# Detailed derivation of Belief Propagation algorithm on Pairwise Markov Random Fields

## Yurii Lahodiuk yura.lagodiuk@gmail.com

#### 1 Problem Statement

Lets consider a graph G – where cycles are absent (tree). One of the properties of G – is such, that it is a bipartite graph. It means, that there exists 2 disjoint sets of vertices (lets denote them as I and J), where edges between vertices of the same set are absent  $^{1}$ .

The set of edges of graph: E – can be represented as a set of tuples (i, j), where  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ .

Also, lets introduce additional property of G: each vertex  $i \in I$  is associated with some discrete valued random variable  $X_i$ , which has value  $x_i \in S(X_i)$  (where,  $S(X_i)$  is a finite set of possible outcomes of random variable  $X_i$ ).

The same is applicable to all vertices from J – each vertex  $j \in J$  is associated with discrete valued random variable  $X_j$ , which has value  $x_j \in S(X_j)$ .

Lets introduce shorthand notation for summation of some function  $f(x_k)$ , which defined  $\forall x_k \in S(X_k)$  – over all outcomes of  $X_k$ :

(1) 
$$\sum_{x_k \in S(X_k)} f(x_k) \equiv \sum_{x_k} f(x_k), \quad \forall k \in I \cup J$$

Lets assume that there exists some probability mass function, which represents probabilities of the possible "configurations" of graph <sup>2</sup>:  $p(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ... X_n = x_n)$ , where  $x_1 \in S(X_1)$ ,  $x_2 \in S(X_2)$ , ...  $x_n \in S(X_n)$ . Lets use following shorthand notation for expression of probability mass function:

(2) 
$$p(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots X_n = x_n) \equiv p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$$

By definition of probability mass function:

(3) 
$$\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = 1$$

We are interested in "single-node" marginal probabilities:

$$(4) \quad p_{i}(x_{i}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}), \quad \forall i \in I, \quad \forall x_{i} \in S(X_{i})$$

$$p_{j}(x_{i}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}), \quad \forall j \in J, \quad \forall x_{j} \in S(X_{j})$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Following intuition might be useful: imagine, that we assigned natural numbers:  $1, 2, \dots n$  for all vertices of G. So, we can state that I – represents set of vertices with odd indices, and J – represents set of vertices with even indices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Concept of "configuration" means: that random variable  $X_1$ , which associated with vertex 1, has some specific value  $x_1$  – from the set of possible outcomes:  $S(X_1)$ ; vertex 2 is associated with random variable  $X_2$ , which has some specific value  $x_2$  – from the set of possible outcomes  $S(X_2)$ , and so on.

For nodes, which are connected - we, also interested in "pairwise" marginal probabilities:

(5) 
$$p_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n),$$
$$\forall (i, j) \in E, \ \forall x_i \in S(X_i), \ \forall x_j \in S(X_j)$$

Calculation of values of marginal probabilities, by straightforward summations of probability mass function over all possible outcomes of the random variables (except the fixed one) – leads to exponential complexity of computations:

$$O\left( |S(X_1)| \times |S(X_2)| \times \cdots \times |S(X_{k-1})| \times |S(X_{k+1})| \times \cdots \times |S(X_n)| \right) = O(\alpha^{n-1}) = O(\alpha^n),$$
(6) 
$$\forall k \in I \cup J,$$

$$\alpha - \text{is just some constant},$$

n – is number of vertices in graph G

In case if set of random variables (which associated with vertices of graph G) satisfies the local Markov Properties [1], and taking into account bipartiteness of G – we can state, that given set of random variables is, actually, a Pairwise Markov Random Field.

In the following section – we will expose *local properties* of the Pairwise Markov Random Field, which will help to reduce complexity of computations of the marginal probabilities.

## 2 Derivation

Keeping in mind Equation (3), lets consider following equalities for marginal probabilities <sup>3</sup> (which will be useful during the further steps of derivation):

$$\sum_{x_{i}} p_{i}(x_{i}) = \sum_{x_{i}} \left( \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) = 1$$

$$(7)$$

$$\sum_{x_{j}} p_{j}(x_{j}) = \sum_{x_{j}} \left( \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) = 1$$

(8) 
$$\sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} p_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} \left( \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = 1$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Described equalities are possible, thanks to commutativity property of summations.

