


Learning Objectives

- 1. What are feed-forward network functions?
- 2. What are cost functions for network training?
- 3. What are error propagation schemes for neural networks?
- 4. How to compute Jacobian and Hessian matrices?
- 5. How to achieve regularization for neural networks?
- 6. What are Bayesian MAP neural networks?
- 7. What are convolution neural networks?
- 8. What are generative adversarial networks?

Outlines

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN


Goal: to extend linear model by making the basis functions depend on parameters, allow these parameters to be adjusted.




☐ The overall network function, comprising two stage processing, becomes a linear regression model with adaptive basis functions

$$y_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma \left(\sum_{j=1}^M w_{kj}^{(2)} h \left(\sum_{i=1}^D w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)} \right) + w_{k0}^{(2)} \right)$$

Adaptive basis functions



The capability of network functions to approximate different functions



The capability of network functions to form the decision surface: **red line**; the optimal decision surface: **green line**

Outlines

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN

Network Training (I)

 \Box t has a Gaussian distribution with an x-dependent mean

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}\left(t|y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(t_n|\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}, \beta)$$
 (likelihood function)

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{1}^{N} \{y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2 - \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta + \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) \quad \text{(Negative log)}$$

Network Training (II)

■ Maximizing the likelihood function is equivalent to minimizing the sum-of-squares error function

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2$$

 \blacksquare Having found \mathbf{w}_{ML} , the value of β can be found by minimizing negative log likelihood

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{\mathrm{ML}}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{ML}}) - t_n\}^2$$

Network Training (III)

- The choice of output unit activation function and matching error function
 - ✓ Standard regression problems:

Error function: Negative log-likelihood function

Output unit activation function: identity

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2$$

$$y = a$$

Network Training (IV)

- The choice of output unit activation function and matching error function
 - ✓ For binary classification problems:

Error function: cross-entropy error function

Output unit activation function: logistic sigmoid

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \{t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)\}$$

$$y = \sigma(a) \equiv \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}$$

Network Training (V)

- The choice of output unit activation function and matching error function
 - ✓ For multiclass problems:

Error function: cross-entropy error function

Output unit activation function: softmax function

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{kn} \ln y_k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})$$

$$y_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{\exp(a_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}))}{\sum_j \exp(a_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}))}$$


Parameter Optimization

☐ Error E(w) is a smooth continuous function of w and smallest value will occur at a point in weight space

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = 0$$

Global minimum: \mathbf{w}_{B}

Local minima: \mathbf{w}_{A}



■ Most techniques involve choosing some initial value for weight vector and then moving through weight space in a succession of steps of the form

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} + \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}$$

Local Quadratic Approximation

☐ Taylor expansion of E(w) around some point

$$E(\mathbf{w}) \simeq E(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) + (\mathbf{w} - \widehat{\mathbf{w}})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \widehat{\mathbf{w}})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{w} - \widehat{\mathbf{w}})$$

$$\mathbf{b} \equiv \nabla E|_{\mathbf{w} = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}} \quad \text{(gradient)} \qquad (\mathbf{H})_{ij} \equiv \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} \right|_{\mathbf{w} = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}} \text{(Hessian Matrix)}$$

☐ Local approximation of the gradient


$$\nabla E \simeq \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w} - \widehat{\mathbf{w}})$$
 If $b = 0$, $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{H}^{-1} \nabla E$

 \square Local quadratic approximation when b = 0 at w*

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)$$

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \lambda_i \alpha_i^2$$

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i \alpha_i^2$$



Use of Gradient Information

■ In the quadratic approximation, computational cost to find minimum is O(W³)

W is the dimensionality of w

- ✓ perform O(W²) evaluations, each of which would require O(W) steps.
- In an algorithm that makes use of the gradient information, computational cost is O(W²)
 - ✓ By using error backpropagation, O(W) gradient evaluations and each such evaluation takes only O(W) steps.

Gradient Descent Optimization

■ Weight update to comprise a small step in the direction of the negative gradient

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E(\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)})$$

- Batch method
 - ✓ Techniques that use the whole data set at once.
 - ✓ The error function always decreases at each iteration unless the weight vector has arrived at a local or global minimum.
- On-line version of gradient descent
 - ✓ Sequential gradient descent or stochastic gradient descent
 - ✓ Update to the weight vector based on one data point at a time.
 - ✓ Can handle redundancy in the data much more efficiently.
 - ✓ The possibility of escaping from local minima.

