

An example of linear quadratic mean field game

Jiamin Jian

July 6, 2021

1 Problem setup

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \mathbb{P})$ be a completed filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions, on which

- $W = (W_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a standard Brownian motion taking values in \mathbb{R} ,
- ξ is a random variable in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{F}_t is generated by W_t and ξ .

Denote by \mathcal{A} the set of \mathbb{F} -progressively measurable A valued stochastic process $\alpha = (\alpha)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ that satisfy the square integrability condition

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\alpha_t|^2 dt \right] < \infty.$$

Consider the linear quadratic mean field game problem

- (i) For each fixed deterministic function $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \bar{\mu}_t \in \mathbb{R}$, solve the standard stochastic control problem

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} (X_t - \bar{\mu}_t)^2 \right) dt + \frac{k}{2} (X_T - \bar{\mu}_T)^2 \right] \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha_t dt + \sigma dW_t \\ X_0 = \xi. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) Determine a function $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \bar{\mu}_t \in \mathbb{R}$ so that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t] = \bar{\mu}_t$, where $(\hat{X}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is the optimal path of the optimal problem in the environment $(\bar{\mu}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$.

2 Existence and uniqueness by SMP

We define the generalized Hamiltonian $H : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H(t, x, \mu, y, z, a) = ay + \sigma z + \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^2.$$

The Hamiltonian is minimized for

$$\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}(t, x, \mu, y, z) = -y,$$

which is independent of the measure argument μ . By the Pontryagin maximum principle, for each fixed $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \bar{\mu}_t \in \mathbb{R}$, the optimal control problem of step (i) has a unique solution if and only if we can uniquely solve the FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = -Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t, \\ dY_t = -(X_t - \bar{\mu}_t) dt + Z_t dW_t \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with the initial condition $X_0 = \xi$ and the terminal condition $Y_T = k(X_T - \bar{\mu}_T)$. Assume that the fixed point step (ii) can be solved, we can substitute $\bar{\mu}_t$ for $\mathbb{E}[X_t]$ in (2) and the FBSDE becomes the McKean-Vlasov FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = -Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t, \\ dY_t = -(X_t - \mathbb{E}[X_t]) dt + Z_t dW_t \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with the initial condition $X_0 = \xi$ and the terminal condition $Y_T = k(X_T - \mathbb{E}[X_T])$.

Taking expectation of the both side of (3) and using the notation \bar{x}_t and \bar{y}_t for the expectations $\mathbb{E}[X_t]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y_t]$ respectively, we have

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{x}_t = -\bar{y}_t dt, & \bar{x}_0 = \mathbb{E}[\xi], \\ d\bar{y}_t = 0 dt, & \bar{y}_T = 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies that $\mathbb{E}[Y_t] = \bar{y}_t = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \bar{x}_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. From the Theorem 3.34 of [1], we know that the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the LQ MFG problem (i)-(ii) hold.

3 Search for the optimal paths

Finding the optimal mean function $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \bar{x}_t$ guarantees the existence of a solution to the MFG problem, but it does not tell much about the optimal state trajectories or the optimal control. The latter can be obtained by plugging the so-obtained \bar{x}_t into the FBSDE (3) in lieu of $\mathbb{E}[X_t]$ and solving for $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and $Y = (Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$. This search reduces to the solution of the affine FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = -Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t, & X_0 = \xi, \\ dY_t = -(X_t - \mu) dt + Z_t dW_t, & Y_T = k(X_T - \mu), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$. The standard theory of FBSDEs suggests that Y_t should be given by a deterministic function of t and X_t , the so-called decoupling field. The affine structure of the FBSDE (4) suggests that this decoupling field should be affine. Suppose

$$Y_t = a_t X_t + b_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where a_t and b_t are differentiable function on $[0, T]$. Then

$$Y_T = a_T X_T + b_T = k X_T - k \mu,$$

which gives $a_T = k$ and $b_T = -k\mu$. Also by calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
dY_t &= a_t dX_t + X_t da_t + db_t \\
&= a_t(-Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t) + X_t a'_t dt + b'_t dt \\
&= a_t((-a_t X_t - b_t) dt + \sigma dW_t) + X_t a'_t dt + b'_t dt \\
&= ((a'_t - a_t^2)X_t - a_t b_t + b'_t) dt + \sigma a_t dW_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Identifying term by term with the expression of dY_t given in (4), then

$$\begin{cases} a'_t - a_t^2 + 1 = 0, & a_T = k, \\ b'_t - a_t b_t - \mu = 0, & b_T = -\mu k, \\ Z_t = \sigma a_t. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The solution of the ODE system (5) is