Lets represent single-node marginal probabilities, using pairwise marginal probabilities (keeping in mind Equation (5)):

$$p_{i}(x_{i}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{j}} \left( \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{j}} p_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})$$

$$p_{j}(x_{j}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{i}} \left( \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} p(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{i}} p_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})$$

Lets introduce shorthand notations for summations over vertices (from I and J) and edges (from E):

(10) 
$$\sum_{i \in I} f(i) \equiv \sum_{i} f(i)$$
$$\sum_{j \in J} f(j) \equiv \sum_{j} f(j)$$
$$\sum_{(i,j) \in E} f(i,j) \equiv \sum_{(i,j)} f(i,j)$$

Lets consider summation over all edges - of some functions  $f_i(x_i)$  and  $f_j(x_j)$  (which depends only on states of single nodes: i and j), also lets denote  $q_i$  - as a number of edges, which connected to node i ("degree" of node i), and  $q_j$  - "degree" of node j. So, in this case - we can represent summations over all edges, as summations over nodes, multiplied by node-degrees:

(11) 
$$\sum_{(i,j)} f_i(x_i) \equiv \sum_i (q_i \times f_i(x_i)), \quad \forall i \in I, \quad \forall x_i \in S(X_i)$$

$$\sum_{(i,j)} f_j(x_j) \equiv \sum_j (q_j \times f_j(x_j)), \quad \forall j \in J, \quad \forall x_j \in S(X_j)$$

Hammersley-Clifford Theorem [3, 4, 5] states, that probability distribution of configurations of Markov Random Field can be factorized into product of non-negative functions ("potentials") – defined over maximal cliques of graph:

$$(12) p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \times \left( \prod_{(i,j)} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \right) \times \left( \prod_i \phi_i(x_i) \right) \times \left( \prod_j \phi_j(x_j) \right)$$

Boltzmann's Law:

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \times \exp\left(\frac{-E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)}{T}\right)$$

We can treat T just as a scaling coefficient, and for simplicity lets assume that T=1:

(13) 
$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \times \exp(-E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$$

From (12) and (13):

$$\exp\left(-E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right) = \left(\prod_{(i,j)} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) \times \left(\prod_i \phi_i(x_i)\right) \times \left(\prod_j \phi_j(x_j)\right)$$

So:

$$(14) \quad E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = -\left(\sum_{(i,j)} \ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j))\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)$$

Lets, assume that we have function  $b(x_1, x_2, ... x_n)$ , which approximates real probability mass function  $p(x_1, x_2, ... x_n)$ . It means, that Equations (3)–(5) and (7)–(9) the same for  $b(x_1, x_2, ... x_n)$ :

(15) 
$$\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = 1$$

(16) 
$$b_{i}(x_{i}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n})$$
$$b_{j}(x_{i}) = \sum_{x_{1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{i+1}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n})$$

$$(17) \quad b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$$

(18) 
$$\sum_{x_i} b_i(x_i) = 1 \\ \sum_{x_j} b_j(x_j) = 1$$

(19) 
$$\sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = 1$$

(20) 
$$b_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$
$$b_j(x_j) = \sum_{x_i} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

Lets use Kullback-Leibler divergence for measurement of "difference" between real and approximated functions:

$$D_{KL}(b||p) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left( b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln \left( \frac{b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)}{p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)} \right) \right) =$$

$$= \left( \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln \left( b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \right) \right) -$$

$$- \left( \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln \left( p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \right) \right)$$

Lest substitute  $p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$  using expression from equation (13):

$$D_{KL}(b||p) = \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right) - \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln\left(\frac{1}{Z} \times \exp(-E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right)\right) = \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right) - \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times (-\ln(Z) - E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right) = \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(Z)\right)$$

As far, as Z is just a constant – it doesn't depend on  $x_1, x_2 \dots x_n$ , so we can move ln(Z) out of summations:

$$D_{KL}(b||p) = \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right) \times \ln(Z) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)$$

Taking into account equation (15), we can substitute multiplier near ln(Z) – to 1:

(24) 
$$D_{KL}(b||p) = \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))\right) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right) + \ln(Z)$$

Lets use following notation:

$$U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} (b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times E(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$$

$$(25) \quad -H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} (b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times \ln(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)))$$

$$G(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) - H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$$

$$-F(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = -F = \ln(Z)$$

So:

(26) 
$$D_{KL}(b||p) = G(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) - F = U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) - H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) + ln(Z)$$
  
Let's transform  $U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$ , using expression for energy (14):

