

for (let pet of pets) {
  console.log(pet); // "Cat", "Dog", "Hamster"
}
```

## Code generation

### Targeting ES5 and ES3

When targeting an ES5 or ES3, iterators are only allowed on values of `Array` type. It is an error to use `for..of` loops on non-Array values, even if these non-Array values implement the `Symbol.iterator` property.

The compiler will generate a simple `for` loop for a `for..of` loop, for instance:

```
let numbers = [1, 2, 3];
for (let num of numbers) {
  console.log(num);
}
```

will be generated as:

```
var numbers = [1, 2, 3];
for (var _i = 0; _i < numbers.length; _i++) {
  var num = numbers[_i];
  console.log(num);
}
```

### Targeting ECMAScript 2015 and higher

When targeting an ECMAScript 2015-compliant engine, the compiler will generate `for..of` loops to target the built-in iterator implementation in the engine.

# Introduction

[JSX](#) is an embeddable XML-like syntax. It is meant to be transformed into valid JavaScript, though the semantics of that transformation are implementation-specific. JSX came to popularity with the [React](#) framework, but has since seen other applications as well. TypeScript supports embedding, type checking, and compiling JSX directly into JavaScript.

## Basic usage

In order to use JSX you must do two things.

1. Name your files with a `.tsx` extension
2. Enable the `jsx` option

TypeScript ships with two JSX modes: `preserve` and `react`. These modes only affect the emit stage - type checking is unaffected. The `preserve` mode will keep the JSX as part of the output to be further consumed by another transform step (e.g. [Babel](#)). Additionally the output will have a `.jsx` file extension. The `react` mode will emit `React.createElement`, does not need to go through a JSX transformation before use, and the output will have a `.js` file extension.

Mode	Input	Output	Output File Extension
<code>preserve</code>	<code>&lt;div /&gt;</code>	<code>&lt;div /&gt;</code>	<code>.jsx</code>
<code>react</code>	<code>&lt;div /&gt;</code>	<code>React.createElement("div")</code>	<code>.js</code>

You can specify this mode using either the `--jsx` command line flag or the corresponding option in your [tsconfig.json](#) file.

*Note: The identifier `React` is hard-coded, so you must make React available with an uppercase R.*

## The `as` operator

Recall how to write a type assertion:

```
var foo = <foo>bar;
```

Here we are asserting the variable `bar` to have the type `foo`. Since TypeScript also uses angle brackets for type assertions, JSX's syntax introduces certain parsing difficulties. As a result, TypeScript disallows angle bracket type assertions in `.tsx` files.

To make up for this loss of functionality in `.tsx` files, a new type assertion operator has been added: `as`. The above example can easily be rewritten with the `as` operator.

```
var foo = bar as foo;
```

The `as` operator is available in both `.ts` and `.tsx` files, and is identical in behavior to the other type assertion style.

## Type Checking

In order to understand type checking with JSX, you must first understand the difference between intrinsic elements value-based elements. Given a JSX expression `<expr />`, `expr` may either refer to something intrinsic to the environment (e.g. a `div` or `span` in a DOM environment) or to a custom component that you've created. This is important for two reasons:

1. For React, intrinsic elements are emitted as strings ( `React.createElement("div")` ), whereas a component you've created is not ( `React.createElement(MyComponent)` ).
2. The types of the attributes being passed in the JSX element should be looked up differently. Intrinsic element attributes should be known *intrinsically* whereas components will likely want to specify their own set of attributes.

TypeScript uses the [same convention that React does](#) for distinguishing between these. An intrinsic element always begins with a lowercase letter, and a value-based element always begins with an uppercase letter.

## Intrinsic elements

Intrinsic elements are looked up on the special interface `JSX.IntrinsicElements`. By default, if this interface is not specified, then anything goes and intrinsic elements will not be type checked. However, if interface *is* present, then the name of the intrinsic element is looked up as a property on the `JSX.IntrinsicElements` interface. For example:

```
declare namespace JSX {  
  interface IntrinsicElements {  
    foo: any  
  }  
}  
  
<foo />; // ok  
<bar />; // error
```

In the above example, `<foo />` will work fine but `<bar />` will result in an error since it has not been specified on `JSX.IntrinsicElements`.

Note: You can also specify a catch-all string indexer on `JSX.IntrinsicElements` as follows:

```
declare namespace JSX {  
  interface IntrinsicElements {  
    [elemName: string]: any;  
  }  
}
```

## Value-based elements

Value based elements are simply looked up by identifiers that are in scope.

```
import MyComponent from "./myComponent";  
  
<MyComponent />; // ok  
<SomeOtherComponent />; // error
```

It is possible to limit the type of a value-based element. However, for this we must introduce two new terms: the *element class type* and the *element instance type*.

Given `<Expr />`, the *element class type* is the type of `Expr`. So in the example above, if `MyComponent` was an ES6 class the class type would be that class. If `MyComponent` was a factory function, the class type would be that function.

Once the class type is established, the instance type is determined by the union of the return types of the class type's call signatures and construct signatures. So again, in the case of an ES6 class, the instance type would be the type of an instance of that class, and in the case of a factory function, it would be the type of the value returned from the function.

```
class MyComponent {
  render() {}
}

// use a construct signature
var myComponent = new MyComponent();

// element class type => MyComponent
// element instance type => { render: () => void }

function MyFactoryFunction() {
  return {
    render: () => {
    }
  }
}

// use a call signature
var myComponent = MyFactoryFunction();

// element class type => FactoryFunction
// element instance type => { render: () => void }
```

The element instance type is interesting because it must be assignable to `JSX.ElementClass` or it will result in an error. By default `JSX.ElementClass` is `{}`, but it can be augmented to limit the use of JSX to only those types that conform to the proper interface.

```
declare namespace JSX {
  interface ElementClass {
    render: any;
  }
}

class MyComponent {
  render() {}
}

function MyFactoryFunction() {
  return { render: () => {} }
}

<MyComponent />; // ok
<MyFactoryFunction />; // ok

class NotAValidComponent {}
function NotAValidFactoryFunction() {
  return {};
}

<NotAValidComponent />; // error
<NotAValidFactoryFunction />; // error
```

## Attribute type checking

The first step to type checking attributes is to determine the *element attributes type*. This is slightly different between intrinsic and value-based elements.

For intrinsic elements, it is the type of the property on `JSX.IntrinsicElements`

```
declare namespace JSX {
  interface IntrinsicElements {
    foo: { bar?: boolean }
  }
}

// element attributes type for `foo` is `{bar?: boolean}`
<foo bar />;
```

For value-based elements, it is a bit more complex. It is determined by the type of a property on the *element instance type* that was previously determined. Which property to use is determined by `JSX.ElementAttributesProperty`. It should be declared with a single property. The name of that property is then used.

```
declare namespace JSX {  
  interface ElementAttributesProperty {  
    props; // specify the property name to use  
  }  
}  
  
class MyComponent {  
  // specify the property on the element instance type  
  props: {  
    foo?: string;  
  }  
}  
  
// element attributes type for `MyComponent` is `{foo?: string}`  
<MyComponent foo="bar" />
```

The element attribute type is used to type check the attributes in the JSX. Optional and required properties are supported.

```
declare namespace JSX {  
  interface IntrinsicElements {  
    foo: { requiredProp: string; optionalProp?: number }  
  }  
}  
  
<foo requiredProp="bar" />; // ok  
<foo requiredProp="bar" optionalProp={0} />; // ok  
<foo />; // error, requiredProp is missing  
<foo requiredProp={0} />; // error, requiredProp should be a string  
<foo requiredProp="bar" unknownProp />; // error, unknownProp does not exist  
<foo requiredProp="bar" some-unknown-prop />; // ok, because `some-unknown-prop` is not a
```

Note: If an attribute name is not a valid JS identifier (like a `data-*` attribute), it is not considered to be an error if it is not found in the element attributes type.

The spread operator also works:

```
var props = { requiredProp: 'bar' };  
; // ok  
  
var badProps = {};  
; // error
```

## The JSX result type

By default the result of a JSX expression is typed as `any`. You can customize the type by specifying the `JSX.Element` interface. However, it is not possible to retrieve type information about the element, attributes or children of the JSX from this interface. It is a black box.

## Embedding Expressions

JSX allows you to embed expressions between tags by surrounding the expressions with curly braces ( `{ }` ).

```
var a =  
  
  {['foo', 'bar'].map(i => {i / 2})}
```

The above code will result in an error since you cannot divide a string by a number. The output, when using the `preserve` option, looks like:

```
var a =  
  
  {['foo', 'bar'].map(function (i) { return {i / 2}; })}
```

## React integration

To use JSX with React you should use the [React typings](#). These typings define the `jsx` namespace appropriately for use with React.

```
/// <reference path="react.d.ts" />  
  
interface Props {  
  foo: string;  
}  
  
class MyComponent extends React.Component<Props, {}> {  
  render() {  
    return <span>{this.props.foo}</span>  
  }  
}  
  
<MyComponent foo="bar" />; // ok  
<MyComponent foo={0} />; // error
```



# Introduction

Along with traditional OO hierarchies, another popular way of building up classes from reusable components is to build them by combining simpler partial classes. You may be familiar with the idea of mixins or traits for languages like Scala, and the pattern has also reached some popularity in the JavaScript community.

## Mixin sample

In the code below, we show how you can model mixins in TypeScript. After the code, we'll break down how it works.