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_n(\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)})$$

Outlines

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN

Error Backpropagation (I)


■ Least Square Error Function

$$E = \sum_{n} E_{n}$$
 $E_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (y_{k} - t_{k})^{2}$

$$y_k = a_k \qquad \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_k} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial y_k} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} = y_k - t_k$$

Error Backpropagation (II)



 $a_k y_k$

$$a_j = \sum_i w_{ji} z_i$$
 $z_j = h(a_j)$ $\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = z_i$

$$a_k = \sum_{j} w_{kj} z_j$$
 $y_k = a_k$ $\delta_j \equiv \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_j} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial a_j}$

$$\delta_k \equiv \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} = y_k - t_k$$

$$\delta_j = h'(a_j) \sum_k w_{kj} \delta_k$$

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{kj}} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k z_j \left| \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j z_i \right|$$

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j z_i$$

 δ rules

Error Backpropagation (III)


□ Cross-Entropy Error Function

$$E = \sum_{n} E_{n}$$
 $E_{n} = -\sum_{k} t_{k} \ln y_{k} + (1 - t_{k}) \ln(1 - y_{k})$

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial y_k} = -\frac{t_k}{y_k} + \frac{1 - t_k}{1 - y_k} = \frac{y_k - t_k}{y_k (1 - y_k)}$$

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_k} = y_k (1 - y_k) \qquad \qquad y_k = \sigma(a_k)$$

Error Backpropagation (IV)



 $a_k y_k$

$$a_j = \sum_i w_{ji} z_i$$
 $z_j = h(a_j)$ $\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = z_i$

$$a_k = \sum_j w_{kj} z_j$$
 $y_k = \sigma(a_k)$ $\delta_j \equiv \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_j} = \sum_k \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial a_j}$

$$\delta_k \equiv \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} = y_k - t_k$$


$$\delta_j = h'(a_j) \sum_k w_{kj} \delta_k$$

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{kj}} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k z_j \left| \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j z_i \right|$$

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j z_i$$

 δ rules

Error Backpropagation (V)



 $a_k y_k$

■ Error Backpropagation

① Apply an input vector x_n to the network and forward propagate through the network using equations below to find the activations of all the hidden and output units.

$$a_j = \sum_i w_{ji} z_i \quad z_j = h(a_j)$$

- ② Evaluate the δ_k for all the output units using $\,\delta_k = y_k t_k\,$
- 3 Backpropagate the δ using $\delta_j = h'(a_j) \sum_k w_{jk} \delta_k$

to obtair δ_j for each hidden unit in the network

4 Use $\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j z_i$ to evaluate the required derivatives

A Simple Example

$$h(a) \equiv \tanh(a) \qquad \tanh(a) = \frac{e^a - e^{-a}}{e^a + e^{-a}}$$

$$h'(a) = 1 - h(a)^2$$

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K (y_k - t_k)^2 \quad (y_k: \text{ output unit k, } t_k: \text{ the corresponding target})$$

$$a_j = \sum_{i=0}^D w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i$$

$$z_j = \tanh(a_j) \qquad \delta_j = (1 - z_j^2) \sum_{k=1}^K w_{kj} \delta_k$$

$$y_k = \sum_{j=0}^M w_{kj}^{(2)} z_j \qquad \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{ji}^{(1)}} = \delta_j x_i \quad \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w_{kj}^{(2)}} = \delta_k z_j \quad \text{for rules}$$

Outlines

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- Bayesian Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN

The Jacobian Matrix

- ☐ The technique of backpropagation can also be applied to the calculation of other derivatives.
- ☐ The Jacobian matrix
 - ✓ Elements are given by the derivatives of the network outputs w.r.t. the inputs

$$J_{ki} \equiv \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i}$$

 \checkmark Minimizing an error function E w.r.t. the parameter w

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = \sum_{k,j} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w}$$

The Jacobian Matrix

- A measure of the *local sensitivity* of the outputs to change in each of the input variables.
 - ✓ In general, the network mapping is nonlinear, and so the elements will not be constants. This is valid provided the $|\Delta x_i|$ are small.

$$\Delta y_k \simeq \sum_i \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} \Delta x_i$$

✓ The evaluation of the Jacobian Matrix

$$J_{ki} = \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_i} \qquad \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_j} = \sum_l \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_l} \frac{\partial a_l}{\partial a_j}$$
$$= \sum_j w_{ji} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_j} \qquad = h'(a_j) \sum_l w_{lj} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_l}$$

