An Analytical Introduction to Probability Theory

12. Conditional Expectations and Martingales

DASN, NTU

https://personal.ntu.edu.sg/wptay/

12.1 Definition

Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mathbb{P})$, let us start off with a simple example that we are familiar with from undergraduate probability courses. Suppose we have a r.v. X and a discrete r.v. $Y \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. We can partition $\Omega = \bigcup_{y \geq 1} \Omega_y$, where $\Omega_y = \{\omega : Y(\omega) = y\}$. Then the conditional expectation of X given Y can be defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y = y] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_y}]}{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_y)}$$

for each value of y. Note that the expectation is conditioned on a set $\Omega_y = \{Y = y\}$. It depends on the value $Y(\omega) = y$, and is hence a function of ω . Since Ω_y is measurable, this is a measurable function and is hence a random variable!

We wish to generalize this definition to all sets in a sub- σ -algebra in \mathscr{A} . Furthermore, when we average out the conditional expectation over a set B of feasible Y values, we should get back the expectation over this set:

$$\sum_{y \in B} \mathbb{E}[X \,|\, Y = y] \mathbb{P}(Y = y) = \sum_{y \in B} \mathbb{E}\big[X\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_y}\big] = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_{Y \in B}],$$

where the last inequality follows from Fubini's theorem.

Definition 12.1. Suppose $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ and the σ -algebra $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{A}$. A random variable $Y: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a conditional expectation of X given \mathscr{F} if

(i)
$$Y^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$$
, $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., Y is \mathcal{F} -measurable (we denote it as $Y \in \mathcal{F}$).

(ii)
$$\forall A \in \mathscr{F}, \ \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_A] = \int_A Y \, d\mathbb{P} = \int_A X \, d\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_A].$$

If Y is a conditional expectation of X given \mathscr{F} , we write $Y = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$. We also write $\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y] = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \sigma(Y)]$, where $\sigma(Y)$ is the σ -algebra generated by Y. The notion that expectation is an operator comes from here: $\mathbb{E}[\cdot \mid \mathscr{F}] : L^1(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mathbb{P}) \mapsto L^1(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a linear (which will be shown later) transformation.

The **existence** of conditional expectations is given by Radon–Nikodym Theorem (Theorem 4.4). Suppose $X \ge 0$. We can define a measure

$$\mu(A) = \int_A X \, d\mathbb{P}$$
, where $A \in \mathscr{F}$ and $\mu \ll \mathbb{P}$.

Since X is integrable, μ is a finite measure. Then there exists $Y = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}} \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\int_A X \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = \mu(A) = \int_A Y \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}$. The existence of conditional expectations for general $X = X^+ - X^-$ now follows.

We next show that conditional expectations are **unique** almost surely. Suppose that Y and Y' are both versions of $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$ and $\mathbb{P}(Y \neq Y') > 0$, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(Y > Y') > 0$ or $\mathbb{P}(Y < Y') > 0$. Let $A = \{Y > Y'\} \in \mathscr{F}$ and suppose that $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$. Then, we have

$$0 < \mathbb{E}[(Y - Y')\mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbb{E}Y'\mathbf{1}_A$$
$$= \mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_A$$
$$= 0.$$

which is a contradiction. A similar argument holds for the case $\mathbb{P}(Y < Y') > 0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(Y \neq Y') = 0$, and Y = Y' a. s.

Example 12.1. Suppose that the joint pdf of (X,Y) is f(x,y). Let

$$h(y) = \int g(x)f(x|y) dx = \frac{\int g(x)f(x,y) dx}{\int f(x,y) dx}.$$

We show that $h(Y) = \mathbb{E}[g(X) | Y]$. Let $A \in \sigma(Y)$. Then $A = \{\omega : Y(\omega) \in B\}$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. We check that

$$\mathbb{E}[h(Y)\mathbf{1}_{A}] = \int_{B} \int h(y)f(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{B} h(y) \int f(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{B} \int g(x)f(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[g(X)\mathbf{1}_{B}(Y)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[g(X)\mathbf{1}_{A}],$$

and the claim is proved.

