

Updated: 2021-03-31

6.7

Advanced Concepts

© 2015 Robin Hillyard



Northeastern
University

Type Classes

- We looked at these briefly before. Type classes are the way in which Scala programs (Haskell has these too) allow the imposition of behavior on another class
 - In pure O-O, we always have to *extend* traits to add behavior.
 - But that's often impossible, inconvenient, or just plain wrong.

Type classes: review

- Let's say you have in mind a trait but there's nothing appropriate for it to extend:

```
trait Parseable[T] {  
  def parse(s: String): Try[T]  
}  
object Parseable {  
  trait ParseableInt extends Parseable[Int] {  
    def parse(s: String): Try[Int] = Try(s.toInt)  
  }  
  implicit object ParseableInt extends ParseableInt  
}  
  
object TestParseable {  
  def parse[T : Parseable](s: String): Try[T] = implicitly[Parseable[T]].parse(s)  
}
```

This form is called a “context bound”.
But we can also write it as follows:

```
def parse[T](s: String)(implicit ev: Parseable[T]):  
  Try[T] = ev.parse(s)
```

- What we are doing here is adding the behavior of *Parseable* to type *T* without requiring *T* to extend anything.
- Note that you cannot add a context bound to a trait. Why not?

A case in point

- **Renderable:**

- In my *LaScala* library, I created a trait *Renderable* which affords a better way to render objects as Strings (better than *toString*).
 - In particular, any type that extends *Renderable* supports the *render* method:

```
def render(indent: Int = 0)(implicit tab: Int => Prefix): String
```

- Additionally, there are implicit *Renderables* for containers such as:

```
implicit def renderableTraversable(xs: Traversable[_]): Renderable =  
RenderableTraversable(xs, max = Some(MAX_ELEMENTS))
```

- where:

```
case class RenderableTraversable(xs: Traversable[_], bookends: String = "(,)", linear:  
Boolean = false, max: Option[Int] = None) extends Renderable {  
  def render(indent: Int)(implicit tab: (Int) => Prefix): String = {  
    val (p, q, r) =  
      if (linear || xs.size <= 1)  
        ("" + bookends.head, "" + bookends.tail.head, "" + bookends.last)  
      else  
        (bookends.head + nl(indent + 1), bookends.tail.head + nl(indent + 1), nl(indent) +  
bookends.last)  
    Renderable.elmsToString(xs, indent, p, q, r, max)  
  }  
}
```

This works great but...

- ...there is a bit of a problem:
 - it only works for types which extend *Renderable* or which have been provided for using an implicit;
 - in particular, if you want to write a library class based on a parametric type which is *Renderable*, you have to specify that as a type constraint;
 - instead, you can simply apply a “context bound” to the parametric type requiring “evidence” of a *Renderable*—this is so much more convenient.

Type class *Renderable*

- New Renderable:
 - I am in the process of changing *LaScala* to use a type class *Renderable* instead of a polymorphic trait.

```
trait Renderable[A] {  
  /**  
   * Method to render this object in a human-legible manner.  
   *  
   * @param indent the number of "tabs" before output should start (when writing on a new line).  
   * @return a String that, if it has embedded newlines, will follow each newline with (possibly empty) white space,  
   *         which is then followed by some human-legible rendering of *this*.  
   */  
  def render(a: A)(indent: Int = 0): String  
  
  /**  
   * Method to translate the tab number (i.e. indent) to a String of white space.  
   * Typically (and by default) this will be uniform. But you're free to set up a series of tabs  
   * like on an old typewriter where the spacing is non-uniform.  
   *  
   * @return a String of white space.  
   */  
  def tab(x: Int): Prefix = Prefix(" " * x)  
}
```

- The most obvious differences are that *Renderable* now takes a parametric type *A* and the *render* method itself takes a value of type *A*.

Specification

- Here's part of *RenderableSpec*:

```
class RenderableSpec extends FlatSpec with Matchers with Inside {  
  behavior of "Renderable"  
  import RenderableInstances._  
  it should "render String the hard way" in {  
    import Renderable._  
    render("Hello")() shouldBe "Hello"  
  }  
  it should "render String" in {  
    import RenderableSyntax._  
    "Hello".render shouldBe "Hello"  
  }  
  it should "render Int" in {  
    import RenderableSyntax._  
    1.render shouldBe "1"  
  }  
}
```

- It is slightly annoying to have to specify those imports but there may be a better way.

Specification (part 2)

- Here's another part of *RenderableSpec*:

```
class RenderableSpec extends FlatSpec with Matchers with Inside {  
  behavior of "MockContainer"  
  it should "render correctly" in {  
    val target = MockContainer(Seq(1,2,3))  
    target.toString shouldBe "(\n 1,\n 2,\n 3\n)"  
  }  
}  
  
case class MockContainer[A: Renderable](as: Seq[A]) {  
  import RenderableSyntax._  
  override def toString: String = as.render  
}
```


Using Type classes

- Best Practices:
 - Define the minimum number of methods (often just one!) in your type class trait;
 - Use a type class to add behavior to a parametric type in some other class—don't use one to add behavior to the other class—be strict about that.
 - If a parametric type needs more than one behavior imposed on it, add additional context bounds:

```
case class MockNumericContainer[A: Renderable: Numeric](as: Seq[A]) {  
  import RenderableSyntax._  
  override def toString: String = as.render  
  def total: A = as.sum  
}
```

Type-class Libraries

- Cats
 - <https://typelevel.org/cats>
 - Cats uses type-classes extensively. There are type-classes for just about everything.

