# **Differentially Private Bayesian Inference**

### Anonymous Authors<sup>1</sup>

### **Abstract**

# 1. Setting up

000

007

009

010

015

018

019

020

021

024

025 026

028

029

034

038

039

041

043

044

045

046

047

049

050

051

053

The Bayesian inference process is denoted as  $\mathsf{BI}(x,prior)$  taking an observed data set  $x \in \mathcal{X}^n$  and a prior distribution as input, outputting a posterior distribution posterior. For conciseness, when prior is given, we use  $\mathsf{BI}(x)$ .

For now, we already have a prior distribution prior, an observed data set x.

### 1.1. Exponential Mechanism with Global Sensitivity

### 1.1.1. MECHANISM SET UP

In exponential mechanism, candidate set R can be obtained by enumerating  $y \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , i.e.

$$R = \{ \mathsf{BI}(y) \mid y \in \mathcal{X}^n \}.$$

Hellinger distance H is used here to score these candidates. The utility function:

$$u(x,r) = -\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),r); r \in R. \tag{1}$$

Exponential mechanism with global sensitivity selects and outputs a candidate  $r \in R$  with probability proportional to  $exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_{\sigma}u})$ :

$$P[r] = \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})},$$

where global sensitivity is calculated by:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_g u &= \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x'), r) - \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(y'), r)| \\ \max_{\{|x', y'| \leq 1; x', y' \in \mathcal{X}^n\}} \max_{\{r \in R\}}. \end{split}$$

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

### 1.1.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

It can be proved that exponential mechanism with global sensitivity is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private. We denote the BI with privacy mechanism as PrivInfer. For adjacent data set  $||x,y||_1=1$ :

$$\begin{split} &\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x,u,R) = r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(y,u,R) = r]} \\ &= \frac{\frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}}{\frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}} \\ &= \left(\frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}\right) \\ &= exp\left(\frac{\epsilon(u(x,r) - u(y,r))}{2\Delta_g u}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}\right) \\ &\leq exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \cdot exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}\right) \\ &= exp(\epsilon). \end{split}$$

Then,  $\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x,u,R)=r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(y,u,R)=r]} \ge exp(-\epsilon)$  can be obtained by symmetry.

### 1.2. Exponential Mechanism with Local Sensitivity

### 1.2.1. MECHANISM SET UP

Exponential mechanism with local sensitivity share the same candidate set and utility function as it with global sensitivity. This outputs a candidate  $r \in R$  with probability proportional to  $exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_1 u})$ :

$$P[r] = \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u})},$$

where local sensitivity is calculated by:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

 $\max_{\{|x,y'|\leq 1;y'\in\mathcal{X}^n\}}\max_{\{r\in R\}}.$ 

holds.

i.e.

Then we can have:

 $> exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}*2)$ 

it is non-differentially private.

1.3.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

1.4.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

2. Privacy Fix

2.1. Propositions

 $x_0$  to denote:

the statements.

 $x_0$ ;

if n is even

1.3.1. MECHANISM SETTING UP

1.4.1. MECHANISM SETTING UP

if BayesInfer $(x) = beta(a_1 + 1, b_1 + 1)$ 

then  $BI(x_0) = beta(\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 1)$ 

else  $BI(x_0) = \{beta(\frac{n+1}{2} + 1, \frac{n-1}{2} + 1)\}$ 

beta $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the beta function with two arguments  $\alpha$  and

Then, we have the following three statements, and proofs of

I  $H(BI(x), BI(x+1)) < H(BI(x+1), BI(x+2)) \forall x >$ 

 $= exp(\epsilon),$ 

 $exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}(\frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(y)} - \frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(x)}))$ 

 $\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x, u, R) = r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(u, u, R) = r]} > exp(\epsilon).$ 

Since there are cases where exponential mechanism with local sensitivity's privacy loss is greater than  $e^{\epsilon}$ , we can say

1.3. Exponential Mechanism of Varying Sensitivity

1.4. Exponential Mechanism of Smooth Sensitivity

Assume we have a prior distribution beta(1, 1), an observed data set  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ , n > 0. We use the x + 1 and x - 1 to

then BayesInfer $(x + 1) = beta((a_1 + 1) + 1, (b_1 - 1) + 1)$ 

BayesInfer $(x-1) = beta((a_1-1)+1,(b_1+1)+1),$ 

 $beta(\frac{n-1}{2}+1,\frac{n+1}{2}+1)$ 

 $\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x), r) - \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(y'), r)|$ 

