

GCE January, 2020

Jiamin JIAN

Exercise 1:

Let E be measurable subset of \mathbb{R} and $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a measurable function. For a in \mathbb{R} , set $\omega_f(a) = m(\{x \in E : f(x) > a\})$, where $m(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.

(i) If $f_k : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of Lebesgue measurable, real-valued functions, such that $f_k \leq f_{k+1}$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere, show that $\omega_f \leq \omega_{f_{k+1}}$ and $\omega_{f_k} \rightarrow \omega_f$.

(ii) Recall that f_k converges in measure to f if for all positive ϵ , $m(\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\})$ tends to zero as k tends to infinity. If f_k converges in measure to f then show that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \leq \omega_f(a - \epsilon)$, and $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \geq \omega_f(a + \epsilon)$, for every $\epsilon > 0$.

(iii) If f_k converges in measure to f , show that $\omega_{f_k}(a) \rightarrow \omega_f(a)$ if ω_f is continuous at point a .

Solution:

(i) Firstly we show that $\omega_f \leq \omega_{f_{k+1}}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. By the definition of $\omega_f(a) = m(\{x \in E : f(x) > a\})$, since $f_{k+1} \geq f_k$, if $x \in \{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}$, then $x \in \{x \in E : f_{k+1}(x) > a\}$, thus $\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\} \subset \{x \in E : f_{k+1}(x) > a\}$. Therefore we have

$$\omega_{f_k}(a) = m(\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}) \leq \omega_{f_{k+1}}(a) = m(\{x \in E : f_{k+1}(x) > a\}).$$

By the arbitrary of $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\omega_f \leq \omega_{f_{k+1}}$.

Next we prove that $\omega_{f_k} \rightarrow \omega_f$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. To show that $\omega_{f_k}(a) \rightarrow \omega_f(a)$, we only need to show that

$$\int 1_{\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}} \rightarrow \int 1_{\{x \in E : f(x) > a\}}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_k \leq f_{k+1}$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e., we have $f_k \leq f$ a.e., $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $1_{\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}} \leq 1_{\{x \in E : f(x) > a\}}$ a.e. and $1_{\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}} \rightarrow 1_{\{x \in E : f(x) > a\}}$ a.e.. By the monotone convergence theorem, we have $\int 1_{\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}} \rightarrow \int 1_{\{x \in E : f(x) > a\}}$. Thus $\omega_{f_k}(a) \rightarrow \omega_f(a)$. Also by the arbitrary of $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega_{f_k} \rightarrow \omega_f$.

(ii) Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\} &= \{x \in E : f_k(x) > a, |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \cup \\ &\quad \{x \in E : f_k(x) > a, |f_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon\} \\ &\subset \{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \cup \\ &\quad \{x \in E : f_k(x) > a, f_k(x) \leq f(x) + \epsilon\} \\ &\subset \{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \cup \{x \in E : f(x) > a - \epsilon\}, \end{aligned}$$

by the subadditivity of Lebesgue measure, we have

$$m(\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}) \leq m(\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}) + m(\{x \in E : f(x) > a - \epsilon\}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_k \omega_{f_k}(a) &\leq \limsup_k m(\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}) + \omega_f(a - \epsilon) \\ &= \omega_f(a - \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

as f_k converges to f in measure.

Next we show that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \geq \omega_f(a + \epsilon)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in E : f(x) > a + \epsilon\} &= \{x \in E : f(x) > a + \epsilon, |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \cup \\ &\quad \{x \in E : f(x) > a + \epsilon, |f_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon\} \\ &\subset \{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \cup \\ &\quad \{x \in E : f(x) > a + \epsilon, f(x) \leq f_k(x) + \epsilon\} \\ &\subset \{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \cup \{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}, \end{aligned}$$

by the subadditivity of Lebesgue measure, we have

$$m(\{x \in E : f(x) > a + \epsilon\}) \leq m(\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}) + m(\{x \in E : f_k(x) > a\}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_f(a + \epsilon) &\leq \liminf_k m(\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}) + \liminf_k \omega_{f_k}(a) \\ &= \liminf_k \omega_{f_k}(a) \end{aligned}$$

as f_k converges to f in measure.

(iii) Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since ω_f is continuous at a , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\omega_f(a) - \omega_f(a - \delta)| \leq \epsilon$. As f_k converges to f in measure, by the result of (ii), we have

$$\limsup_k \omega_{f_k}(a) \leq \omega_f(a - \delta) \leq \omega_f(a) + \epsilon,$$

and

$$\liminf_k \omega_{f_k}(a) \geq \omega_f(a + \delta) \geq \omega_f(a) - \epsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\omega_f(a) + \epsilon \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \geq \omega_f(a) - \epsilon.$$

By the arbitrary of ϵ , let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\omega_f(a) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a).$$

Hence $\omega_{f_k}(a) \rightarrow \omega_f(a)$.

Exercise 2:

(i) Define the sequence of functions $g_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_n(x) = nx^n$. Show that g_n converges almost everywhere to zero. Is there a function h in $L^1([0, 1])$ such that $|g_n(x)| \leq h(x)$ for almost all x in $[0, 1]$?

(ii) If f is in $L^\infty([0, 1])$ and f is continuous at 1, show that $\int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx$ converges to $f(1)$.

(iii) If we only assume that $f \in L^1([0, 1])$ and f is continuous at 1, does $\int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx$ converges to $f(1)$?

