

15. Martingale Convergence

15.1 Right-Closable Martingale

We can generalize our index set to any totally ordered set (N, \leq) (if $n, m \in N$, then $n \leq m$ or $m \leq n$). We say that $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in N}$ is a filtration if $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_m$ for all $n \leq m$ in N .

Definition 15.1. A submartingale $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in N}$ is right-closable if $X_n \leq \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for all $n \in N$, for some $X \in L^1$. (For a martingale, the inequality is replaced with equality.)

If there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $n \leq n_0$ for all $n \in N$, then $X_n \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{n_0} | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for all $n \in N$, and we say that the submartingale is right-closed.

Note that a right-closable submartingale or martingale can always be made right-closed by simply adding an upper bound n_0 to N and defining $X_{n_0} = X$.

Example 15.1 (Reverse martingale). Suppose X_k , $k \geq 1$ are i.i.d. Let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$. Take N to be the set of negative integers and for $n \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{F}_{-n} = \sigma(S_n, X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{-(n+1)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{-n}$, $(\mathcal{F}_{-n})_{-n \in N}$ is a filtration. By symmetry, we have for all $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{-n}] = \mathbb{E}[X_1 | \mathcal{F}_{-n}].$$

Therefore,

$$S_n = \mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_{-n}] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_{-n}] = n\mathbb{E}[X_1 | \mathcal{F}_{-n}].$$

Let $Z_{-n} = \frac{S_n}{n} = \mathbb{E}[X_1 | \mathcal{F}_{-n}]$. Then $(Z_{-n}, \mathcal{F}_{-n})_{-n \in N}$ is a right-closed martingale.

Lemma 15.1. (i) If $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in N}$ is a right-closable martingale, then $(X_n)_{n \in N}$ is uniformly integrable.

(ii) If $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in N}$ is a right-closable submartingale, then $(X_n \vee a)_{n \in N}$ is uniformly integrable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (i) Since the martingale is right-closable, $\exists X \in L^1$ such that $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for all $n \in N$. We have $|X_n| \leq \mathbb{E}[|X| | \mathcal{F}_n]$ and $\mathbb{E}|X_n| \leq \mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_n| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n| > K\}} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[|X| | \mathcal{F}_n] \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n| > K\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}|X| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n| > K\}} \quad \because \{|X_n| > K\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \\ &\leq M \mathbb{P}(|X_n| > K) + \mathbb{E}|X| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| > M\}} \quad \forall M > 0 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{M}{K} \mathbb{E}|X_n| + \mathbb{E}|X| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| > M\}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\limsup_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|X_n| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n| > K\}} \leq \mathbb{E}|X| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| > M\}} \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

(ii) Since the submartingale is right-closable, $\exists X \in L^1$ such that $X_n \leq \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for all $n \in N$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n > K\}}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n > K\}}] \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \vee a] \leq \mathbb{E}[X \vee a] \quad \text{from Jensen's inequality.} \quad (2)$$

Take $K > |a|$. Then $|X_n \vee a| > K$ iff $X_n \vee a = X_n > K$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_n \vee a) \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n \vee a| > K\}}] &= \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n > K\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n > K\}}] \\ &\leq M \mathbb{P}(X_n > K) + \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{\{X > M\}}] \quad \forall M > 0 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{M}{K} \mathbb{E}X_n^+ + \mathbb{E}|X| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| > M\}} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{K} \mathbb{E}X^+ + \mathbb{E}|X| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| > M\}} \quad \text{from letting } a = 0 \text{ in (2).} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $K \rightarrow \infty$ and then $M \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the desired result. □

15.2 Doob's Upcrossing Inequality

Consider a submartingale $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Let $a < b$. The first times that X_n crosses a downwards and then b upwards are given by the stopping times

$$\tau_1 = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n \leq a\}, \quad \tau_2 = \inf\{n > \tau_1 : X_n \geq b\}.$$

We repeat this process and define by induction, for $k \geq 2$,

$$\tau_{2k-1} = \inf\{n > \tau_{2k-2} : X_n \leq a\}, \quad \tau_{2k} = \inf\{n > \tau_{2k-1} : X_n \geq b\}.$$

Then

$$U_n(a, b) = \sup\{k : \tau_{2k} \leq n\}$$

is the number of upward crossings of the interval $[a, b]$ up to time n .

