## **Differentially Private Bayesian Inference**

## Anonymous Authors<sup>1</sup>

## **Abstract**

## 1. Setting up

The Bayesian inference process is denoted as BayesInfer(x, prior) taking an observed data set  $x \in \mathcal{X}^n$  and a prior distribution as input, outputting a posterior distribution posterior. For conciseness, when prior is given, we use BayesInfer(x).

For now, we already have a prior distribution prior, an observed data set x.

## 1.1. Exponential Mechanism with Global Sensitivity

## 1.1.1. MECHANISM SET UP

In exponential mechanism, candidate set R can be obtained by enumerating  $y \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , i.e.

$$R = \{ \mathsf{BayesInfer}(y) \mid y \in \mathcal{X}^n \}.$$

Hellinger distance Hlg is used here to score these candidates. The utility function:

$$u(x,r) = -\mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x),r); r \in R.$$
 (1)

Exponential mechanism with global sensitivity selects and outputs a candidate  $r \in R$  with probability proportional to  $exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_{\sigma}u})$ :

$$P[r] = \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})},$$

where global sensitivity is calculated by:

$$\Delta_g u = \mathsf{HIg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x'), r) - \mathsf{HIg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(y'), r)|$$

$$\max_{\{|x',y'|\leq 1; x',y'\in\mathcal{X}^n\}} \max_{\{r\in R\}}.$$

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

### 1.1.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

It can be proved that exponential mechanism with global sensitivity is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private. We denote the BayesInfer with privacy mechanism as PrivInfer. For adjacent data set  $||x,y||_1=1$ :

$$\begin{split} &\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x,u,R)=r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(y,u,R)=r]} \\ &= \frac{\frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}}{\frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_g u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}\right) \\ &= exp\left(\frac{\epsilon(u(x,r)-u(y,r))}{2\Delta_g u}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}\right) \\ &\leq exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \cdot exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{r' \in R} \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_g u})}{2\Delta_g u}}\right) \\ &= exp(\epsilon). \end{split}$$

Then,  $\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x,u,R)=r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(y,u,R)=r]} \ge exp(-\epsilon)$  can be obtained by symmetry.

## 1.2. Exponential Mechanism with Local Sensitivity

## 1.2.1. MECHANISM SET UP

Exponential mechanism with local sensitivity share the same candidate set and utility function as it with global sensitivity. This outputs a candidate  $r \in R$  with probability proportional to  $exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_1 u})$ :

$$P[r] = \frac{exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u})}{\sum_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u})},$$

where local sensitivity is calculated by:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

 $\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), r) - \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(y'), r)$ 

We will then prove that exponential mechanism with local

 $= exp\left(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u(x)} - \frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_l u(y)}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}\right)$ 

Without loss of generality, we consider the case that  $\Delta_l u(y) < \Delta_l u(x), \quad r = arg(\max_{r' \in R} \{u(x, r')\}) =$ 

 $arg(\min_{r'\in R}\{u(y,r')\})$  and  $\Delta_l u(y) = u(x,r) - u(y,r)$ . We

 $=exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}(\frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(x)}-\frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(y)})).$ 

From Eq. 1,  $\{u(x,r')\leq 0|r'\in R\}$  and  $\{u(y,r')\leq 0|r'\in R\}$ , we can infer that  $r=arg(\max_{r\in R}\{u(x,r')\})=$ 

BayesInfer(x) and u(x,r) = 0.From  $\Delta_l u(y) = u(x,r)$  –

u(y,r), we can also infer that  $\Delta_l u(y) = -u(y,r)$ . Then,

the following relationship between u(x,r), u(y,r),  $\Delta_l u(x)$ 

 $-\Delta_I u(x) < \Delta_I u(y)$ 

 $\Delta_l u(x) - \Delta_l u(y) < 2\Delta_l u(x)$ 

 $-\Delta_l u(y)(\Delta_l u(y) - \Delta_l u(x)) < 2\Delta_l u(x)\Delta_l u(y)$ 

 $u(y,r)(\Delta_l u(y) - \Delta_l u(x)) < 2\Delta_l u(x)\Delta_l u(y)$ 

1.2.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

 $\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x,u,R)=r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(y,u,R)=r]}$ 

sensitivity is non-differentialy private.

 $= \frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u(x)} + \frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_l u(y)} + \frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}.$ 

 $\frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(x,r)}{2\Delta_l u(x)} + \frac{\epsilon u(y,r')}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon u(y,r)}{2\Delta_l u(y)} + \frac{\epsilon u(x,r')}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}$ 

 $> \frac{\sum\limits_{r' \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(x,r) + u(y,r'))}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}{\sum\limits_{l \in R} exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(y,r) + u(x,r'))}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}$ 

 $> \frac{|R| \exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(x,r)+u(y,r))}{2\Delta_l u(x)})}{|R| \exp(\frac{\epsilon(u(y,r)+u(x,r))}{2\Delta_l u(y)})}$ 

holds.

i.e.

Then we can have:

 $> exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2} * 2)$ 

it is non-differentially private.

