Question 1:

• 1.1

Worst case runtime of Multipop is O(n)

• 1.2

Worst case runtime is impossible to reach because for a stack we need to do push (which takes O(1)) before we can do multipop

• 1.3

For virtual cost, push costs 2, pop and multipop cost 0; For actual cost, push and pop cost 1 and multipop costs n for n elements

• 1.4

for every element we push, we charge 2 coins but actually spend 1 coin so we save 1. So after n pushes, we can save n coins, that means coins are the same as elements. Because we can only pop one element once and one pop costs 1 coin, so we always have enough coins to pop all the elements.

• 1.5

For one operation, we can get at most 2 coins, so in total n operations can give at most 2n coins. operations consume coins and 1coin = O(1)runtime. Because 1.4 is correct (we always have enough coins), then we spend at most 2n coins, which is O(2n) = O(n)

• 1.6

This will increase the amortized cost. For multipop and multipush, each time we take out / put in n elements and these operations take O(n) runtime for each one. So n total operations take $O(n^2)$ runtime at most.

Question 2:

• 2.1

Use a dynamic array

• 2.2

If the array is not full, simply insert the element into it; if the array is full, expand it and then insert element. Amortize cost is O(1) for one operation.

• 2.3

For deletion, first we have to find the median, then go through the array again to delete all elements that are bigger or equal to median, and this is O(n)

• 2.4

We will measure runtime of each operation by the number of elements we insert or delete.

• 2.5

```
\begin{split} &\Phi(empty datastructure) = 0; \\ &\Phi(non-empty datastructure) \geq 0; \\ &\Phi(datastructure) = number-of-elements \end{split}
```

• 2.6

• We already know Insertion = O(1) so we claim: if i-th operation is delete-half, then $\hat{c}_i = 0$

• Prove: we assume the data structure has n elements, then

$$\Phi(before) = n \tag{1}$$

$$\Phi(after) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \tag{2}$$

$$c_i = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{c}_i = c_i + \Phi(after) - \Phi(before) \tag{4}$$

$$= \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - n \tag{5}$$

$$= j - j \tag{6}$$

$$=0 (7)$$

• So the amortized cost of any operation \hat{c}_i is constant.

• 2.7

- For the potential: $\Phi(DS_0) = 0$ and $\Phi(DS_i) \geq 0$
- $\Phi(DS_i)$ is the potential of data structure after i-th operation; c_i is the actual cost for i-th operation; Then $\hat{c_i} = c_i + \Phi(DS_i) - \Phi(DS_{i-1})$
- $Total time = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{c_i})$
- Because $\hat{c}_i = O(1)$
- $Total time = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{c}_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O(1)) = O(n)$