Theorem 15.1 (Doob's upcrossing inequality). *If $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a submartingale, then for any $a < b$,*

$$(b - a)\mathbb{E}U_n(a, b) \leq \mathbb{E}(X_n - a)^+.$$

Proof. Let $Y_n = (X_n - a)^+$, which is a non-negative submartingale by Lemma 14.1. Then $U_n(a, b)$ is equal to the number of upcrossings of $[0, b - a]$ by Y_0, \dots, Y_n . For $m \geq 1$, let

$$A_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \tau_{2k-1} < m \leq \tau_{2k} \text{ for some } k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\{\tau_{2k-1} < m \leq \tau_{2k}\} = \{\tau_{2k-1} \leq m-1\} \cap \{\tau_{2k} \leq m-1\}^c \in \mathcal{F}_{m-1}$, $(A_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is predictable. Consider the martingale transform

$$\tilde{Y}_n = Y_0 + \sum_{m=1}^n A_m(Y_m - Y_{m-1}) \geq (b - a)U_n(a, b), \quad (3)$$

where the inequality follows because each upcrossing of $[0, b - a]$ by (Y_n) results in a gain of at least $b - a$ on the left-hand side. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[A_m(Y_m - Y_{m-1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] &= A_m \mathbb{E}[Y_m - Y_{m-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[Y_m - Y_{m-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows because $\mathbb{E}[Y_m \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] \geq Y_{m-1}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[A_m(Y_m - Y_{m-1})] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y_m - Y_{m-1}]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\tilde{Y}_n - \mathbb{E}Y_0 &\leq \mathbb{E}Y_n - \mathbb{E}Y_0 \\ \mathbb{E}\tilde{Y}_n &\leq \mathbb{E}Y_n \end{aligned}$$

and from (3),

$$(b - a)\mathbb{E}U_n(a, b) \leq \mathbb{E}Y_n.$$

□

15.3 Submartingale Convergence

Theorem 15.2 (Submartingale Convergence Theorem). *Suppose $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{-\infty < n < \infty}$ is a submartingale.*

- (i) $X_{-\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} X_n$ exists a.s. and $\mathbb{E}X_{-\infty}^+ < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}_n$. Then $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{-\infty \leq n < \infty}$ is a submartingale, i.e., $X_{-\infty} \leq \mathbb{E}[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}]$ for all $m > -\infty$.
- (ii) If $\mathbb{E}X_n^+ \leq B < \infty$, then $X_{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ exists a.s. and $\mathbb{E}X_{\infty}^+ \leq B$.
- (iii) If $(X_n^+)_{-\infty < n < \infty}$ is uniformly integrable, then for $X_{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$, we have $X_m \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{\infty} | \mathcal{F}_m]$ for all $m < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n)$. Then $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{-\infty < n \leq \infty}$ is right-closed.

Proof. Note that X_n converges as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ iff $\limsup X_n = \liminf X_n$,¹ i.e., X_n diverges only on the event

$$\{\limsup X_n > \liminf X_n\} = \bigcup_{\substack{a < b, \\ a, b \in \mathbb{Q}}} A_{ab},$$

where

$$A_{ab} = \{\limsup X_n \geq b > a \geq \liminf X_n\} \subset \{\lim U_n(a, b) = \infty\}.$$

Therefore to show that $\mathbb{P}(A_{ab}) = 0$, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}[\lim U_n(a, b)] < \infty$.