Solution:

(i) When $x = 0$, $nx^n = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the fixed $x \in (0, 1)$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, there exists $N = \left\lfloor \frac{2}{(\frac{1}{x}-1)^2 \epsilon} \right\rfloor + 2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |nx^n - 0| &= \frac{n}{(\frac{1}{x})^n} = \frac{n}{((\frac{1}{x}-1)+1)^n} \leq \frac{n}{(\frac{1}{x}-1)^{2\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{2}{(\frac{1}{x}-1)^2} \frac{1}{n-1} < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N, \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ is the largest integer which less than or equal to x . Thus we have g_n converges to 0 when $x \in [0, 1)$, which yields that g_n converges to 0 on $[0, 1]$ as $\{1\}$ is a Lebesgue zero measure set in $[0, 1]$.

We claim that there is no such h in $L^1([0, 1])$ with $|g_n(x)| \leq h(x)$ for almost all x in $[0, 1]$. We argue it by contradiction. Suppose there is a $h \in L^1([0, 1])$ such that $|g_n(x)| \leq h(x)$ for almost all x in $[0, 1]$, by dominate convergence theorem, since $g_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} g_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} nx^n = \int_{[0,1]} 0 = 0.$$

But for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{[0,1]} g_n = \int_{[0,1]} nx^n dx = \frac{n}{n+1},$$

thus $\int_{[0,1]} g_n$ converges to 1 rather than 0, which is a contradiction.

(ii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx - f(1) \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx - \int_0^1 (n+1)x^n f(1) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 nx^n (f(x) - f(1)) dx - \int_0^1 x^n f(1) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \int_0^1 x^n |f(1)| dx \\ &= \int_0^1 nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x)$ continuous at 1, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in (1 - \delta, 1)$, we have $|f(x) - f(1)| < \epsilon/3$. And since $f(x) \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx - f(1) \right| &\leq \int_0^1 nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &= \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \int_{1-\delta}^1 nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &< 2\|f\|_\infty \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n dx + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \int_{1-\delta}^1 nx^n dx + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &= 2\|f\|_\infty \frac{n}{n+1} (1-\delta)^{n+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \frac{n}{n+1} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n+1}) + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &\leq 2\|f\|_\infty (1-\delta)^{n+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2\|f\|_\infty (1-\delta)^{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} = 0,$$

there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$2\|f\|_\infty (1-\delta)^{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Thus

$$\left| \int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx - f(1) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N,$$

which implies that $\int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx \rightarrow f(1)$.

(iii) Similarly with (ii), since $f(x)$ continuous at 1, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in (1 - \delta, 1)$, we have $|f(x) - f(1)| < \epsilon/4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx - f(1) \right| &\leq \int_0^1 nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &= \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \int_{1-\delta}^1 nx^n |f(x) - f(1)| dx + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &< \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n |f(x)| dx + \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n |f(1)| dx + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} \\
 &= \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n |f(x)| dx + \frac{n}{n+1} |f(1)| (1-\delta)^{n+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $nx^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ when $x \in (0, 1 - \delta)$, there exists a $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx^n < 1$ and $nx^n |f(x)| < |f(x)|$, $\forall n \geq N_1$. Since $f(x) \in L^1([0, 1])$, then $|f(x)| \in L^1([0, 1])$, and since $nx^n |f(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x \in (0, 1 - \delta)$, by dominate convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{1-\delta} nx^n |f(x)| dx = \int_0^{1-\delta} 0 dx = 0.$$

And by the result that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n+1} |f(1)| (1-\delta)^{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} = 0,$$

there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{n}{n+1}|f(1)|(1-\delta)^{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|f(1)|}{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx - f(1) \right| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Thus we also have $\int_0^1 nx^n f(x) dx \rightarrow f(1)$.

Exercise 3:

Let X be a metric space and A and B two subsets of X such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = X$. Show that the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Any function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if and only if the restriction of f to A and the restriction of f to B are continuous.
- (2) A and B are both open and closed in X .

Solution:

Firstly we show that (2) implies (1). Suppose A and B are both open and closed in X . If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $f|_A$ is continuous and $f|_B$ is also continuous, where $f|_A$ is the restriction of f to A . If $f|_A$ is continuous and $f|_B$ is continuous. Firstly we show that for all $x \in A$, $y \in B$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$B(x, \delta) \cap B = \emptyset, B(y, \delta) \cap A = \emptyset,$$

where $B(x, \delta)$ is a open ball with center x and radius δ . Otherwise, there exists $x \in A$, $y \in B$, for all $\delta > 0$, $B(x, \delta) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $B(y, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, which imply that $x \in \bar{B}$ and $y \in \bar{A}$, where \bar{B} is the closure of B . Since A and B are both closed in X , then we have $x \in \bar{B} = B$, $y \in \bar{A} = A$, thus $x \in A \cap B$, $y \in A \cap B$, which contradicts with $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

For each $x \in X$, since $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = X$, we suppose without lose of generality that $x \in A$. $f|_A$ is continuous and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta) \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus f is continuous at x . By the arbitrary of x , we have f is continuous on X .

Next we prove that (1) implies (2). Suppose that any function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if and only if the restriction of f to A and the restriction of f to B are continuous. Let

$$f(x) = 1_A(x) - 1_B(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then we have

$$f|_A(x) = 1_A(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f|_B(x) = -1_B(x).$$

Thus both of $f|_A$ and $f|_B$ are continuous. We have $f(x) = 1_A(x) - 1_B(x)$ is continuous on X . $\{1\}$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R} , then $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = A$ is closed in X because f is continuous on X . Thus $X \setminus A = B$ is open in X . Similarly, $\{-1\}$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R} , then $f^{-1}(\{-1\}) = B$ is closed in X because f is continuous on X . Thus $X \setminus B = A$ is open in X . Therefore A and B are both open and closed in X .