MA204: Mathematical Statistics

Suggested Solutions to Assignment 5

5.1 Solution. In Example 5.5, let $\mathbb{C} = \{1, 7, 3, 8, 4\}$. (a) The Type I error rate is

$$\alpha(0) = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C} | \theta = 0)$$

$$= \Pr(X = 1 | 0) + \Pr(X = 7 | 0) + \Pr(X = 3 | 0)$$

$$+ \Pr(X = 8 | 0) + \Pr(X = 4 | 0)$$

$$= 0 + 0.01 + 0.02 + 0.07 + 0.05$$

$$= 0.15.$$

(b) The acceptance region is $\mathbb{C}'=\{5,9,10,6,2\}$ so that the Type II error rate is given by

$$\beta(1) = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C}' | \theta = 1)$$

$$= \Pr(X = 5|1) + \Pr(X = 9|1) + \Pr(X = 10|1)$$

$$+ \Pr(X = 6|1) + \Pr(X = 2|1)$$

$$= 0.03 + 0.02 + 0.04 + 0.01 + 0$$

$$= 0.1.$$

5.2 Solution. Since $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$, we have

$$E(Y) = n\theta$$
 and $Var(Y) = n\theta(1 - \theta)$.

Let $Z \sim N(0,1)$. By the normal approximation, the power function is

$$p(\theta) = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | \theta)$$

$$= \Pr(Y \ge c | \theta)$$

$$= \Pr\left\{ \frac{Y - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1 - \theta)}} \ge \frac{c - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1 - \theta)}} \Big| \theta \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{!}{=} \Pr\left\{ Z \ge \frac{c - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1 - \theta)}} \Big| \theta \right\}.$$

Since 0.1 = p(0.5), we obtain

$$0.1 \doteq \Pr\left\{Z \geqslant \frac{2c-n}{\sqrt{n}} \middle| \theta\right\}$$

so that

$$\frac{2c-n}{\sqrt{n}} = z_{0.10} = 1.2816. \tag{SA5.1}$$

On the other hand, 0.95 = p(2/3), we obtain

$$0.95 \doteq \Pr\left\{Z \geqslant \frac{3c - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} \middle| \theta\right\}$$

so that

$$\frac{3c - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} = z_{0.95} = -1.645. \tag{SA5.2}$$

Solving equations (SA5.1) and (SA5.2), we get

$$1.5(n+1.2816\sqrt{n}) - 2n = -1.645\sqrt{2n},$$

i.e.,

$$\sqrt{n} = 8.49756$$
 or $n = 72.2086$.

Then

$$c = \frac{n + 1.2816\sqrt{n}}{2} = 41.5495.$$

Approximately, n = 72 and c = 42.

- **5.3 Solution**. (a) The distribution of $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ is $Gamma(2n, \theta)$.
 - (b) The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\Gamma(2)} x_{i} e^{-\theta x_{i}} = \theta^{2n} \{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \} \cdot e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}.$$

Since $\theta_1 > 1$, the ratio

$$\frac{L(1)}{L(\theta_1)} = \theta_1^{-2n} e^{(\theta_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \le k$$

is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \leqslant c.$$

By Neymann-Pearson Lemma, a test φ of size α with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x \colon L(1)/L(\theta_1) \leqslant k\} = \left\{x \colon \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leqslant c\right\}$$

is the most powerful test for testing H_0 against H_1 .

How to determine the c? Under H_0 (i.e. $\theta = 1$),

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(2n, 1).$$

Using Property 1 in Appendix A.2.4, under H_0 , we have

$$2Y \sim \chi^2(4n).$$

Hence

$$\alpha = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C}|H_0)$$

$$= \Pr\{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leqslant c|H_0\}$$

$$= \Pr\{Y \leqslant c|H_0\}$$

$$= \Pr\{2Y \leqslant 2c|H_0\}$$

or

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\{2Y > 2c\}$$

so that $2c = \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 4n)$, i.e.,

$$c = \frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha, 4n).$$

(c) The power function, for $\theta \geqslant 1$,

$$p(\theta) = \Pr\{X \in \mathbb{C}|\theta\}$$

$$= \Pr\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \leq c|\theta\}$$

$$= \Pr\{Y \leq c|\theta\} \quad \text{by } Y \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(2n, \theta)$$