(27)

$$\begin{split} &U(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times E(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\right) = \\ &= \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \left(-\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i,x_j))\right) - \left(\sum_i \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right) - \left(\sum_j \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right)\right) = \\ &= -\left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \sum_{i \neq j} \ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i,x_j))\right)\right) - \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \sum_j \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \sum_j \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) = \\ &= -\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i,x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) = \\ &= -\left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_i(x_i))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \cdots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \cdots \sum_{x_{j+1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j))\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \cdots \sum_{x_{j+1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n) \times \ln(\phi_j(x_j)\right)\right)\right) - \\ &- \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \cdots \sum_{x_{j+1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j+1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j+1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \cdots$$

As far, as  $\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$  – depends only on  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , and  $\phi_i(x_i)$  – depends only on  $x_i$ , and  $\phi_j(x_j)$  – depends only on  $x_j$  – we could rewrite sums as:

(28)

$$U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = -\left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} \ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) \times \left(\sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \dots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \dots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} \ln(\phi_i(x_i)) \times \left(\sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \dots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \ln(\phi_j(x_j)) \times \left(\sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \dots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)\right)$$

Taking into account Equations (16) and (17): we can substitute summations of probability mass function – to marginal probabilities:

(29) 
$$U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = -\left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} ln(\phi_i(x_i)) \times b_i(x_i)\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} ln(\phi_j(x_j)) \times b_j(x_j)\right)$$

Lets introduce following variables ("local energies"):

$$E_i(x_i) = -ln(\phi_i(x_i))$$

(30) 
$$E_j(x_j) = -ln(\phi_j(x_j))$$
  
 $E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = -ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) - ln(\phi_i(x_i)) - ln(\phi_j(x_j))$ 

So, now we can express  $ln(\phi_i(x_i))$ ,  $ln(\phi_j(x_j))$  and  $ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j))$  – using "local energies" (30):

$$ln(\phi_i(x_i)) = -E_i(x_i)$$

(31) 
$$ln(\phi_j(x_j)) = -E_j(x_j)$$
  
 $ln(\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) = -E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + E_i(x_i) + E_j(x_j)$ 

Lets transform  $U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$  using Equations (31):

$$U(b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n})) = -\left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times (-E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) + E_{i}(x_{i}) + E_{j}(x_{j}))\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{i}} b_{i}(x_{i}) \times (-E_{i}(x_{i}))\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{j}(x_{j}) \times (-E_{j}(x_{j}))\right) =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times E_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{j}} \sum_{x_{i}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times E_{j}(x_{j})\right) + \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{i}} b_{i}(x_{i}) \times E_{i}(x_{i})\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{j}(x_{j}) \times E_{j}(x_{j})\right)$$

Taking into account that  $E_i(x_i)$  is not depends on  $x_j$ , and  $E_j(x_j)$  is not depends on  $x_i$  - we can move these multipliers out of corresponding summations:

$$(33) \quad U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times E_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} E_i(x_i) \times \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_j} E_i(x_j) \times \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_j} E_i(x_j) \times \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) + \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_j} b_i(x_i) \times E_i(x_i)\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} b_j(x_j) \times E_j(x_j)\right)$$

Taking into account (20) – we can substitute summations over two-node marginal probabilities – to single-node marginal probabilities:

$$(34) \quad U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times E_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} E_i(x_i) \times \frac{b_i(x_i)}{b_i(x_i)}\right) - \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_j} E_j(x_j) \times \frac{b_j(x_j)}{b_j(x_j)}\right) + \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} b_i(x_i) \times E_i(x_i)\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} b_j(x_j) \times E_j(x_j)\right)$$

Finally, we can substitute summations over edges – to summation over nodes, as described by Equation (11):

$$U(b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n})) = \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{(i,j)} E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{x_{j}} \sum_{(i,j)} E_{j}(x_{j}) \times b_{j}(x_{j})\right) + \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{i}} b_{i}(x_{i}) \times E_{i}(x_{i})\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{j}(x_{j}) \times E_{j}(x_{j})\right) =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{i}} a_{i} \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{x_{j}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{j}) \times E_{j}(x_{j})\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{j}) \times E_{j}(x_{j})\right) =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{i}} \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \times E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{j} - 1) \times E_{j}(x_{j}) \times b_{j}(x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{j} - 1) \times E_{j}(x_{j}) \times b_{j}(x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{j} - 1) \times E_{j}(x_{j}) \times b_{j}(x_{j})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} (q_{i} - 1) \times E_{i}(x_{i})$$

So, finally, we derived representation of  $U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$  in terms of marginal probabilities and "local

energies":