```
// Disposable Mixin
class Disposable {
  isDisposed: boolean;
  dispose() {
    this.isDisposed = true;
  }
}

// Activatable Mixin
class Activatable {
  isActive: boolean;
  activate() {
    this.isActive = true;
  }
  deactivate() {
    this.isActive = false;
  }
}

class SmartObject implements Disposable, Activatable {
  constructor() {
    setInterval(() => console.log(this.isActive + " : " + this.isDisposed), 500);
  }

  interact() {
    this.activate();
  }

  // Disposable
  isDisposed: boolean = false;
  dispose: () => void;
  // Activatable
```

```

    isActive: boolean = false;
    activate: () => void;
    deactivate: () => void;
  }
  applyMixins(SmartObject, [Disposable, Activatable]);

  let smartObj = new SmartObject();
  setTimeout(() => smartObj.interact(), 1000);

  //////////////////////////////////////////////////
  // In your runtime library somewhere
  //////////////////////////////////////////////////

  function applyMixins(derivedCtor: any, baseCtors: any[]) {
    baseCtors.forEach(baseCtor => {
      Object.getOwnPropertyNames(baseCtor.prototype).forEach(name => {
        derivedCtor.prototype[name] = baseCtor.prototype[name];
      });
    });
  };
}

```

## Understanding the sample

The code sample starts with the two classes that will act as our mixins. You can see each one is focused on a particular activity or capability. We'll later mix these together to form a new class from both capabilities.

```

// Disposable Mixin
class Disposable {
  isDisposed: boolean;
  dispose() {
    this.isDisposed = true;
  }
}

// Activatable Mixin
class Activatable {
  isActive: boolean;
  activate() {
    this.isActive = true;
  }
  deactivate() {
    this.isActive = false;
  }
}

```

Next, we'll create the class that will handle the combination of the two mixins. Let's look at this in more detail to see how it does this:

```
class SmartObject implements Disposable, Activatable {
```

The first thing you may notice in the above is that instead of using `extends`, we use `implements`. This treats the classes as interfaces, and only uses the types behind `Disposable` and `Activatable` rather than the implementation. This means that we'll have to provide the implementation in class. Except, that's exactly what we want to avoid by using mixins.

To satisfy this requirement, we create stand-in properties and their types for the members that will come from our mixins. This satisfies the compiler that these members will be available at runtime. This lets us still get the benefit of the mixins, albeit with some bookkeeping overhead.

```
// Disposable
isDisposed: boolean = false;
dispose: () => void;
// Activatable
isActive: boolean = false;
activate: () => void;
deactivate: () => void;
```

Finally, we mix our mixins into the class, creating the full implementation.

```
applyMixins(SmartObject, [Disposable, Activatable]);
```

Lastly, we create a helper function that will do the mixing for us. This will run through the properties of each of the mixins and copy them over to the target of the mixins, filling out the stand-in properties with their implementations.

```
function applyMixins(derivedCtor: any, baseCtors: any[]) {
  baseCtors.forEach(baseCtor => {
    Object.getOwnPropertyNames(baseCtor.prototype).forEach(name => {
      derivedCtor.prototype[name] = baseCtor.prototype[name];
    });
  });
}
```

**A note about terminology:** It's important to note that in TypeScript 1.5, the nomenclature has changed. "Internal modules" are now "namespaces". "External modules" are now simply "modules", as to align with [ECMAScript 2015](#)'s terminology, (namely that `module X {` is equivalent to the now-preferred `namespace X {`).

## Introduction

Starting with the ECMAScript 2015, JavaScript has a concept of modules. TypeScript shares this concept.

Modules are executed within their own scope, not in the global scope; this means that variables, functions, classes, etc. declared in a module are not visible outside the module unless they are explicitly exported using one of the `export` forms. Conversely, to consume a variable, function, class, interface, etc. exported from a different module, it has to be imported using one of the `import` forms.

Modules are declarative; the relationships between modules are specified in terms of imports and exports at the file level.

Modules import one another using a module loader. At runtime the module loader is responsible for locating and executing all dependencies of a module before executing it. Well-known modules loaders used in JavaScript are the [CommonJS](#) module loader for Node.js and [require.js](#) for Web applications.

In TypeScript, just as in ECMAScript 2015, any file containing a top-level `import` or `export` is considered a module.

## Export

### Exporting a declaration

Any declaration (such as a variable, function, class, type alias, or interface) can be exported by adding the `export` keyword.

#### Validation.ts

```
export interface StringValidator {  
    isAcceptable(s: string): boolean;  
}
```

## ZipCodeValidator.ts

```
export const numberRegex = /^[0-9]+$/;

export class ZipCodeValidator implements StringValidator {
  isAcceptable(s: string) {
    return s.length === 5 && numberRegex.test(s);
  }
}
```

## Export statements

Export statements are handy when exports need to be renamed for consumers, so the above example can be written as:

```
class ZipCodeValidator implements StringValidator {
  isAcceptable(s: string) {
    return s.length === 5 && numberRegex.test(s);
  }
}

export { ZipCodeValidator };
export { ZipCodeValidator as mainValidator };
```

## Re-exports

Often modules extend other modules, and partially expose some of their features. A re-export does not import it locally, or introduce a local variable.

## ParseIntBasedZipCodeValidator.ts

```
export class ParseIntBasedZipCodeValidator {
  isAcceptable(s: string) {
    return s.length === 5 && parseInt(s).toString() === s;
  }
}

// Export original validator but rename it
export { ZipCodeValidator as RegExpBasedZipCodeValidator } from "./ZipCodeValidator";
```

Optionally, a module can wrap one or more modules and combine all their exports using

```
export * from "module" syntax.
```

## AllValidators.ts

```
export * from "./StringValidator"; // exports interface StringValidator
export * from "./LettersOnlyValidator"; // exports class LettersOnlyValidator
export * from "./ZipCodeValidator"; // exports class ZipCodeValidator
```

## Import

Importing is just about as easy as exporting from an module. Importing an exported declaration is done through using one of the `import` forms below:

### Import a single export from a module

```
import { ZipCodeValidator } from "./ZipCodeValidator";

let myValidator = new ZipCodeValidator();
```

imports can also be renamed

```
import { ZipCodeValidator as ZCV } from "./ZipCodeValidator";
let myValidator = new ZCV();
```

### Import the entire module into a single variable, and use it to access the module exports

```
import * as validator from "./ZipCodeValidator";
let myValidator = new validator.ZipCodeValidator();
```

### Import a module for side-effects only

Though not recommended practice, some modules set up some global state that can be used by other modules. These modules may not have any exports, or the consumer is not interested in any of their exports. To import these modules, use:

```
import "./my-module.js";
```

# Default exports

Each module can optionally export a `default` export. Default exports are marked with the keyword `default` ; and there can only be one `default` export per module. `default` exports are imported using a different import form.

`default` exports are really handy. For instance, a library like JQuery might have a default export of `jQuery` or `$` , which we'd probably also import under the name `$` or `jQuery` .

## JQuery.d.ts

```
declare let $: JQuery;
export default $;
```

## App.ts

```
import $ from "jQuery";

$("button.continue").html( "Next Step..." );
```

Classes and function declarations can be authored directly as default exports. Default export class and function declaration names are optional.

## ZipCodeValidator.ts

```
export default class ZipCodeValidator {
    static numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;
    isAcceptable(s: string) {
        return s.length === 5 && ZipCodeValidator.numberRegexp.test(s);
    }
}
```

## Test.ts

```
import validator from "./ZipCodeValidator";

let validator = new validator();
```

or

## StaticZipCodeValidator.ts

```
const numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;

export default function (s: string) {
  return s.length === 5 && numberRegexp.test(s);
}
```

## Test.ts

```
import validate from "./StaticZipCodeValidator";

let strings = ["Hello", "98052", "101"];

// Use function validate
strings.forEach(s => {
  console.log(`"${s}" ${validate(s) ? " matches" : " does not match"}`);
});
```

default exports can also be just values:

## OneTwoThree.ts

```
export default "123";
```

## Log.ts

```
import num from "./OneTwoThree";

console.log(num); // "123"
```

# export = and import = require()

Both CommonJS and AMD generally have the concept of an `exports` object which contains all exports from a module.

They also support replacing the `exports` object with a custom single object. Default exports are meant to act as a replacement for this behavior; however, the two are incompatible. TypeScript supports `export =` to module the traditional CommonJS and AMD workflow.

The `export =` syntax specifies a single object that is exported from the module. This can be a class, interface, namespace, function, or enum.

When importing a module using `export =`, TypeScript-specific `import let = require("module")` must be used to import the module.



## ZipCodeValidator.ts

```
let numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;
class ZipCodeValidator {
  isAcceptable(s: string) {
    return s.length === 5 && numberRegexp.test(s);
  }
}
export = ZipCodeValidator;
```

## Test.ts

```
import zip = require("./ZipCodeValidator");

// Some samples to try
let strings = ["Hello", "98052", "101"];

// Validators to use
let validator = new zip.ZipCodeValidator();

// Show whether each string passed each validator
strings.forEach(s => {
  console.log(`"${s}" - ${ validator.isAcceptable(s) ? "matches" : "does not match" }`);
});
```

# Code Generation for Modules

Depending on the module target specified during compilation, the compiler will generate appropriate code for Node.js ([CommonJS](#)), require.js ([AMD](#)), isomorphic ([UMD](#)), [SystemJS](#), or [ECMAScript 2015 native modules](#) (ES6) module-loading systems. For more information on what the `define`, `require` and `register` calls in the generated code do, consult the documentation for each module loader.

This simple example shows how the names used during importing and exporting get translated into the module loading code.

## SimpleModule.ts