$$= \int_0^c \frac{\theta^{2n}}{\Gamma(2n)} y^{2n-1} e^{-\theta y} dy.$$

5.4 Solution. (a) Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n), x = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$

$$Q(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - X_i)$$
 and $Q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)$,

then, the likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta (1 - x_i)^{\theta - 1} = \theta^n [Q(x)]^{\theta - 1}.$$
 (SA5.3)

Note that

$$\frac{L(1)}{L(\theta_1)} = \frac{1}{\theta_1^n[Q(x)]^{\theta_1-1}} \leqslant k$$

is equivalent to

$$\log Q(x) \geqslant c$$
.

By Neymann-Pearson Lemma, a test φ of size α with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x \colon L(1)/L(\theta_1) \leqslant k\} = \{x \colon \log Q(x) \geqslant c\}$$

is the most powerful test for testing H_0 against H_1 . To determine the c, we note that

$$Y_i = -\log(1 - X_i) \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta) = \text{Gamma}(1, \theta),$$

thus

$$-\log Q(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 - X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta).$$

Under H_0 , $-\log Q(X) \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(n,1)$. Using Property 1 in Appendix A.2.4, under H_0 , we have

$$-2\log Q(X) \sim \chi^2(2n). \tag{SA5.4}$$

Hence

$$\alpha = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C}|H_0)$$

$$= \Pr\{\log Q(X) \geqslant c|H_0\}$$

$$= \Pr\{-2\log Q(X) \leqslant -2c|H_0\}$$

or

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\{-2\log Q(X) > -2c\}$$

so that
$$-2c = \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)$$
, i.e., $c = -\chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)/2$.

(b) Now $\Theta_0 = \{1\}$ and $\Theta = (0, \infty)$. To derive the likelihood ratio statistic (LRS), we first need to find the MLE of θ . From (SA5.3), we have

$$\frac{\log L(\theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = n \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \log Q(x),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d} \log L(\theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \log Q(x).$$

Thus, the MLE is

$$\hat{\theta} = -n/\log Q(X)$$

with Q(X) as a sufficient statistic, and the LRS is

$$\lambda(X) = \frac{L(1)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}^n [Q(X)]^{\hat{\theta}-1}}.$$

Denoting Q(x) by Q, we have

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{(-n/\log Q)^n \cdot Q^{-n/\log Q - 1}}.$$

Thus, based on (5.29) in Chapter 5 (page 99), the critical region that the H_0 is rejected is

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x \colon \lambda(x) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha}\} = \{x \colon (-n/\log Q)^n \cdot Q^{-n/\log Q - 1} \geqslant c\}.$$
(SA5.5)

To determine c, we let

$$h(Q) = (-n/\log Q)^n \cdot Q^{-n/\log Q - 1}$$

then

$$\log h(Q) = n \log n - n \log(-\log Q) - n - \log Q.$$

We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\log h(Q)}{\mathrm{d}Q} = \frac{n}{Q(-\log Q)} - \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{n + \log Q}{Q(-\log Q)}.$$

Setting $\frac{\mathrm{d} \log h(Q)}{\mathrm{d} Q} = 0$, we obtain $Q = \mathrm{e}^{-n}$. Note that 0 < Q < 1, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\log h(Q)}{\mathrm{d}Q} = \frac{n + \log Q}{Q(-\log Q)} < 0, \quad \text{when } Q < \mathrm{e}^{-n},$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\log h(Q)}{\mathrm{d}Q} = \frac{n + \log Q}{Q(-\log Q)} > 0, \quad \text{when } Q > \mathrm{e}^{-n},$$

Hence, $Q = e^{-n}$ is the minimum of h(Q), and h(Q) is decreasing when $Q < e^{-n}$ and increasing when $Q < e^{-n}$. Therefore, (SA5.5) is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{C} = \{ x \colon Q \leqslant c_1 \quad \text{or} \quad Q \geqslant c_2 \}. \tag{SA5.6}$$

Namely, determining c is equivalent to determining c_1 and c_2 .