The Hessian Matrix

☐ The Hessian matrix

- ✓ The second derivatives form the elements of H,
- $rac{\partial^{2}E}{\partial w_{ji}\partial w_{lk}}$

- ✓ Important roles:
 - Considering the second-order properties of the error surfaces.
 - Forms the basis of a fast procedure for re-training a feedforward network following a small change in the training data
 - The inverse of the Hessian is used to identify the least significant weights in a network (pruning algorithm)
 - In the Laplace approximation for a Bayesian NN
- ✓ Various approximation schemes are used to evaluate the Hessian matrix for a NN.

Hessian: Diagonal Approximation

- ☐ Inverse of the Hessian is useful, and inverse of diagonal matrix is trivial to evaluate.
- Consider an error function
 - ✓ Replaces the off-diagonal elements with zeros
 - ✓ The diagonal elements of the Hessian:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial w_{ji}^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial a_j^2} z_i^2 \\ \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial a_j^2} &= h'(a_j)^2 \sum_k \sum_{k'} w_{kj} w_{k'j} \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial a_k \partial a_{k'}} + h''(a_j) \sum_k w_{kj} \frac{\partial E^n}{\partial a_k} \\ \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial a_j^2} &= h'(a_j)^2 \sum_k w_{kj}^2 \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial a_k^2} + h''(a_j) \sum_k w_{kj} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial a_k} \text{ (neglect off-diagonal)} \end{split}$$

Outer Product Approximation

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla \nabla E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \nabla y_n \nabla y_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - t_n) \nabla \nabla y_n$$

- Output y happen to be very close to the target values t, the second term will be small and can be neglected.
 - Or the value of y-t is uncorrelated with the value of the second derivative term, then the whole term will average to zero.

$$\mathbf{H} \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{b}_{n} \mathbf{b}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}} \qquad \mathbf{b}_{n} = \nabla y_{n} = \nabla a_{n}$$

Inverse Hessian

- ☐ A procedure for approximating the inverse of the Hessian
 - ✓ First, we write the outer-product approximation
 - ✓ Derive a sequential procedure for building up the Hessian by including data points one at a time.

$$\mathbf{H}_{L+1} = \mathbf{H}_L + \mathbf{b}_{L+1} \mathbf{b}_{L+1}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{L+1}^{-1} = \mathbf{H}_{L}^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{L}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{L+1}\mathbf{b}_{L+1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}_{L}^{-1}}{1 + \mathbf{b}_{L+1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}_{L}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{L+1}}$$

✓ The initial matrix $H_0 = H + \alpha I$ α is a small quantity, not sensitive to the precise value of α.

Outlines

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN

Neural Network Regularization (I)


□ Consistent Gaussian prior


$$p(\mathbf{w}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{k}^{2}\right)$$

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_k^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{W}_k} w_j^2.$$

Neural Network Regularization (II)


■ Early stopping






Neural Network Regularization (II)

□ Early stopping



Neural Network Regularization (III)


■ Invariances



Data Augmentation with random displacement fields and Gaussian smoothing

Neural Network Regularization (IV)

■ Tangent propagation



Data Augmentation

Neural Network Regularization (V)

□ Training with transformed data

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \iint \{y(\mathbf{x}) - t\}^2 p(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt$$

$$\widetilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \iiint \{y(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \xi)) - t\}^2 p(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) p(\xi) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \, d\xi$$

$$\widetilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \iint \{y(\mathbf{x}) - t\}^2 p(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}[\xi] \iint \{y(\mathbf{x}) - t\} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) p(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}[\xi^2] \iint \left[\{y(\mathbf{x}) - t\} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\tau}')^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\tau} \right\} + \left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \right] p(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + O(\xi^3).$$

Neural Network Regularization (V)

□ Training with transformed data

$$\widetilde{E} = E + \lambda \Omega$$

$$\Omega = \int \left[\{ y(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}[t|\mathbf{x}] \} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\tau}')^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\tau} \right\} + (\boldsymbol{\tau}^{T} \nabla y(\mathbf{x}))^{2} \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^T \nabla y(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Tangent propagation regularization

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \int \|\nabla y(\mathbf{x})\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Tikhonov regularization additional noise

Neural Network Regularization (VI)