We will then prove that exponential mechanism with local

 $= exp\left(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u(x)} - \frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_l u(y)}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}\right)$ 

Without loss of generality, we consider the case that

 $arg(\min_{r'\in R}\{u(y,r')\})$  and  $\Delta_l u(y) = u(x,r) - u(y,r)$ . We have:

 $= exp\big(\frac{\epsilon}{2}(\frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(x)} - \frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(y)})\big).$ 

From Eq. 1,  $\{u(x,r') \le 0 | r' \in R\}$  and  $\{u(y,r') \le 0 | r' \in R\}$ 

R}, we can infer that  $r = arg(\max_{r \in R} \{u(x, r')\}) = \mathsf{BI}(x)$ 

and u(x,r) = 0.From  $\Delta_l u(y) = u(x,r) - u(y,r)$ , we can

also infer that  $\Delta_l u(y) = -u(y,r)$ . Then, the following

relationship between u(x,r), u(y,r),  $\Delta_l u(x)$  and  $\Delta_l u(y)$ :

 $-\Delta_l u(x) < \Delta_l u(y)$ 

 $\Delta_l u(x) - \Delta_l u(y) < 2\Delta_l u(x)$ 

 $-\Delta_l u(y)(\Delta_l u(y) - \Delta_l u(x)) < 2\Delta_l u(x)\Delta_l u(y)$ 

 $u(y,r)(\Delta_l u(y) - \Delta_l u(x)) < 2\Delta_l u(x)\Delta_l u(y)$ 

 $\Delta_l u(y) < \Delta_l u(x), \quad r = arg(\max_{r' \in R} \{u(x, r')\})$ 

1.2.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

 $\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x,u,R)=r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(y,u,R)=r]}$ 

sensitivity is non-differentialy private.

 $= \frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u(x)} + \frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_l u(y)} + \frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}.$ 

 $\frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u(x)} + \frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}{\sum\limits_{x' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_l u(y)} + \frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}$ 

 $> \frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(x,r) + u(y,r'))}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(y,r) + u(x,r'))}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}$ 

 $> \frac{|R| \exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(x,r) + u(y,r))}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}{|R| \exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(y,r) + u(x,r))}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}$ 

062 063

066 067 068

075

078 079

082 083

089

100

 $\frac{u(x,r) + u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(x)} - \frac{u(x,r) + u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(y)} > 2.$ 

104 105

081

090

093

094

096

098 099

095

097

or  $\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x+1)) > \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x+1),\mathsf{BI}(x+2)) \forall x \leq x_0.$ 

II 
$$\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x), \mathsf{BI}(x+1)), \forall x \geq x_0;$$

$$\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x), \mathsf{BI}(x-1)), \forall x \leq x_0.$$

III 
$$\forall x \neq x_0 : \Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0)$$
.

# 2.2. proof

# 2.2.1. STATEMENT I

 We use the MI (Mathematical Induction) method to prove the first statement.

*Proof.* Since the Hellinger distance is symmetric, if we prove the  $H(BI(x), BI(x+1)) < H(BI(x+1), BI(x+2)) \forall x \ge x_0$ , the other part when  $\forall x \le x_0$  also holds.

1. if  $x = x_0$ ,  $H(BI(x_0), BI(x_0 + 1)) < H(BI(x_0 + 1), BI(x_0 + 2))$  holds:

 $\sqrt{1-\frac{\det(\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1+m+\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1}{2},\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1-m+\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m)}}}} \\ < \sqrt{1-\frac{\det(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m)\det(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)}{2}} \\ < \sqrt{1-\frac{\det(\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1+\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2}{2},\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1+\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2}{2})}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\det(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}}}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\text{beta}(\frac{n+2m+3}{2},\frac{n-2m+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m)\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)}} \\ > &\frac{\text{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2},\frac{n-2m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to proof  $\mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2)) < \mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+3,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-3))$  by using what we know.

