

## Question 1:

### 1.1

- Let us prove that Radix Sort is correct for any  $n$  numbers (with max digits of  $l$ ) by using Induction on  $l$
- Let us use  $l(i)$  for the  $i$ -th digit we are currently on:
- First, the base case:  $l(i) = 1$
- Every *number* has only 1 digit, that means the first and only digit is actually the real *number* itself, by using Radix Sort, we can sort every number properly, if the two (or more) numbers are the same (for example the first 4 and second 4 in a list), then because Radix Sort is stable and does not change the original order, the first 4 will still be on the front, so base case is correct.
- Second, let us assume for  $l(i - 1)$ , Radix Sort can sort properly, then:
- There are two possible scenarios: 1.every *number* has a different number on digit  $l(i)$  or 2.some or all of the *numbers* have same number on digit  $l(i)$ . For the first scenario, Radix Sort will sort the  $i$ -th digit properly and we are done, because if the number on  $i$ -th digit for  $a$  is bigger than the number on  $i$ -th digit for  $b$ ,  $a$  is surely bigger than  $b$  (within the first  $i$  digits). And for the second scenario, if the two (or more) *numbers* have the same number on  $n$ -th digit, their order remains the same just like the 4 and 4 example in the base case, and because all the  $l(n - 1)$  digits are already sorted properly, so the two (or more) *numbers* will also be in the correct order after Radix Sort on  $l(n)$  th digit.
- So Radix Sort works well for any  $n$  natural *numbers*

## 1.2

- Yes,  $O(l(n+d))$  is the correct time complexity for Radix Sort. Because similar to Counting Sort, for every digit we already know the runtime is  $O(n+d)$  and this takes  $l$  rounds, so the total runtime is  $O(l(n+d))$

## Question 2:

### 2.1

- The runtime is  $O(n + n^k)$ , so when  $k \leq 1$ , runtime is  $O(n)$ ; when  $k \geq 1$ , runtime is  $O(n^k)$

### 2.2

$$l = \log_d n^k + 1 \quad (1)$$

- When  $d$  increases,  $\log_d n^k$  will decrease, so  $l$  will decrease.

### 2.3

- When  $d = 2$

$$T(n) = O(l(n + d)) \quad (2)$$

$$= O(\log_2 n^k + 1)(n + 2) \quad (3)$$

$$= O((kn + 2k)\log_2 n + (n + 2)) \quad (4)$$

- Because  $n$  is an integer bigger or equal to 2 and  $k = O(1)$ , So  $T(n) \geq n$ , if we can find a  $d$  that makes  $T(n)$  infinitely close to  $n$ , then it is the optimized  $d$  we can find.

- When  $d = n$ :

$$T(n) = O((\log_d n^k + 1)(n + d)) \quad (5)$$

$$= O(2(k + 1)n) \quad (6)$$

- Because  $k = O(1)$ ,  $T(n) = O(n)$  and this is the best runtime we can find (or I can find)

## 2.4

- The time for conversion is  $O(n \log_2 n)$
- Because for base  $d = 2$ , a number has  $\log_2 n^k$  digits so to convert to base  $d = 10$  we have to multiply it  $\log_2 n^k$  times and this also applies to conversion from  $d = 10$  to  $d = n$ , it takes  $n$  times, so the total time will be  $T(n) = n(\log_2 n^k) = kn \log_2 n = O(n \log_2 n)$

### Question 3:

- Define random variable  $X$  = number of times I need to update
- Define  $X_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if k-th element is bigger than all elements ahead} \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$
- Then the total times for update is  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$
- So the expectation for k-th element would be:

$$E(X_k) = 0 \cdot P(X_k = 0) + 1 \cdot P(X_k = 1) = \frac{1}{k} \quad (7)$$

- By Linearity of Expectation, we know that:

$$E(X) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k\right) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + \dots + E(X_n) \quad (8)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \quad (9)$$

$$= \ln(n) + O(1) \quad (10)$$

- So the expectation for total number of times I need to update the variable is  $\ln(n) + O(1)$