

Jian Liew - Teaching Evaluation Report SETU Semester 2, 2017 Faculty of Information Technology FIT5032_CAULFIELD_DAY_ON_S2-01 Internet applications development

Overall Unit Offering Response Rate


| Raters | Students |
|---|----------|
| Responded to the University-Wide items in this Unit Offering Survey | 97 |
| Invited | 262 |
| Response Rate | 37.02% |

Surveys completed for this teacher


The number of students who responded to the 'Teacher Evaluation' items for this staff member: 12

In relation to FIT5032, I found Jian Liew:

1. Well prepared



2. Enthusiastic in the way they presented the unit




| Options | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Strongly Agree | 9 | 75.00% |
| Agree | 3 | 25.00% |
| Neutral | 0 | 0.00% |
| Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Don't know | 0 | 0.00% |

| Statistics | Value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 12 |
| Mean | 4.75 |
| Median | 4.83 |
| Strongly Agree/Agree: | 100.00% |


| Options | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Strongly Agree | 9 | 75.00% |
| Agree | 3 | 25.00% |
| Neutral | 0 | 0.00% |
| Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Don't know | 0 | 0.00% |

| Statistics | Value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 12 |
| Mean | 4.75 |
| Median | 4.83 |
| Strongly Agree/Agree: | 100.00% |

3. Clear in their explanations



4. Provided useful feedback to students



| Options | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Strongly Agree | 9 | 75.00% |
| Agree | 3 | 25.00% |
| Neutral | 0 | 0.00% |
| Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Don't know | 0 | 0.00% |


| Options | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Strongly Agree | 8 | 66.67% |
| Agree | 4 | 33.33% |
| Neutral | 0 | 0.00% |
| Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Don't know | 0 | 0.00% |

| Statistics | Value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 12 |
| Mean | 4.75 |
| Median | 4.83 |
| Strongly Agree/Agree: | 100.00% |

| Statistics | Value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 12 |
| Mean | 4.67 |
| Median | 4.75 |
| Strongly Agree/Agree: | 100.00% |

In relation to FIT5032, I found Jian Liew: (continued)

5. Provided opportunities for interaction



| Options | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Strongly Agree | 9 | 75.00% |
| Agree | 3 | 25.00% |
| Neutral | 0 | 0.00% |
| Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Don't know | 0 | 0.00% |

| Statistics | Value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Response Count | 12 |
| Mean | 4.75 |
| Median | 4.83 |
| Strongly Agree/Agree: | 100.00% |

The response rate shown at the top of the report is an overall SETU response rate for the unit offering that is based on the proportion of invited students that completed the survey. The response rate for individual teachers (i.e. the proportion of the students that they taught that answered the teaching questions) may differ - however the 'Surveys completed for the teacher' figure reported at the top of the report enables the calculation of a response rate for the teacher for a specific question.

^{*} The median shown here (interpolated median) is calculated under the assumption that the five point scale represents a continuous random variable rather than five discrete categories. For further information on how this measure is calculated please refer to :http://www.monash.edu/ups/setu/setu-results#methodology