Differentially Private Bayesian Inference Optimality of Laplace Mechanism

March 6, 2020

1 Private Mechanisms

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Algorithm} \ \mathbf{1} \ \mathsf{LSDim} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n, \, \mathsf{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \\ & \mathbf{let} \ \boldsymbol{\alpha}' = \mathsf{Dir}\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x}) \\ & \mathbf{Initialize} \ \mathbf{a} \ \mathsf{vector} \ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \\ & \mathbf{For} \ i = 1 \dots |\mathcal{X}| - 1: \\ & \mathbf{let} \ \boldsymbol{\eta} \sim \mathsf{Lap}(0, \frac{|\mathcal{X}|}{\epsilon}) \\ & \tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i + \lfloor (\alpha_i' - \alpha_i) + \boldsymbol{\eta} \rfloor_0^n \\ & \tilde{\alpha}_{|\mathcal{X}|} = \alpha_{|\mathcal{X}|} + \lfloor n - \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|-1} \lfloor (\alpha_i' - \alpha_i) + \boldsymbol{\eta}_i \rfloor_0^n \rfloor_0^n \\ & \mathbf{return} \ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \end{aligned}
```

Algorithm 2 LSDim

```
Require: \mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n apply the Bayesian inference algorithm on \mathbf{x}', get true posterior \mathsf{Beta}(\alpha) let p = \mathsf{uniform}(0,1), \ \eta \sim \mathsf{Lap}(0,\frac{1.0}{\epsilon}) If p > 0.5:

with 0.5 probability adding noise to first component.

\mathbf{x}' = (\lfloor \mathbf{x}_1 + \mu \rfloor_0^n, n - \lfloor \mathbf{x}_1 + \mu \rfloor_0^n) Else:

with 0.5 probability adding noise to second component.

\mathbf{x}' = (n - \lfloor \mathbf{x}_2 + \mu \rfloor_0^n, \lfloor \mathbf{x}_2 + \mu \rfloor_0^n) apply the Bayesian inference algorithm on \mathbf{x}', get: \mathsf{Beta}(\alpha') return \alpha'
```

Algorithm 3 EHD

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{observed data set } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n, \, \text{prior: } \mathsf{Dir}(\pmb{\alpha}), \, \epsilon \\ \text{let } \mathsf{Dir}(\pmb{\alpha}') = \mathsf{Dir}\mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \pmb{\alpha}). \\ \text{let } GS \text{ be the global sensitivity for } \mathbf{x}. \\ \text{set } z = r \text{ with probability } \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{H}(\mathsf{Dir}\mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \pmb{\alpha}), r)}{2 \cdot GS}\right)}{\sum_{r' \in \mathcal{R}_{\pmb{\alpha}}} \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{H}(\mathsf{Dir}\mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \pmb{\alpha}), r')}{2 \cdot GS}\right)} \\ \text{return } z \\ \end{array}
```

Algorithm 4 EHDL

Algorithm 5 EHDS

```
observed data set \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n, prior: \mathsf{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \epsilon let \mathsf{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}') = \mathsf{Dir}\mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\alpha}).
let S(\mathbf{x}) be the smooth sensitivity for \mathbf{x}.
set z = r with probability \frac{\exp(\frac{\epsilon \cdot u(\mathbf{x},r)}{4 \cdot S(\mathbf{x})})}{\sum_{r' \in \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \exp(\frac{\epsilon \cdot u(\mathbf{x},r')}{4 \cdot S(\mathbf{x})})}return z
```

2 Accuracy Analysis

Theorem 2.1. Let R_g be the good output set where $\forall r \in R$, $\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{DirP}(\boldsymbol{x}), r) \leq LS(\boldsymbol{x})$, we have:

$$Pr[\mathsf{LSHist}(\boldsymbol{x}, \epsilon) \in R_g] > Pr[\mathsf{EHD}(\boldsymbol{x}, \epsilon) \in R_g]$$

for data size $n = |\mathbf{x}| > O(\frac{e^{\epsilon}}{1 - e^{-\epsilon}})$

Let R_g be the good output set where $\forall r \in R$, $\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{DirP}(\mathbf{x}), r) \leq LS(\mathbf{x})$, we have:

$$Pr[\mathsf{LSHist}(\mathbf{x}) \in R_g] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}(e^{-\epsilon} + e^{-2\epsilon}) > 1 - e^{-\epsilon}$$