From  $x=x_0+m$  and property of beta $(\alpha,\beta)$  function, we know:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1)) < \mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-2)) \\ \sqrt{\mathsf{heta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)\mathsf{heta}(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1)} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1)}} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})}} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+5}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})}} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+5}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3,\frac{n}{2}-1)}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})}} > \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+5}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3,\frac{n}{2}-1)}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})\frac{n-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}+1+\frac{n+2}{2}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}-1)\frac{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}-1+\frac{n+2}{2}+1}}} > \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})\frac{n+3}{\frac{n+3}{2}+1}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}-1)\frac{n+3}{\frac{n}{2}+1+\frac{n}{2}-1}}} \\ \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{(\frac{n}{2}-1)(\frac{n}{2})}} > \frac{\frac{n+3}{2}}{\sqrt{(\frac{n}{2}+1)(\frac{n}{2}+2)}} \\ (n-1)^2(n+2)(n+4) > (n+3)^2n(n-2) \\ n > -1. \end{array}$$

Since n > 0, it always holds.

2. if  $x = x_0 + m$  holds, then also  $x = x_0 + m + 1$  holds:

i.e 
$$\mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1)) < \mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2))$$
 is what we know:

$$\frac{ \operatorname{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2}, \frac{n-2m-1}{2}) \frac{n-2m-1}{n+2m+3} }{ \sqrt{\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m, \frac{n}{2}-m) \operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m, \frac{n}{2}-m-1) \frac{n-2m}{n+2m+2} }} \\ > \frac{ \operatorname{beta}(\frac{n+2m+7}{2}, \frac{n-2m-3}{2}) \frac{n-2m-3}{n+2m+5} }{ \sqrt{\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m, \frac{n}{2}-m) \operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m, \frac{n}{2}-m-1) \frac{n-2m-2}{n+2m+6} }}$$

# 165

### 187 188

# 189

190

206

208

209

210

212

213 214

215 216

218

217

219

$$\frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2},\frac{n-2m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}}} \\ > \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+2m+7}{2},\frac{n-2m-3}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}}$$

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\text{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2}, \frac{n-2m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m)\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 3 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m - 1)}}} \\ < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\text{beta}(\frac{n+2m+7}{2}, \frac{n-2m-3}{2})}{\sqrt{\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m)\text{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 3 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m - 1)}}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m),beta(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-1-m))\\ < & \mathsf{H}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+m+3,\frac{n}{2}-1-m),beta(\frac{n}{2}+m+4,\frac{n}{2}-m-2)) \end{split} \\ \text{i.e} \ \forall \ x \neq x_0, \Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0). \end{split}$$

i.e.  $x = x_0 + m + 1$  also holds when  $x = x_0 + m$  is

### 2.2.2. STATEMENT II

### Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \cdots & \Delta_{l}u(x) = |\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),r) - \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(y'),r)|, \\ & \max & \max \\ \{|x,y'| \leq 1; y' \in \mathcal{X}^n\} \text{ } \{r \in R\} \\ & \cdots & \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),r) - \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(y'),r) \\ & \leq \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(y')); \\ & \cdots & \Delta_{l}u(x) = \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(y')), \\ & \max_{\{|x,y'| \leq 1; y' \in \mathcal{X}^n\} \text{ } ; \\ & \cdots & \Delta_{l}u(x) = \max\{\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x+1)), \\ & \qquad \qquad & \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x-1))\}; \\ & According to Statement I: \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} & x>x_0\\ \text{then} & \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x-1))\\ &<\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x+1));\\ \text{then} & \Delta_l u(x)=\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x+1));\\ \text{if} & x< x_0\\ \text{then} & \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x-1))\\ &>\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x-1));\\ \text{then} & \Delta_l u(x)=\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x),\mathsf{BI}(x-1));\\ \text{else} & \Delta_l u(x_0)=\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x_0),\mathsf{BI}(x_0-1))\\ &=\mathsf{H}(\mathsf{BI}(x_0),\mathsf{BI}(x_0+1)). \end{array}$$

From above, we can conclude the Statement II.

### 2.2.3. STATEMENT III

Proof. From Statement I and Statement II, we can conclude that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{when} & x>x_0\\ & \text{H}(\text{BI}(x),\text{BI}(x+1)\\ & > \text{H}(\text{BI}(x_0),\text{BI}(x_0+1);\\ & i.e.\ \Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0)\\ \\ \text{when} & x< x_0\\ & \text{H}(\text{BI}(x),\text{BI}(x-1)\\ & > \text{H}(\text{BI}(x_0),\text{BI}(x_0-1);\\ & i.e.\ \Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0). \end{array}$$

1.e 
$$\forall x \neq x_0, \Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0)$$
.

# 3. Experimental Evaluations

We got some results from these mechanisms.