■ Soft weight sharing

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i} p(w_i) \qquad p(w_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(w_i | \mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$$

$$\Omega(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i} \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(w_{i} | \mu_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{2}) \right)$$

$$\widetilde{E}(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \Omega(\mathbf{w})$$

Outlines

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian Matrix
- Hessian Matrix
- Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN

MAP Neural Networks for Regression I

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = N(t|y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}) \qquad p(\mathbf{w}) = N(\mathbf{w}|0, \alpha^{-1}I) \qquad p(\mathbf{w}|t) \propto p(\mathbf{w})p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta)$$

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} + \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}[y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n]^2 + Constant$$

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \alpha \mathbf{w} + \beta \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - t_n) \mathbf{g}_n$$
 $\mathbf{g} = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$

$$\mathbf{A} = \nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \mathbf{H}$$

H: Hessian matrix of the sum-of-error function

$$\mathbf{w}_{MAP} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{new} = \mathbf{w}^{old} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) \qquad q(\mathbf{w}) = N(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}_{MAP}, \mathbf{A}^{-1})$$

MAP Neural Networks for Regression II

$$y(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}) \simeq y(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}_{MAP}) + \boldsymbol{g}^{T}_{MAP}(\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}_{MAP})$$

$$p(t|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w},\beta) = N(t|y(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w}_{MAP}) + \mathbf{g}^{T}_{MAP}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{MAP}),\beta^{-1})$$

$$q(\mathbf{w}) = N(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{w}_{MAP}, \mathbf{A}^{-1})$$

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, D, \alpha, \beta) = \int p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta)q(\mathbf{w})d\mathbf{w}$$

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, D, \alpha, \beta) = N(t|y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_{MAP}), \mathbf{g}^{T}_{MAP}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{g}_{MAP} + \beta^{-1})$$

Hyper-parameter Optimization

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{\mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}}} \qquad \beta \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$$

$$\beta \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$$

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{W} \frac{\lambda_i}{\alpha + \lambda_i}$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{N - \gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}}) - t_n\}^2$$

MAP Neural Networks for Classification I

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = N(\mathbf{w}|0, \alpha^{-1}I)$$
 $p(\mathbf{w}|t) \propto p(\mathbf{w})p(t|\mathbf{w})$

$$E(w) = -\ln p(w|t) = \frac{\alpha}{2} w^{T} w - \sum_{n=1}^{N} [t_n \ln y_n + (1 - tn) \ln(1 - yn)]$$

$$\nabla E(w) = \alpha w + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - t_n) \boldsymbol{g}_n$$

$$A = \nabla \nabla E(w) = \alpha I + H$$
 Hessian matrix of the cross-entropy function

$$\mathbf{w}_{MAP} \longleftarrow \mathbf{w}^{new} = \mathbf{w}^{old} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) \qquad q(\mathbf{w}) = N(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}_{MAP}, \mathbf{A}^{-1})$$

MAP Neural Networks for Classification II

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) q(\mathbf{w}|\mathcal{D}) d\mathbf{w}$$

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_{MAP})$$


$$a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) \simeq a_{MAP}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^{T}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{MAP})$$


$$a_{MAP}(\mathbf{x}) = a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_{MAP}) \quad \mathbf{b} \equiv \nabla a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_{MAP})$$

$$a_{\text{MAP}} \equiv a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}})$$

 $\sigma_a^2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}^{\text{T}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$

MAP Neural Networks for Classification II






Decision boundary using MAP neural networks

Outlines


- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN

CNN Architectures


What's the same and difference between a normal full-connected network and convolution network



Convolution Layer




activation map



CNN Architectures


- Convolution layer
- Pooling layer
- Rectified Linear Units (ReLu) layer
- Full-connected layer


Convolution Layer



Pooling Layer

Pooling layer: to reduce the size of the input Usually using the max value in the block(2*2 most usually) to replace the block itself