$$\begin{cases} a_t = -1 + \frac{2}{1 - (1 - \frac{2}{k+1})e^{2(T-t)}}, \\ b_t = -\mu k e^{\int_t^T -a_s ds} - \int_t^T \mu e^{\int_t^s -a_u du} ds, \\ Z_t = \sigma a_t. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Plugging $Y_t = a_t X_t + b_t$ back to the FBSDE (4), then we know that

$$dX_t = (-a_t X_t - b_t) dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

which gives the optimal trajectory

$$X_t = X_0 e^{\int_0^t -a_s ds} + \int_0^t -b_s e^{\int_s^t -a_u du} ds + \sigma \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t -a_u du} dW_s,$$

and $Y_t = a_t X_t + b_t$, where a_t and b_t are given in (6).

3.1 A special case: $k = 1$

Consider $k = 1$, the solution of the coefficient functions is as follows

$$\begin{cases} a_t = 1, \\ b_t = -\mu, \\ Z_t = \sigma. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

All the coefficient function are constants, and we know that $Y_t = -X_t + \mu$. Plugging it back to the FBSDE (4), we have

$$dX_t = (\mu - X_t) dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

thus $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a OrnsteinUhlenbeck process and the explicit form of X is given by

$$X_t = X_0 e^{-t} + \mu(1 - e^{-t}) + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} dW_s.$$

4 Analytical approach

Denote

$$v(X, t) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_s^2 + \frac{1}{2} (X_s - \bar{\mu}_s)^2 \right) ds + \frac{k}{2} (X_T - \bar{\mu}_T)^2 \middle| X_t = X \right].$$

Note that the above linear quadratic mean field game model can be characterized by Hamilton-Jacobian-Bellman equation coupled by Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_{xx} v - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x v)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (x - \bar{\mu})^2 = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t m - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_{xx} m - \partial_x (m \partial_x v) = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ m_0 \sim \mathcal{L}(\xi), v(x, T) = \frac{k}{2} (x - \bar{\mu}_T)^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Inspired by the linear quadratic structure, we use the ansatz of value function

$$v(x, t) = f_1(t)x^2 + f_2(t)x + f_3(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

Plugging it back to the HJB equation, then we can get the ODE system for the coefficient functions as follows

$$\begin{cases} f_1' - 2f_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} = 0, & f_1(T) = \frac{k}{2}, \\ f_2' - 2f_1 f_2 - \bar{\mu} = 0, & f_2(T) = -k\bar{\mu}_T, \\ f_3' + \sigma^2 f_1 - \frac{1}{2} f_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mu}^2 = 0, & f_3(T) = \frac{k}{2} \bar{\mu}_T^2. \end{cases}$$

We can see that the coefficient function f_2 depends on the expectation of X . Note that the optimal strategy $\alpha_t^* = -\partial_x v = -2f_1(t)x - f_2(t)$. Hence under the optimal control

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] + \int_0^t (-2f_1(s)\mathbb{E}[X_s] - f_2(s)) ds,$$

Since $\bar{\mu}(t) = \mathbb{E}[X_t]$, which follows

$$\bar{\mu}' = -2f_1\bar{\mu} - f_2.$$

Therefore we can obtain the forward-backward Riccati ODE system

$$\begin{cases} f_1' - 2f_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} = 0, & f_1(T) = \frac{k}{2}, \\ f_2' - 2f_1 f_2 - \bar{\mu} = 0, & f_2(T) = -k\bar{\mu}_T, \\ f_3' + \sigma^2 f_1 - \frac{1}{2} f_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mu}^2 = 0, & f_3(T) = \frac{k}{2} \bar{\mu}_T^2, \\ \bar{\mu}' + 2f_1\bar{\mu} + f_2 = 0, & \bar{\mu}_0 = \mathbb{E}[\xi] := \mu. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We find that the solution of (8) is coincide with the solution of ODE system (5) by

$$f_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}a_t, \quad f_2(t) = b_t, \quad \bar{\mu}_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi] = \mu, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

When $k = 1$, we know that the solution of the Ricatti ODE system (8) is

$$f_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f_2(t) = -\mu, \quad f_3(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t) + \frac{1}{2}\mu, \quad \bar{\mu}_t = \mu$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

There is a similar model in the appendix of [2], where also gives an ODE for the variance of X . Therefore, the analytical method can give more information, but at the cost of more complex forward-backward ODE system.

References

- [1] Carmona René, and François Delarue. *Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications I*, volume 83 of Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling. 2018.
- [2] Jiamin Jian, Peiyao Lai, Qingshuo Song, and Jiaxuan Ye. Regime Switching Mean Field Games with Quadratic Costs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.04762*. 2021.