Updated: 2021-01-24

3.2 Exceptional Conditions

© 2019 Robin Hillyard



The functional programming way of dealing with abnormal situations

- In O-O, there are two constructs that were developed to allow for a deviation from the expected flow:
 - null
 - Exception.
- These are not FP-friendly. Why?
 - two reasons why *null* is not FP-friendly:
 - null is not an object and so does not conform to type;
 - if you try to dereference a *null* you get a NPE (null-pointer-exception)
 - Exception is not FP-friendly because throwing an exception is a side-effect!

Dealing with *null* in O-O

- Where do nulls come from in O-O?
 - missing optional values (for example in a database).
 - Basically, nulls are for lazy programmers: but they are dangerous!!
- How do we typically deal with a null?

```
public class Phones {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Map<String,Long> phones = new HashMap<>();
        phones.put("Prof. Hillyard", 6173733972L);
        // ...
        String professor = "...";
        Long x = phones.get(professor);
        if (x != null) System.out.println(professor+"'s Phone number is: "+x);
        else System.out.println("No phone number for "+professor);
    }
}
```

Before we can do anything with x, we first must ensure that it is not *null*.

And yet, *null* is an expected value, not unusual, at all.

Optional values—The Scala way

• If the return type of a method is optional, "foreach" is a pretty basic method make it *explicitly* optional?

it means do it once or not at all, as the case may be.

```
val phones = Map("Prof. Hillyard" -> 6173733972L)
  phones.get("...") foreach (x => println(s"found phone: $x")
  phones.get("Prof. Hillyard") foreach (x => println(s"found phone: $x")
found phone: 6173733972
```

- In other words:
 - <u>force</u> the caller to deal with the possibility that there might not be a value returned...
 - ...but make it easy for the user to deal with that returned value.

Dealing with exceptional conditions in O-O

- What if something goes wrong in O-O and we want to know what actually happened?
 - real life example: unable to get connection to remote database.
 - we catch/handle the exception (e.g. print stack trace) if we can, otherwise, we pass it up to the caller.
- What does this look like in practice?

```
Map<String,Long> phones = new HashMap<>();
phones.put("Prof. Hillyard", 6173733972L);
// ...
String professor = "...";
long x = phones.get(professor);
```

Unboxing to *long* (which the compiler has no problem with) causes a null-pointer-exception when the professor is not found.

Exceptions—The Scala way

 Let's deal with these errors (exceptional conditions) in a calm, referentially-transparent way, with no loss of information:

```
def log(x: Double) = if (x > 0) Math.log(x) else throw new Exception("x must be positive")
def tryLog(x: Double) = Try(log(x))
val result = tryLog(-1)
result foreach (x => println(s"log value is $x"))
tryLog(math.E) foreach (x => println(s"log value is $x"))
log value is 1.0
```

"foreach" is used in the same way as in *Optional* class. There are ways to recover the actual cause of the *Failure* if we need to.

- In other words:
 - this looks just like the situation where we returned Option[Long]
 - the difference is that we have ways to recover the exception from the *Try* object (including throwing it if we really want to).

Option

- Suppose we want to find an element in a list that satisfies a predicate?
 - What if there's no such element (the list might be empty, or the predicate simply never yields true for any element)?
 - In Scala, the find method on List[X] returns an Option[X].
 - How should we implement Option[X]?
 - What should its API be?
 - isDefined: Boolean
 - isEmpty: Boolean = !isDefined
 - get: X [will throw exception if empty]
 - getOrElse[Y >: X](default: => Y): Y = if (isDefined) get else default
 - map[Y](f: X=>Y): Option[Y]

Option (2)

 So, we can define some methods we will want to call. Let's make them into a trait.

```
trait Option[X] {
  def isDefined: Boolean
  def get: X
...
}
```

 What have we got? A container of which essentially there are two* types: an empty container and a non-empty container that holds an X in it.

* it's nearly always *two* types

Option (3)

 Let's call our two containers Some and None and implement them as case classes/objects extending Option.

```
case class Some[X](x: X) extends Option[X] {
  def isDefined: Boolean = true
  def get: X = X
  }
case object None extends Option[Nothing] {
  def isDefined: Boolean = false
  def get: Nothing = throw new NoSuchElementException("None.get")
}
```

• Now, we can use pattern matching to figure what we've got:

```
List(1,2,3).find(_%2==0) match {
  case Some(x) => println(x)
  case None => println("no even number found")
}
```

Option (4)

Using Option to handle objects returned from Java methods:

```
object Option {
  import scala.language.implicitConversions

/** An implicit conversion that converts an option to an iterable value
*/
implicit def option2Iterable[A](xo: Option[A]): Iterable[A] = xo.toList

/** An Option factory which creates Some(x) if the argument is not null,
* and None if it is null.

* @param x the value
* @return Some(value) if value != null, None if value == null
*/
def apply[A](x: A): Option[A] = if (x == null) None else Some(x)
}
```

- val x = javaFunction(); // could return null
- val xo = Option(x)

Try

- Suppose we want to convert a *String* to an *Int* and know that it might throw an exception?
 - In Java we can wrap the expression in try..catch..finally
 - In Scala, we can actually do the same thing. But there's a much better, more functional way: *Try[X]*.
 - How should we implement Try[X]?
 - What should its API be?
 - isSuccess: Boolean
 - isFailure: Boolean = !isSuccess
 - get: X [will throw exception if failure]
 - getOrElse[Y >: X](default: => Y): Y = if (isSuccess) get else default
 - map[Y](f: X=>Y): Try[Y]
 - •

Try (2)

So, we can define some methods we will want to call.
 Let's make them into a **trait**.

```
trait Try[X] {
  def isSuccess: Boolean
  def get: X
...
}
```

 What have we got? A container of which essentially there are two types: a successful container with an X in it and a failure container that holds the exception.

Try (3)

• Let's call our two containers *Success* and *Failure*. They will be case classes extending *Try*.

• Now, we can use pattern matching to figure what we've got:

```
Try("a".toInt) match {
  case Success(x) => println(x)
  case Failure(e) => e.printStackTrace()
  }
```

Try (4)

• Using *Try* to handle methods which may throw an exception (usually but not always Java methods):

```
object Try {
    /** Constructs a `Try` using the by-name parameter. This
    * method will ensure any non-fatal exception is caught and a
    * `Failure` object is returned.
    */
    def apply[T](r: => T): Try[T] =
        try Success(r) catch {
        case NonFatal(e) => Failure(e)
        }
}
```

Note that the parameter to the *Try.apply* method is call-by-name: this allows the actual exception to be caught *inside* the apply method.

val xy = Try("s".toInt)

Summary

- Scala defines two traits, each with two case classes/objects to represent the exceptional conditions corresponding to Java's null and Exception.
- As we shall see, these containers are very natural and easy to use and they are referentially transparent!
- Oh yes, and they are monads! (We'll talk about these later).