

# Jiaqi's Thesis Progress Report (Updated Jan. 28)

Jiaqi Bi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Western University,  
Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry,  
Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics

---

## 1. To Do List

1. Correlated frailty - NR-algorithm
2. Gibb's Sampling in MCEM & MI
3. Multiple imputation - similar imputation step as MCEM

## 2. Weibull Parametric Approach and MCEM Method

From the beginning of the discussion, I have obtained the model, i.e., the hazard function is

$$h_{ij}(t_{ij}|z_j) = h_0(t_{ij}) \exp(\beta_1 x_{1,ij} + \beta_2 x_{2,ij}) z_j \quad (1)$$

There are total  $n_j$  individuals in family  $j$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n_j$ , and total  $J$  families that  $j = 1, \dots, J$ .  $x_{1,ij}$  is the genotype, or say mutation gene status for individual  $i$  in family  $j$ .  $x_{2,ij}$  is the PRS for individual  $i$  in family  $j$ . The frailty term  $z_j$ , has a pdf of  $f(z)$ , which can be Gamma, log-normal, or other common frailty distributions. The support of  $f(z)$  is always non-negative. The Weibull baseline hazard function is defined as

$$h_0(t_{ij}) = \alpha \lambda t_{ij}^{\lambda-1} \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the shape parameter and  $\alpha$  is the scale parameter. Let  $\xi_{ij} = \exp(\beta_1 x_{1,ij} + \beta_2 x_{2,ij})$ , the hazard function is

$$h_{ij}(t_{ij}|x_{ij}, g_{ij}, z_j) = \alpha \lambda t_{ij}^{\lambda-1} \xi_{ij} z_j \quad (3)$$

The survival function  $S(t)$  can be obtained through cumulative hazard function  $H(t)$

$$H(t_{ij}|x_{ij}, g_{ij}, z_j) = \int_0^t h_{ij}(u|x_{ij}, g_{ij}, z_j) du \quad (4)$$

$$= \alpha \xi_{ij} z_j \lambda \int_0^t u^{\lambda-1} du \quad (5)$$

$$= \alpha \xi_{ij} z_j \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} t_{ij}^\lambda = \alpha \xi_{ij} z_j t_{ij}^\lambda \quad (6)$$

---

Email address: jbi23@uwo.ca (Jiaqi Bi)

16 and the survival function

$$S(t_{ij}|x_{ij}, g_{ij}, z_j) = \exp(-H(t_{ij}|x_{ij}, g_{ij}, z_j)) = \exp(-\alpha \xi_{ij} z_j t_{ij}^\lambda) \quad (7)$$

17 Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha, \lambda, \boldsymbol{\phi}\}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  is the parameter vector for the frailty distribution of the  
18 choice. Therefore, the likelihood can be written as

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^J \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (\alpha \lambda t_{ij}^{\lambda-1} \xi_{ij} z_j)^{\delta_{ij}} \exp(-\alpha \xi_{ij} z_j t_{ij}^\lambda) f(z) dz \quad (8)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^J \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}, z_j)^{\delta_{ij}} \exp(-H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}, z_j)) f(z) dz \quad (9)$$

19 So the log-likelihood is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^J \log \left[ \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}, z_j)^{\delta_{ij}} \exp(-H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}, z_j)) f(z) dz \right] \quad (10)$$

### 20 3. Gamma Frailty

21 The Laplace transform of the frailty  $z \sim \text{Gamma}(k, k)$ , for the simplicity of the mathe-  
22 matical expression, the following Laplace transform will ignore the subscript, denote  $\mathcal{L}(f(z)) =$   
23  $\phi(s)$  where  $s = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij})$ :

$$\phi(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sz} f(z) dz \quad (11)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-sz} \frac{k^k}{\Gamma(k)} z^{k-1} e^{-kz} dz \quad (12)$$

24 Using the Gamma property:  $\int_0^\infty z^{n-1} e^{-az} dz = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{a^n}$ ,  $\phi(s)$  can be further written as

$$\phi(s) = \frac{k^k}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(s+k)z} z^{k-1} dz = \frac{k^k}{\Gamma(k)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(k)}{(s+k)^k} = \left(1 + \frac{s}{k}\right)^{-k} \quad (13)$$