# 4. Smooth sensitivity

# 4.1. Dilation Property of Laplace Noise

Lemma 4.1. For 1-dimensional Laplace distribution,  $h(z) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|z|}, \ \alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \ \beta = \frac{\epsilon}{2\rho_{\delta/3}(|z|)} \ or \ \frac{\epsilon}{2\ln(2/\delta)}. \ We$ have some prior knowledge that  $|\lambda| < \beta$ , the h has dilation property:

$$Pr[z \in S] \le e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} Pr[z \in e^{\lambda} S] + \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Proof. • case 1:  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$\begin{split} & \because h(e^{\lambda}z) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|e^{\lambda}z|} < \frac{1}{2}e^{-|z|} = h(z) \\ & \therefore \frac{Pr[z \in e^{\lambda}S]}{Pr[z \in S]} = \frac{\int_{e^{\lambda}S} \frac{1}{2}e^{-|z|}dz}{\int_{S} \frac{1}{2}e^{-|z|}dz} = \frac{\int_{S} \frac{1}{2}e^{-|e^{\lambda}z|}e^{\lambda}dz}{\int_{S} \frac{1}{2}e^{-|z|}dz} \\ & = \frac{e^{-|e^{\lambda}z|}e^{\lambda}}{e^{-|z|}} = \frac{e^{\lambda}h(e^{\lambda}z)}{h(z)} \le e^{\lambda} \\ & \therefore ln(\frac{e^{\lambda}h(e^{\lambda}z)}{h(z)}) \le \lambda \\ & \because \lambda \le \beta = \frac{\epsilon}{2ln(3/\delta)}, \delta < 1 \\ & \therefore \lambda \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ & \therefore \frac{Pr[z \in e^{\lambda}S]}{Pr[z \in S]} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{split}$$

### • case 2: $\lambda < 0$

From integral property, we firstly have:

220

243

244

245246

247248

249250

251252

253254255

256257

258259260261

262263264

265 266

266267268

269 270

271272273

273274

$$\frac{Pr[z \in e^{\lambda}S]}{Pr[z \in S]} = \frac{e^{-|e^{\lambda}z|}e^{\lambda}}{e^{-|z|}} = \frac{h(e^{\lambda}z)e^{\lambda}}{h(z)} = e^{\lambda}e^{|z|(1-e^{\lambda})}$$

$$\therefore 1 - e^{\lambda} \le |\lambda|$$

$$\therefore \ln(\frac{h(e^{\lambda}z)e^{\lambda}}{h(z)}) \le \lambda + |z||\lambda|$$

$$\therefore \lambda < 0$$

$$\therefore \ln(\frac{h(e^{\lambda}z)e^{\lambda}}{h(z)}) \le |z||\lambda|$$

By setting  $h'(z) = e^{\lambda} h(e^{\lambda} z)$ , we can get:

$$\ln(\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}) \le |z||\lambda|$$

$$\Rightarrow h'(z) \le e^{|z||\lambda|}h(z)$$

By exchanging the notation of h' and h, we have:

$$h(z) \le e^{|z||\lambda|} h'(z)$$

i.e.

$$\Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in S] \leq e^{|z||\lambda|} \Pr_{z \sim h'}[z \in S] = e^{|z||\lambda|} \Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in e^{\lambda}S]$$

We consider an event  $G = \{z | |z| \le log(\frac{2}{\delta})\}$ . Under this event, we have:

$$\begin{split} |z||\lambda| &\leq \log(\frac{2}{\delta})|\lambda| \\ &\leq \log(\frac{2}{\delta})\beta \\ &\leq \log(\frac{2}{\delta})\frac{\epsilon}{2\log(\frac{3}{\delta})} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{split}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in S \cap G] &\leq e^{|z||\lambda|} \Pr_{z \sim h'}[z \in S \cap G] \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \Pr_{z \sim h'}[z \in S \cap G] \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

$$Pr[\overline{G}] = Pr[|z| > log(\frac{2}{\delta})] = exp(-log(\frac{2}{\delta})) = \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Then, we can get

$$\begin{split} \Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in S] &\leq \Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in S \cap G] + \Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in \overline{G}] \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \Pr_{z \sim h'}[z \in S \cap G] + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \Pr_{z \sim h'}[z \in S] + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &= e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \Pr_{z \sim h}[z \in e^{\lambda}S] + \frac{\delta}{2} \end{split}$$

i.e. the dilation property.

# 4.2. Sliding Property of Exponential Mechanism

**Lemma 4.2.** for any exponential mechanism  $\mathcal{M}_E(x, u, \mathcal{R})$  Pr[]

### 4.3. Dilation Property of Exponential Mechanism