How to determine c_1 and c_2 ? Based on the Type I error rate

$$\alpha = \Pr\{Q(X) \le c_1 \text{ or } Q(X) \ge c_2 | H_0 \}$$

= $\Pr\{Q(X) \le c_1 | H_0 \} + \Pr\{Q(X) \ge c_2 | H_0 \},$

we use the equal-tail approach, i.e.,

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{Q(X) \leqslant c_1 | H_0\} \tag{SA5.7}$$

and

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{Q(X) \geqslant c_2 | H_0\}. \tag{SA5.8}$$

Recall (SA5.4), from (SA5.7), we have

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{\log Q(X) \leqslant \log c_1 | H_0\}$$
$$= \Pr\{-2\log Q(X) \geqslant -2\log c_1 | H_0\}$$

so that $-2 \log c_1 = \chi^2(\alpha/2, 2n)$, i.e.,

$$c_1 = \exp\{-0.5\chi^2(\alpha/2, 2n)\}.$$
 (SA5.9)

Similarly, from (SA5.8), we have

$$1 - \alpha/2 = \Pr\{Q(X) < c_2|H_0\}$$

$$= \Pr\{\log Q(X) \le \log c_2|H_0\}$$

$$= \Pr\{-2\log Q(X) \ge -2\log c_2|H_0\}$$

so that
$$-2 \log c_2 = \chi^2 (1 - \alpha/2, 2n)$$
, i.e.,

$$c_2 = \exp\{-0.5\chi^2(1 - \alpha/2, 2n)\}.$$
 (SA5.10)

5.5 Solution. We first consider to test

$$H_0$$
: $\theta = \theta_0$ against H_1 : $\theta = \theta_1 (< \theta_0)$.

Let φ be a test with critical region satisfying (5.17). The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x_i - \theta)^2\right\}$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \theta)^2\right\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \theta_0)^2 - (x_i - \theta_1)^2]\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{(\theta_0 - \theta_1)\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n(\theta_0^2 - \theta_1^2)/2\right\} \leqslant k$$

is equivalent to (by noting that $\theta_0 - \theta_1 > 0$)

$$\bar{x} \leqslant \frac{\log k}{n(\theta_0 - \theta_1)} + \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2} = c.$$

To determine c, we consider the size

$$\alpha = \Pr{\bar{X} \leqslant c | \theta = \theta_0}$$

$$= \Pr{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_0) \leqslant \sqrt{n}(c - \theta_0)}$$

$$= \Pr{Z \leqslant \sqrt{n}(c - \theta_0)}$$

$$= \Pr{Z \leqslant -z_{\alpha}}.$$

Then, $\sqrt{n}(c-\theta_0) = -z_\alpha$ or $c = \theta_0 - z_\alpha/\sqrt{n}$. Therefore, the test with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \{ x: \ \bar{x} \leqslant \theta_0 - z_\alpha / \sqrt{n} \ \}$$

is a most powerful test (MPT) of size α . Since the \mathbb{C} depends only on n, θ_0 , α and the fact $\theta_1 < \theta_0$, but not on the value of θ_1 , the test φ is also a UMPT of size α for testing

$$H_0$$
: $\theta = \theta_0$ against H_1 : $\theta < \theta_0$.

On the other hand, the power function is given by

$$p_{\varphi}(\theta) = \Pr\{X \in \mathbb{C}|\theta\}$$

$$= \Pr\{\bar{X} \leqslant \theta_0 - z_{\alpha}/\sqrt{n}|\theta\}$$

$$= \Pr\{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) \leqslant -z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta)|\theta\}$$

$$= \Pr\{Z \leqslant -z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta)\}$$

$$= \Phi(-z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta))$$

so that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} p_{\varphi}(\theta) = \sup_{\theta \geqslant \theta_0} \Phi(-z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta))$$

$$= \max_{\theta \geqslant \theta_0} \Phi(-z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta))$$

$$= \Phi(-z_{\alpha})$$

$$= \alpha = p_{\varphi}(\theta_0).$$

Then, the test φ is also a UMPT of size α for testing

$$H_0: \theta \geqslant \theta_0$$
 against $H_1: \theta < \theta_0$.