25 The second derivative is  $\frac{d^2 \phi(s)}{ds^2} = \int_0^\infty (-z)^2 e^{-sz} f(z) dz$ .

26 The third derivative is  $\frac{d^3 \phi(s)}{ds^3} = \int_0^\infty (-z)^3 e^{-sz} f(z) dz$ , ... Therefore, its  $d$ -th derivative, denote  
27  $\phi(s)^{(d)}$ :

$$\phi(s)^{(d)} = (-1)^d \int_0^\infty z^d e^{-sz} f(z) dz \quad (14)$$

$$= (-1)^d \frac{(k+d-1)!}{(k-1)!(s+k)^d} \left(1 + \frac{s}{k}\right)^{-k} \quad (15)$$

28 Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha, \lambda, k)$  for Gamma frailty model, the log-likelihood is then written as

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \log \left[ \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}, z_j))^{\delta_{ij}} \exp(-H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}, z_j)) f(z_j) dz_j \right] \quad (16)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^J \log \left[ \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (z_j h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))^{\delta_{ij}} \exp(-z_j H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij})) f(z_j) dz_j \right] \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^J \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))^{\delta_{ij}} \int_0^\infty z_j^{d_j} \exp(-z_j \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij})) f(z_j) dz_j \right] \quad (18)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^J \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))^{\delta_{ij}} \frac{(k + d_j - 1)!}{(k - 1)! (\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}) + k)^{d_j}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij})}{k} \right)^{-k} \right] \quad (19)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^J \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} ((h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))^{\delta_{ij}}) \frac{(k + d_j - 1)!}{k! k^{d_j - 1}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))}{k} \right)^{-k - d_j} \right] \quad (20)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^J \log \left[ (h(\cdot))^{\delta_{ij}} \frac{(k + d_j - 1)!}{k! k^{d_j - 1}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))}{k} \right)^{-k - d_j} \right] \quad (21)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^J \left[ \sum_i (\delta_{ij} \log h(\cdot)) + \log \left( \frac{(k + d_j - 1)!}{k! k^{d_j - 1}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}))}{k} \right)^{-k - d_j} \right) \right] \quad (22)$$

29 For each family  $j$ , the ascertainment is defined to be the probability of the proband  $p$   
 30 being ascertained by the age  $a_{j_p}$  at examination, denoting  $A_j$ . Applying the ascertainment  
 31 correction for the log-likelihood in family  $j$ :

$$\tilde{\ell}_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \ell_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log A_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (23)$$

32 where  $\tilde{\ell}$  is the log-likelihood with ascertainment correction, and  $\ell$  is the crude log-likelihood.  
 33 Define  $\mathbf{x}_{j_p}$  the covariate matrix for proband in family  $j$ . Note we can still apply Laplace  
 34 transform here, such that

$$A_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1 - S_{j_p}(a_{j_p}|\mathbf{x}_{j_p}) \quad (24)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^\infty S_{j_p}(a_{j_p}|\mathbf{x}_{j_p}, z_j) f(z_j) dz_j \quad (25)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^\infty \exp(-z_j \cdot H_{j_p}(a_{j_p}|\mathbf{x}_{j_p})) f(z_j) dz_j \quad (26)$$

$$= 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{H_{j_p}(a_{j_p}|\mathbf{x}_{j_p})}{k} \right)^{-k} \quad (27)$$

#### 4. Log-Normal Frailty

The log-normal frailty is not the power-variance-function (PVF) family, so there is no closed form for Laplace transform or expressions for survivors. But we are able to estimate the Laplace transform using Gauss Hermite Quadrature. We typically standardize the log-normal frailty  $Z$  as

$$E(\log Z) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\text{Var}(\log Z) = \sigma^2 \quad (29)$$

That is,  $z \sim \text{log-Normal}(0, \sigma^2)$ . The probability density function  $f(z)$  is then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} z^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\log(z)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (30)$$

The Laplace transform is then

$$\phi(s) = \mathcal{L}(f_Z)(s) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sz) \cdot f(z) dz \quad (31)$$

Using variable transformation, let  $y = \frac{\log(z)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}$ , then  $z = \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y)$ , and  $dz = \sqrt{2}\sigma \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y) dy$ .

