

Analyze (run01, Habitat only)

run01 ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့သူများ

0. ၁။ Gap ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့သူများ

- Gap A|Viewpoint-Induced Reuse Failure

-

vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_pub/fig_gapA_publication.png

- “**Viewpoint/Reuse**” ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့သူများ view-aware reuse

- Gap B|Instruction-Conditioned Semantic/Compute Mismatch

-

vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_fancy/fig_gapB_budget_mismatch_fancy.png

- decode/refresh ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့သူများ

ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့သူများ

- Gap A (“**decode/refresh**”)
- Gap B (“**instruction-conditioned**”)

1. ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့သူများ

- System1:

vis_analyze/configs/habitat_dual_system_observation_run01_cfg.py

- System2:

vis_analyze/data/raw/run01/step_log_rank0.jsonl

- System2 ၏:

vis_analyze/data/raw/run01/s2_log_rank0.jsonl

- episode ၏:

vis_analyze/data/raw/run01/episode_log_rank0.jsonl

- System2 ၏:

vis_analyze/data/eval_output/run01/progress.json

- 亂數:

vis_analyze/reports/run01/run01_analysis_stats.json

統計資訊:

- Episodes: 100
- Step logs: 8178
- S2 calls: 2101

2. 統計資訊與基線

2.1 統計資訊 run01 baseline

- SR = 0.700
- SPL = 0.636
- OS = 0.770
- NE = 3.722
- 亂數 = 81.77 P50=68.5, P90=117.3

2.2 Challenge A: Viewpoint-Induced Reuse Failure

- raw patch cosine mean = 0.9360
- aligned patch cosine mean = 0.8263
- delta mean = -0.1098
- delta quantiles: P10=-0.3607, P50=0.0, P90=0.0
- aligned_better_ratio = 0.0

問題與討論:

- “alignment”問題與 viewpoint-induced reuse failure
- A A2/A3
- “naive yaw-only alignment is insufficient under embodied motion”, view-aware A2/A3

總結:

- [fig_gapA_publication.png](vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_pub/fig_gapA_publication.png)
 - - A ECDF aligned raw
 - B delta $\Delta = S_{aligned} - S_{raw}$
 - C $|\Delta_{yaw}|$
 - D Δ_{yaw}
- Gap A **token**

統計量:

- :

vis_analyze/reports/run01/gapA_publication_stats.json

- delta_mean = -0.1098 95% CI = [-0.1131, -0.1067] 0
- P(delta > 0) = 0.0
- Cohen's d(aligned - raw) = -0.872

結論:

- “The negative delta with a non-overlapping 95% confidence interval and large effect size indicates that naïve alignment does not recover reusable correspondence under embodied viewpoint changes.”

2.4 Gap A 統計量

統計量:

- :

vis_analyze/reports/run01/deep_dive/fig_gapA_deep_dive.png

- :

vis_analyze/reports/run01/deep_dive/gapA_deep_dive_stats.json

統計量“early/mid/late”:

- early/mid/late:
- early mean delta = -0.1453 95%CI [-0.1513, -0.1399]
- mid mean delta = -0.0960 95%CI [-0.1015, -0.0910]

- late mean delta = -0.0881 [95%CI [-0.0937, -0.0827]]

- Gap A vs Gap B

- success vs failure:

- success mean delta = -0.1027

- failure mean delta = -0.1055

- corr(delta, NE) = 0.051

- Gap A (“Gap A”) vs Gap B

Gap A vs Gap B:

• scene 1 2azQ1b91cZZ run01

• “Gap A”

• “Gap A” run02

2.3 Challenge B + Efficiency: System2

• S2: 2101

• prompt_len mean = 1903.3 [P50=1894, P90=2304]

• gen_len mean = 4.70 [P50=5, P90=8]

• total_len mean = 1908.0 [P50=1897, P90=2312]

• preprocess_ms mean = 75.55 [P50=73.67, P90=92.71]

• generate_ms mean = 372.28 [P50=320.07, P90=507.35]