By definition of EHD and $GS = \sqrt{1 - \pi/4}$, we have:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} Pr[\mathsf{EHD}(\mathbf{x}) \in R_g] & = & \sum\limits_{c \geq -LS(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\exp(\frac{\epsilon \cdot c}{2 \cdot GS})}{\sum_{r' \in \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \exp(\frac{-\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{H}(\mathsf{DirP}(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\alpha}),r')}{2 \cdot GS})}) \\ & \leq & \frac{2\exp(-\frac{\epsilon LS(\mathbf{x})}{2 \cdot GS}) + 1}{n\exp(\frac{-\epsilon}{2 \cdot GS})} \\ & \leq & \frac{3}{n\exp(\frac{-\epsilon}{2\sqrt{1-\pi/4}})} \\ & \leq & \frac{3}{n\exp(-\epsilon)} \end{array}$$

Let $c=2\sqrt{1-\pi/4}$, we have when $n>\frac{3}{e^{-\epsilon/c}(1-e^{-\epsilon})}\sim O(\frac{e^\epsilon}{1-e^{-\epsilon}})$ LSHist performs better than EHD.

Theorem 2.2. To prove the optimality of Laplace mechanism, we are showing

$$\frac{ELap(\boldsymbol{x})}{(\epsilon \times LS(\boldsymbol{x}))}$$

is $O(\epsilon)$, considering $n = |\mathbf{x}| \geq 2$ being the parameter.

Where $LS(\cdot)$ is the local sensitivity, and where $ELap(\cdot)$ is the measure of the error of the Laplace mechanism, defined in this way:

$$ELap(\textbf{\textit{x}}) = \arg \big(\min_t \{ Pr[\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{DirP}(\textbf{\textit{x}}), \mathsf{LSHist}(\textbf{\textit{x}})) < t] \geq 1 - \gamma \big).$$

Proof. Let $t = LS(\mathbf{x})$, we have following by p.d.f. of Laplace distribution:

$$Pr[\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{DirP}(\mathbf{x}),\mathsf{LSHist}(\mathbf{x})) < t] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}(e^{-\epsilon} + e^{-2\epsilon}) > 1 - e^{-\epsilon}$$

Then we can get when $\gamma = e^{-\epsilon}$,

$$\frac{ELap(\mathbf{x})}{(\epsilon \times LS(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

Theorem 2.3. In order to prove the optimality of Laplace mechanism, instead of prove $\frac{ELap(x)}{(\epsilon \times LS(x))}$ is O(1), we prove a constant upper bound on following equations:

$$\leq \frac{ \underset{t}{\arg\min} \left\{ \Pr[\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{LSHist}(x)) < t] \geq 1 - \gamma \right\} }{ \underset{t}{\max} \left\{ \underset{|k| \leq \frac{\lg\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)}{\epsilon}} \right. \\ \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha+k,n-\lfloor\alpha+k\rfloor)) }{ LS(x) } \\ \leq O\left(\frac{\lg\frac{1}{\gamma}}{\epsilon}\right)$$

Proof. By Laplace distribution, we have:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Pr[\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{LSHist}(\mathbf{x})) < t] & = & \Pr[\{|\mathsf{Lap}((,\tfrac{1}{1}\epsilon| < O(k)|\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha+k,n-\lfloor\alpha+k\rfloor)) < t\}] \\ & \leq & 1 - e^{-O(k)\epsilon} \end{array}$$

Then we have:

$$\gamma = e^{-O(k)\epsilon}$$

So we can get:

$$O(\frac{\lg \frac{1}{\gamma}}{\epsilon}) = O(\frac{\lg \frac{1}{e^{-O(k)\epsilon}}}{\epsilon}) = O(k)$$

Proof. By setting $-1 \le k < 2$, we have:

$$\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha+k,n-|\alpha+k|)) \le LS(\mathbf{x})$$
 (1)

For any ϵ , $k \sim \mathsf{Lap}(0, \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ from Laplace mechanism, we have:

$$\Pr[|k| \le \frac{b}{\epsilon}] = 1 - \exp(-b)$$

Then we can get:

$$\Pr[-1 \le k < 2] = 1 - \frac{\exp(-\epsilon) + \exp(-2\epsilon)}{2} \tag{2}$$

By Equation (1) and (2), we can get:

$$\Pr[\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha+k,n-\lfloor\alpha+k\rfloor)) \leq LS(\mathbf{x})] \geq 1 - \frac{\exp(-\epsilon) + \exp(-2\epsilon)}{2}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\arg\min\limits_{t} \left\{\Pr[\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{Beta}(\alpha,\beta),\mathsf{LSHist}(\mathbf{x})) < t] \geq 1 - \frac{\exp(-\epsilon) + \exp(-2\epsilon)}{2}\right\}}{LS(\mathbf{x})} \\ \leq & O(\frac{\lg(\frac{2}{\exp(-\epsilon) + \exp(-2\epsilon)})}{\epsilon}) \\ < & O(\frac{\lg(\frac{2}{\exp(-2\epsilon)})}{\epsilon}) = 2 \end{array}$$