Therefore, for  $d$ -th derivative:

$$\phi(s)^d = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^d \exp(-sz) \cdot \frac{1}{\exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y) \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp(-y^2) \cdot \sqrt{2}\sigma \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y) dy \quad (32)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y)^d \exp(-s \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y)) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-y^2) dy \quad (33)$$

**Definition 1** (Gauss-Hermite Quadrature). *The integrand part can be solved using Gauss-Hermite Quadrature. In numerical analysis, the method can be applied in the following form:*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x^2) f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f(x_i) \quad (34)$$

where  $n$  is number of sample points used, and  $x_i$  is the roots of Hermite polynomial  $H_n(x)$  such that  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and the weights  $\omega_i$  is

$$\omega_i = \frac{2^{n-1} n! \sqrt{\pi}}{n^2 [H_{n-1}(x_i)]^2} \quad (35)$$

Applying Definition 1, the integral of the Laplace transform is then

$$\phi(s)^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{N_{\tilde{p}}} \omega_{\tilde{p}} \exp(-s \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y_{\tilde{p}})) \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y_{\tilde{p}})^d \quad (36)$$

where  $\tilde{p}$  denotes the  $\tilde{p}$ -th element of Gauss Hermite Quadrature, i.e.,  $\omega_{\tilde{p}}$  denotes the  $\tilde{p}$ -th

weight,  $y_{\tilde{p}}$  denotes the  $\tilde{p}$ -th node, and  $N_{\tilde{p}}$  denotes the total number of quadratures. Thus, substituting into the log-likelihood:

$$\ell_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \delta_{ij} \log(h(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij})) + \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \left[ \omega_p \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y_p)^{d_j} \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} H(t_{ij}|\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y_p) \right) \right] \right) \quad (37)$$

Similarly, the ascertainment correction in the log-normal frailty can be written as

$$A_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-zH(a_{j_p}|\mathbf{x}_{j_p})) f(z) dz \quad (38)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{\tilde{p}=1}^{N_{\tilde{p}}} \omega_{\tilde{p}} \exp \left( - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} H(a_{j_p}|\mathbf{x}_{j_p}) \exp(\sqrt{2}\sigma y_{\tilde{p}}) \right) \right) \quad (39)$$

## 5. Missing PRS

Given that family  $j$  has some subjects containing the missing PRS due to the sampling cost (maybe), that not all subjects are being sampled for the PRS calculation. Since subjects within one family are correlated in some genetic associations, we intend to sample the missing PRS using a multivariate normal distribution. Denote  $\mathbf{X}_j \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)$  such that  $\mathbf{X}_j$  is the vector of the PRS among all subjects in family  $j$ . Also note  $\mathbf{X}_j = (x_{1,j}, x_{2,j}, \dots, x_{n_j,j})^\top$ . When the missing PRS exists in family  $j$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_j$  can be decomposed into  $\mathbf{X}_{obs,j}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{mis,j}$ . Now suppose  $\mathbf{X}_{obs,j} = (x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{\hat{n}_j,j})^\top$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{mis,j} = (x_{\hat{n}_j+1,j}, \dots, x_{n_j,j})^\top$ . We can also partition  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$  such that

$$\mathbf{X}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{obs,j} \\ \mathbf{X}_{mis,j} \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{obs,j} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{mis,j} \end{bmatrix} \quad (41)$$

Similarly, the covariace matrix is then decomposed

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{obs,j} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{obs,mis,j} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{obs,mis,j} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{mis,j} \end{bmatrix} \quad (42)$$

## 6. Monte Carlo EM

The complete data log-likelihood for family  $j$  is  $\ell_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}; h_{ij})$  where  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  consists all baseline parameters, and model coefficients  $\beta$ 's, as well as the frailty parameter  $\phi$ . The E-step for complete data is:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}) = \int \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; h_{ij}) \cdot f(x_{mis,i}|x_{obs,i}, z, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}, t_{ij}, \delta_{ij}, p_j) dx_{mis,i,j} \quad (43)$$

