Tutorial 8 — Transactions and Recovery

Richard Wong rk2wong@edu.uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

March 19, 2018

ECE 356 Winter 2018 1/1

Is the following transaction schedule recoverable?

Can we make a conflict-equivalent schedule that is cascadeless?

T1	r_{x}		r_y						С
T2		W_y			r_{x}			С	
T3				W_X		r_{x}	С		

ECE 356 Winter 2018 2

Exercise 8-1 Solution (1/2)

In the context of recoverability, a transaction T **depends on** a transaction S if T reads a value that S has written to previously.

For a schedule to be recoverable, for any pair of transactions S and T, if T depends on S, then S must commit *before* T does.

In the given schedule, that means:

- T3 must commit before T2 does, and
- T2 must commit before T1 does.

ECE 356 Winter 2018 3,

Exercise 8-1 Solution (2/2)

For a schedule to be recoverable, for any pair of transactions S and T, if T depends on S, then S must commit *before* T reads a dependent value.

The following schedule is conflict-equivalent to the original, and is also cascadeless.

T1	r_{x}							r_y	С
T2		W_y				r_{x}	С		
T3			W_X	r_{x}	С				

Note: In the case of an abort, only one transaction will need to roll back and the others can run; this does not preserve equivalence to the original schedule, but can still be consistent.

ECE 356 Winter 2018 4

What is the weakest isolation guarantee available in SQL?

When would an developer want to use a weaker isolation level in their application?

ECE 356 Winter 2018 5/1

Exercise 8-2 Solution

The weakest isolation level provided by SQL is read-uncommitted.

This level guarantees *no dirty writes*: no writes on top of uncommitted writes by other transactions.

Weaker isolation affords a greater degree of concurrency, for a potential boost in throughput. Use if the possibly-resulting inconsistencies are irrelevant to the application, or they are otherwise worth dealing with.

ECE 356 Winter 2018 6/1

Some DMBSes use snapshot isolation to implement *serializable*-level isolation. It works most of the time, but has failure cases.

How can snapshot isolation fail to create a serializable schedule, and what should happen when it creates a non-serializable schedule?

ECE 356 Winter 2018 7

Exercise 8-3 Solution

In **snapshot isolation**, transactions operate on a snapshot of the DB that looks as it did when the transaction started.

A consistency check (for dirty writes, essentially) is performed right before an attempted commit.

Snapshot isolation can result in non-serializable schedules because no additional work is done to ensure serializability as the schedule is generated. The consistency check at the end will abort non-serializable schedules.

ECE 356 Winter 2018 8 /

Show that 2PL can create schedules that result in deadlock.

What can we do to **prevent** deadlock?

ECE 356 Winter 2018 9/1

Exercise 8-4 Solution (1/2)

Recall that deadlock requires four conditions to be simultaneously true:

- 1 mutual exclusion,
- 2 hold-and-wait,
- 3 no pre-emption, and
- 4 circular wait.

A 2PL schedule that results in deadlock, supposing T1 wants to read resources *a* and *b*, and T2 wants to write to those same resources:

T1	s_a			s_b
T2		x_b	Xa	

ECE 356 Winter 2018 10 / 1

Exercise 8-4 Solution (2/2)

Note that in 2PL, transactions can run into deadlock only during their growing phase.

We can prevent deadlock by having a smarter lock manager. There are several ways to prevent deadlock. The following are some things that the lock manager can do:

- Use a deadlock detection algorithm (e.g. Banker's, graph algorithms) to determine whether granting a lock might lead to deadlock, and make the caller wait or abort if so. This can be expensive.
- Enforce a partial ordering (could be encoded with a tree) for lock acquisition to remove the possibility of circular wait.

ECE 356 Winter 2018 11,

Show that 2PL can create recoverable schedules with cascading rollbacks.

What variant of 2PL creates cascadeless schedules?

ECE 356 Winter 2018 12/

Exercise 8-5 Solution

T1	Xa	Wa	Иa									abort
T2				Sa	r _a	Xa	Wa	Иa				
T3									Sa	r _a	Иa	

ECE 356 Winter 2018 13/1

Supposing that instead handling deadlock with an avoidance/prevention strategy, we try to detect and recover. How do we recover from a deadlock?

ECE 356 Winter 2018 14/1

Exercise 8-6 Solution

Recovering from a deadlock involves rolling back transactions until the circular wait is removed.

We choose a transaction to roll back using some heuristic that takes starvation into account, then roll back some or all of the transaction.

ECE 356 Winter 2018 15/1