

Project Meeting 2 Evaluation

Changes in Requirement	Is there any refinement/change in the requirement since the last project meeting?	
Points	0	0.5
Description	No discussion on the changes and refinement in the requirement.	Changes in the requirement are clearly identified and discussed in the project report. If there has been no change in the requirement, then it has been also mentioned. For Remote KYC project, groups need to include a summary of discussion with IBM and for Game projects, groups need to include a summary of discussion with Yoga in project meeting 1 or additional discussions until Project Meeting 2.

Project Meeting 2 Evaluation

Formal Documentation of Use Case	Detailed description via use case diagram		
	0	0.5	1
Points			
Description	No use case diagram.	Some use case diagram, yet it is incomplete (does not cover all features) or incomprehensive or no misuse cases were modeled.	Complete and comprehensive use case diagram clearly showing the different features of the product. Also showing some misuse cases.

Project Meeting 2 Evaluation

Initial Design	Design of different subsystems via UML diagrams			
Points	0	0.25	0.5	1
Description	No class diagram.	Class diagram is present. However, it is incomplete, does not show all the associations or multiplicities, does not show (comprehensively) what are the different operations in the class or it is inconsistent with the use case diagram.	Class diagram is complete and consistent with use case diagram, but does not model any sequence diagram to model the critical workflows in the product.	Complete, comprehensive and consistent class diagram with respect to its use case diagram. Moreover, sequence diagrams are modeled to show the critical features in the product.

Project Meeting 2 Evaluation

Implementation	Demonstration of basic features. Snapshots and pictures are not considered as demos. In general, if there is no coding, then there is no demo.			
Points	0	0.25	0.5	1
Description	No demo.	There is demo, but no coding was involved to prepare this demo (e.g. snapshots, pictures are not considered demos).	Demo of basic features is prepared, but it does not work (crashes or something else).	Demo of basic features is well prepared and it is demonstrated without error.

Project Meeting 2 Evaluation

Testing Plan	How the product is going to be tested? No coding for the test is required, but a clear plan for testing is highly desired.			
Points	0	0.25	0.5	1
Description	No testing plan or code-a-bit-test-a-bit (CABTAB) or “I will test my own code” type of testing.	Unit testing is planned. Tools are identified for unit testing. Includes examples of features or classes in the design that are subjected to unit testing. However, no plan for testing the product as a whole or no plan for robustness testing.	Clear plan for unit testing and system testing. Although no coding for tests is required, groups should articulate a plan how they will carry out both unit testing (e.g. tools identified) and system testing (e.g. user study, crowdsourcing, random testing via scripts etc.). No plan for robustness testing.	Clear plans, identified tools for unit testing, system testing and robustness testing. Examples are included for each type of testing. Robustness testing should correlate to misuse cases in the use case diagrams and other tests should correspond to use cases in use diagrams.

Project Meeting 2 Evaluation

Workload Distribution	Evidences of commit record / other evidences to show each group member is contributing	
Points	0	0.5
Description	No evidence	Evidence of commit record or evidence in some other form to show that each group member is contributing to the project.