(36) 
$$U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \right) - \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} (q_i - 1) \times E_i(x_i) \times b_i(x_i) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} (q_j - 1) \times E_j(x_j) \times b_j(x_j) \right)$$

Now, lets transform  $-H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$  from Equations (25):

$$(37) -H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} (b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times ln (b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)))$$

Lets introduce additional statement [6] (which can be proven by induction [7]) – probability distribution can be expressed via marginal probabilities (over max-cliques and single nodes), and node-degrees of graph. For Pairwise Markov Random Field this statement can be formalised as:

(38) 
$$b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = \frac{\prod_{(i,j)} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)}{\prod_i b_i(x_i)^{q_i - 1} \times \prod_i b_j(x_j)^{q_j - 1}}$$

So, lets rewrite Equation (37), keeping in mind Equation (38):

$$(39) - H(b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n})) = \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} \left( b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \right) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} \left( b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( \frac{\prod_{(i,j)} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})}{\prod_{i} b_{i}(x_{i})^{q_{i}-1}} \right) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} \left( b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times \left( ln \left( \prod_{(i,j)} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) - ln \left( \prod_{i} b_{i}(x_{i})^{q_{i}-1} \right) - ln \left( \prod_{j} b_{j}(x_{j})^{q_{j}-1} \right) \right) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} \left( b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times \left( \left( \sum_{(i,j)} ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) - \left( \sum_{i} ln \left( b_{i}(x_{i})^{q_{i}-1} \right) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} ln \left( b_{j}(x_{j})^{q_{j}-1} \right) \right) \right) \right) =$$

$$= \left( \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times \sum_{(i,j)} ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) - \left( \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times \sum_{j} ln \left( b_{i}(x_{i})^{q_{i}-1} \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \dots \sum_{x_{n}} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{(i,j)} b(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) \times ln \left( b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \right) -$$

$$= \left( \sum_{(i,j$$

Lets rearrange sums, according to dependance of marginal probabilities:

(40)

$$\begin{split} &-H(b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)) = \left(\sum_{(i,j)}\sum_{x_i}\sum_{x_j}\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{i-1}}\sum_{x_{i+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_{i+1}}\sum_{x_{j+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_{j-1}}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\times \ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i,x_j)\right)\right) - \\ &-\left(\sum_i\sum_{x_i}\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{i-1}}\sum_{x_{i+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_n}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\times \ln\left(b_i(x_i)^{q_i-1}\right)\right) - \\ &-\left(\sum_j\sum_{x_j}\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{j-1}}\sum_{x_{j+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_n}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\times \ln\left(b_j(x_j)^{q_j-1}\right)\right) = \\ &=\left(\sum_{(i,j)}\sum_{x_i}\sum_{x_j}\ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i,x_j)\right)\times \left(\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{i-1}}\sum_{x_{i+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_n}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\right)\right) - \\ &-\left(\sum_i\sum_{x_i}\ln\left(b_i(x_i)^{q_i-1}\right)\times \left(\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{i-1}}\sum_{x_{i+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_n}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\right)\right) - \\ &-\left(\sum_j\sum_{x_j}\ln\left(b_j(x_j)^{q_j-1}\right)\times \left(\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{j-1}}\sum_{x_{j+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_n}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\right)\right) - \\ &+\left(\sum_j\sum_{x_1}\ln\left(b_j(x_j)^{q_j-1}\right)\times \left(\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x_n}\cdots\sum_{x_n}b(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\right)\right) - \\ &+\left(\sum_j\sum_{x_1}\ln\left(b_j(x_j)^{q_j-1}\right)\times \left(\sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x_n}\cdots\sum_{x_n}\sum_{x$$

Again, taking into account Equations (16) and (17): we can substitute summations of probability mass function – to marginal probabilities:

$$(41)$$

$$-H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} \ln \left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) \times \left(\sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \dots \sum_{x_j} \sum_{x_j} \dots \sum_{x_j} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)\right) - \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} \ln \left(b_i(x_i)^{q_i-1}\right) \times \left(\sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \dots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \ln \left(b_j(x_j)^{q_j-1}\right) \times \left(\sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{j+1}} \dots \sum_{x_n} b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)\right)\right) = \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} \ln \left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) - \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} \ln \left(b_j(x_j)^{q_j-1}\right) \times b_j(x_j)\right)$$

So, finally, we can represent  $-H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n))$  only in terms of marginal probabilities:

$$(42) - H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) = \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} \ln(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \right) - \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} (q_i - 1) \times \ln(b_i(x_i)) \times b_i(x_i) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} (q_j - 1) \times \ln(b_j(x_j)) \times b_j(x_j) \right)$$