```
import m = require("mod");
export let t = m.something + 1;
```

## AMD / RequireJS SimpleModule.js

```
define(["require", "exports", "./mod"], function (require, exports, mod_1) {  
    exports.t = mod_1.something + 1;  
});
```

## CommonJS / Node SimpleModule.js

```
var mod_1 = require("./mod");  
exports.t = mod_1.something + 1;
```

## UMD SimpleModule.js

```
(function (factory) {  
    if (typeof module === "object" && typeof module.exports === "object") {  
        var v = factory(require, exports); if (v !== undefined) module.exports = v;  
    }  
    else if (typeof define === "function" && define.amd) {  
        define(["require", "exports", "./mod"], factory);  
    }  
})(function (require, exports) {  
    var mod_1 = require("./mod");  
    exports.t = mod_1.something + 1;  
});
```

## System SimpleModule.js

```
System.register(["./mod"], function(exports_1) {  
    var mod_1;  
    var t;  
    return {  
        setters:[  
            function (mod_1_1) {  
                mod_1 = mod_1_1;  
            },  
        ],  
        execute: function() {  
            exports_1("t", t = mod_1.something + 1);  
        }  
    }  
});
```

## Native ECMAScript 2015 modules SimpleModule.js

```
import { something } from "./mod";  
export var t = something + 1;
```

# Simple Example

Below, we've consolidated the Validator implementations used in previous examples to only export a single named export from each module.

To compile, we must specify a module target on the command line. For Node.js, use `--module commonjs`; for require.js, use `--module amd`. For example:

```
tsc --module commonjs Test.ts
```

When compiled, each module will become a separate `.js` file. As with reference tags, the compiler will follow `import` statements to compile dependent files.

## Validation.ts

```
export interface StringValidator {  
    isAcceptable(s: string): boolean;  
}
```

## LettersOnlyValidator.ts

```
import { StringValidator } from "./Validation";  
  
const lettersRegexp = /^[A-Za-z]+$/;  
  
export class LettersOnlyValidator implements StringValidator {  
    isAcceptable(s: string) {  
        return lettersRegexp.test(s);  
    }  
}
```

## ZipCodeValidator.ts

```
import { StringValidator } from "./Validation";  
  
const numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;  
  
export class ZipCodeValidator implements StringValidator {  
    isAcceptable(s: string) {  
        return s.length === 5 && numberRegexp.test(s);  
    }  
}
```

## Test.ts

```
import { StringValidator } from "./Validation";
import { ZipCodeValidator } from "./ZipCodeValidator";
import { LettersOnlyValidator } from "./LettersOnlyValidator";

// Some samples to try
let strings = ["Hello", "98052", "101"];

// Validators to use
let validators: { [s: string]: StringValidator; } = {};
validators["ZIP code"] = new ZipCodeValidator();
validators["Letters only"] = new LettersOnlyValidator();

// Show whether each string passed each validator
strings.forEach(s => {
    for (let name in validators) {
        console.log(`"${s}" - ${validators[name].isAcceptable(s) ? "matches" : "does not"}`);
    }
});
```

## Optional Module Loading and Other Advanced Loading Scenarios

In some cases, you may want to only load a module under some conditions. In TypeScript, we can use the pattern shown below to implement this and other advanced loading scenarios to directly invoke the module loaders without losing type safety.

The compiler detects whether each module is used in the emitted JavaScript. If a module identifier is only ever used as part of a type annotations and never as an expression, then no `require` call is emitted for that module. This elision of unused references is a good performance optimization, and also allows for optional loading of those modules.

The core idea of the pattern is that the `import id = require("...")` statement gives us access to the types exposed by the module. The module loader is invoked (through `require`) dynamically, as shown in the `if` blocks below. This leverages the reference-elision optimization so that the module is only loaded when needed. For this pattern to work, it's important that the symbol defined via an `import` is only used in type positions (i.e. never in a position that would be emitted into the JavaScript).

To maintain type safety, we can use the `typeof` keyword. The `typeof` keyword, when used in a type position, produces the type of a value, in this case the type of the module.

### Dynamic Module Loading in Node.js

```
declare function require(moduleName: string): any;

import { ZipCodeValidator as Zip } from "./ZipCodeValidator";

if (needZipValidation) {
    let ZipCodeValidator: typeof Zip = require("./ZipCodeValidator");
    let validator = new ZipCodeValidator();
    if (validator.isAcceptable("...")) { /* ... */ }
}
```

### Sample: Dynamic Module Loading in require.js

```
declare function require(moduleNames: string[], onLoad: (...args: any[]) => void): void;

import { ZipCodeValidator as Zip } from "./ZipCodeValidator";

if (needZipValidation) {
    require(["./ZipCodeValidator"], (ZipCodeValidator: typeof Zip) => {
        let validator = new ZipCodeValidator();
        if (validator.isAcceptable("...")) { /* ... */ }
    });
}
```

### Sample: Dynamic Module Loading in System.js

```
declare const System: any;

import { ZipCodeValidator as Zip } from "./ZipCodeValidator";

if (needZipValidation) {
    System.import("./ZipCodeValidator").then((ZipCodeValidator: typeof Zip) => {
        var x = new ZipCodeValidator();
        if (x.isAcceptable("...")) { /* ... */ }
    });
}
```

## Working with Other JavaScript Libraries

To describe the shape of libraries not written in TypeScript, we need to declare the API that the library exposes.

We call declarations that don't define an implementation "ambient". Typically, these are defined in `.d.ts` files. If you're familiar with C/C++, you can think of these as `.h` files. Let's look at a few examples.

# Ambient Modules

In Node.js, most tasks are accomplished by loading one or more modules. We could define each module in its own `.d.ts` file with top-level export declarations, but it's more convenient to write them as one larger `.d.ts` file. To do so, we use a construct similar to ambient namespaces, but we use the `module` keyword and the quoted name of the module which will be available to a later import. For example:

## node.d.ts (simplified excerpt)

```
declare module "url" {
    export interface Url {
        protocol?: string;
        hostname?: string;
        pathname?: string;
    }

    export function parse(urlStr: string, parseQueryString?, slashesDenoteHost?): Url;
}

declare module "path" {
    export function normalize(p: string): string;
    export function join(...paths: any[]): string;
    export var sep: string;
}
```

Now we can `/// <reference> node.d.ts` and then load the modules using `import url = require("url");`.

```
/// <reference path="node.d.ts"/>
import * as URL from "url";
let myUrl = URL.parse("http://www.typescriptlang.org");
```

## Guidance for structuring modules

### Export as close to top-level as possible

Consumers of your module should have as little friction as possible when using things that you export. Adding too many levels of nesting tends to be cumbersome, so think carefully about how you want to structure things.

Exporting a namespace from your module is an example of adding too many layers of nesting. While namespaces sometimes have their uses, they add an extra level of indirection when using modules. This can quickly become a pain point for users, and is usually unnecessary.

Static methods on an exported class have a similar problem - the class itself adds a layer of nesting. Unless it increases expressivity or intent in a clearly useful way, consider simply exporting a helper function.

## If you're only exporting a single `class` or `function`, use `export default`

Just as "exporting near the top-level" reduces friction on your module's consumers, so does introducing a default export. If a module's primary purpose is to house one specific export, then you should consider exporting it as a default export. This makes both importing and actually using the import a little easier. For example:

### MyClass.ts

```
export default class SomeType {  
  constructor() { ... }  
}
```

### MyFunc.ts

```
export default function getThing() { return 'thing'; }
```

### Consumer.ts

```
import t from "./MyClass";  
import f from "./MyFunc";  
let x = new t();  
console.log(f());
```

This is optimal for consumers. They can name your type whatever they want (`t` in this case) and don't have to do any excessive dotting to find your objects.

## If you're exporting multiple objects, put them all at top-level

## MyThings.ts

```
export class SomeType { /* ... */ }  
export function someFunc() { /* ... */ }
```

Conversly when importing:

## Explicitlly list imported names

### Consumer.ts

```
import { SomeType, SomeFunc } from "./MyThings";  
let x = new SomeType();  
let y = someFunc();
```

## Use the namespace import pattern if you're importing a large number of things

### MyLargeModule.ts

```
export class Dog { ... }  
export class Cat { ... }  
export class Tree { ... }  
export class Flower { ... }
```

### Consumer.ts

```
import * as myLargeModule from "./MyLargeModule.ts";  
let x = new myLargeModule.Dog();
```

## Re-export to extend

Often you will need to extend functionality on a module. A common JS pattern is to augment the original object with *extensions*, similar to how JQuery extensions work. As we've mentioned before, modules do not *merge* like global namespace objects would. The recommended solution is to *not* mutate the original object, but rather export a new entity that provides the new functionality.



Consider a simple calculator implementation defined in module `calculator.ts`. The module also exports a helper function to test the calculator functionality by passing a list of input strings and writing the result at the end.

## Calculator.ts

```
export class Calculator {
  private current = 0;
  private memory = 0;
  private operator: string;

  protected processDigit(digit: string, currentValue: number) {
    if (digit >= "0" && digit <= "9") {
      return currentValue * 10 + (digit.charCodeAt(0) - "0".charCodeAt(0));
    }
  }

  protected processOperator(operator: string) {
    if (["+","-","*","/"].indexOf(operator) >= 0){
      return operator;
    }
  }

  protected evaluateOperator(operator: string, left: number, right: number): number {
    switch (this.operator) {
      case "+": return left + right;
      case "-": return left - right;
      case "*": return left * right;
      case "/": return left / right;
    }
  }

  private evaluate() {
    if (this.operator) {
      this.memory = this.evaluateOperator(this.operator, this.memory, this.current)
    }
    else {
      this.memory = this.current;
    }
    this.current = 0;
  }

  public handelChar(char: string) {
    if (char === "=") {
      this.evaluate();
      return;
    }
    else {
      let value = this.processDigit(char, this.current);
      if (value !== undefined) {
```