5.6 Solution. (a) We first consider

$$H_0$$
: $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ against H_1 : $\sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$.

Note that $\Theta_0 = \{(\mu, \sigma_0^2): -\infty < \mu < +\infty, \}$ and the whole parameter space $\Theta = \{(\mu, \sigma^2): -\infty < \mu < +\infty, \sigma^2 > 0\}$. The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}.$$

so that

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2}\log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2.$$

Hence, the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of μ and σ^2 are given by

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$
, and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n} = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{n}$.

Under H_0 , the restricted maximum likelihood estimate of μ is $\hat{\mu}^{R} = \bar{x}$. Thus, the likelihood ratio is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(\hat{\mu}^{\mathrm{R}}, \sigma_0^2)}{L(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)} = \left[\frac{(n-1)s^2}{n\sigma_0^2}\right]^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{2}\right\}.$$

Define $f(s^2) = \log \lambda(x)$, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(s^2)}{\mathrm{d}s^2} = f'(s^2) = \frac{n}{2s^2} - \frac{n-1}{2\sigma_0^2},$$
$$f''(s^2) = -\frac{n}{2s^4} < 0,$$

i.e., $f(s^2)$ has a maximum at $s^2 = n\sigma_0^2/(n-1)$. Since $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda_\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$\log \lambda(x) = f(s^2) \leqslant \log \lambda_{\alpha},$$

the critical region is given by

$$\mathbb{C}_1 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n): s^2 \leqslant c_1 \text{ or } s^2 \geqslant c_2\}.$$

Namely, determining λ_{α} is equivalent to determining c_1 and c_2 .

How to determine c_1 and c_2 ? Based on the Type I error rate

$$\alpha = \Pr\{S^2 \leqslant c_1 \text{ or } S^2 \geqslant c_2 | H_0 \}$$

= $\Pr\{S^2 \leqslant c_1 | H_0 \} + \Pr\{S^2 \geqslant c_2 | H_0 \},$

we use the equal-tail approach, i.e.,

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{S^2 \leqslant c_1 | H_0\}$$
 (SA5.11)

and

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{S^2 \geqslant c_2 | H_0\}.$$
 (SA5.12)

Recall that $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$, from (SA5.11), we have

$$1 - \alpha/2 = \Pr\{S^2 > c_1 | H_0\}$$

$$= \Pr\{(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 > (n-1)c_1/\sigma^2 | \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2\}$$

$$= \Pr\{(n-1)S^2/\sigma_0^2 > (n-1)c_1/\sigma_0^2\}$$

so that
$$(n-1)c_1/\sigma_0^2 = \chi^2(1-\alpha/2, n-1)$$
, i.e.,
$$c_1 = \frac{\sigma_0^2\chi^2(1-\alpha/2, n-1)}{n-1}.$$

Similarly, from (SA5.12), we have

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{S^2 \ge c_2 | H_0 \}$$

$$= \Pr\{(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \ge (n-1)c_2/\sigma^2 | \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 \}$$

$$= \Pr\{(n-1)S^2/\sigma_0^2 \ge (n-1)c_2/\sigma_0^2 \}$$

so that $(n-1)c_2/\sigma_0^2 = \chi^2(\alpha/2, n-1)$, i.e.,

$$c_2 = \frac{\sigma_0^2 \chi^2(\alpha/2, n-1)}{n-1}.$$

Therefore, the critical region is

$$\mathbb{C}_{1} = \left\{ (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \colon s^{2} \leqslant \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2} \chi^{2} (1 - \alpha/2, n - 1)}{n - 1} \right\}.$$
or $s^{2} \geqslant \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2} \chi^{2} (\alpha/2, n - 1)}{n - 1} \right\}.$

(b) We then consider

$$H_0$$
: $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ against H_1 : $\sigma^2 > \sigma_0^2$.