• decode_ms mean = 0.150 [P50=0.146, P90=0.162]

•

• System2 generate decode

• prompt token gen token Method-C

• “S2”

•

[fig_gapB_budget_mismatch_fancy.png](vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_fancy/fig_gapB_budget_mi

smatch_fancy.png)

- B1 prompt generate

- B2 generate

- B3 gen_len

- B4 ဗိုလ်ချုပ် episode ၁ S2 ဗိုလ်ချုပ်
 - ၂၁ Gap B ဗိုလ်ချုပ်**ဗိုလ်/ဗိုလ်ချုပ်**၂၁

Fig.2 Challenge A

1

- “ ”/” ”

1

- Fig.2(a): raw_mean □ aligned_mean □□□□□□□□/□□□□□
 - Fig.2(b): delta_mean □□□□□□□□□□□
 - Fig.2(c): □□□□step □□□□ raw/aligned □□

10

- step log similarity.raw mean, similarity.aligned mean, similarity.delta mean

1

- “Under the current naïve alignment setting, aligned similarity does not consistently improve over raw similarity, indicating that viewpoint-aware reuse requires stronger geometric/semantic alignment than simple yaw-based warping.”

□□□□□ fancy □□□□□

1

[vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_pub/fig_gapA_publication.png](vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_pub/fig_qapA_publication.png)

Fig.3 ████ S2 ████Challenge B█

1

- System2

1

- Fig.3(a): episode vs system2_calls
 - Fig.3(b): prompt_len vs generate_ms
 - Fig.3(c): gen_len

ဗိုးမှု:

- progress.json: system2_calls
- s2_log: prompt_len, gen_len, generate_ms, preprocess_ms

ဗိုးမှု:

- “System2 spends most latency budget in generation while producing short outputs, motivating a stage-aware decode budget controller rather than static max-token settings.”

ဗိုးမှု fancy ဗိုးမှု

- vis_analyze/reports/run01/figures_fancy/fig_gapB_budget_mismatch_fancy.png

Fig.5 ဗိုး-ဗိုးနှင့်Pareto baseline anchor

ဗိုးမှု:

- ဗိုး A/B/C ဗိုးနှင့်အတူ

ဗိုးမှု:

- x ဗိုး: ဗိုး System2 generate_ms ဗိုး episode ဗိုး
- y ဗိုး: SR / SPL ဗိုး

ဗိုး baseline ဗိုး:

- generate_ms_mean = 372.28 ms
- SR = 0.700
- SPL = 0.636

4. ဗိုးနှင့်အတူ

Challenge A ဗိုး

We analyze patch-level cross-frame similarity under embodied navigation dynamics. While adjacent observations maintain high raw similarity on average, naïve yaw-based alignment does not improve matching quality and often decreases aligned similarity. This suggests that simple geometric warping is insufficient for robust token reuse in VLN, where translation, depth variation, and semantic layout changes jointly affect correspondence. Therefore, Challenge A

should be addressed with stronger view-aware reuse mechanisms beyond position-wise or weak alignment baselines.

Challenge B 评估与启示

From 100 validation episodes, System2 is invoked 2101 times, with long prompts (mean 1903 tokens) but short generations (mean 4.7 tokens). Latency is dominated by generation (mean 372 ms) rather than decode overhead. This pattern indicates that static decoding budgets are suboptimal: most calls do not require long autoregressive expansion. The evidence supports an instruction/stage-aware budget controller that adapts generation length and refresh frequency to reduce compute while preserving navigation quality.

5. 评估与启示

- A 评估 Challenge A:
 - C1 “naive alignment”
 - C2 A2 depth/geometry assisted alignment run02
 - delta_mean & aligned_better_ratio
- B+C 评估 Challenge B:
 - C1 decode budget B1
 - run02 summary
 - generate_ms_mean SR SPL

6. 结论

- Challenge A 评估“baseline”设计的不足
- 基线失败：“baseline failure -> improved design” 改进设计的 claim
- run 评估与启示