- (i) For each $n \geq 1$, $U_n(a, b)$ is the number of upcrossings of the submartingale $Y_1 = X_{-n}, Y_2 = X_{-n+1}, \dots, Y_n = X_{-1}$. From Doob's upcrossing inequality (Theorem 15.1), we have

$$\mathbb{E}U_n(a, b) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y_n - a)^+}{b - a} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_{-1} - a)^+}{b - a} < \infty.$$

The MCT then gives $\mathbb{E} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}U_n(a, b) < \infty$. Therefore $X_{-\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} X_n$ exists a.s. From Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}X_{-\infty}^+ \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_{-n}^+ \leq \mathbb{E}X_{-1}^+ < \infty.$$

Finally, to show that $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{-\infty \leq n < \infty}$ is a submartingale, we note that $X_{-\infty} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all n (Exercise), which implies that $X_{-\infty} \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}$. Furthermore, to show $X_{-\infty} \leq \mathbb{E}[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}]$ for all $m > -\infty$, it suffices to show that for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}$, we have $\mathbb{E}X_{-\infty} \mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}X_m \mathbf{1}_A$ (cf. Lemma 12.1).

Consider the submartingale $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{-\infty \leq n \leq 0}$, which is right-closed. From Lemma 15.1, $(X_n \vee a, \mathcal{F}_n)_{-\infty \leq n \leq 0}$ is uniformly integrable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, $X_n \vee a \rightarrow X_{-\infty} \vee a$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 14.4, $X_n \vee a \rightarrow X_{-\infty} \vee a$ in L^1 , hence

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{-\infty} \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}(X_m \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A,$$

for all $m > -\infty$. The last inequality follows because $\mathbb{E}(X_n \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}(X_m \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A$ for all $n \leq m$, which in turn is a consequence of the facts that $(X_n \vee a)$ is a submartingale and $A \in \mathcal{F}_m$. Taking $a \rightarrow -\infty$, the MCT gives $\mathbb{E}X_{-\infty} \mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}X_m \mathbf{1}_A$.

¹In this proof, if the convergence is not specified, it is taken to mean either $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $n \rightarrow -\infty$.

(ii) From Doob's upcrossing inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}U_n(a, b) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_n - a)^+}{b - a} \leq \frac{|a| + \mathbb{E}X_n^+}{b - a} \leq \frac{|a| + B}{b - a} < \infty.$$

The same argument as in the proof of Item (i) completes the proof of Item (ii).

(iii) We want to show that for all $m < \infty$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_m$, $\mathbb{E}X_m \mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}X_\infty \mathbf{1}_A$. From Lemma 14.1, $(X_n \vee a, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n < \infty}$ is a submartingale for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. For $K > 0$ and $K > a$, $X_n \vee a > K$ iff $X_n \vee a = X_n^+ > K$. Therefore, since (X_n^+) is uniformly integrable, so is $(X_n \vee a)_{n < \infty}$. As $X_n \vee a \rightarrow X_\infty \vee a$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Lemma 14.4 again yields that $X_n \vee a \rightarrow X_\infty \vee a$ in L^1 , and hence

$$\mathbb{E}(X_\infty \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A \geq \mathbb{E}(X_m \vee a) \mathbf{1}_A,$$

for all $m < \infty$. Taking $a \rightarrow -\infty$ and applying the MCT give the desired result. □

Corollary 15.1 (Martingale Convergence Theorem). *Suppose $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n < \infty}$ is a martingale.*

- (i) *If $\sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ (equivalently, $\sup_n \mathbb{E}X_n^+ < \infty$ or $\sup_n \mathbb{E}X_n^- < \infty$), then $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}|X_\infty| < \infty$.*
- (ii) *If $(X_n)_{n < \infty}$ is uniformly integrable, then $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \leq \infty}$ is a right-closed martingale.*

Corollary 15.1 follows from Theorem 15.2 by simply observing that X_n and $-X_n$ are both submartingales. Furthermore, Corollary 15.1 together with Lemma 15.1 says that a martingale is right-closable iff it is uniformly integrable.