```

        this.current = value;
        return;
    }
    else {
        let value = this.processOperator(char);
        if (value !== undefined) {
            this.evaluate();
            this.operator = value;
            return;
        }
    }
    }
    throw new Error(`Unsupported input: '${char}'`);
}

public getResult() {
    return this.memory;
}
}

export function test(c: Calculator, input: string) {
    for (let i = 0; i < input.length; i++) {
        c.handleChar(input[i]);
    }

    console.log(`result of '${input}' is '${c.getResult()}'`);
}

```

Here is a simple test for the calculator using the exposed `test` function.

## TestCalculator.ts

```

import { Calculator, test } from "./Calculator";

let c = new Calculator();
test(c, "1+2*33/11="); // prints 9

```

Now to extend this to add support for input with numbers in bases other than 10, let's create `ProgrammerCalculator.ts`

## ProgrammerCalculator.ts

```
import { Calculator } from "./Calculator";

class ProgrammerCalculator extends Calculator {
    static digits = ["0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9", "A", "B", "C", "D"]

    constructor(public base: number) {
        super();
        if (base <= 0 || base > ProgrammerCalculator.digits.length) {
            throw new Error("base has to be within 0 to 16 inclusive.");
        }
    }

    protected processDigit(digit: string, currentValue: number) {
        if (ProgrammerCalculator.digits.indexOf(digit) >= 0) {
            return currentValue * this.base + ProgrammerCalculator.digits.indexOf(digit);
        }
    }
}

// Export the new extended calculator as Calculator
export { ProgrammerCalculator as Calculator };

// Also, export the helper function
export { test } from "./Calculator";
```

The new module `ProgrammerCalculator` exports an API shape similar to that of the original `Calculator` module, but does not augment any objects in the original module. Here is a test for our `ProgrammerCalculator` class:

## TestProgrammerCalculator.ts

```
import { Calculator, test } from "./ProgrammerCalculator";

let c = new Calculator(2);
test(c, "001+010="); // prints 3
```

## Do not use namespaces in modules

When first moving to a module-based organization, a common tendency is to wrap exports in an additional layer of namespaces. Modules have their own scope, and only exported declarations are visible from outside the module. With this in mind, namespaces provide very little, if any, value when working with modules.

On the organization front, namespaces are handy for grouping together logically-related objects and types in the global scope. For example, in C#, you're going to find all the collection types in `System.Collections`. By organizing our types into hierarchical namespaces, we provide a good "discovery" experience for users of those types. Modules, on the other hand, are already present in a file system, necessarily. We have to resolve them by path and filename, so there's a logical organization scheme for us to use. We can have a `/collections/generic/` folder with a list module in it.

Namespaces are important to avoid naming collisions in the global scope. For example, you might have `My.Application.Customer.AddForm` and `My.Application.Order.AddForm` -- two types with the same name, but a different namespace. This, however, is not an issue with modules. Within a module, there's no plausible reason to have two objects with the same name. From the consumption side, the consumer of any given module gets to pick the name that they will use to refer to the module, so accidental naming conflicts are impossible.

For more discussion about modules and namespaces see [Namespaces and Modules](#).

## Red Flags

All of the following are red flags for module structuring. Double-check that you're not trying to namespace your external modules if any of these apply to your files:

- A file whose only top-level declaration is `export namespace Foo { ... }` (remove `Foo` and move everything 'up' a level)
- A file that has a single `export class` or `export function` (consider using `export default` )
- Multiple files that have the same `export namespace Foo {` at top-level (don't think that these are going to combine into one `Foo` !)

**A note about terminology:** It's important to note that in TypeScript 1.5, the nomenclature has changed. "Internal modules" are now "namespaces". "External modules" are now simply "modules", as to align with [ECMAScript 2015](#)'s terminology, (namely that `module X {` is equivalent to the now-preferred `namespace X {`).

## Introduction

This post outlines the various ways to organize your code using namespaces and modules in TypeScript. We'll also go over some advanced topics of how to use namespaces and modules, and address some common pitfalls when using them in TypeScript.

See the [Modules](#) documentation for more information about modules. See the [Namespaces](#) documentation for more information about namespaces.

## Using Namespaces

Namespaces are simply named JavaScript objects in the global namespace. This makes namespaces a very simple construct to use. They can span multiple files, and can be concatenated using `--outFile`. Namespaces can be a good way to structure your code in a Web Application, with all dependencies included as `<script>` tags in your HTML page.

Just like all global namespace pollution, it can be hard to identify component dependencies, especially in a large application.

## Using Modules

Just like namespaces, modules can contain both code and declarations. The main difference is that modules *declare* their dependencies.

Modules also have a dependency on a module loader (such as `CommonJs/Require.js`). For a small JS application this might not be optimal, but for larger applications, the cost comes with long term modularity and maintainability benefits. Modules provide for better code reuse, stronger isolation and better tooling support for bundling.

It is also worth noting that, for Node.js applications, modules are the default and the recommended approach to structure your code.

Starting with ECMAScript 2015, modules are native part of the language, and should be supported by all compliant engine implementations. Thus, for new projects modules would be the recommended code organization mechanism.

## Pitfalls of Namespaces and Modules

In this section we'll describe various common pitfalls in using namespaces and modules, and how to avoid them.

### `/// <reference>` -ing a module

A common mistake is to try to use the `/// <reference ... />` syntax to refer to a module file, rather than using an `import` statement. To understand the distinction, we first need to understand how compiler can locate the type information for a module based on the path of an `import` (e.g. the `... in import x from "...";`, `import x = require("...");`, etc.) path.

The compiler will try to find a `.ts`, `.tsx`, and then a `.d.ts` with the appropriate path. If a specific file could not be found, then the compiler will look for an *ambient module declaration*. Recall that these need to be declared in a `.d.ts` file.

- `myModules.d.ts`

```
// In a .d.ts file or .ts file that is not a module:
declare module "SomeModule" {
    export function fn(): string;
}
```

- `myOtherModule.ts`

```
/// <reference path="myModules.d.ts" />
import * as m from "SomeModule";
```

The reference tag here allows us to locate the declaration file that contains the declaration for the ambient module. This is how the `node.d.ts` file that several of the TypeScript samples use is consumed.

## Needless Namespacing

If you're converting a program from namespaces to modules, it can be easy to end up with a file that looks like this:

- `shapes.ts`

```
export namespace Shapes {  
  export class Triangle { /* ... */ }  
  export class Square { /* ... */ }  
}
```

The top-level module here `Shapes` wraps up `Triangle` and `Square` for no reason. This is confusing and annoying for consumers of your module:

- `shapeConsumer.ts`

```
import * as shapes from "./shapes";  
let t = new shapes.Shapes.Triangle(); // shapes.Shapes?
```

A key feature of modules in TypeScript is that two different modules will never contribute names to the same scope. Because the consumer of a module decides what name to assign it, there's no need to proactively wrap up the exported symbols in a namespace.

To reiterate why you shouldn't try to namespace your module contents, the general idea of namespacing is to provide logical grouping of constructs and to prevent name collisions. Because the module file itself is already a logical grouping, and its top-level name is defined by the code that imports it, it's unnecessary to use an additional module layer for exported objects.

Here's a revised example:

- `shapes.ts`

```
export class Triangle { /* ... */ }  
export class Square { /* ... */ }
```

- `shapeConsumer.ts`

```
import * as shapes from "./shapes";  
let t = new shapes.Triangle();
```

## Trade-offs of Modules

Just as there is a one-to-one correspondence between JS files and modules, TypeScript has a one-to-one correspondence between module source files and their emitted JS files. One effect of this is that it's not possible to use the `--outFile` compiler switch to concatenate multiple module source files into a single JavaScript file.



**A note about terminology:** It's important to note that in TypeScript 1.5, the nomenclature has changed. "Internal modules" are now "namespaces". "External modules" are now simply "modules", as to align with [ECMAScript 2015](#)'s terminology, (namely that `module X {` is equivalent to the now-preferred `namespace X {`).

## Introduction

This post outlines the various ways to organize your code using namespaces (previously "internal modules") in TypeScript. As we alluded in our note about terminology, "internal modules" are now referred to as "namespaces". Additionally, anywhere the `module` keyword was used when declaring an internal module, the `namespace` keyword can and should be used instead. This avoids confusing new users by overloading them with similarly named terms.

## First steps

Let's start with the program we'll be using as our example throughout this page. We've written a small set of simplistic string validators, as you might write to check a user's input on a form in a webpage or check the format of an externally-provided data file.

## Validators in a single file

```
interface StringValidator {
    isAcceptable(s: string): boolean;
}

let lettersRegexp = /^[A-Za-z]+$/;
let numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;

class LettersOnlyValidator implements StringValidator {
    isAcceptable(s: string) {
        return lettersRegexp.test(s);
    }
}

class ZipCodeValidator implements StringValidator {
    isAcceptable(s: string) {
        return s.length === 5 && numberRegexp.test(s);
    }
}

// Some samples to try
let strings = ["Hello", "98052", "101"];

// Validators to use
let validators: { [s: string]: StringValidator; } = {};
validators["ZIP code"] = new ZipCodeValidator();
validators["Letters only"] = new LettersOnlyValidator();

// Show whether each string passed each validator
for (let s of strings) {
    for (let name in validators) {
        let isMatch = validators[name].isAcceptable(s);
        console.log(`${s} ${isMatch ? "matches" : "does not match"} ${name}`);
    }
}
```

## Namespacing

As we add more validators, we're going to want to have some kind of organization scheme so that we can keep track of our types and not worry about name collisions with other objects. Instead of putting lots of different names into the global namespace, let's wrap up our objects into a namespace.

In this example, we'll move all validator-related entities into a namespace called `validation`. Because we want the interfaces and classes here to be visible outside the namespace, we preface them with `export`. Conversely, the variables `lettersRegexp` and `numberRegexp` are implementation details, so they are left unexported and will not be visible

to code outside the namespace. In the test code at the bottom of the file, we now need to qualify the names of the types when used outside the namespace, e.g.

