

CS 343 Fall 2017 – Assignment 3
Instructor: Peter Buhr
Due Date: Monday, October 23, 2017 at 22:00
Late Date: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 at 22:00

September 21, 2017

This assignment examines synchronization and mutual exclusion, and introduces locks in $\mu\text{C++}$. Use it to become familiar with these new facilities, and ensure you use these concepts in your assignment solution. (You may freely use the code from these [example programs](#).) (Tasks may *not* have public members except for constructors and/or destructors.)

1. Given the C++ program in Figure 1, compare stack versus heap allocation in a concurrent program.
 - (a) Compare the versions of the program and different numbers of tasks with respect to performance by doing the following:
 - Run the program after compiling without any preprocessor variables, and with preprocessor variables DARRAY, VECTOR1 and VECTOR2. Use compiler flags `-O2 -multi -nodebug`.
 - Time the executions using the time command:

```
$ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" ./a.out 2 10000000
3.21u 0.02s 0:03.32
```

(Output from time differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* (3.21u) and *real* (0:3.32) time among runs, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system) and the total time from the start to the end of the program.
 - Use the second command-line argument (as necessary) to adjust the real time into the range 1 to 100 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same command-line values for all experiments, if possible; otherwise, increase/decrease the arguments as necessary and scale the difference in the answer.
 - Run the 4 experiments with the number of tasks set to 1, 2, and 4.
 - Include all 12 timing results to validate your experiments.
 - (b) State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) with respect to scaling the number of tasks for each version.
 - (c) Very briefly (2-4 sentences) speculate on the performance scaling among the versions.
2. (a) Quick sort is one of the best sorting algorithms in terms of execution speed on randomly arranged data. It also lends itself easily to concurrent execution by partitioning the data into those greater than a pivot and those less than a pivot so each partition can be sorted independently and concurrently by another task. Write an in-place concurrent quick sort with the following public interface (you may add only a public destructor and private members):

```
template<typename T> _Task Quicksort {
public:
    Quicksort( T values[], unsigned int low, unsigned int high, unsigned int depth );
};
```

that sorts an array of non-unique values into ascending order. Choose the pivot as follows:

```
pivot = array[low + ( high - low ) / 2];
```

A naïve conversion of a sequential quicksort to a concurrent quicksort partitions the data values as normal, but instead of recursively invoking quicksort on each partition, a new quicksort task is created to handle

```

#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <memory>                                // unique_ptr
using namespace std;

int tasks = 1, times = 10000000;                // default values

_Task Worker {
    enum { size = 100 };
    void main() {
        for ( int t = 0; t < times; t += 1 ) {
#ifdef IMPLKIND_DARRAY
            unique_ptr<volatile int []> arr( new volatile int[size] );
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr[i] = i;
#elif defined( IMPLKIND_VECTOR1 )
            vector<int> arr( size );
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr.at(i) = i;
#elif defined( IMPLKIND_VECTOR2 )
            vector<int> arr;
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr.push_back(i);
#else // STACK ARRAY
            volatile int arr[size] __attribute__(( unused )); // prevent unused warning
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr[i] = i;
#endif
        } // for
    } // Worker::main
}; // Worker

int main( int argc, char * argv[] ) {
    try {
        switch ( argc ) {
            case 3:
                times = stoi( argv[2] ); if ( times <= 0 ) throw 1;
            case 2:
                tasks = stoi( argv[1] ); if ( tasks <= 0 ) throw 1;
        } // switch
    } catch( ... ) {
        cout << "Usage: " << argv[0] << " [ tasks (> 0) [ times (> 0) ] ]" << endl;
        exit( 1 );
    } // try
    uProcessor p[tasks - 1];
    Worker workers[tasks];
} // main
// add CPUs (start with one)
// add threads

```

Figure 1: Stack versus Dynamic Allocation

each partition. (For this discussion, assume no other sorting algorithm is used for small partitions.) However, this approach creates a large number of tasks: approximately $2 \times N$, where N is the number of data values. The number of tasks can be reduced to approximately N by only creating a new quicksort task for one partition and recursively sorting the other partition in the current quicksort task.