Example 15.2. *Consider the simple random walk S_n in Example 13.1 with stopping time τ when S_n hits the boundaries A or $-B$. Let $M_n = S_{n \wedge \tau}$, which is a bounded martingale. From the Martingale Convergence Theorem (Corollary 15.1), M_n converges a.s. For $\omega \in \{\tau = \infty\}$, we have $|M_n(\omega) - M_{n+1}(\omega)| = |S_n(\omega) - S_{n+1}(\omega)| = 1$, hence $M_n(\omega)$ does not converge. Therefore $\mathbb{P}(\tau = \infty) = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty) = 1$.*

Corollary 15.2 (Supermartingale Convergence Theorem). *Suppose $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a supermartingale. If $\sup_n \mathbb{E}X_n^- < \infty$, then $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ a.s., and $\mathbb{E}X_\infty \leq \mathbb{E}X_0$.*

Proof. $-X_n$ is submartingale with $\mathbb{E}(-X_n)^+ = \mathbb{E}X_n^-$. □

Theorem 15.3 (Levy's Convergence Theorem). *Given $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ and a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$, let $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n)$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_\infty]$ a.s.*

Proof. From Example 12.7, $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ is a martingale and $\mathbb{E}|X_n| \leq \mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$. From Corollary 15.1, $X_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ exists a.s. We next show that $X_\infty = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_\infty]$.

Let $A \in \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$. Then there exists m such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_m$ and since X_n is a martingale, we have $\mathbb{E}X_n \mathbf{1}_A = \mathbb{E}X_m \mathbf{1}_A$ for all $n \geq m$. As (X_n, \mathcal{F}_n) is right-closable, it is uniformly integrable, and Lemma 14.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}X_\infty \mathbf{1}_A &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_n \mathbf{1}_A \\ &= \mathbb{E}X_m \mathbf{1}_A \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_m] \mathbf{1}_A] \\ &= \mathbb{E}X \mathbf{1}_A. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ is an algebra (because (\mathcal{F}_n) is a filtration), the π - λ theorem completes the proof. \square

Example 15.3 (Improved SLLN). *For a sequence of r.v.s $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$, let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $n \geq 1$, be a filtration. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, the r.v.s are not necessarily pairwise independent. Then for $n > m$, we have $\mathbb{E}X_n X_m = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n X_m | \mathcal{F}_m]] = \mathbb{E}[X_m \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m]] = 0$. Let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$.*

Suppose that $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of positive constants increasing to ∞ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}X_n^2}{b_n^2} < \infty$, then $\frac{S_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. To see this, let $Y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{X_k}{b_n}$. It is easy to verify that this is a martingale. For $K > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_n| \mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_n| > K\}} \leq \frac{1}{K} \mathbb{E}|Y_n|^2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}X_k^2}{b_k^2}.$$

We then have

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}|Y_n| \mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_n| > K\}} \leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}X_k^2}{b_k^2} \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Therefore, (Y_n) is uniformly integrable. From the Martingale Convergence Theorem (Corollary 15.1), Y_n converges a.s. The generalized Kronecker's lemma (Lemma 7.5) then implies that $\frac{S_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Example 15.4. *Continuing from Example 15.1, $(Z_{-n}, \mathcal{F}_{-n})_{n \leq 1}$ is a right-closed martingale. The Submartingale Convergence Theorem (Theorem 15.2) says that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_{-n} = Z_{-\infty} \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{-n}$. Each event in \mathcal{F}_{-n} is invariant under finite permutations, therefore by the Hewitt-Savage 0-1 Law, it has probability 0 or 1. Hence, $Z_{-\infty}$ is a constant a.s. But $\mathbb{E}Z_{-n} = \mathbb{E}X_1$ for all $n \geq 1$, so $Z_{-\infty} = \mathbb{E}X_1$ a.s., i.e., $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X_1$ a.s., which is Kolmogorov's SLLN.*

Example 15.5 (Levy's 0-1 Law). *From Theorem 15.3, if $\mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F}_\infty$, then for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_A$ a.s. The famous probabilist K. L. Chung once commented that this result “is obvious or incredible”.*

1. *It is obvious: $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_\infty] = \mathbf{1}_A$.*
2. *It is incredible: Consider $X_i, i \geq 1$, independent with $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n) \uparrow \mathcal{F}_\infty$. An event $A \in \mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots)$ the tail σ -algebra is independent of \mathcal{F}_n for all $n \geq 1$ (recall the grouping lemma (Lemma 8.3)). Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_A \in \{0, 1\}$ a.s. from Levy's 0-1 Law, which recovers Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law!*