Example 12.2. A sensor makes an observation $X \in \mathbb{R}$ and sends a summary $Z = \gamma(X) \in \mathbb{R}$ to a fusion center, where γ is a randomized function. The fusion center uses Z to perform hypothesis testing for

$$H = \begin{cases} H_0: & X \sim \mathbb{P}_0, \\ H_1: & X \sim \mathbb{P}_1. \end{cases}$$

We assume that \mathbb{P}_0 and \mathbb{P}_1 are absolutely continuous w.r.t. each other. Note that these are the laws of X under different hypotheses.

For i = 0, 1, let $\mathbb{P}_{i,Z}$ be the restriction of \mathbb{P}_i on $\sigma(Z)$. Suppose $A \in \sigma(Z)$. We have

$$\int_{A} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{1,Z}}{d\mathbb{P}_{0,Z}} d\mathbb{P}_{0} = \int_{A} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{1,Z}}{d\mathbb{P}_{0,Z}} d\mathbb{P}_{0,Z}$$

$$= \int_{A} d\mathbb{P}_{1,Z}$$

$$= \int_{A} d\mathbb{P}_{1}$$

$$= \int_{A} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{1}}{d\mathbb{P}_{0}} d\mathbb{P}_{0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{1,Z}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{0,Z}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_1}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_0} \,\middle|\, \sigma(Z)\right].$$

As a special case, suppose X and Z are continuous r.v.s. Then γ corresponds to a conditional pdf p(z|x) and letting f_i be the pdf of \mathbb{P}_i , i = 0, 1, we have from Example 12.1,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{0}(x)} \middle| Z = z\right] = \frac{\int \frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{0}(x)} f_{0}(x, z) dz}{\int f_{0}(x, z) dx}$$
$$= \frac{\int f_{1}(x) p(z|x) dx}{\int f_{0,Z}(z)}$$
$$= \frac{f_{1,Z}(z)}{f_{0,Z}(z)}.$$

12.2 Properties

In the following, we list some fundamental properties of conditional expectations, many without proofs. The proofs are left for your exercise.

- 1. If $\sigma(X) \subset \mathscr{F}$, then $X \in \mathscr{F}$ and by Definition 12.1, $X = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$ a.s. As a special case, we have $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{A}] = X$. If c is a constant, viewed as a r.v., its σ -algebra is the trivial one and so $\mathbb{E}[c \mid \mathscr{F}] = c$.
- 2. If $\sigma(X) \perp \!\!\! \perp \mathscr{F}$, then for $A \in \mathscr{F}$, $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_A = \int_A \mathbb{E}X \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] = \mathbb{E}X$ a.s. As a special case, if $\mathscr{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, we have $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] = \mathbb{E}X$.
- 3. Suppose that c is a constant, then $\mathbb{E}[cX + Y \,|\, \mathscr{F}] = c\mathbb{E}[X \,|\, \mathscr{F}] + \mathbb{E}[Y \,|\, \mathscr{F}].$
- 4. Suppose \mathscr{G} and \mathscr{F} are σ -algebras with $\mathscr{G} \subset \mathscr{F}$, then $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{G}] \mid \mathscr{F}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] \mid \mathscr{G}]$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] \mid \mathscr{G}] \mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] \mathbf{1}_A] \text{ by definition of } \mathbb{E}[\cdot \mid \mathscr{G}]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}X \mathbf{1}_A \text{ by definition of } \mathbb{E}[\cdot \mid \mathscr{F}].$$

For example, setting $\mathscr{G} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, we obtain $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]] = \mathbb{E}X$ for all \mathscr{F} .

5. If $X \leq Y$ a.s., then $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathscr{F}]$.

Lemma 12.1. $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathscr{F}]$ a.s. iff $\mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_A$ for all $A \in \mathscr{F}$.

Proof. Similar to the uniqueness proof.

6. Monotone Convergence Theorem. Suppose $\mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$, $\forall n \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$, then $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}] \uparrow \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$ a.s.