In general, creating many more tasks than processors significantly reduces performance (try an example to see the effect) due to contention on accessing the processors versus any contention in the program itself. The only way to achieve good performance for a concurrent quicksort is to significantly reduce the number of quicksort tasks via an additional argument that limits the tree depth of the quicksort tasks (see details below). The depth argument is decremented on each recursive call and tasks are only created while this argument is greater than zero; otherwise sequential recursive-calls are used to sort each partition.

Recursion can overflow a task's stack, since the default task size is only 32K or 64K bytes in $\mu\text{C++}$. To check for stack overflow, call `verify()` at the start of the recursive routine, which prints a warning message if the call is close to the task's stack-limit or terminates the program if the stack limit is exceeded. If `verify` produces a warning or an error, globally increase the stack size for all tasks by adding the following routine to your code:

```

unsigned int uDefaultStackSize() {
    return 512 * 1000;    // set task stack-size to 512K
}

```

which is automatically called by μ C++ at task creation to set the stack size. Finally, to maximize efficiency, quicksort tasks must not be created by calls to **new**, i.e., no dynamic allocation is necessary for quicksort tasks.

Add the following declaration to the program main after checking command-line arguments but before creating any tasks:

```
uProcessor p[ (1 << depth) - 1 ] __attribute__(( unused )); // 2^depth-1 kernel threads
```

to increase the number of kernel threads to access multiple processors (there is always one existing processor). This declaration must be in the same scope as the declaration of the initial quicksort task for the timing mode.

The executable program is named quicksort and has the following shell interface:

```

quicksort -s unsorted-file [ sorted-file ]
quicksort -t size (>= 0) [ depth (>= 0) ]

```

(Square brackets indicate optional command line parameters, and do not appear on the actual command line.) The type of the input values is provided as a preprocessor variable.

The program has two modes depending on the command option **-s** or **-t** (i.e., sort or time):

- i. For the sort mode, input number of values, input values, sort using 1 processor, output sorted values. Input and output is specified as follows:

- If the unsorted input file is not specified, print an appropriate usage message and terminate. The input file contains lists of unsorted values. Each list starts with the number of values in that list. For example, the input file:

```

8 25 6 8 -5 99 100 101 7
3 1 -3 5
0
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
61 60 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 52 51 50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 39 38 37 36 35 34
33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4
3 2 1 0

```

contains 5 lists with 8, 3, 0, 10, and 61 values in each list. (The line breaks are for readability only; values can be separated by any white-space character and appear across any number of lines.) Since the number of data values can be (very) large, dynamically allocate the array to hold the values, otherwise the array can exceed the stack size of the program main.

Assume the first number in the input file is always present and correctly specifies the number of following values; assume all following values are correctly formed so no error checking is required on the input data.

- If no output file name is specified, use standard output. Print the original input list followed by the sorted list, as in:

```

25 6 8 -5 99 100 101 7
-5 6 7 8 25 99 100 101

1 -3 5
-3 1 5

```

blank line from list of length 0 (this line not actually printed)
blank line from list of length 0 (this line not actually printed)

```

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

```

```

60 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 52 51 50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 39
38 37 36 35 34 33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17
16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

```

for the previous input file. End each set of output with a blank line, and start a newline with 2 spaces after printing 22 values from a set of values.

- ii. For the time mode, dimension an integer array to size, initialize the array to values size..1 (descending order), sort using $2^{\text{depth}} - 1$ processors, and print no values (used for timing experiments). Parameter depth is a non-negative number (≥ 0). The default value if unspecified is 0. This mode is used to time the performance of the quicksort over a fixed set of values in descending order using different numbers of processors.

Print an appropriate error message and terminate the program if unable to open the given files. Check command arguments size and depth for correct form (integer) and range; print an appropriate usage message and terminate the program if a value is invalid.