Lets represent Kullback-Leibler divergence (26) – in terms of marginal probabilities and local energies (keeping in mind Equations (36) and (42)):

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{KL}(b||p) = U(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) - H(b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)) + ln(Z) = \\ &= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \right) - \\ &- \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} (q_i - 1) \times E_i(x_i) \times b_i(x_i) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} (q_j - 1) \times E_j(x_j) \times b_j(x_j) \right) + \\ &+ \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \right) - \\ &- \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} (q_i - 1) \times ln(b_i(x_i)) \times b_i(x_i) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} (q_j - 1) \times ln(b_j(x_j)) \times b_j(x_j) \right) + ln(Z) = \\ &= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times (E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right)) \right) - \\ &- \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} (q_i - 1) \times b_i(x_i) \times (E_i(x_i) + ln(b_i(x_i))) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} (q_j - 1) \times b_j(x_j) \times (E_j(x_j) + ln(b_j(x_j))) \right) + ln(Z) \end{aligned}$$

So, again, I would like to pay attention on the fact – that we avoided potentially exponential amount of operations, during calculation of Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$\begin{aligned} &(44) \\ &D_{KL}(b||p) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_n} \left( b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \times ln \left( \frac{b(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)}{p(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)} \right) \right) = \\ &= \left( \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times \left( E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + ln \left( b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \right) \right) \right) - \\ &- \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i} (q_i - 1) \times b_i(x_i) \times \left( E_{i}(x_i) + ln(b_i(x_i)) \right) \right) - \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{x_j} (q_j - 1) \times b_j(x_j) \times \left( E_{j}(x_j) + ln(b_j(x_j)) \right) \right) + ln(Z) \end{aligned}$$

So, our goal is to find such values of  $b_i(x_i)$ ,  $b_j(x_j)$  and  $b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$  – which leads to minimal value of  $D_{KL}(b||p)$ , with respect to conditions, defined by Equations (18)–(20).

Lets use Lagrange multipliers method [9] for this purpose. Lets construct lagrangian, according to restrictions from Equations (18)–(20):

$$\mathcal{L} = D_{KL}(b||p) + \left(\sum_{i} \gamma_{i} \times \left(1 - \sum_{x_{i}} b_{i}(x_{i})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \gamma_{j} \times \left(1 - \sum_{x_{j}} b_{j}(x_{j})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{x_{j}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_{i}) \times \left(b_{i}(x_{i}) - \sum_{x_{j}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} \sum_{i \in N(j)} \lambda_{ij}(x_{j}) \times \left(b_{j}(x_{j}) - \sum_{x_{i}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{j} \sum_{x_{j}} \sum_{i \in N(j)} \lambda_{ij}(x_{j}) \times \left(b_{j}(x_{j}) - \sum_{x_{i}} b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right)\right)$$

Where:

- $\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \gamma_{ij}, \lambda_{ji}(x_i)$  and  $\lambda_{ij}(x_j)$  lagrange multipliers (just some constants)
- $\sum_{j \in N(i)}$  sum over node-indices j, which are adjacent to node i ("neighbours") Which means, that  $\forall j \in N(i)$  exists edge (which represented by tuple (i, j))
- $\sum_{i \in N(j)}$  sum over node-indices i, which are adjacent to node j

So,  $\mathcal{L}$  – is a function, which depends on variables:

- $b_i(x_i)$  defined  $\forall i \in OddNodes$ , and  $\forall x_i \in StatesOfNode(i)$
- $b_j(x_j)$  defined  $\forall j \in EvenNodes$ , and  $\forall x_j \in StatesOfNode(j)$
- $b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$  defined  $\forall (i, j) \in Edges$ , and  $\forall x_i \in StatesOfNode(i)$ , and  $\forall x_j \in StatesOfNode(j)$

By definition of Lagrange multipliers method, we are interested in stationary points of  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$(46) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} = 0, \ (\forall (i, j) \in Edges, \ \forall x_i \in StatesOfNode(i), \ \forall x_j \in StatesOfNode(j))$$

(47) 
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_i(x_i)} = 0$$
,  $(\forall i \in OddNodes, \ \forall x_i \in StatesOfNode(i))$ 

(48) 
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_i(x_i)} = 0$$
,  $(\forall j \in EvenNodes, \ \forall x_j \in StatesOfNode(j))$ 