Proof. Since $X_n \uparrow X$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} \,|\, \mathscr{F}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X \,|\, \mathscr{F}]$$

and there exists

$$Y \triangleq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X \,|\, \mathscr{F}].$$

From Lemma 4.2, Y is \mathscr{F} -measurable. Moreover, for each $A \in \mathscr{F}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}] \mathbf{1}_A \uparrow Y \mathbf{1}_A$$

since $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}] \uparrow Y$. From the MCT, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}]\mathbf{1}_A] \to \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_A].$$

But
$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}]\mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[X_n\mathbf{1}_A] \xrightarrow{\text{MCT}} \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_A]$$
. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_A]$ and $Y = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$ a.s.

- 7. Dominated Convergence Theorem. If $|X_n| \leq Y$ a.s., $\mathbb{E}Y < \infty$ and $X_n \to X$ a.s., then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}] = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}].$
- 8. Suppose $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$ and $\mathbb{E}|\phi(X,Y)| < \infty$. Let $g(y) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(X,y)]$, then $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X,Y) \mid Y] = g(Y)$.
- 9. If $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}|XY| < \infty$, and $Y \in \mathscr{F}$, then $\mathbb{E}[XY \mid \mathscr{F}] = Y\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$.
- 10. The usual inequalities apply. We define $\mathbb{P}(A \mid \mathscr{F}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \mid \mathscr{F}]$.
 - Markov's inequality: For a > 0, $\mathbb{P}(X > a \mid \mathscr{F}) \le a\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]$.
 - Chebyshev's inequality: For a > 0, $\mathbb{P}(|X| \ge a \,|\, \mathscr{F}) \le a^2 \mathbb{E}[X^2 \,|\, \mathscr{F}]$.
 - Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: $\mathbb{E}[XY \mid \mathcal{F}]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2 \mid \mathcal{F}]\mathbb{E}[Y^2 \mid \mathcal{F}].$
 - Jensen's inequality: Given a convex function ϕ with $\mathbb{E}|\phi(X)| < \infty$, we have

$$\phi(\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]) \le \mathbb{E}[\phi(X) \mid \mathscr{F}].$$

12.3 L^2 Interpretation

Proposition 12.1. Consider $L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P}) = \{X : X \in \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty\}$, which is a subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mathbb{P})$. If $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mathbb{P})$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}] = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}(X - Y)^2.$$

Proof. For $Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, let $Z = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{F}] - Y \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(X-Y)^2 &= \mathbb{E}\big[(X-\mathbb{E}[X\,|\,\mathscr{F}] + \mathbb{E}[X\,|\,\mathscr{F}] - Y)^2\big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X-\mathbb{E}[X\,|\,\mathscr{F}])^2 + \mathbb{E}Z^2 + 2\mathbb{E}[Z(X-\mathbb{E}[X\,|\,\mathscr{F}])] \end{split}$$

and since $Z \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(X - \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}])] = \mathbb{E}[ZX] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[ZX \mid \mathscr{F}]]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[ZX] - \mathbb{E}[ZX]$$
$$= 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(X - Y)^2 \ge \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}])^2,$$

and the proposition is proved.

We can define $\operatorname{var}(X\mathscr{F}) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}])^2 \mid \mathscr{F}] = \mathbb{E}[X^2 \mid \mathscr{F}] - \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}]^2$. One can show (exercise) that

$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{var}(X \,|\, \mathscr{F})] + \operatorname{var}(\mathbb{E}[X \,|\, \mathscr{F}]).$$

12.4 Martingales

Consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$. A sequence of sub- σ -algebras $\mathscr{F}_0 \subset \mathscr{F}_1 \subset \mathscr{F}_2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathscr{F}$ is called a *filtration*. Let the r.v. $M_n \in \mathscr{F}_n$ (i.e., M_n is \mathscr{F}_n -measurable). We say that M_n is adapted to \mathscr{F}_n .

