

# Monte Carlo MAP 5615 HW2

---

Sen Zhang

2

$$D^+ = \max\left(\frac{k}{N} - F(x_k)\right) = \max\left(\frac{2}{15}, \frac{1}{15}, 0.3\right) = 0.3$$

$$D^- = \max\left(F(x_k) - \frac{k-1}{N}\right) = \max\left(0.2, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{1}{30}\right) = \frac{4}{15}$$

$$D_N = \max(D^+, D^-) = 0.3$$

$D_N$  measures the distance between functions  $F_N$  and  $F$ .

$D^+$  measures the maximum distance between functions  $F_N$  and  $F$  at the left end point of the interval  $[x_k, x_{k+1})$ .

$D^-$  measures the maximum distance between functions  $F_N$  and  $F$  at the right end point of the interval  $[x_k, x_{k+1})$ .

3

*Left hand side*

$$= \max\left[F(x_1) - \frac{1-1}{N}, \max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} \left(F(x_{k+1}) - \frac{k}{N}, \frac{k}{N} - F(x_k)\right), \frac{N}{N} - F(x_N)\right]$$

$$= \max\left[\max_{k=1, \dots, N} \left(\frac{k}{N} - F(x_k)\right), \max_{k=1, \dots, N} \left(F(x_k) - \frac{k-1}{N}\right)\right]$$

*= Right hand side*

5

I tried RANDU generator and the LCG  $x_n \equiv x_{n-1} \bmod 10000$ .

RANDU is bad random number generator since the triplets of random numbers RANDU generated lie on no more than 15 planes in  $R^3$ . This doesn't look random at all.

The other LCG generator is bad since it generates integers from 1 to 10000. This is not a sequence of random numbers.

Following is the result for RANDU. See code at appendix [1]

**RANDU**

>> chiKS

c =

14.2000	8.2400	9.5000	12.1400	14.0800	6.0400	8.5200
9.7400	14.8000	15.0000				

DN =  
0.3898

**Since  $0.3898 < 0.41$ , we accept that  $c$  follows chi square distribution with degree 9 at the 5% significance level.**

Note: 0.41 comes from the table of KS test with  $N=10$  and 5% significant level. See appendix [2]

**LCG**

>> chiKS

c =

Columns 1 through 8

	1000		1000		1000		1000		1000
1000		1000		1000					

Columns 9 through 10

	1000		1000
--	------	--	------

DN =  
1

**Since  $1 > 0.41$ , we reject that  $c$  follows chi square distribution with degree 9 at the 5% significance level.**

By this test RANDU are good random number generators while the LCG is a bad one. This also means the test is weak.

7

In the chi square test, we have 2 assumptions. The random experiment has mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes. The  $nP_i > 5$  for all  $i$ .

Assuming we have the probability of run up of length  $i$   $P_i$ , we still cannot make sure  $nP_i > 5$  if  $i$  is very large. So I don't think we can use chi square test directly. We may set the probability of run up of length  $t$  or more as a outcome. Then we can use chi square test.

9

Design a test:

Given a sequence of random numbers. Consider the interval  $L=(0, 0.5)$  and  $R= (0.5, 1)$  , the equ-head-tail of length  $p$  is by giving an example. Consider the numbers 0.3,0.6,0.7,0.4,0.8,.1,0.9,0.8,0.3,0.2,0.7. Say a random number lie in  $L$  is tail and one lie in  $R$  is head. Put a vertical line when the total number of heads and tails are equal.  
0.3,0.6 | 0.7,0.4 | 0.8,0.1 | 0.9,0.8,0.3,0.2 | 0.7

Count the numbers in each block. We have a equ-head-tail length 2,2,2,4.

Apply chi square test as following:

Pick a value  $t$ . Let  $u(i)$  be the number of equ-head-tail of length  $2^i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t-1$ ; let  $u(t)$  be the number of length  $2^t$  or more. The outcomes of the test are: “equ-head-tail length of 2”, “equ-head-tail length of 4”..... “equ-head-tail length of  $2^{t-2}$ ” and “equ-head-tail length of  $2^t$  or more”. We know the probability of each outcome occurring, write as  $P_i$ . Pick a value  $n$  such that  $nP_i > 5$ . Given a sequence of random number, go through the sequence to identify blocks as “equ-head-tail length of  $i$ ”, for some  $i$ . Stop when there are a total of  $n$  length found. Count the number of equ-head-tail length of  $i$ , and  $t$  or more. These counts are the  $Y_i$  value in chi square test.

For example, apply the test on RANDU generator.

Pick  $t = 4$ ,  $n = 100$ . See code in appendix [3]

Equ-head-tail length	2	4	6	8 or more
$P_i$	0.5	0.125	0.0625	0.3125
$Y_i$	50	15	5	30
$nP_i$	50	12.5	6.25	31.25

$Q_3=0.8$ ,  $P(x<0.8)=0.1505$ , so accept RANDU generator at the significant level 5%. We can see that this test is a weak test since RANDU is a bad generator.

## Appendix

[1] code of problem 5

function chiKS

x=RANDU(1,10000);

%x=[1:10000];

M=10;

k=10;

p=1/k;

c = zeros(1,M);

for m = 1:M

    for i = 1:k

        s=x(1000\*(m-1)+1:1000\*m);

        Y(i)=0;

        for j = 1:1000

            if ((i-1)/k) <= s(j) && s(j) < (i/k)

                Y(i) = Y(i) +1;

        end

```

        end
        c(m)=c(m)+(Y(i)-1000*p)^2/(1000*p);
    end
end
for i = 1:M
    DU(i)=i/M-chi2cdf(c(i),9);
    DD(i)=chi2cdf(c(i),9)-(i-1)/M;
end
DU=sort(DU);
DD=sort(DD);
DN=max(DU(M),DD(M));
c
DN

```

[2] table of KS test

<http://www.eridlc.com/onlinetextbook/appendix/table7.htm>

[3] code of problem 9

```

function updown
s=RANDU(1,10000);
c=0;
U=0;
D=0;
for j = 1:10000
    if s(j) < 0.5
        U = U +1;
    else
        D = D +1;
    end
    j = j+1;
    if U == D
        c=[c, j-1];
        n = size(c);
        if n(2)>100
            break
        end
    end
end
end

count=zeros(1,4);
C=[];

```

```
for i = 1:100
    C(i)= c(i+1)-c(i);
end
for i = 1:100
    if C(i)==2
        count(1)=count(1)+1;
    elseif C(i) == 4
        count(2)=count(2)+1;
    elseif C(i)== 6
        count(3) = count(3)+1;
    else
        count(4)=count(4)+1;
    end
end
count
end
```