- (b) i. Compare the speedup of the quicksort algorithm with respect to performance by doing the following:

- Time the execution using the time command:

```

$ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" quicksort -t 100000000 0
14.80u 0.71s 0:15.53

```

(Output from time differs depending on your shell, but all provide user, system and real time.) Compare the *user* (14.8u) and *real* (0:15.53) time among runs, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system) and the total time from the start to the end of the program.

- Adjust the array size to get real time in the range 5 to 20 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same array size for all experiments.
- After establishing an array size, run 7 experiments varying the value of depth from 0 1 2 3 4 5 6. Include all 7 timing results to validate your experiments.

- ii. State the observed performance difference with respect to scaling when using different numbers of processors to achieve parallelism.

- iii. Very briefly (2-4 sentences) speculate on the program behaviour.

3. (a) Implement a generalized FIFO bounded-buffer for a producer/consumer problem with the following interface (you may add only a public destructor and private members):

```

template<typename T> class BoundedBuffer {
public:
    BoundedBuffer( const unsigned int size = 10 );
    void insert( T elem );
    T remove();
};

```

which creates a bounded buffer of size size, and supports multiple producers and consumers. You may *only* use uCondLock and uOwnerLock to implement the necessary synchronization and mutual exclusion needed by the bounded buffer.

Implement the BoundedBuffer in the following ways:

- i. Use busy waiting when waiting for buffer entries to become free or empty. In this approach, new tasks may barge into the buffer taking free or empty entries from tasks that have been signalled to access these entries. This implementation uses one owner and two condition locks, where the waiting producer and consumer tasks block on the separate condition locks. (If necessary, you may add more locks.) The reason there is barging in this solution is that uCondLock::wait re-acquires its argument owner-lock before returning. Now once the owner-lock is released by a task exiting insert or remove, there is a race to acquire the lock by a new task calling insert/remove and by a signalled task. If the calling task wins the race, it barges ahead of any signalled task. So the state of the buffer at the time of the signal is not the same as the time the signalled task re-acquires the argument owner-lock, because

the barging task changes the buffer. Hence, the signalled task may have to wait again (looping), and there is no guarantee of eventual progress (long-term starvation).

- ii. Use *no busy waiting* when waiting for buffer entries to become free or empty; In this approach, use *barging avoidance* so a barging task cannot take free or empty buffer entries if another task has been unblocked to access these entries. This implementation uses one owner and three condition locks, where the waiting producer, consumer, and barging tasks block on the separate condition locks, and (*has no looping*). (If necessary, you may add more locks.) Hint, one way to prevent barging is to use a flag variable to indicate when signalling is occurring; entering tasks test the flag to know if they are barging and wait on the barging condition-lock. When signalling is finished, a barging task is unblocked. (Other solutions to prevent barging are allowed but loops are not allowed.)
- iii. Briefly explain why it is impossible to solve this problem using *barging prevention*.

Before inserting or removing an item to/from the buffer, perform an assert that checks if the buffer is not full or not empty, respectively. Both buffer implementations are defined in a single .h file separated in the following way:

```
#ifdef BUSY                                // busy waiting implementation
// implementation
#endif // BUSY

#ifdef NOBUSY                              // no busy waiting implementation
// implementation
#endif // NOBUSY
```

The kind of buffer is specified externally by a preprocessor variable of BUSY or NOBUSY.

Test the bounded buffer with a number of producers and consumers. The producer interface is:

```
_Task Producer {
    void main();
public:
    Producer( BoundedBuffer<int> &buffer, const int Produce, const int Delay );
};
```

The producer generates Produce integers, from 1 to Produce inclusive, and inserts them into buffer. Before producing an item, a producer randomly yields between 0 and Delay-1 times. Yielding is accomplished by calling yield(times) to give up a task's CPU time-slice a number of times. The consumer interface is:

```
_Task Consumer {
    void main();
public:
    Consumer( BoundedBuffer<int> &buffer, const int Delay, const int Sentinel, int &sum );
};
```

The consumer removes items from buffer, and terminates when it removes a Sentinel value from the buffer. A consumer sums all the values it removes from buffer (excluding the Sentinel value) and returns this value through the reference variable sum. Before removing an item, a consumer randomly yields between 0 and Delay-1 times.

