

is almost uniform (three graphs occur once and two graphs

The frequency distribution of the five subgraphs in Figure 1 by the data mining community.

efficiently are challenging tasks that have not been addressed the concept of *nustable communities* and computing them may be present in an ensemble of networks. Formalizing Experiments in Section VI). Moreover, several such groups networks, communication networks and citation networks (see can arise in many different applications including contact possible subgraphs among them. Such *nustable communities* time, these three characters induce as many as five of the eight which is reflected in their social networks (see Figure 1). Over friendship because of their dating and/or breakup with Karly, Chandler and Joel experience breakup and renewal of their in the popular TV show *Friends*: Chandler, Joel and Karly. Following example involves the social network of three characters think of such a group as an “*nustable community*.” The total-considerable variation across an ensemble of networks. We can nodes such that the subgraphs induced by this group show

Here, we study the opposite problem. Consider a set of fraction of the graphs may indicate a close-knit community. example, a group of people who are well-connected in a large is to compute frequent dense subgraphs (see Section II). For and nodes of interest in these graphs. A well-studied problem are faced by many existing techniques is to identify subgraphs problem, especially in the current ‘big-data’ era. A typical is- Mining such ensembles of graphs is an increasingly important like disease spreading, mobility tracking, and social networks.

Ensembles of graphs arise in several natural applications,

I. INTRODUCTION

levels. First, on the graph level, representative works include **Mining Static Graphs**: This work can be grouped into three to find informative and interesting sets of nodes.

network ensembles (including time-varying social networks) the research discussed below considers structural variation in

We survey related work in this section. Briefly, none of

II. RELATED WORK

variations in these networks (Section VI-C3).

show the usefulness of our UCs in summarizing structural as broke call, citation, and communication networks. We

(c) **Results**: We apply our algorithms to diverse datasets such (Section V).

develop effective and efficient algorithms to mine UCs able property of anti-monotonicity, which we leverage to

(p) **Algorithm**: We show that our definitions have the desired (Section IV).

also compare these definitions and discuss their trade-offs *nustable communities* (or, UCs, in short) (Section III). We

graph mining problems based on two novel definitions of

(a) **Problem Formulation**: We introduce a new class of the following contributions in this paper:

communities in the context of network ensembles. We make this idea to propose the first formal definitions of *nustable* of its induced subgraphs and the uniform distribution. We use by computing the difference between the frequency distribution. Hence, the “*nustability*” of such a community may be captured subgraphs should have near-uniform frequency distribution. highly varying subgraphs in an ensemble of networks and these occur twice). Intuitively, an *nustable community* should induce