Logging functional style

- Logging styles:
 - Libraries such as log4j are ideally suited to a statement-oriented language such as Java...
 - ...but Scala is functional, not statement-oriented.
 - Why not use a functional style of logging (as in *LaScala*)?

```
trait Spy
object Spy {
  def apply(x: Unit): Spy = new Spy() {}
  lazy private val configuration = ConfigFactory.load()
  var spying: Boolean = configuration.getBoolean("spying")

  def spy[X](message: => String, x: => X, b: Boolean = true)(implicit spyFunc: String => Spy, isEnabledFunc: Spy => Boolean): X = {
    val xy = Try(x) // evaluate x inside Try
    if (b && spying && isEnabledFunc(mySpy)) doSpy(message, xy, b, spyFunc) // if spying is turned on, log an appropriate message
    xy.get // return the X value or throw the appropriate exception
  }

  def log(w: => String, b: Boolean = true)(implicit spyFunc: String => Spy, isEnabledFunc: Spy => Boolean) {spy(w, (), b); ()}
  def noSpy[X](x: => X): X = {
    val safe = spying
    spying = false
    val r = x
    spying = safe
    r
  }

  private val prefix = "spy: "
  val brackets: String = "{}"
  implicit val defaultLogger: Logger = getLogger(getClass)
  implicit def spyFunc(s: String)(implicit logger: Logger): Spy = if (logger != null) Spy(logger.debug(prefix + s)) else Spy()
  implicit def isEnabledFunc(x: Spy)(implicit logger: Logger): Boolean = logger != null && logger.isDebugEnabled
  def getLogger(clazz: Class[_]): Logger = LoggerFactory.getLogger(clazz)
  def getPrintlnSpyFunc(ps: PrintStream = System.out): String => Spy = { s => Spy(ps.println(prefix + s)) }
  private def doSpy[X](message: String, xy: => Try[X], b: Boolean, spyFunc: (String) => Spy) = {
    ...
  }

  private def formatMessage[X](x: X, b: Boolean): String = x match {
    case () => "()"
    ...
  }

  // NOTE: If the value to be spied on is Future(_) then we invoke spy on the underlying value when it is completed
  case f: Future[_] =>
    import scala.concurrent.ExecutionContext.Implicits.global
    f.onComplete(spy("Future", _, b))
    "to be provided in the future"
  // NOTE: If the value to be spied on is a common-or-garden object, then we simply form the appropriate string using the toString method
  case _ => if (x != null) x.toString else "<<null>>"
}

private val mySpy = apply()
```

Using *Spy*

- Here's the Spec file:

```
class SpySpec extends FlatSpec with Matchers {  
  
  behavior of "Spy.spy"  
    it should "work with implicit (logger) (with default logger) spy func" in {  
      import Spy._  
      Spy.spying = true  
      (for (i <- 1 to 2) yield Spy.spy("i", i)) shouldBe List(1, 2)  
      // you should see log messages written to console (assuming your logging level, i.e. logback-test.xml, is set to DEBUG)  
    }  
  }  
}
```

- Note what it would look like without spying:

```
class SpySpec extends FlatSpec with Matchers {  
  
  behavior of "for comprehension"  
    it should "work" in {  
      (for (i <- 1 to 2) yield i) shouldBe List(1, 2)  
    }  
  }  
}
```

Another functional logger

```
object Flog {  
  implicit class Flogger(message: => String)(implicit logFunc: LogFunction = Flog.loggingFunction) {  
    def !![X: Loggable](x: => X): X = Flog.logLoggable(logFunc, message)(x)  
    def !|[X](x: => X): X = Flog.logX(logFunc, message)(x)  
    def |![X](x: => X): X = x  
  }  
  var enabled = true  
  implicit var loggingFunction: LogFunction = getLogger[Flogger]  
  def getLogger[T: ClassTag]: LogFunction = LogFunction(LoggerFactory.getLogger(implicitly[ClassTag[T]].runtimeClass).debug)  
  def logLoggable[X: Loggable](logFunc: LogFunction, prefix: => String)(x: => X): X = {  
    lazy val xx: X = x  
    if (enabled) logFunc(s"log: $prefix: ${implicitly[Loggable[X]].toLog(xx)}")  
    xx  
  }  
  def logX[X](logFunc: LogFunction, prefix: => String)(x: => X): X = {  
    lazy val xx: X = x  
    if (enabled) logFunc(s"log: $prefix: $xx")  
    xx  
  }  
}
```