1. a. Worst Case: $k-N \sim k$, sender not get ACK from k-N to k Best Case: $k\sim k-1+N$, sender get ACK from k-N to k-1 Thus, possible sets of sequence number are $[k-N, k] \sim [k-1, k-1+N]$ for (k-N) >= 0 and k+N <= 4096)

b. [k-N, k-1] for (k-N>=0 and K-1 <=4096)

Since receiver is expecting sequence number k, all values of ACK from last window size can be possible propagating.

c. <u>It is possible</u>. piazza@873 When the receiver receives a packet that is outside its receive window, receiver drop the packet and send an ACK for the last received frame in the window. Thus, it is possible the sender gets an ACK for last received frame which packet (k-1) falls outside current window.

2.

a.

i Frame 5	s lost	in Frame 5-	7 are lost.
Sencler	receiver	sender.	receiver
Sendio)		and w)	
send co	ACK(0)	Sendon-	Ack(0)
send (2)	ACK(1)	send (2)	Acken
sendus>	Ackur		Acker)
sende4)		Send (4)	
send 1516		sond(4)	
7	Ack(3)		ACK(3)
Send (6)	Ack (4)	X	ACK(4)
Serel (1)		sendles	
	Ack16)	senelily	
send is	ACK(1)	×	
send (8)			
sid (9)	ACK(S)	6 1-	
	A(k(8)	Sendes	
	ACK19)		ACK(5)
		serole6)	77.437
E ,	,	send(1)	ACK(6)
		scholos	ACK(1)
			PACKIET

b. Assume sender sends packet 4,5,6,7,8, receiver receives these packets successfully. But ACKs of them are lost. So for now, receiver is looking forward to get packet 0,1,2,3,4, but sender would send 4,5,6,7,8 again since no ACK and time-out. Now, receiver is looking forward to get a new packet 4 but it gets old packet 4.

3. a. 120000/(1500-80) = 85 packets (1500*8)/1000000000 = 0.00012 s = 0.12 ms(150*8)/1000000000 = 0.000012 s = 0.012 ms(0.12 ms + 6 ms + 0.12 ms + 0.012 ms + 6 ms + 0.012 ms) * 85 = 1042.44 msb. Throughput = TransferSize / TransfertTime = 120000 bytes * 8 / 1042.44 ms = 0.920916312 Mbpsc. 120000/(1500-80) = 85 packets (1500*8)/100000000 = 0.00012 s = 0.12 ms(150*8)/1000000000 = 0.000012 s = 0.012 ms $85/20 = 4.25 \rightarrow 5$ (0.12 ms + 6 ms + 0.12 ms + 0.012 ms + 6 ms + 0.012 ms) * 5 = 61.32 ms120000 bytes * 8 / 61.32 ms = 15.66 Mbpsd. bandwidth-delay product = 2 * 100 Mbps * 0.012 s = 2.4 MBSWS = RWS = $2 * 2.4 = 4.8 \rightarrow 5$ 85/5 = 17(0.12 ms + 6 ms + 0.12 ms + 0.012 ms + 6 ms + 0.012 ms) * 17 = 208.488 ms120000 bytes * 8 / 208.488 ms = 4.6 Mbpse. SWS = RWS = $3 * 2.4 = 7.2 \rightarrow 7$ $85/7 = 12.14 \rightarrow 13$ (0.12 ms + 6 ms + 0.12 ms + 0.012 ms + 6 ms + 0.012 ms) * 13 = 159.432 ms120000 bytes * 8 / 159.432 ms = 6.02 Mbps4. a. min(1.5Gbps, 60Mbps, 85Mbps) = 60Mbpsb. speed in fiber: 2 * 10^8 m/s $2*(300 \text{km} + 35 \text{km} + 25 \text{km}) / 2*10^5 \text{km/s} = 0.0036 \text{ s}$

c. 60 Mbps * $0.0018 \text{ s} = 1.08 * 10^5 \text{ bits}$

d.
$$(1.08 * 10^5 * 2) / (1024 * 8) \approx 26$$

Thus, optimal value of SWS is 26.

e. Network becomes busy and receiver may drop more packets if we use an SWS value many times larger than the value you suggested in part (d).

5. a. SRTT(15) = 0.7* SRTT(14)+(1-0.7) * RTT(15) = 0.7 * SRTT(14) + 0.3 * 0.5s --- all RTT = 0.5s = 0.7 * (0.7 * SRTT(13) + 0.15s) + 0.15s --- all RTT = 0.5s = 0.7^{15} *SRTT(0) + 0.15s + 0.15s *0.7 + 0.15s*0.7^2 ... + 0.15 * 0.7^{14} = 0.5024 s
b.
$$\alpha = 0.5 \rightarrow 0.5^{15} * SRTT(0) + 0.5*0.5s + 0.5*0.5s*0.5 + 0.5*0.5s*0.5^2 ... 0.5*0.5s*0.5^{14} = 0.500 s$$

$$\alpha = 0.9 \rightarrow 0.9^{15} * SRTT(0) + 0.1*0.5s + 0.1*0.5s*0.9 + 0.1*0.5s*0.9^2 ... 0.1*0.5s*0.9^{14} = 0.6029 s$$

Retransmission ambiguity problem: The ACK can be a response to first transmission or re-transmission. It's ambiguity that the ACK response which transmission.

Karn-Partridge algorithm avoid this ambiguity by ignoring retransmitted segments when updating the round-trip time estimate.

6. a.
$$(2 * 10^9 * 0.05) / (1024*8) \approx 12200$$
 segment b. $(2 * 10^9 * 0.05) / (20*1024*8) \approx 610$ segment

c.