Lets transform Equation (46), using Equation (45):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} = \frac{\partial \left(D_{KL}(b||p)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} + \frac{\partial \left(-\gamma_{ij} \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

$$(49) + \frac{\partial \left(-\frac{\lambda_{ji}(x_i)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} + \frac{\partial \left(-\frac{\lambda_{ij}(x_j)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} \times b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} =$$

$$= \frac{\partial \left(D_{KL}(b||p)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} - \frac{\lambda_{ji}(x_i)}{\lambda_{ji}(x_i)} - \frac{\lambda_{ij}(x_j)}{\lambda_{ij}(x_j)} = 0$$

For the further transformation of partial derivative – lets use expression for  $D_{KL}(b||p)$  from Equation (44):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} = \frac{\partial \left(D_{KL}(b||p)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} - \gamma_{ij} - \lambda_{ji}(x_i) - \lambda_{ij}(x_j) =$$

$$= \frac{\partial \left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times \left(E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + \ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right)\right)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} - \gamma_{ij} - \lambda_{ji}(x_i) - \lambda_{ij}(x_j) =$$

$$= E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + \frac{\partial \left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times \ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right)\right)}{\partial b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)} - \gamma_{ij} - \lambda_{ji}(x_i) - \lambda_{ij}(x_j) =$$

$$= E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + \ln\left(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)\right) + 1 - \gamma_{ij} - \lambda_{ji}(x_i) - \lambda_{ij}(x_j) = 0$$

So, finally, from Equation (50) – we can derive expression for  $\ln(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j))$ :

(51) 
$$ln(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) = \gamma_{ij} + \lambda_{ji}(x_i) + \lambda_{ij}(x_j) - E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) - 1$$

Now, lets transform Equation (47), using Equation (45):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})} = \frac{\partial \left(D_{KL}(b||p)\right)}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})} + \frac{\partial \left(-\gamma_{i} \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right)}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})} + \frac{\partial \left(\sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right)}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})} =$$

$$= \frac{\partial \left(D_{KL}(b||p)\right)}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})} - \gamma_{i} + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left(\frac{\partial \left(\lambda_{ji}(x_{i}) \times b_{i}(x_{i})\right)}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{\partial \left(D_{KL}(b||p)\right)}{\partial b_{i}(x_{i})} - \gamma_{i} + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_{i}) = 0$$

Again, for the further transformation of partial derivative – lets use expression for  $D_{KL}(b||p)$  from Equation (44):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_i(x_i)} = \frac{\partial \left( -(q_i - 1) \times b_i(x_i) \times \left( E_i(x_i) + \ln(b_i(x_i)) \right) \right)}{\partial b_i(x_i)} - \gamma_i + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i) =$$

(53) 
$$= -(q_i - 1) \times E_i(x_i) - (q_i - 1) \times \frac{\partial (b_i(x_i) \times ln(b_i(x_i)))}{\partial b_i(x_i)} - \gamma_i + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i) =$$

$$= -(q_i - 1) \times E_i(x_i) - (q_i - 1) \times (1 + ln(b_i(x_i))) - \gamma_i + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i) = 0$$

So, finally, from Equation (53) – we can derive expression for  $ln(b_i(x_i))$ :

$$(q_i - 1) \times (1 + ln(b_i(x_i))) = -(q_i - 1) \times E_i(x_i) - \gamma_i + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i)$$

(54) 
$$(1 + \ln(b_i(x_i))) = -E_i(x_i) - \frac{\gamma_i}{q_i - 1} + \frac{\sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i)}{q_i - 1}$$
$$\ln(b_i(x_i)) = -E_i(x_i) - \frac{\gamma_i}{q_i - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q_i - 1} \times \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i)$$

In the identical way – we can derive expression for  $ln(b_i(x_i))$ :

$$(55) ln(b_j(x_j)) = -E_j(x_j) - \frac{\gamma_j}{q_j - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q_j - 1} \times \sum_{i \in N(j)} \lambda_{ij}(x_j)$$

So, finally, we derived expressions for logarithms of marginal probabilities, which corresponds to stationary points of lagrangian:

(56) 
$$\begin{cases} ln(b_{ij}(x_i, x_j)) = \gamma_{ij} + \lambda_{ji}(x_i) + \lambda_{ij}(x_j) - E_{ij}(x_i, x_j) - 1 \\ ln(b_i(x_i)) = -E_i(x_i) - \frac{\gamma_i}{q_i - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q_i - 1} \times \sum_{j \in N(i)} \lambda_{ji}(x_i) \\ ln(b_j(x_j)) = -E_j(x_j) - \frac{\gamma_j}{q_j - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q_j - 1} \times \sum_{i \in N(j)} \lambda_{ij}(x_j) \end{cases}$$

As you remember (from Equation (45)):  $\gamma_i$ ,  $\gamma_j$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}$ ,  $\lambda_{ji}(x_i)$  and  $\lambda_{ij}(x_j)$  – are just some constants. So, if we find a way to compute these constants we will be able to compute marginal probabilities.