68 We sample the size  $m_i$  for each  $i$ -th observation,  $x_{i1}^*, \dots, x_{im_i}^*$  from the distribution  $f(x_{mis,ij}|\cdot)$ ,  
 69 and take  $M = 1, \dots, m_i$ , such that each  $X_{iM}^*$  depends on the iteration number for  $r + 1$  iter-  
 70 ations. In general:

$$\hat{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}) = \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{M=1}^{m_i} \ell(x_{iM}^*, x_{obs,ij}, t_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, z_j) \quad (44)$$

71 More specifically,

- 72 1. We first initialize  $m, \theta^{(0)}$ , and start the burn-in.
- 73 2. Also, we set importance weights  $w_t = 1$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, m$ .
- 74 3. At the burn-in iteration  $s$ , we generate  $x_{miss,1}, \dots, x_{miss,m} \sim N(\mu_X|X_{obs}, \theta^{(s)}, z)$  using  
 75 MCMC sample.
- 76 4. In the E-step, we estimate  $Q(\theta|\theta^{(s)})$  by using the importance weights:

$$Q_m(\theta|\hat{\theta}^{(s)}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m w_t \log f(X_{obs}, X_{miss,t}|\theta)}{\sum_{t=1}^m w_t} \quad (45)$$

- 77 5. Note the numerator is actually a weighted log-likelihood. In the M-step, we maximize  
 78  $Q_m(\theta|\hat{\theta}^{(s)})$  to obtain  $\hat{\theta}^{(s+1)}$ .
- 79 6. Repeat (3.) - (5.) for  $s$  burn-in iterations.
- 80 7. Then re-initialize  $\hat{\theta}^{(0)} = \hat{\theta}^{(s)}$
- 81 8. We generate  $x_{miss,1}, \dots, x_{miss,m} \sim N(\mu_X|X_{obs}, \hat{\theta}^{(0)}, z)$  using MCMC sampler. At itera-  
 82 tion  $r + 1$
- 83 9. Compute the importance weights from the ratio of likelihood

$$w_t = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}^{(r)}|X_{miss,t}, X_{obs})}{L(\hat{\theta}^{(0)}|X_{miss,t}, X_{obs})} \quad (46)$$

- 84 10. Thus, the E-step can be written as

$$Q_m(\theta|\hat{\theta}^{(r)}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m w_t \log f(X_{miss,t}, X_{obs}|\theta)}{\sum_{t=1}^m w_t} \quad (47)$$

- 85 11. Then M-step: we maximize  $Q_m(\theta|\hat{\theta}^{(r)})$  to obtain  $\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)}$ .

86 This automated MCEM firstly optimizes the importance weights at burn-ins, then performs  
 87 the actual EM to find  $\hat{\theta}$ . This importance weight ensures the imputation step of the missing  
 88 data actually yields to the real distribution.

## 89 7. Correlated Frailty using Kinship Matrix

90 Family members are correlated within one family, that we denote  $K$  as the kinship  
 91 correlation matrix among all observations. This matrix ensures those individuals not from  
 92 the same family automatically have a correlation of 0. The likelihood construction needs  
 93 multivariate form. For  $\mathbf{Z} \sim \text{MVN}(0, \sigma^2 K)$ , that  $K$  has the diagonal of 1. The likelihood is

$$L(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (h(t|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i))^{\delta_i} \exp(-H(t|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i)) f(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \quad (48)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (h(t|\mathbf{x}_i))^{\delta_i} \exp(\mathbf{z}_i)^{\delta_i} \exp(-H(t|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\mathbf{z}_i)) f(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \quad (49)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (h(t|\mathbf{x}_i))^{\delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(\delta_i \mathbf{z}_i - H(t|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\mathbf{z}_i)) f(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \quad (50)$$

94 Applying the Laplace approximation, and taking the log for the likelihood, we obtain

$$\ell(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \delta_i \log h(t|\mathbf{x}_i) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \delta_i \hat{\mathbf{z}} - H(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{z}}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (51)$$