```
Validation.LettersOnlyValidator .
```

## Namespaced Validators

```
namespace Validation {
    export interface StringValidator {
        isAcceptable(s: string): boolean;
    }

    const lettersRegexp = /^[A-Za-z]+$/;
    const numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;

    export class LettersOnlyValidator implements StringValidator {
        isAcceptable(s: string) {
            return lettersRegexp.test(s);
        }
    }

    export class ZipCodeValidator implements StringValidator {
        isAcceptable(s: string) {
            return s.length === 5 && numberRegexp.test(s);
        }
    }
}

// Some samples to try
let strings = ["Hello", "98052", "101"];

// Validators to use
let validators: { [s: string]: Validation.StringValidator; } = {};
validators["ZIP code"] = new Validation.ZipCodeValidator();
validators["Letters only"] = new Validation.LettersOnlyValidator();

// Show whether each string passed each validator
for (let s of strings) {
    for (var name in validators) {
        console.log(`"${s}" - ${validators[name].isAcceptable(s) ? "matches" : "does not"}`);
    }
}
```

## Splitting Across Files

As our application grows, we'll want to split the code across multiple files to make it easier to maintain.

## Multi-file namespaces

Here, we'll split our `Validation` namespace across many files. Even though the files are separate, they can each contribute to the same namespace and can be consumed as if they were all defined in one place. Because there are dependencies between files, we'll add reference tags to tell the compiler about the relationships between the files. Our test code is otherwise unchanged.

### Validation.ts

```
namespace Validation {  
    export interface StringValidator {  
        isAcceptable(s: string): boolean;  
    }  
}
```

### LettersOnlyValidator.ts

```
/// <reference path="Validation.ts" />  
namespace Validation {  
    const lettersRegexp = /^[A-Za-z]+$/;  
    export class LettersOnlyValidator implements StringValidator {  
        isAcceptable(s: string) {  
            return lettersRegexp.test(s);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

### ZipCodeValidator.ts

```
/// <reference path="Validation.ts" />  
namespace Validation {  
    const numberRegexp = /^[0-9]+$/;  
    export class ZipCodeValidator implements StringValidator {  
        isAcceptable(s: string) {  
            return s.length === 5 && numberRegexp.test(s);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

### Test.ts

```

/// <reference path="Validation.ts" />
/// <reference path="LettersOnlyValidator.ts" />
/// <reference path="ZipCodeValidator.ts" />

// Some samples to try
let strings = ["Hello", "98052", "101"];

// Validators to use
let validators: { [s: string]: Validation.StringValidator; } = {};
validators["ZIP code"] = new Validation.ZipCodeValidator();
validators["Letters only"] = new Validation.LettersOnlyValidator();

// Show whether each string passed each validator
for (let s of strings) {
    for (let name in validators) {
        console.log(""" + s + "" " + (validators[name].isAcceptable(s) ? " matches " : "
    }
}

```

Once there are multiple files involved, we'll need to make sure all of the compiled code gets loaded. There are two ways of doing this.

First, we can use concatenated output using the `--outFile` flag to compile all of the input files into a single JavaScript output file:

```
tsc --outFile sample.js Test.ts
```

The compiler will automatically order the output file based on the reference tags present in the files. You can also specify each file individually:

```
tsc --outFile sample.js Validation.ts LettersOnlyValidator.ts ZipCodeValidator.ts Test.ts
```

Alternatively, we can use per-file compilation (the default) to emit one JavaScript file for each input file. If multiple JS files get produced, we'll need to use `<script>` tags on our webpage to load each emitted file in the appropriate order, for example:

### MyTestPage.html (excerpt)

```

<script src="Validation.js" type="text/javascript" />
<script src="LettersOnlyValidator.js" type="text/javascript" />
<script src="ZipCodeValidator.js" type="text/javascript" />
<script src="Test.js" type="text/javascript" />

```

# Aliases

Another way that you can simplify working with namespaces is to use `import q = x.y.z` to create shorter names for commonly-used objects. Not to be confused with the `import x = require("name")` syntax used to load modules, this syntax simply creates an alias for the specified symbol. You can use these sorts of imports (commonly referred to as aliases) for any kind of identifier, including objects created from module imports.

```
namespace Shapes {
    export namespace Polygons {
        export class Triangle { }
        export class Square { }
    }
}

import polygons = Shapes.Polygons;
let sq = new polygons.Square(); // Same as "new Shapes.Polygons.Square()"
```

Notice that we don't use the `require` keyword; instead we assign directly from the qualified name of the symbol we're importing. This is similar to using `var`, but also works on the type and namespace meanings of the imported symbol. Importantly, for values, `import` is a distinct reference from the original symbol, so changes to an aliased `var` will not be reflected in the original variable.

## Working with Other JavaScript Libraries

To describe the shape of libraries not written in TypeScript, we need to declare the API that the library exposes. Because most JavaScript libraries expose only a few top-level objects, namespaces are a good way to represent them.

We call declarations that don't define an implementation "ambient". Typically these are defined in `.d.ts` files. If you're familiar with C/C++, you can think of these as `.h` files. Let's look at a few examples.

## Ambient Namespaces

The popular library D3 defines its functionality in a global object called `d3`. Because this library is loaded through a `<script>` tag (instead of a module loader), its declaration uses namespaces to define its shape. For the TypeScript compiler to see this shape, we use an ambient namespace declaration. For example, we could begin writing it as follows:

**D3.d.ts (simplified excerpt)**

```
declare namespace D3 {  
  export interface Selectors {  
    select: {  
      (selector: string): Selection;  
      (element: EventTarget): Selection;  
    };  
  }  
  
  export interface Event {  
    x: number;  
    y: number;  
  }  
  
  export interface Base extends Selectors {  
    event: Event;  
  }  
}  
  
declare var d3: D3.Base;
```

# Introduction

Starting with ECMAScript 2015, `symbol` is a primitive data type, just like `number` and `string`.

`symbol` values are created by calling the `Symbol` constructor.

```
let sym1 = Symbol();

let sym2 = Symbol("key"); // optional string key
```

Symbols are immutable, and unique.

```
let sym2 = Symbol("key");
let sym3 = Symbol("key");

sym2 === sym3; // false, symbols are unique
```

Just like strings, symbols can be used as keys for object properties.

```
let sym = Symbol();

let obj = {};

obj[sym] = "value";
console.log(obj[sym]); // "value"
```

Symbols can also be combined with computed property declarations to declare object properties and class members.

```
const getClassNameSymbol = Symbol();

class C {
  [getClassNameSymbol]() {
    return "C";
  }
}

let c = new C();
let className = c[getClassNameSymbol()]; // "C"
```



# Well-known Symbols

In addition to user-defined symbols, there are well-known built-in symbols. Built-in symbols are used to represent internal language behaviors.

Here is a list of well-known symbols:

## **Symbol.hasInstance**

A method that determines if a constructor object recognizes an object as one of the constructor's instances. Called by the semantics of the instanceof operator.

## **Symbol.isConcatSpreadable**

A Boolean value indicating that an object should be flatten to its array elements by `Array.prototype.concat`.

## **Symbol.iterator**

A method that returns the default iterator for an object. Called by the semantics of the for-of statement.

## **Symbol.match**

A regular expression method that matches the regular expression against a string. Called by the `String.prototype.match` method.

## **Symbol.replace**

A regular expression method that replaces matched substrings of a string. Called by the `String.prototype.replace` method.

## **Symbol.search**

A regular expression method that returns the index within a string that matches the regular expression. Called by the `String.prototype.search` method.

## **Symbol.species**

A function valued property that is the constructor function that is used to create derived objects.

## **Symbol.split**

A regular expression method that splits a string at the indices that match the regular expression. Called by the `String.prototype.split` method.

## **Symbol.toPrimitive**

A method that converts an object to a corresponding primitive value. Called by the `ToPrimitive` abstract operation.

## **Symbol.toStringTag**

A String value that is used in the creation of the default string description of an object. Called by the built-in method `Object.prototype.toString`.

## **Symbol.unscopables**

An Object whose own property names are property names that are excluded from the 'with' environment bindings of the associated objects.

# Introduction

Type compatibility in TypeScript is based on structural subtyping. Structural typing is a way of relating types based solely on their members. This is in contrast with nominal typing. Consider the following code:

```
interface Named {  
    name: string;  
}  
  
class Person {  
    name: string;  
}  
  
let p: Named;  
// OK, because of structural typing  
p = new Person();
```

In nominally-typed languages like C# or Java, the equivalent code would be an error because the `Person` class does not explicitly describe itself as being an implementor of the `Named` interface.

TypeScript's structural type system was designed based on how JavaScript code is typically written. Because JavaScript widely uses anonymous objects like function expressions and object literals, it's much more natural to represent the kinds of relationships found in JavaScript libraries with a structural type system instead of a nominal one.

## A Note on Soundness

TypeScript's type system allows certain operations that can't be known at compile-time to be safe. When a type system has this property, it is said to not be "sound". The places where TypeScript allows unsound behavior were carefully considered, and throughout this document we'll explain where these happen and the motivating scenarios behind them.

## Starting out

The basic rule for TypeScript's structural type system is that `x` is compatible with `y` if `y` has at least the same members as `x`. For example:

```
interface Named {  
    name: string;  
}  
  
let x: Named;  
// y's inferred type is { name: string; location: string; }  
let y = { name: 'Alice', location: 'Seattle' };  
x = y;
```

To check whether `y` can be assigned to `x`, the compiler checks each property of `x` to find a corresponding compatible property in `y`. In this case, `y` must have a member called `name` that is a string. It does, so the assignment is allowed.

The same rule for assignment is used when checking function call arguments:

```
function greet(n: Named) {  
    alert('Hello, ' + n.name);  
}  
greet(y); // OK
```

Note that `y` has an extra `location` property, but this does not create an error. Only members of the target type (`Named` in this case) are considered when checking for compatibility.

This comparison process proceeds recursively, exploring the type of each member and sub-member.

## Comparing two functions

While comparing primitive types and object types is relatively straightforward, the question of what kinds of functions should be considered compatible. Let's start with a basic example of two functions that differ only in their argument lists:

```
let x = (a: number) => 0;  
let y = (b: number, s: string) => 0;  
  
y = x; // OK  
x = y; // Error
```

To check if `x` is assignable to `y`, we first look at the parameter list. Each parameter in `x` must have a corresponding parameter in `y` with a compatible type. Note that the names of the parameters are not considered, only their types. In this case, every parameter of `x` has

a corresponding compatible parameter in `y`, so the assignment is allowed.

The second assignment is an error, because `y` has a required second parameter that `x` does not have, so the assignment is disallowed.

You may be wondering why we allow 'discarding' parameters like in the example `y = x`. The reason for this assignment to be allowed is that ignoring extra function parameters is actually quite common in JavaScript. For example, `Array#forEach` provides three arguments to the callback function: the array element, its index, and the containing array. Nevertheless, it's very useful to provide a callback that only uses the first argument:

```
let items = [1, 2, 3];

// Don't force these extra arguments
items.forEach((item, index, array) => console.log(item));

// Should be OK!
items.forEach(item => console.log(item));
```

Now let's look at how return types are treated, using two functions that differ only by their return type:

```
let x = () => ({name: 'Alice'});
let y = () => ({name: 'Alice', location: 'Seattle'});

x = y; // OK
y = x; // Error because x() lacks a location property
```

The type system enforces that the source function's return type be a subtype of the target type's return type.

## Function Argument Bivariance

When comparing the types of function parameters, assignment succeeds if either the source parameter is assignable to the target parameter, or vice versa. This is unsound because a caller might end up being given a function that takes a more specialized type, but invokes the function with a less specialized type. In practice, this sort of error is rare, and allowing this enables many common JavaScript patterns. A brief example:

```
enum EventType { Mouse, Keyboard }

interface Event { timestamp: number; }
interface MouseEvent extends Event { x: number; y: number }
interface KeyEvent extends Event { keyCode: number }

function listenEvent(eventType: EventType, handler: (n: Event) => void) {
    /* ... */
}

// Unsound, but useful and common
listenEvent(EventType.Mouse, (e: MouseEvent) => console.log(e.x + ',' + e.y));

// Undesirable alternatives in presence of soundness
listenEvent(EventType.Mouse, (e: Event) => console.log((<MouseEvent>e).x + ',' + (<MouseEvent>e).y));
listenEvent(EventType.Mouse, <(e: Event) => void>((e: MouseEvent) => console.log(e.x + ',' + e.y)));

// Still disallowed (clear error). Type safety enforced for wholly incompatible types
listenEvent(EventType.Mouse, (e: number) => console.log(e));
```

## Optional Arguments and Rest Arguments

When comparing functions for compatibility, optional and required parameters are interchangeable. Extra optional parameters of the source type are not an error, and optional parameters of the target type without corresponding parameters in the target type are not an error.

When a function has a rest parameter, it is treated as if it were an infinite series of optional parameters.

This is unsound from a type system perspective, but from a runtime point of view the idea of an optional parameter is generally not well-enforced since passing `undefined` in that position is equivalent for most functions.

The motivating example is the common pattern of a function that takes a callback and invokes it with some predictable (to the programmer) but unknown (to the type system) number of arguments:

```
function invokeLater(args: any[], callback: (...args: any[]) => void) {  
    /* ... Invoke callback with 'args' ... */  
}  
  
// Unsound - invokeLater "might" provide any number of arguments  
invokeLater([1, 2], (x, y) => console.log(x + ', ' + y));  
  
// Confusing (x and y are actually required) and undiscoverable  
invokeLater([1, 2], (x?, y?) => console.log(x + ', ' + y));
```

## Functions with overloads

When a function has overloads, each overload in the source type must be matched by a compatible signature on the target type. This ensures that the target function can be called in all the same situations as the source function. Functions with specialized overload signatures (those that use string literals in their overloads) do not use their specialized signatures when checking for compatibility.

## Enums

Enums are compatible with numbers, and numbers are compatible with enums. Enum values from different enum types are considered incompatible. For example,

```
enum Status { Ready, Waiting };  
enum Color { Red, Blue, Green };  
  
let status = Status.Ready;  
status = Color.Green; //error
```

## Classes

Classes work similarly to object literal types and interfaces with one exception: they have both a static and an instance type. When comparing two objects of a class type, only members of the instance are compared. Static members and constructors do not affect compatibility.

```
class Animal {  
    feet: number;  
    constructor(name: string, numFeet: number) { }  
}  
  
class Size {  
    feet: number;  
    constructor(numFeet: number) { }  
}  
  
let a: Animal;  
let s: Size;  
  
a = s; //OK  
s = a; //OK
```

## Private and protected members in classes

Private and protected members in a class affect their compatibility. When an instance of a class is checked for compatibility, if the instance contains a private member, then the target type must also contain a private member that originated from the same class. Likewise, the same applies for an instance with a protected member. This allows a class to be assignment compatible with its super class, but *not* with classes from a different inheritance hierarchy which otherwise have the same shape.

## Generics

Because TypeScript is a structural type system, type parameters only affect the resulting type when consumed as part of the type of a member. For example,

```
interface Empty<T> {  
}  
let x: Empty<number>;  
let y: Empty<string>;  
  
x = y; // okay, y matches structure of x
```

In the above, `x` and `y` are compatible because their structures do not use the type argument in a differentiating way. Changing this example by adding a member to `Empty<T>` shows how this works:



```
interface NotEmpty<T> {  
    data: T;  
}  
let x: NotEmpty<number>;  
let y: NotEmpty<string>;  
  
x = y; // error, x and y are not compatible
```

In this way, a generic type that has its type arguments specified acts just like a non-generic type.

For generic types that do not have their type arguments specified, compatibility is checked by specifying `any` in place of all unspecified type arguments. The resulting types are then checked for compatibility, just as in the non-generic case.

For example,

```
let identity = function<T>(x: T): T {  
    // ...  
}  
  
let reverse = function<U>(y: U): U {  
    // ...  
}  
  
identity = reverse; // Okay because (x: any)=>any matches (y: any)=>any
```

## Advanced Topics

### Subtype vs Assignment

So far, we've used 'compatible', which is not a term defined in the language spec. In TypeScript, there are two kinds of compatibility: subtype and assignment. These differ only in that assignment extends subtype compatibility with rules to allow assignment to and from `any` and to and from enum with corresponding numeric values.

Different places in the language use one of the two compatibility mechanisms, depending on the situation. For practical purposes, type compatibility is dictated by assignment compatibility even in the cases of the `implements` and `extends` clauses. For more information, see the [TypeScript spec](#).

# Introduction

In this section, we will cover type inference in TypeScript. Namely, we'll discuss where and how types are inferred.

## Basics

In TypeScript, there are several places where type inference is used to provide type information when there is no explicit type annotation. For example, in this code

```
let x = 3;
```

The type of the `x` variable is inferred to be `number`. This kind of inference takes place when initializing variables and members, setting parameter default values, and determining function return types.

In most cases, type inference is straightforward. In the following sections, we'll explore some of the nuances in how types are inferred.

## Best common type

When a type inference is made from several expressions, the types of those expressions are used to calculate a "best common type". For example,

```
let x = [0, 1, null];
```

To infer the type of `x` in the example above, we must consider the type of each array element. Here we are given two choices for the type of the array: `number` and `null`. The best common type algorithm considers each candidate type, and picks the type that is compatible with all the other candidates.

Because the best common type has to be chosen from the provided candidate types, there are some cases where types share a common structure, but no one type is the super type of all candidate types. For example:

```
let zoo = [new Rhino(), new Elephant(), new Snake()];
```

Ideally, we may want `zoo` to be inferred as an `Animal[]`, but because there is no object that is strictly of type `Animal` in the array, we make no inference about the array element type. To correct this, instead explicitly provide the type when no one type is a super type of all other candidates:

```
let zoo: Animal[] = [new Rhino(), new Elephant(), new Snake()];
```

When no best common type is found, the resulting inference is the empty object type, `{}`. Because this type has no members, attempting to use any properties of it will cause an error. This result allows you to still use the object in a type-agnostic manner, while providing type safety in cases where the type of the object can't be implicitly determined.

## Contextual Type

Type inference also works in "the other direction" in some cases in TypeScript. This is known as "contextual typing". Contextual typing occurs when the type of an expression is implied by its location. For example:

```
window.onmousedown = function(mouseEvent) {  
    console.log(mouseEvent.buton); //<- Error  
};
```

For the code above to give the type error, the TypeScript type checker used the type of the `window.onmousedown` function to infer the type of the function expression on the right hand side of the assignment. When it did so, it was able to infer the type of the `mouseEvent` parameter. If this function expression were not in a contextually typed position, the `mouseEvent` parameter would have type `any`, and no error would have been issued.

If the contextually typed expression contains explicit type information, the contextual type is ignored. Had we written the above example:

```
window.onmousedown = function(mouseEvent: any) {  
    console.log(mouseEvent.buton); //<- Now, no error is given  
};
```

The function expression with an explicit type annotation on the parameter will override the contextual type. Once it does so, no error is given as no contextual type applies.

Contextual typing applies in many cases. Common cases include arguments to function calls, right hand sides of assignments, type assertions, members of object and array literals, and return statements. The contextual type also acts as a candidate type in best common

type. For example:

```
function createZoo(): Animal[] {  
    return [new Rhino(), new Elephant(), new Snake()];  
}
```

In this example, best common type has a set of four candidates: `Animal` , `Rhino` , `Elephant` , and `Snake` . Of these, `Animal` can be chosen by the best common type algorithm.

The TypeScript compiler resolves node module names by following the [Node.js module resolution algorithm](#). The TypeScript compiler can also load typings that are bundled with npm packages. The compiler will try to discover typings for module `"foo"` using the following set of rules:

1. Try to load the `package.json` file located in the appropriate package folder ( `node_modules/foo/` ). If present, read the path to the typings file described in the `"typings"` field. For example, in the following `package.json` , the compiler will resolve the typings at `node_modules/foo/lib/foo.d.ts`

```
{
  "name": "foo",
  "author": "Vandelay Industries",
  "version": "1.0.0",
  "main": "./lib/foo.js",
  "typings": "./lib/foo.d.ts"
}
```

2. Try to load a file named `index.d.ts` located in the package folder ( `node_modules/foo/` ) - this file should contain typings for the package.

The precise algorithm for module resolution can be found [here](#)

## What my typings file should

- be a `.d.ts` file
- be an external module
- not have triple-slash references

The rationale is that typings should not bring new compilable items to the set of compiled files; otherwise actual implementation files in the package can be overwritten during compilation. Additionally, **loading typings should not pollute global scope** by bringing potentially conflicting entries from different version of the same library

# Variable Declarations

`let` and `const` are two relatively new types of variable declarations in JavaScript. As we mentioned earlier, `let` is similar to `var` in some respects, but allows users to avoid some of the common "gotchas" that users run into in JavaScript. `const` is an augmentation of `let` in that it prevents re-assignment to a variable.

With TypeScript being a superset of JavaScript, the language naturally supports `let` and `const`. Here we'll elaborate more on these new declarations and why they're preferable to `var`.

If you've used JavaScript offhandedly, the next section might be a good way to refresh your memory. If you're intimately familiar with all the quirks of `var` declarations in JavaScript, you might find it easier to skip ahead.

## `var` declarations

Declaring a variable in JavaScript has always traditionally been done with the `var` keyword.

```
var a = 10;
```

As you might've figured out, we just declared a variable named `a` with the value `10`.

We can also declare a variable inside of a function:

```
function f() {  
  var message = "Hello, world!";  
  
  return message;  
}
```

and we can also access those same variables within other functions:

```
function f() {  
  var a = 10;  
  return function g() {  
    var b = a + 1;  
    return b;  
  }  
}  
  
var g = f();  
g(); // returns 11;
```

In this above example, `g` captured the variable `a` declared in `f`. At any point that `g` gets called, the value of `a` will be tied to the value of `a` in `f`. Even if `g` is called once `f` is done running, it will be able to access and modify `a`.

```
function f() {  
  var a = 1;  
  
  a = 2;  
  var b = g();  
  a = 3;  
  
  return b;  
  
  function g() {  
    return a;  
  }  
}  
  
f(); // returns 2
```

## Scoping rules

`var` declarations have some odd scoping rules for those used to other languages. Take the following example:

```
function f(shouldInitialize: boolean) {  
  if (shouldInitialize) {  
    var x = 10;  
  }  
  
  return x;  
}  
  
f(true); // returns '10'  
f(false); // returns 'undefined'
```

Some readers might do a double-take at this example. The variable `x` was declared *within* the `if` block, and yet we were able to access it from outside that block. That's because `var` declarations are accessible anywhere within their containing function, module, namespace, or global scope - all which we'll go over later on - regardless of the containing block. Some people call this `var` -scoping or *function-scoping*. Parameters are also function scoped.

These scoping rules can cause several types of mistakes. One problem they exacerbate is the fact that it is not an error to declare the same variable multiple times:

```
function sumMatrix(matrix: number[][]) {  
    var sum = 0;  
    for (var i = 0; i < matrix.length; i++) {  
        var currentRow = matrix[i];  
        for (var i = 0; i < currentRow.length; i++) {  
            sum += currentRow[i];  
        }  
    }  
  
    return sum;  
}
```

Maybe it was easy to spot out for some, but the inner `for` -loop will accidentally overwrite the variable `i` because `i` refers to the same function-scoped variable. As experienced developers know by now, similar sorts of bugs slip through code reviews and can be an endless source of frustration.

## Variable capturing quirks

Take a quick second to guess what the output of the following snippet is:

```
for (var i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
    setTimeout(function() {console.log(i); }, 100 * i);  
}
```

For those unfamiliar, `setTimeout` will try to execute a function after a certain number of milliseconds (though waiting for anything else to stop running).

Ready? Take a look:



```
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
```

Many JavaScript developers are intimately familiar with this behavior, but if you're surprised, you're certainly not alone. Most people expect the output to be

```
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
```

Remember what we mentioned earlier about variable capturing?

At any point that `g` gets called, the value of `a` will be tied to the value of `a` in `f`.

Let's take a minute to consider that in this context. `setTimeout` will run a function after some number of milliseconds, and also after the `for` loop has stopped executing. By the time the `for` loop has stopped executing, the value of `i` is `10`. So each time the given function gets called, it will print out `10` !

A common work around is to use an IIFE - an Immediately Invoked Function Expression - to capture `i` at each iteration:

```
for (var i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
  // capture the current state of 'i'
  // by invoking a function with its current value
  (function(i) {
    setTimeout(function() { console.log(i); }, 100 * i);
  })(i);
}
```

This odd-looking pattern is actually a commonplace. The `i` in the parameter actually shadows the `i` declared in the `for` loop, but since we named it the same, we didn't have to modify the loop body too much.

## let declarations

By now you've figured out that `var` has some problems, which is precisely why `let` statements are a new way to declare variables. Apart from the keyword used, `let` statements are written the same way `var` statements are.

```
let hello = "Hello!";
```

The key difference is not in the syntax, but in the semantics, which we'll now dive into.

## Block-scoping

When a variable is declared using `let`, it uses what some call *lexical-scoping* or *block-scoping*. Unlike variables declared with `var` whose scopes leak out to their containing function, block-scoped variables are not visible outside of their nearest containing block or `for`-loop.

```
function f(input: boolean) {  
  let a = 100;  
  
  if (input) {  
    // Still okay to reference 'a'  
    let b = a + 1;  
    return b;  
  }  
  
  // Error: 'b' doesn't exist here  
  return b;  
}
```

Here, we have two local variables `a` and `b`. `a`'s scope is limited to the body of `f` while `b`'s scope is limited to the containing `if` statement's block.

Variables declared in a `catch` clause also have similar scoping rules.

```
try {
  throw "oh no!";
}
catch (e) {
  console.log("Oh well.");
}

// Error: 'e' doesn't exist here
console.log(e);
```

Another property of block-scoped variables is that they can't be read or written to before they're actually declared. While these variables are "present" throughout their scope, all points up until their declaration are part of their *temporal dead zone*. This is just a sophisticated way of saying you can't access them before the `let` statement, and luckily TypeScript will let you know that.

```
a++; // illegal to use 'a' before it's declared;
let a;
```

Something to note is that you can still *capture* a block-scoped variable before it's declared. The only catch is that it's illegal to call that function before the declaration. If targeting ES2015, a modern runtime will throw an error; however, right now TypeScript is permissive and won't report this as an error.

```
function foo() {
  // okay to capture 'a'
  return a;
}

// illegal call 'foo' before 'a' is declared
// runtimes should throw an error here
foo();

let a;
```

For more information on temporal dead zones, see relevant content on the [Mozilla Developer Network](#).

## Re-declarations and Shadowing

With `var` declarations, we mentioned that it didn't matter how many times you declared your variables; you just got one.

```
function f(x) {  
  var x;  
  var x;  
  
  if (true) {  
    var x;  
  }  
}
```

In the above example, all declarations of `x` actually refer to the *same* `x`, and this is perfectly valid. This often ends up being a source of bugs. Thankfully, `let` declarations are not as forgiving.

```
let x = 10;  
let x = 20; // error: can't re-declare 'x' in the same scope
```

The variables don't necessarily need to both be block-scoped for TypeScript to tell us that there's a problem.

```
function f(x) {  
  let x = 100; // error: interferes with parameter declaration  
}  
  
function g() {  
  let x = 100;  
  var x = 100; // error: can't have both declarations of 'x'  
}
```

That's not to say that block-scoped variable can never be declared with a function-scoped variable. The block-scoped variable just needs to be declared within a distinctly different block.