ReLu Layer

- ReLu function:
 - $A(x) = \max(0, x)$
- A nonlinear function

Any function can be approximated with combinations of ReLu



Can blow up activation

Sparsity of activation


50% neurons are not activated for randomly initialized models

Less computational expensive

Useful for deep networks


Dying ReLu problem

No vanishing gradients, but dead neurons




Fully Connected Layer

32x32x3 image -> stretch to 3072 x 1



CNN Architectures



CNN

Useful websites

CS231n: Convolutional Neural Networks for Visual Recognition:

http://cs231n.github.io/

Neural Networks and Deep Learning

http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/


CVPR 2017 Paper interpretation

http://cvmart.net/community/article/detail/69

VGG


```
(not counting biases)
INPUT: [224x224x3]
                      memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0
CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*3)*64 = 1.728
                                                                                               FC 1000
                                                                                                          fc8
CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*64)*64 = 36,864
                                                                                               FC 4098
                                                                                                          fc7
POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0
                                                                                               FC 4098
                                                                                                          fc6
CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*64)*128 = 73,728
                                                                                                Pool
CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: (3*3*128)*128 = 147,456
                                                                                                        conv5-3
POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56*56*128=400K params: 0
                                                                                                        conv5-2
CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*128)*256 = 294,912
                                                                                                        conv5-1
                                                                                                Pool
CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824
                                                                                                        conv4-3
CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824
                                                                                                        conv4-2
POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0
                                                                                                        conv4-1
CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648
                                                                                                Pool
CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512=2,359,296
                                                                                                        conv3-2
CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2.359,296
                                                                                                        conv3-1
POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0
                                                                                              Pool
CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296
                                                                                                        conv2-2
                                                                                                        conv2-1
CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296
CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2.359,296
                                                                                                        conv1-2
POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0
                                                                                                        conv1-1
FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448
                                                                                                Input
FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096*4096 = 16,777,216
                                                                                             VGG16
FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096*1000 = 4,096,000
TOTAL memory: 24M * 4 bytes ~= 96MB / image (only forward! ~*2 for bwd)
                                                                                            Common names
TOTAL params: 138M parameters
```

AlexNet/ZFNet




A large, deep convolutional neural network to classify the 1.3 million high-resolution images in the LSVRC-2010 ImageNet training set into the 1000 different classes http://papers.nips.cc/paper/4824-imagenet-classification-with-deep-convolutional-neural-networks

GoogleNet




Inception Module reduced a huge number of parameters in the network (4M, compared to AlexNet with 60M), using Average Pooling instead of Fully Connected layers at the top of the ConvNet, eliminating a large amount of parameters that do not seem to matter much. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.4842.pdf


ResNet



Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf




Generative Adversarial Networks



Generative Adversarial Networks

Generative Adversarial Networks



Algorithm 1 Minibatch stochastic gradient descent training of generative adversarial nets. The number of steps to apply to the discriminator, k, is a hyperparameter. We used k = 1, the least expensive option, in our experiments.

for number of training iterations do

for k steps do

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(z)$.
- Sample minibatch of m examples $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ from data generating distribution $p_{\text{data}}(x)$.
- Update the discriminator by ascending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right) + \log \left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right) \right].$$

end for

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(z)$.
- Update the generator by descending its stochastic gradient:


$$\nabla_{\theta_g} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 - D \left(G \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right).$$

end for

The gradient-based updates can use any standard gradient-based learning rule. We used momentum in our experiments.

Generative Adversarial Nets

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})}[\log D(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{z})}[\log (1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))]$$



Blue dotted: discriminator, D Green solid: generator, G x: observation sample z: noise p_z : noise prior

Black solid: data, p_{data}

$$V(G, D) = \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}) \log(D(\mathbf{x})) dx + \int_{z} p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}) \log(1 - D(g(\mathbf{z}))) dz$$
$$= \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}) \log(D(\mathbf{x})) + p_{g}(\mathbf{x}) \log(1 - D(\mathbf{x})) dx$$



$$D_G^*(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})}{p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x}) + p_g(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

$$C(G) = \max_{D} V(G, D)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{G}^{*}(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}} [\log (1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(\boldsymbol{z})))]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{G}^{*}(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{g}} [\log (1 - D_{G}^{*}(\boldsymbol{x}))]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{P_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + p_{g}(\boldsymbol{x})} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{g}} \left[\log \frac{p_{g}(\boldsymbol{x})}{p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + p_{g}(\boldsymbol{x})} \right]$$

$$C(G) = -\log(4) + KL \left(p_{\text{data}} \left\| \frac{p_{\text{data}} + p_{g}}{2} \right) + KL \left(p_{g} \left\| \frac{p_{\text{data}} + p_{g}}{2} \right) \right)$$

$$p_g = p_{\mathrm{data}}$$
 $D(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}$

Summary

- Feedforward Network Functions
- Network Training
- Error Backpropagation
- Jacobian and Hessian Matrices
- Network Regularization
- MAP Neural Networks
- CNN and GAN