The program main creates the bounded buffer, the producer and consumer tasks. Use a buffer-element type of **int** and a sentinel value of -1 for testing. After all the producer tasks have terminated, the program main inserts an appropriate number of sentinel values (the default sentinel value is -1) into the buffer to terminate the consumers. The partial sums from each consumer are totalled to produce the sum of all values generated by the producers. Print this total in the following way:

total: dddd...

The sum must be the same regardless of the order or speed of execution of the producer and consumer tasks.

The shell interface for the boundedBuffer program is:

```
buffer [ Cons [ Prods [ Produce [ BufferSize [ Delays ] ] ] ] ]
```

(Square brackets indicate optional command line parameters, and do not appear on the actual command line.) Where the meaning of each parameter is:

Cons: positive number of consumers to create. The default value if unspecified is 5.

Prods: positive number of producers to create. The default value if unspecified is 3.

Produce: positive number of items generated by each producer. The default value if unspecified is 10.

BufferSize: positive number of elements in (size of) the bounded buffer. The default value if unspecified is 10.

Delays: positive number of times a producer/consumer yields *before* inserting/removing an item into/from the buffer. The default value if unspecified is Cons + Prods.

Use the monitor [MPRNG](#) to safely generate random values (monitors will be discussed shortly). Check all command arguments for correct form (integers) and range; print an appropriate usage message and terminate the program if a value is missing or invalid. The type of the buffer elements and the sentinel value are specified externally by preprocessor variables TYPE and SENTINEL, respectively.

Add the following declaration to the program main immediately after checking command-line arguments but before creating any tasks:

```
#ifdef __U_MULTITHREAD__
    uProcessor p[3] __attribute__((unused)); // create 3 kernel thread for a total of 4
#endif // __U_MULTITHREAD__
```

to increase the number of kernel threads to access multiple processors. The program starts with one kernel thread so only 4 - 1 additional kernel threads are necessary.

- (b)
 - i. Compare the busy and non-busy waiting versions of the program with respect to *uniprocessor* performance by doing the following:
 - Time the executions using the time command:


```
$ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" ./a.out
3.21u 0.02s 0:03.32
```

 (Output from time differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* time (3.21u) only, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system and real time).
 - Use the program command-line arguments 50 55 10000 30 10 and adjust the Produce amount (if necessary) to get program execution into the range 1 to 100 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same command-line values for all experiments, if possible; otherwise, increase/decrease the arguments as necessary and scale the difference in the answer.
 - Run both the experiments again after recompiling the programs with compiler optimization turned on (i.e., compiler flag -O2).
 - Include 4 timing results to validate the experiments.
 - ii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between uniprocessor busy and nobusy waiting execution, without and with optimization.
 - iii. Compare the busy and non-busy waiting versions of the program with respect to *multiprocessor* performance by repeating the above experiment with the -multi flag.
 - Include 4 timing results to validate the experiments.
 - iv. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between multiprocessor busy and nobusy waiting execution, without and with optimization.
 - v. Speculate as to the reason for the performance difference between busy and non-busy execution.
 - vi. Speculate as to the reason for the performance difference between uniprocessor and multiprocessor execution.

Submission Guidelines

Please follow these guidelines carefully. Review the [Assignment Guidelines](#) and [C++ Coding Guidelines](#) *before* starting each assignment. **Each text file, i.e., *.txt file, must be ASCII text and not exceed 500 lines in length, where a line is a maximum of 120 characters.** Programs should be divided into separate compilation units, i.e., *.{h,cc,C,cpp} files, where applicable. Use the [submit](#) command to electronically copy the following files to the course account.

1. q1new.txt – contains the information required by question 1, p. 1.