```
function f(condition, x) {  
  if (condition) {  
    let x = 100;  
    return x;  
  }  
  
  return x;  
}  
  
f(false, 0); // returns 0  
f(true, 0);  // returns 100
```

The act of introducing a new name in a more nested scope is called *shadowing*. It is a bit of a double-edged sword in that it can introduce certain bugs on its own in the event of accidental shadowing, while also preventing certain bugs. For instance, imagine we had written our earlier `sumMatrix` function using `let` variables.

```
function sumMatrix(matrix: number[][]) {  
  let sum = 0;  
  for (let i = 0; i < matrix.length; i++) {  
    var currentRow = matrix[i];  
    for (let i = 0; i < currentRow.length; i++) {  
      sum += currentRow[i];  
    }  
  }  
  
  return sum;  
}
```

This version of the loop will actually perform the summation correctly because the inner loop's `i` shadows `i` from the outer loop.

Shadowing should *usually* be avoided in the interest of write clearer code. While there are some scenarios where it may be fitting to take advantage of it, you should use your best judgement.

## Block-scoped variable capturing

When we first touched on the idea of variable capturing with `var` declaration, we briefly went into how variables act once captured. To give a better intuition of this, each time a scope is run, it creates an "environment" of variables. That environment and its can exist even after everything within its scope has finished executing.

```
function theCityThatAlwaysSleeps() {  
  let getCity;  
  
  if (true) {  
    let city = "Seattle";  
    getCity = function() {  
      return city;  
    }  
  }  
  
  return getCity();  
}
```

Because we've captured `city` from within its environment, we're still able to access it despite the fact that the `if` block finished executing.

Recall that with our earlier `setTimeout` example, we ended up needing to use an IIFE to capture the state of a variable for every iteration of the `for` loop. In effect, what we were doing was creating a new variable environment for our captured variables. That was a bit of a pain, but luckily, you'll never have to do that again in TypeScript.

`let` declarations have drastically different behavior when declared as part of a loop. Rather than just introducing a new environment to the loop itself, these declarations sort of create a new scope *per iteration*. Since this is what we were doing anyway with our IIFE, we can change our old `setTimeout` example to just use a `let` declaration.

```
for (let i = 0; i < 10 ; i++) {  
    setTimeout(function() {console.log(i); }, 100 * i);  
}
```

and as expected, this will print out

```
0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9
```

## `const` declarations

`const` declarations are another way of declaring variables.

```
const numLivesForCat = 9;
```

They are like `let` declarations but, as their name implies, their value cannot be changed once they are bound. In other words, they have the same scoping rules as `let`, but you can't re-assign to them.

This should not be confused with the idea that the values they refer to are *immutable*.

```
const numLivesForCat = 9;
const kitty = {
  name: "Aurora",
  numLives: numLivesForCat,
}

// Error
kitty = {
  name: "Danielle",
  numLives: numLivesForCat
};

// all "okay"
kitty.name = "Rory";
kitty.name = "Kitty";
kitty.name = "Cat";
kitty.numLives--;
```

Unless you take specific measures to avoid it, the internal state of a `const` variable is still modifiable.

## let vs. const

Given that we have two types of declarations with similar scoping semantics, it's natural to find ourselves asking which one to use. Like most broad questions, the answer is: it depends.

Applying the [principle of least privilege](#), all declarations other than those you plan to modify should use `const`. The rationale is that if a variable didn't need to get written to, others working on the same codebase shouldn't automatically be able to write to the object, and will need to consider whether they really need to reassign to the variable. Using `const` also makes code more predictable when reasoning about flow of data.

On the other hand, `let` is not any longer to write out than `var`, and many users will prefer its brevity. The majority of this handbook uses `let` declarations in that interest.

Use your best judgement, and if applicable, consult the matter with the rest of your team.

# Introduction

When using an external JavaScript library, or new host API, you'll need to use a declaration file (.d.ts) to describe the shape of that library. This guide covers a few high-level concepts specific to writing definition files, then proceeds with a number of examples that show how to transcribe various concepts to their matching definition file descriptions.

## Guidelines and Specifics

### Workflow

The best way to write a .d.ts file is to start from the documentation of the library, not the code. Working from the documentation ensures the surface you present isn't muddled with implementation details, and is typically much easier to read than JS code. The examples below will be written as if you were reading documentation that presented example calling code.

### Namespacing

When defining interfaces (for example, "options" objects), you have a choice about whether to put these types inside a namespace or not. This is largely a judgement call -- if the consumer is likely to often declare variables or parameters of that type, and the type can be named without risk of colliding with other types, prefer placing it in the global namespace. If the type is not likely to be referenced directly, or can't be named with a reasonably unique name, do use a namespace to prevent it from colliding with other types.

### Callbacks

Many JavaScript libraries take a function as a parameter, then invoke that function later with a known set of arguments. When writing the function signatures for these types, *do not* mark those parameters as optional. The right way to think of this is "*What parameters will be provided?*", not "*What parameters will be consumed?*". While TypeScript 0.9.7 and above does not enforce that the optionality, bivariance on argument optionality might be enforced by an external linter.



# Extensibility and Declaration Merging

When writing definition files, it's important to remember TypeScript's rules for extending existing objects. You might have a choice of declaring a variable using an anonymous type or an interface type:

## Anonymously-typed var

```
declare var MyPoint: { x: number; y: number; };
```

## Interfaced-typed var

```
interface SomePoint { x: number; y: number; }  
declare var MyPoint: SomePoint;
```

From a consumption side these declarations are identical, but the type `SomePoint` can be extended through interface merging:

```
interface SomePoint { z: number; }  
MyPoint.z = 4; // OK
```

Whether or not you want your declarations to be extensible in this way is a bit of a judgement call. As always, try to represent the intent of the library here.

# Class Decomposition

Classes in TypeScript create two separate types: the instance type, which defines what members an instance of a class has, and the constructor function type, which defines what members the class constructor function has. The constructor function type is also known as the "static side" type because it includes static members of the class.

While you can reference the static side of a class using the `typeof` keyword, it is sometimes useful or necessary when writing definition files to use the *decomposed class* pattern which explicitly separates the instance and static types of class.

As an example, the following two declarations are nearly equivalent from a consumption perspective:

## Standard

```
class A {  
    static st: string;  
    inst: number;  
    constructor(m: any) {}  
}
```

## Decomposed

```
interface A_Static {  
    new(m: any): A_Instance;  
    st: string;  
}  
interface A_Instance {  
    inst: number;  
}  
declare var A: A_Static;
```

The trade-offs here are as follows:

- Standard classes can be inherited from using `extends` ; decomposed classes cannot. This might change in later version of TypeScript if arbitrary `extends` expressions are allowed.
- It is possible to add members later (through declaration merging) to the static side of both standard and decomposed classes
- It is possible to add instance members to decomposed classes, but not standard classes
- You'll need to come up with sensible names for more types when writing a decomposed class

## Naming Conventions

In general, you shouldn't prefix interfaces with `I` (e.g. `IColor` ). Because the concept of an interface in TypeScript is much more broad than in C# or Java, the `IFoo` naming convention is not broadly useful.

## Examples

Let's jump in to the examples section. For each example, sample *usage* of the library is provided, followed by the definition code that accurately types the usage. When there are multiple good representations, more than one definition sample might be listed.

# Options Objects

## Usage

```
animalFactory.create("dog");
animalFactory.create("giraffe", { name: "ronald" });
animalFactory.create("panda", { name: "bob", height: 400 });
// Invalid: name must be provided if options is given
animalFactory.create("cat", { height: 32 });
```

## Typing

```
namespace animalFactory {
    interface AnimalOptions {
        name: string;
        height?: number;
        weight?: number;
    }
    function create(name: string, animalOptions?: AnimalOptions): Animal;
}
```

# Functions with Properties

## Usage

```
zooKeeper.workSchedule = "morning";
zooKeeper(giraffeCage);
```

## Typing

```
// Note: Function must precede namespace
function zooKeeper(cage: AnimalCage);
namespace zooKeeper {
    var workSchedule: string;
}
```

# New + callable methods

## Usage

```
var w = widget(32, 16);
var y = new widget("sprocket");
// w and y are both widgets
w.sprock();
y.sprock();
```

## Typing

```
interface Widget {
    sprock(): void;
}

interface WidgetFactory {
    new(name: string): Widget;
    (width: number, height: number): Widget;
}

declare var widget: WidgetFactory;
```

# Global / External-agnostic Libraries

## Usage

```
// Either
import x = require('zoo');
x.open();
// or
zoo.open();
```

## Typing

```
declare namespace zoo {
    function open(): void;
}

declare module "zoo" {
    export = zoo;
}
```

# Single Complex Object in Modules

## Usage

```
// Super-chainable library for eagles
import Eagle = require('./eagle');

// Call directly
Eagle('bald').fly();

// Invoke with new
var eddie = new Eagle('Mille');

// Set properties
eddie.kind = 'golden';
```

## Typing

```
interface Eagle {
  (kind: string): Eagle;
  new (kind: string): Eagle;

  kind: string;
  fly(): void
}

declare var Eagle: Eagle;

export = Eagle;
```

## Callbacks

### Usage

```
addLater(3, 4, x => console.log('x = ' + x));
```

### Typing

```
// Note: 'void' return type is preferred here
function addLater(x: number, y: number, (sum: number) => void): void;
```

Please post a comment [here](#) if there's a pattern you'd like to see documented! We'll add to this as we can.