WIA2007 Mobile Application Development Semester 1, Session 2022/2023 Assignment Part II (40 marks)

Submission Date: Week 12

Descriptions:

In this Part II of Group Assignment, all the groups are required to develop the Mobile Application using the information included in the proposal submitted in Part I of Group Assignment. Students also need to prepare a short presentation (and demonstrations) to explain about the developed Mobile Application.

Submission Instructions:

Softcopy Report and Source Code (30 marks) - submit via Spectrum / Microsoft Team.

- 1. Brief Introduction of the Mobile Application.
- 2. Table consisting of two major columns -- the functions stated in Assignment Part I and whether it is accomplished in Assignment Part II.
- 3. Screenshot of all the activity / pages with descriptions.
- 4. Proof of successful implementation for each evaluation criteria with descriptions.
- 5. Tasks distribution table.

Presentation Content (10 marks):

- 1. Brief introduction of the Mobile Application (initial inspiration / problem-to-be-solved).
- 2. Functionalities of the system during the demonstration.
- 3. Extra functionalities of the system during the demonstration.
- 4. Accessibility considered in the Mobile Application during the demonstration.

Evaluation Criteria and Marking Rubrics:

Evaluation	Marking Rubrics			
Criteria	None	Poor	Moderate	Good
Database	No Database	Database connection	Database	Database
(CRUD)	Connection and	successfully and	connection	connection
[3 marks]	CRUD.	only able to perform	successfully and	successfully and
	[0 mark]	some operations of	only able to	only able to
		CRUD.	perform all	perform all
		[1 mark]	operations of	operations of
			CRUD.	CRUD, with
			[2 marks]	proper
				connection
				closing and error
				handling. [3
				marks]

Reports and requirements [3 marks]	No report submitted. [0 mark]	Report submitted with insufficient information provided (refer to the assignment instructions for information needed) and / or requirements not fulfilled. [1 mark]	Report submitted with brief information provided on every section and / or requirements are briefly fulfilled. [2 marks]	Report submitted with detailed information provided on every sections and requirements are all fulfilled. [3 marks]
Different views and viewgroups [4 marks]	No Mobile App submitted. [0 mark]	Mobile App submitted with only using one type of layout and limited variant of views. [1 mark]	Mobile App submitted using two types of layout and more complex views. [2 marks]	Mobile App submitted with more than two types of layout and the use of complex views. [3-4 marks]
App Flow [3 marks]	No Mobile App submitted or Mobile App with only Single Activity / Page / Tab submitted. [0 mark]	Mobile App submitted with isolated activity observed. [1 mark]	Mobile App submitted with smooth flow with proper categorizations. [2 marks]	Mobile App submitted with smooth flow with proper categorizations and explanation. [3 marks]
Accessibility [3 marks]	No accessibility considered. [0 mark]	Accessibility target is listed with limited features implemented in single activity. [1 mark]	Accessibility target is listed and analyzed with proper features implemented in some areas of the Mobile App. [2 mark]	Accessibility target is listed and analyzed with proper features implemented throughout the Mobile App. [3 marks]
UI Design [3 marks]	No UI design. All the views are in Default mode. [0 mark]	UI design is available but only on limited items (e.g., only changing background color), inconsistencies observed, without the use of multimedia contents (e.g., appropriate images, audios) [1 mark]	UI design is available on some items but not consistent throughout the Mobile App with limited use of multimedia contents. [2 mark]	UI design is available and consistent throughout the Mobile App with the use of appropriate placement of multimedia contents. [3 marks]

2

Extra Functionalities [4 marks]	No extra functionalities. [0 mark]	One extra functionality added. [1-2 mark]	Two extra functionalities added. [3-4 marks]
Error Handling [2 marks]	No error handling. [0 mark]	Error handling on limited functions or activities. [1 mark]	Error handling on almost / all of the functions and activities. [2 mark]
Peer Evaluation [5 marks]	No peer evaluation. [-1 mark]	All the marks given by marks.	y the members then convert to 5

Late Submission Penalty: Minus 3 marks per day.

Evaluation	Marking Rubrics				
Criteria	None	Poor	Moderate	Good	
Demonstration [4 marks]	Absent to the presentation. [0 mark]	Poor demonstration without any organization. [1 mark]	Demonstrate with organization and smooth demonstration (no pause). [2 marks]	Demonstrate with organization and with a good scenario to complement the demonstration, and be able to demonstrate smoothly (no pause) and confidently. [3-4 marks]	
Presentation [4 marks]	Absent to the presentation. [0 mark]	Present to the presentation with poor presentation slides (blank slide design and incomplete information) and many pause observed. [1 mark]	Present to the presentation with a smooth presentation and good presentation slides (with slide design and complete information). [2 marks]	Present to the presentation confidently with good presentation slides (with slide design, complete information and with graphics), give concise and precise presentation. [3-4 marks]	
Q&A [2 marks]	Absent to the presentation. [0 mark]	Not able to answer questions. [0.5 mark]	Able to answer some questions. [1 mark]	Able to answer all the questions given confidently. [2 marks]	

3