95 such that  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 K$ . Also, we treat the random effect  $\mathbf{z}$  as a vector of parameters, and use  
 96 outer-loop to search for the  $\sigma$ , and use inner-loop to search for other parameters (baseline  
 97 parameters, and  $\beta$ ) including  $\mathbf{z}$ . The process can be achieved via Newton-Raphson algorithm.  
 98 For computational efficiency, we can set  $\Sigma^{-1} = L^\top L$  through Cholesky Decomposition. In  
 99 this way,  $\mathbf{z}L \sim MVN(0, \sigma^2 I)$ . In order to apply NR-algorithm, the gradient and the hessian  
 100 are required. The gradient for parameters is:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n -H(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{x}_i \exp(\mathbf{z}) \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - (t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) - \Sigma^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_i}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{H(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}})}{\alpha} \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \log(t_i) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n -H(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \log(t_i) \quad (55)$$

101 The hessian matrix element, i.e., second partial derivative is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \beta^\top \partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n -H(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) x_{ij} x_{ik} \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mathbf{z}^\top \partial \mathbf{z}} = \sum_{i=1}^n -H(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) - \Sigma^{-1} \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \alpha^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{\delta_i}{\alpha^2} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \lambda^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{\delta_i}{\lambda^2} - H(t_i | \mathbf{x}_i) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \log(t_i)^2 \quad (59)$$

### 7.1. Proof of $\Sigma = LL^\top$

Every symmetric positive definite matrix  $\Sigma$  can be decomposed into  $\Sigma = LL^\top$ , where  $L$  is a lower triangular matrix with real and positive diagonal entries.

*Proof.* Set-ups:

1. Covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is by definition symmetric and positive definite, e.g.

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{X_1}^2 & Cov(X_1, X_2) \\ Cov(X_1, X_2) & \sigma_{X_2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (60)$$

such that  $\mathbf{X}\Sigma\mathbf{X}^\top > 0$  always, and this matrix is symmetric.

2. Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  has  $n$  observations, then  $\Sigma$  is  $n \times n$ , the first element is  $\sigma_{11} > 0$  by definition (For simplicity, we use  $\sigma_{11}$  rather than it's square to denote the variance). Define  $l_{11} = \sqrt{\sigma_{11}}$ , to be the first element of  $L$ . For the first column of  $L$ , let  $l_{j1} = \frac{\sigma_{j1}}{l_{11}}$  for  $j = 2, \dots$

Induction step: Assume we have first  $k-1$  columns of  $L$ , consider  $k$ -th column

- For the diagonal element  $l_{kk} = \sqrt{\sigma_{kk} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} l_{kj}^2}$
- For off-diagonals,

$$l_{ik} = \frac{\sigma_{ik} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} l_{ij}l_{kj}}{l_{kk}} \quad (61)$$

for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$ .

with the repetition for each column  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , the top-left  $k \times k$  submatrix of  $LL^\top$  matches that of  $\Sigma$ . For example, when  $k = 3$ ,

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & & \\ & \sigma_{22} & \\ & & \sigma_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad (62)$$

and

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad (63)$$

then

$$LL^\top = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11}^2 & l_{11}l_{21} & l_{11}l_{31} \\ l_{21}l_{11} & l_{21}^2 + l_{22}^2 & l_{21}l_{31} + l_{22}l_{32} \\ l_{31}l_{11} & l_{31}l_{21} + l_{32}l_{22} & l_{31}^2 + l_{32}^2 + l_{33}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (64)$$



120 Take

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (65)$$

121 Then by definition of Cholesky Decomposition, we can calculate  $l_{11}^2 = \sigma_{11} \implies l_{11} = \sqrt{4} = 2$ ,  
 122 and  $l_{21} = \frac{\sigma_{21}}{l_{11}} = 2/2 = 1$ , and  $l_{31} = 1$ . Similarly for  $l_{22}, l_{32}, l_{33}$ . Therefore,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (66)$$

123 which implies

$$LL^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma \quad (67)$$

124

□

125 Essentially, the Cholesky Decomposition transforms the multivariate normal to a stan-  
 126 dard multivariate normal. When  $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ , let  $\Sigma = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^\top$ , then  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$   
 127 that  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity matrix, since  $\mathbf{L}^{-1}\Sigma(\mathbf{L}^{-1})^\top = \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^\top(\mathbf{L}^{-1})^\top = \mathbf{I}$ . This will simplify  
 128 the computational process.

## 129 8. Multiple Imputation Method