The critical region is

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \colon s^2 \geqslant \frac{\sigma_0^2 \chi^2 (1 - \alpha, n - 1)}{n - 1} \right\}.$$

(c) We finally consider

$$H_0$$
: $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ against H_1 : $\sigma^2 < \sigma_0^2$

The critical region is

$$\mathbb{C}_3 = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \colon s^2 \leqslant \frac{\sigma_0^2 \chi^2 (1 - \alpha, n - 1)}{n - 1} \right\}.$$

5.7 Solution. Let $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2)^{\mathsf{T}}$, $\Theta_0 = \{(0, 0, \sigma^2)^{\mathsf{T}}: \sigma^2 > 0\}$ and $\Theta = \{\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2)^{\mathsf{T}}: -\infty < \mu_1, \mu_2 < +\infty, \sigma^2 > 0\}$, then

$$H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$$
 against $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1 = \Theta - \Theta_0$.

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n} \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

so that

$$\log L(\theta) = -n \log(2\pi) - n \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(x_i - \mu_1)^2 + (y_i - \mu_2)^2].$$

Hence, the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of μ_1 , μ_2 and σ^2 are given by

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x},$$

$$\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n} = \bar{y}, \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + (y_i - \bar{y})^2]}{2n} = \frac{(n-1)(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}{2n}.$$

Under H_0 (i.e., $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$), the restricted maximum likelihood estimate of σ^2 is

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2R} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_i^2)}{2n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n\bar{x}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 + n\bar{y}^2}{2n}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)(s_1^2 + s_2^2) + n\bar{x}^2 + n\bar{y}^2}{2n}.$$

Thus, the likelihood ratio is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(0,0,\hat{\sigma}^{2R})}{L(\hat{\mu}_1,\hat{\mu}_2,\hat{\sigma}^2)} = \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^{2R}}\right)^n$$

$$= \left[\frac{(n-1)(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}{(n-1)(s_1^2 + s_2^2) + n\bar{x}^2 + n\bar{y}^2}\right]^n$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{1+F}\right]^n,$$

where

$$F = \frac{n\bar{x}^2 + n\bar{y}^2}{(n-1)(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}.$$

Since $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}$ is equivalent to $F \geq c$, the critical region is given by

$$\mathbb{C} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \colon F \geqslant c\}.$$

How to determine *c*? Note that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_1)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1), \quad \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu_2)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1),$$

 $(n-1)S_i^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$, i=1,2, and they are independent. Thus, under H_0 ,

$$\frac{n(\bar{X}^2 + \bar{Y}^2)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(2)$$
 and $\frac{(n-1)(S_1^2 + S_2^2)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(2n-2)$

so that

$$(n-1)F = \frac{\frac{n(\bar{X}^2 + \bar{Y}^2)}{\sigma^2}/2}{\frac{(n-1)(S_1^2 + S_2^2)}{\sigma^2}/2(n-1)} \sim F(2, 2n-2).$$

Based on the Type I error rate

$$\alpha = \Pr\{F \geqslant c | H_0\}$$
$$= \Pr\{(n-1)F \geqslant (n-1)c\},\$$

we have $(n-1)c = f(\alpha, 2, 2n-2)$, i.e.,

$$c = \frac{f(\alpha, 2, 2n - 2)}{n - 1}.$$

5.8 Solution. (a) We first consider

$$H_0$$
: $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2$ against H_1 : $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$.

