Updated: 2021-03-25

4.11 Syntactic Sugar

© 2018 Robin Hillyard



Syntactic Sugar

- Scala has a neat feature called "syntactic sugar" which:
 - allows us to write a program in a very human-readable form that gets converted into more computer-readable form;
 - perhaps the most obvious example is the for-comprehension:

```
for(x <- List(1,2,3)) yield x*x

=> (i.e. is de-sugared into)
  List(1,2,3).map {x => x*x}

and
  for(x <- List(1,2,3); y <- List(4,5,6)) yield x*y

=>
  List(1,2,3).flatMap { x => List(4,5,6).map { y => x*y } }
```

Syntactic Sugar (2)

- Desugaring continued...
 - other examples:

```
val x = 1; x + x
=> (i.e. is de-sugared into)
val x: Int = 1; x.+(x)
and
  class X[Y : Numeric] {}
yields a constructor of the form
  def <init>()(implicit ev$1: Numeric[Y]): this.X[Y] = {X.super.<init>();()}
and
  List(1,2,3).map(_*2)
=>
  val x = List(1, 2, 3).map(((x$1) => x$1.$times(2)))
```

Syntactic Sugar (3)

- Unary functions (i.e. instances of Function1):
 - $f(x) \rightarrow f.apply(x)$
 - most collection-type containers implement Function1, e.g. List, Map, Set but not Option, Try, Either.
- A unary method on an object (like map, for example) is a binary (dyadic) function on the object and the parameter:
 - a map $f \rightarrow a.map(f)$



Note that *map* associates to the left: in this case, *a* is the receiver; *f* is the parameter

- But, a method/operator whose name ends in ":", associates to the *right*:
 - h :: $t \rightarrow t$.::(h)
- And, for mutable objects, there is a built-in assignment method:
 - $x(y) = z \rightarrow x.update(y,z)$
- And, obviously, tuples:
 - $(x,y) \rightarrow Tuple2[X,Y](x,y)$
 - $(x,y,z) \rightarrow Tuple3[X,Y,Z](x,y,z)$

Syntactic sugar (4)

 And another example of our old friend the for-comprehension (<u>How does yield work</u>):

```
for { i <- List(1,2,3); x = i*3; if (x%2 == 0)} yield x →
  List(1,2,3) map (_ * 3) filter (_ %2 == 0)

for(x <- c1; y <- c2; z <- c3) yield {...} →
  c1.flatMap(x => c2.flatMap(y => c3.map(z => {...})))
```

Syntactic Sugar (5)

- It's important to realize that there is **no magic** in syntactic sugar (or any other aspect of Scala).
 - The desugaring process (the first process in the compiler's workflow) is a formal substitution scheme just as you'd expect
 - IntelliJ/IDEA offers a desugaring option;
 - You can see the result of desugaring a module by (e.g.):
 scalac -Xprint:parser src/main/scala/edu/neu/coe/scala/FutureExercise.scala
 - You can see other options from the compiler by using:
 scalac -Xshow-phases
 - You can see, for example, the "trees" for an expression:

```
scala -Xprint:typer -e "val x = Option(1); x match { case Some(y) =>
println(y); case _ => }"
```

Other Scala constructs

call-by-value and call-by-name:

```
def f(x: X)
def f(x: => X)
```

"=> X" is a nullary function that results in an X. Can also be written "() => X"

anonymous functions:

```
List(1,2,3) (_.toString)
List(1,2,3) (_*_)
List(1,2,3) (x =>x*x)
```

"_" stands for the obvious: a particular element of *m*

No. Doesn't work with *List(Int)* but would work with *List((Int,Int))* for instance.

This is OK as we have explicitly named the value

"*" tolle the compiler to

varargs methods:

```
def sum(xs: Int*) = xs reduce (_+_)
sum(List(1,2,3): _*)
```

"*" tells the compiler that the parameter args is a variable sequence of *Int*, not just one Int.

• tuples: defining

```
scala> val x = 1->"a"
x: (Int, String) = (1,a)
```

```
For example, initializing a Map:
val x = Map(1->"a", 2->"b",...)
```

Standard Imports

 When looking for operators, methods, implicit functions, values, it makes sense to know what's automatically imported:

Pattern Matching (review)

- First: expressions:
 - The most basic aspect of functional programming is that it allows you to define expressions which yield some result.
 - You can split a complicated expression up using val or def and make things easier to understand (and usually shorter):
 - Let's say we want to know the sum of the integers 1 thru 20:

```
scala> 1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17+18+19+20
res0: Int = 210
scala> 20*(20+1)/2
res1: Int = 210
scala> val n = 20
n: Int = 20
scala> n*(n+1)/2
res2: Int = 210
scala> def sumOfNIntegers(n: Int) = n*(n+1)/2
sumOfNIntegers: (n: Int)Int
scala> sumOfNIntegers(20)
res3: Int = 210
```

 We've gone from the most specific form to the most general form. All give the same answer: 210. That's because all expressions are mathematically identical. The final answer is easiest to read, however. The first answer could easily be in error and we humans might not notice (a number skipped or repeated, for example).

Pattern Matching (2)

- There's another way we could have defined the sum...
 - Suppose we create a case class to represent a range of numbers starting with 1:

```
scala> case class Range(n: Int) { def sum = n*(n+1)/2 }
defined class Range
scala> val r = Range(20)
r: range = Range(20)
scala> r sum
res4: Int = 210
```

We've talked about extractors and pattern matching before. Essentially, they are
the opposite of the substitution principle that we use in expressions. Extractors
are like a "what if?" scenario:

```
scala> r match { case Range(m) => println(m) }
20
```

- **What if** we had a *Range* such that its *n* value was represented by a variable called *m*? We could print *m* to see what it was or do anything else with *m*.
- It's important to understand that *m* is a "variable" (in the algebraic sense) but it's value is fixed by the pattern-matching code to be whatever *n* was in the *Range*.