Definition 12.2 (Martingale). We say that $(M_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a martingale if $\mathbb{E}|M_n| < \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[M_m \mid \mathscr{F}_n] = M_n, \ \forall \, m \ge n. \tag{1}$$

By induction, the condition (1) is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}[M_n \mid \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1}$. We give examples of martingales below.

Example 12.3. Suppose that $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are independent r.v.s, $\mathscr{F}_n = \sigma(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ and $\mathbb{E}X_n = 0$. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[S_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[S_{n-1} + X_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= S_{n-1} + \mathbb{E}[X_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] \end{split}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}X_n = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = S_{n-1}.$$

Therefore, $(S_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a martingale.

Example 12.4. Suppose that $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are independent r.v.s, $var(X_n) = \sigma^2$ and $\mathbb{E}X_n = 0$. Let $M_0 = 0$, and $M_n = S_n^2 - n\sigma^2$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}\Big[S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1}X_n + X_n^2 - n\sigma^2 \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\Big]$$

$$= S_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)\sigma^2$$

$$= M_{n-1},$$

and $(M_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a martingale.

Example 12.5. Suppose that $(X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ are independent r.v.s., $X_i \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X_i = 1$. Let $M_0 = 1$, and $M_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n \mid \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}[M_{n-1} \cdot X_n \mid \mathscr{F}_{n-1}]$$
$$= M_{n-1}\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}_{n-1}]$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}X_i = 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1},$$

and $(M_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a martingale.

Example 12.6. Suppose that $(Y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are i.i.d. and $\phi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda Y_1} < \infty$. Let $X_n = \frac{e^{\lambda Y_n}}{\phi(\lambda)}$. Then $\mathbb{E}X_n = 1$. Let

$$M_n = \frac{\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n Y_n\right)}{\phi(\lambda)^n}$$

and from Example 12.5, we have $(M_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a martingale.

Example 12.7. Given $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ and a filtration $(\mathscr{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$, let $M_n = \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathscr{F}_n]$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[M_n \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \,|\, \mathscr{F}_n] \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X \,|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= M_{n-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $(M_n, \mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a martingale.

Definition 12.3. $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is predictable w.r.t. $(\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ if $A_n\in\mathscr{F}_{n-1}, \forall n\geq 1$.

We call $(\widetilde{M}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ the martingale transform of $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ by $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ if

(i) $\widetilde{M}_0 = M_0$. (In general, we can choose any integrable $\widetilde{M}_0 \in \mathscr{F}_0$.)

(ii)
$$\widetilde{M}_n = \widetilde{M}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k (M_k - M_{k-1}).$$

Theorem 12.1 (MTT). If $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is predictable w.r.t. $(\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and bounded, and $(M_n,\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a martingale, then $(\widetilde{M}_n,\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a martingale.

Proof. It is obvious that $\widetilde{M}_n \in \mathscr{F}_n$ and $\mathbb{E}|\widetilde{M}_n| < \infty$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widetilde{M}_{n} - \widetilde{M}_{n-1} \,\middle|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[A_{n}(M_{n} - M_{n-1}) \,\middle|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\right]$$
$$= A_{n}\mathbb{E}\left[M_{n} - M_{n-1} \,\middle|\, \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\right] = 0.$$

Example 12.8. Suppose we divide time into discrete equal intervals (e.g., days). Let M_n be the price of a stock at time instant $n \geq 1$ and \mathscr{F}_n be the available information up to time n. From the efficient-market hypothesis (assuming no dividends and discount factor), M_n is a "fair" price that has priced in all expected future gains or losses, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[M_m | \mathscr{F}_n] = M_n$ for $m \geq n$ and (M_n, \mathscr{F}_n) is a martingale. Let $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a strategy that decides to hold A_n units of the stock in the time period [n-1,n). Clearly, (A_n) has to be predictable w.r.t. (\mathscr{F}_n) . Then $M_n = \sum_{k \leq n} A_k (M_k - M_{k-1})$ is the change in wealth of this strategy up to time n. The MTT says that the expected wealth of any strategy is the same and what you start with, i.e., you cannot beat the market! We will see a much stronger version of this result in Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem in the next session.