Let $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)^{\mathsf{T}}$. The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\theta) = (2\pi\sigma_1^2)^{-n_1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_i - \mu_1)^2\right\}$$
$$\times (2\pi\sigma_2^2)^{-n_2/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (y_j - \mu_2)^2\right\}$$

so that

$$\log L(\theta) = -\frac{n_1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n_1}{2} \log(\sigma_1^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_i - \mu_1)^2 - \frac{n_2}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n_2}{2} \log(\sigma_2^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (y_i - \mu_2)^2.$$

Hence, the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of the 4 parameters are given by

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \bar{x}, \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{y}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \frac{(n_k - 1)s_k^2}{n_k}, \ k = 1, 2.$$

Under H_0 , the restricted maximum likelihood estimates of the 3 parameters are

$$\hat{\mu}_1^{R} = \bar{x}, \quad \hat{\mu}_2^{R} = \bar{y}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2R} = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2}.$$

Thus, the likelihood ratio is

$$\begin{split} & \lambda(x) \\ & = \ \frac{L(\hat{\mu}_{1}^{\mathrm{R}}, \hat{\mu}_{2}^{\mathrm{R}}, \hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{2R}}, \hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{2R}})}{L(\hat{\mu}_{1}^{\mathrm{R}}, \hat{\mu}_{2}^{\mathrm{R}}, \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{\mathrm{2R}}, \hat{\sigma}_{2}^{\mathrm{2R}})} \\ & = \ \frac{(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2})^{n_{1}/2}(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{2})^{n_{2}/2}}{(\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{2R}})^{(n_{1}+n_{2})/2}} \\ & = \ \left[\frac{(n_{1}+n_{2})(n_{1}-1)/n_{1}}{n_{1}-1+(n_{2}-1)s_{2}^{2}/s_{1}^{2}} \right]^{\frac{n_{1}}{2}} \left[\frac{(n_{1}+n_{2})(n_{2}-1)[s_{2}^{2}/s_{1}^{2}]/n_{2}}{n_{1}-1+(n_{2}-1)s_{2}^{2}/s_{1}^{2}} \right]^{\frac{n_{2}}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Define $t = s_2^2/s_1^2$ and

$$f(t) = \frac{t^{n_2/2}}{[n_1 - 1 + (n_2 - 1)t]^{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}}},$$

then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\log f(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{n_2}{2t} - \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \cdot \frac{n_2 - 1}{n_1 - 1 + (n_2 - 1)t}$$

Setting $\frac{\mathrm{d} \log f(t)}{\mathrm{d} t} = 0$, we obtain $t = n_2(n_1 - 1)/[n_1(n_2 - 1)] = t_0$. Note that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\log f(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{n_2(n_1 - 1) - n_1(n_2 - 1)t}{2t[n_1 - 1 + (n_2 - 1)t]} < 0, \quad \text{when } t > t_0,$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\log f(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{n_2(n_1 - 1) - n_1(n_2 - 1)t}{2t[n_1 - 1 + (n_2 - 1)t]} > 0, \text{ when } t < t_0.$$

Hence, $t = t_0$ is the maximum of f(t), and f(t) is increasing when $t < t_0$ and decreasing when $t > t_0$. Therefore, $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}$ is equivalent to $f(t) \leq c$, resulting in the following critical region

$$\mathbb{C}_1 = \{x: \ s_2^2/s_1^2 \leqslant c_1 \ \text{or} \ s_2^2/s_1^2 \geqslant c_2 \}.$$

How to determine c_1 and c_2 ? Based on the Type I error rate

$$\alpha = \Pr\{S_2^2/S_1^2 \leqslant c_1 \text{ or } S_2^2/S_1^2 \geqslant c_2|H_0\}$$

= $\Pr\{S_2^2/S_1^2 \leqslant c_1|H_0\} + \Pr\{S_2^2/S_1^2 \geqslant c_2|H_0\},$

we use the equal-tail approach, i.e.,

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{S_2^2/S_1^2 \leqslant c_1|H_0\}$$

and

$$\alpha/2 = \Pr\{S_2^2/S_1^2 \geqslant c_2|H_0\}.$$

Note that under H_0 , $S_2^2/S_1^2 \sim F(n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1)$, we have

$$c_1 = f(1 - \alpha/2, n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1)$$
 and $c_2 = f(\alpha/2, n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1)$.