Lets represent  $\lambda_{ji}(x_i)$  – as a sum of  $(q_i - 1)$  constants:

(57) 
$$\lambda_{ji}(x_i) = \sum_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} ln(m_{k \to i}(x_i))$$

As far as node i has  $q_i$  neighbours, we can imagine, that each constant  $ln(m_{k\to i}(x_i))$  – belongs to edge (k,i) (except edge (j,i)).

The same is applied to  $\lambda_{ij}(x_i)$ :

(58) 
$$\lambda_{ij}(x_j) = \sum_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} \ln(m_{k \to j}(x_j))$$

Lets rewrite Equations (56), using Equations (57) and (58):

$$\begin{cases}
ln\left(b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) = -E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) + \gamma_{ij} - 1 + \left(\sum_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} ln\left(m_{k \to i}(x_{i})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} ln\left(m_{k \to j}(x_{j})\right)\right) \\
ln(b_{i}(x_{i})) = -E_{i}(x_{i}) - \frac{\gamma_{i}}{q_{i} - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q_{i} - 1} \times \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left(\sum_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} ln\left(m_{k \to i}(x_{i})\right)\right) \\
ln(b_{j}(x_{j})) = -E_{j}(x_{j}) - \frac{\gamma_{j}}{q_{j} - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q_{j} - 1} \times \sum_{i \in N(j)} \left(\sum_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} ln\left(m_{k \to j}(x_{j})\right)\right)
\end{cases}$$

Also, it can be easy shown that:

(60) 
$$\frac{1}{q_i - 1} \times \sum_{j \in N(i)} \sum_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} f(k) \equiv \sum_{k \in N(i)} f(k)$$
$$\frac{1}{q_j - 1} \times \sum_{i \in N(j)} \sum_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} f(k) \equiv \sum_{k \in N(j)} f(k)$$

So, finally we can represent logarithms of marginal probabilities as:

$$\begin{cases} \ln\left(b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) = -E_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) + \gamma_{ij} - 1 + \left(\sum_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} \ln\left(m_{k \to i}(x_{i})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} \ln\left(m_{k \to j}(x_{j})\right)\right) \\ \ln(b_{i}(x_{i})) = -E_{i}(x_{i}) - \frac{\gamma_{i}}{q_{i} - 1} - 1 + \sum_{k \in N(i)} \ln\left(m_{k \to i}(x_{i})\right) \\ \ln(b_{j}(x_{j})) = -E_{j}(x_{j}) - \frac{\gamma_{j}}{q_{j} - 1} - 1 + \sum_{k \in N(j)} \ln\left(m_{k \to j}(x_{j})\right) \end{cases}$$

Lets substitute local energies  $(E_i(x_i), E_j(x_j))$  and  $E_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$  – using Equations (30):

(62) 
$$\begin{cases} ln\left(b_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})\right) = ln(\psi_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})) + ln(\phi_{i}(x_{i})) + ln(\phi_{j}(x_{j})) + \gamma_{ij} - 1 + \\ + \left(\sum_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} ln\left(m_{k \to i}(x_{i})\right)\right) + \left(\sum_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} ln\left(m_{k \to j}(x_{j})\right)\right) \\ ln(b_{i}(x_{i})) = ln(\phi_{i}(x_{i})) - \frac{\gamma_{i}}{q_{i} - 1} - 1 + \sum_{k \in N(i)} ln\left(m_{k \to i}(x_{i})\right) \\ ln(b_{j}(x_{j})) = ln(\phi_{j}(x_{j})) - \frac{\gamma_{j}}{q_{j} - 1} - 1 + \sum_{k \in N(j)} ln\left(m_{k \to j}(x_{j})\right) \end{cases}$$