2. q2quicksort.h, q2*.{h,cc,C,cpp} – code for question question question 2a, p. 1. **Program documentation must be present in your submitted code. No user, system or test documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.**
3. q2*.txt – contains the information required by question question 2b, p. 4. **Poor documentation of how and/or what is tested can results in a loss of all marks allocated to testing.**
4. MPRNG.h – random number generator (provided)
5. q3buffer.h, q3*.{h,cc,C,cpp} – code for question question 3a, p. 4. **Program documentation must be present in your submitted code. No user, system or test documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.**
6. q3*.txt – contains the information required by question 3b.
7. Modify the following Makefile to compile the programs for question 2a, p. 1 and 3a, p. 4 by inserting the object-file names matching your source-file names.

```

TYPE:=int
SENTINEL:=-1
KIND:=NOBUSY
OPT:= # -multi -O2

CXX = u++                                # compiler
CXXFLAGS = -g -Wall ${OPT} -MMD -std=c++11 -DTYPE="${TYPE}" \
           -DSENTINEL=${SENTINEL} -D${KIND} # compiler flags
MAKEFILE_NAME = ${firstword ${MAKEFILE_LIST}} # makefile name

OBJECTS1 = # object files forming 1st executable with prefix "q2"
EXEC1 = quicksort                        # 1st executable name

OBJECTS2 = # object files forming 2nd executable with prefix "q3"
EXEC2 = buffer                          # 2nd executable name

OBJECTS = ${OBJECTS1} ${OBJECTS2}      # all object files
DEPENDS = ${OBJECTS:.o=.d}             # substitute ".o" with ".d"
EXECS = ${EXEC1} ${EXEC2}              # all executables

#####

.PHONY : all clean

all : ${EXECS}                          # build all executables

-include ImplType

ifeq (${IMPLTYPE},${TYPE})              # same implementation type as last time ?
${EXEC1} : ${OBJECTS1}
        ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
else

```



```

ifeq (${TYPE},)                                # no implementation type specified ?
# set type to previous type
TYPE=${IMPLTYPE}
${EXEC1} : ${OBJECTS1}
    ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
else                                             # implementation type has changed
.PHONY : ${EXEC1}
${EXEC1} :
    rm -f ImplType
    touch q2quicksort.h
    sleep 1
    ${MAKE} ${EXEC1} TYPE="${TYPE}"
endif
endif

ImplType :
    echo "IMPLTYPE=${TYPE}" > ImplType
    sleep 1

-include ImplKind

ifeq (${IMPLKIND},${KIND})                       # same implementation type as last time ?
${EXEC2} : ${OBJECTS2}
    ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
else
ifeq (${KIND},)                                # no implementation type specified ?
# set type to previous type
KIND=${IMPLKIND}
${EXEC2} : ${OBJECTS2}
    ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
else                                             # implementation type has changed
.PHONY : ${EXEC2}
${EXEC2} :
    rm -f ImplKind
    touch q3buffer.h
    sleep 1
    ${MAKE} ${EXEC2} KIND="${KIND}"
endif
endif

ImplKind :
    echo "IMPLKIND=${KIND}" > ImplKind
    sleep 1

#####

${OBJECTS} : ${MAKEFILE_NAME}                   # OPTIONAL : changes to this file => recompile

-include ${DEPENDS}                             # include *.d files containing program dependences

clean :                                          # remove files that can be regenerated
    rm -f *.d *.o ${EXECS} ImplType ImplKind

```

This makefile is used as follows:

```

$ make quicksort TYPE=double OPT="-multi -O2"
$ quicksort -s unsorted sorted
$ make quicksort OPT="-multi -O2" # switch to TYPE=int
$ quicksort -t 5000 4
$ make buffer KIND=BUSY           # use SENTINEL:=-1
$ buffer ...
$ make buffer KIND=NOBUSY SENTINEL=0 OPT="-multi -O2" # switch to SENTINEL=0
$ buffer ...

```


Put this Makefile in the directory with the programs, name the source files as specified above, and then type `make nostaticexits`, `make quicksort` or `make buffer` in the directory to compile the programs. This Makefile must be submitted with the assignment to build the program, so it must be correct. Use the web tool [Request Test Compilation](#) to ensure you have submitted the appropriate files, your makefile is correct, and your code compiles in the testing environment.

Follow these guidelines. Your grade depends on it!