(b) We then consider

$$H_0$$
: $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ against H_1 : $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$.

The critical region is

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n): s_2^2 / s_1^2 \leqslant f(1 - \alpha, n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1)\}.$$

(c) We finally consider

$$H_0$$
: $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ against H_1 : $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$.

The critical region is

$$\mathbb{C}_3 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n): s_2^2/s_1^2 \geqslant f(\alpha, n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1)\}.$$

5.9 Solution. We consider

$$H_0$$
: $\theta = 0.5$ against H_1 : $\theta \neq 0.5$.

Let $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$, the likelihood function is given by

$$L(\theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x}$$

so that

$$\log L(\theta) = \log \binom{n}{x} + x \log \theta + (n - x) \log(1 - \theta).$$

Hence, the maximum likelihood estimate of θ is $\hat{\theta} = x/n$.

(a) The likelihood ratio is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(0.5)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{(0.5n)^n}{x^x(n-x)^{n-x}}$$

so that the LR statistic is

$$\lambda(X) = \frac{(0.5n)^n}{X^X(n-X)^{n-X}}.$$

(b) Note that $\lambda(x) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha}$ is equivalent to $x^{x}(n-x)^{n-x} \geqslant k$, or

$$x \log(x) + (n-x) \log(n-x) \geqslant c.$$

(c) Define

$$f(x) = x \log(x) + (n - x) \log(n - x),$$

then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \log(x) - \log(n-x) = \log\frac{x}{n-x}.$$

Setting $\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = 0$, we obtain x = n/2. Note that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \log \frac{x}{n-x} < 0, \quad \text{when } x < n/2,$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \log \frac{x}{n-x} > 0, \quad \text{when } x > n/2.$$

Hence, x = n/2 is the minimum of f(x), and f(x) is decreasing when x < n/2 and increasing when x > n/2. Therefore, $f(x) \ge c^*$, resulting in the following critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \{ x \colon 0 \leqslant x \leqslant c_1 \quad \text{or} \quad c_2 \leqslant x \leqslant n \},$$

where $c_1 < n/2 < c_2$.

Note that

$$f(0) = f(n) = n \log(n),$$

$$f(1) = f(n-1) = (n-1) \log(n-1),$$

$$f(2) = f(n-2) = 2 \log(2) + (n-2) \log(n-2),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f(n/2) = n \log(n/2),$$

i.e., the function f(x) is symmetrical about x = n/2. Therefore, the critical region \mathbb{C} can be written as

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x \colon |x - n/2| \geqslant c\}.$$

5.10 Solution. Now n = 556, $np_{10} = 556 \times 9/16 = 312.75$, $np_{20} = 556 \times 3/16 = 104.25$, $np_{30} = 556 \times 3/16 = 104.25$, and $np_{40} = 556 \times 1/16 = 34.75$. According to (5.40) and (5.42), we have

$$Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{(N_i - np_{i0})^2}{np_{i0}}$$

$$= \frac{(315 - 312.75)^2}{312.75} + \frac{(108 - 104.25)^2}{104.25} + \frac{(101 - 104.25)^2}{104.25} + \frac{(32 - 34.75)^2}{34.75}$$

$$= 0.470 < \chi^2(0.05, 3) = 7.8147,$$

we cannot reject H_0 , so there is a good agreement with null hypothesis or there is a good fit of the data to the model.

5.11 Solution. The null hypothesis is H_0 : $p_1 = \cdots = p_6 = 1/6$. Now n = 300, $np_{i0} = 300 \times 1/6 = 50$, $i = 1, \dots 6$. According to (5.40) and (5.42), we have

$$Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{(N_i - np_{i0})^2}{np_{i0}}$$

$$= \frac{(43 - 50)^2}{50} + \frac{(49 - 50)^2}{50} + \frac{(56 - 50)^2}{50} + \frac{(41 - 50)^2}{50} + \frac{(41 - 50)^2}{50}$$

$$= 8.96 < \chi^2(0.05, 5) = 11.07,$$

we cannot reject H_0 .