Now, lets exponentiate Equations (62) – to get expressions for marginal probabilities:

$$\begin{cases}
b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left(\gamma_{ij} - 1\right) \times \left(\prod_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} m_{k \to i}(x_i)\right) \times \phi_i(x_i) \times \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times \phi_j(x_j) \times \left(\prod_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} m_{k \to j}(x_j)\right) \\
b_{ij}(x_i) = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_i}{q_i - 1} - 1\right) \times \phi_i(x_i) \times \left(\prod_{k \in N(i)} m_{k \to i}(x_i)\right) \\
b_{ij}(x_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_j}{q_j - 1} - 1\right) \times \phi_j(x_j) \times \left(\prod_{k \in N(j)} m_{k \to j}(x_j)\right)
\end{cases}$$

If we look at Equations (63) – we can notice, that following multipliers does not depend on states of graph nodes ( $x_i$  and  $x_j$ ):

(64) 
$$\begin{cases} \exp(\gamma_{ij} - 1) \neq f(x_i, x_j) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_i}{q_i - 1} - 1\right) \neq f(x_i) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_j}{q_j - 1} - 1\right) \neq f(x_j) \end{cases}$$

Lets denote:

(65) 
$$\begin{cases} Z_{ij} = \exp(\gamma_{ij} - 1) \\ Z_i = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_i}{q_i - 1} - 1\right) \\ Z_j = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_j}{q_j - 1} - 1\right) \end{cases}$$

So, actually, it means, that given multipliers just corresponds to normalization coefficients (with respect to Equations (18) and (19)):

(66) 
$$\begin{cases} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = Z_{ij} \times \left( \prod_{k \in N(i) \setminus j} m_{k \to i}(x_i) \right) \times \phi_i(x_i) \times \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \times \phi_j(x_j) \times \left( \prod_{k \in N(j) \setminus i} m_{k \to j}(x_j) \right), \\ \text{where } Z_{ij} \text{ is such that } \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j} b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$b_i(x_i) = Z_i \times \phi_i(x_i) \times \left( \prod_{k \in N(i)} m_{k \to i}(x_i) \right),$$

$$\text{where } Z_i \text{ is such that } \sum_{x_i} b_i(x_i) = 1$$

$$b_j(x_j) = Z_j \times \phi_j(x_j) \times \left( \prod_{k \in N(j)} m_{k \to j}(x_j) \right),$$

$$\text{where } Z_j \text{ is such that } \sum_{x_j} b_j(x_j) = 1$$

So, if we find a way to calculate appropriate values of  $m_{k\to i}(x_i)$  ( $\forall i\in I, \ \forall k\in N(i), \ \forall x_i\in S(X_i)$ ) and  $m_{k\to j}(x_j)$  ( $\forall j\in J, \ \forall k\in N(j), \ \forall x_j\in S(X_j)$ ) – we will be able to calculate marginal probabilities  $b_i(x_i)$  ( $\forall i\in I, \ \forall x_i\in S(X_i)$ ) and  $b_j(x_j)$  ( $\forall j\in J, \ \forall x_j\in S(X_j)$ ).

#### References

- [1] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Markov\_random\_field
- [2] Jonathan S. Yedidia, William T. Freeman, and Yair Weiss, *Understanding Belief Propagation and its Generalizations*, Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, TR2001-22, November 2001, http://www.merl.com/publications/docs/TR2001-22.pdf
- [3] J. M. Hammersley, P. Clifford, Markov fields on finite graphs and lattices, 1971, http://www.statslab.cam.ac.uk/~grg/books/hammfest/hamm-cliff.pdf.
- [4] Samson Cheung, *Proof of Hammersley-Clifford Theorem*, February 3, 2008, http://web.kaist.ac.kr/~kyomin/Fall09MRF/Hammersley-Clifford\_Theorem.pdf
- [5] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hammersley-Clifford\_theorem
- [6] Jonathan S. Yedidia, William T. Freeman, and Yair Weiss, Bethe free energy, Kikuchi approximations, and belief propagation algorithms, Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, TR-2001-16, May 2001, http://www.merl.com/publications/docs/TR2001-16.pdf
- [7] Andrea Montanari, Lecture Notes for Stat 375 Inference in Graphical Models, Department of Electrical Engineering and Department of Statistics, Stanford University, February 21, 2011, (page 41), http://web.stanford.edu/~montanar/TEACHING/Stat375/handouts/notes\_stat375\_1.pdf
- [8] Jonathan S. Yedidia, William T. Freeman, and Yair Weiss, Constructing Free Energy Approximations and Generalized Belief Propagation Algorithms, Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, TR2004-040, May 2004, http://www.merl.com/publications/docs/TR2004-040.pdf
- [9] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lagrange\_multiplier
- [10] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fixed-point\_iteration