1.3.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

1.4.2. SECURITY ANALYSIS

2. Privacy Fix

2.1. Propositions

 $x_0$  to denote:

the statements.

if n is even

1.3.1. MECHANISM SETTING UP

1.4.1. MECHANISM SETTING UP

if BayesInfer $(x) = beta(a_1 + 1, b_1 + 1)$ 

 $= exp(\epsilon),$ 

 $exp(\frac{\epsilon}{2}(\frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(y)} - \frac{u(x,r)+u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(x)}))$ 

 $\frac{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(x, u, R) = r]}{P[\mathsf{PrivInfer}(u, u, R) = r]} > exp(\epsilon).$ 

Since there are cases where exponential mechanism with local sensitivity's privacy loss is greater than  $e^{\epsilon}$ , we can say

1.3. Exponential Mechanism of Varying Sensitivity

1.4. Exponential Mechanism of Smooth Sensitivity

Assume we have a prior distribution beta(1, 1), an observed data set  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ , n > 0. We use the x + 1 and x - 1 to

then BayesInfer $(x + 1) = beta((a_1 + 1) + 1, (b_1 - 1) + 1)$ 

else BayesInfer $(x_0) = \{beta(\frac{n+1}{2}+1, \frac{n-1}{2}+1),$ 

beta $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the beta function with two arguments  $\alpha$  and

Then, we have the following three statements, and proofs of

 $\mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+2)) \ \forall x \geq x_0;$ 

I Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x + 1))

 $beta(\frac{n-1}{2}+1,\frac{n+1}{2}+1)$ 

then  $\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0) = beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)$ 

BayesInfer $(x-1) = beta((a_1-1)+1,(b_1+1)+1),$ 

# 062

## 063 064 065

066

094

096

098 099

105

106

# $\frac{u(x,r) + u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(x)} - \frac{u(x,r) + u(y,r)}{\Delta_l u(y)} > 2.$

and  $\Delta_l u(y)$ :

## 093

## 095

097

or  $\mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1)) > \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+2)) \forall x \leq x_0.$ 

II  $\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x + 1)), \forall x \geq x_0;$ 

1)),  $\forall x \ge x_0$ ;  $\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x - x))$ 

1),  $\forall x \leq x_0$ .

III  $\forall x \neq x_0 : \Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0).$ 

## 2.2. proof

## 2.2.1. STATEMENT I

We use the MI (Mathematical Induction) method to prove the first statement.

*Proof.* Since the Hellinger distance is symmetric, if we prove the  $\mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1)) < \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+2)) \ \forall x \geq x_0$ , the other part when  $\forall x \leq x_0$  also holds.

1. if  $x=x_0$ ,  $\mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0+1)) < \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0+1), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0+2))$  holds:

$$\sqrt{1-\frac{\det(\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1+m+\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1}{2},\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1-m+\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m)}}}}$$

$$<\sqrt{1-\frac{\det(\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1+\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2}{2},\frac{\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1+\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2}{2})}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)}beta(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathsf{beta}\big(\frac{n+2m+3}{2},\frac{n-2m+1}{2}\big)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)}} \\ > &\frac{\mathsf{beta}\big(\frac{n+2m+5}{2},\frac{n-2m-1}{2}\big)}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to proof  $\mathsf{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2)) < \mathsf{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+3,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-3))$  by using what we know.

From  $x=x_0+m$  and property of beta $(\alpha,\beta)$  function, we know:

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1)) < \operatorname{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-2)) \\ & \sqrt{1 - \frac{beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+\frac{n}{2}+1+1}{2},\frac{n}{2}+1)beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-1)} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+1+\frac{n}{2}+1+2}{2},\frac{n}{2}+1-1)beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-1)} \\ & \sqrt{1 - \frac{beta(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)beta(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})}} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{beta(\frac{n+5}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})}{\sqrt{beta(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)}} \\ & \frac{beta(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}+1)beta(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})}} > \frac{beta(\frac{n+5}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})}{\sqrt{beta(\frac{n}{2}+2,\frac{n}{2})beta(\frac{n}{2}+3,\frac{n}{2}-1)}} \\ & \frac{beta(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})\frac{n-1}{2+1+\frac{n+3}{2}}}{\sqrt{beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}-1)\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{n+3}{2}}}} > \frac{beta(\frac{n+3}{2},\frac{n-1}{2})\frac{n+3}{2}+\frac{n-1}{2}}{\sqrt{beta(\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{n}{2}-1)\frac{n+3}{2}+2}+1}} \\ & \frac{n-1}{2} > \frac{n-1}{2} > \frac{n-1}{2}}{\sqrt{(\frac{n}{2}-1)(\frac{n}{2})}} > \frac{n+3}{2} \\ & (n-1)^2(n+2)(n+4) > (n+3)^2n(n-2) \\ & n > -1. \end{split}$$

Since n > 0, it always holds.

2. if  $x = x_0 + m$  holds, then also  $x = x_0 + m + 1$  holds:

i.e  $\operatorname{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m,\frac{n}{2}+1-m),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1)) < \operatorname{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+1,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-1),beta(\frac{n}{2}+1+m+2,\frac{n}{2}+1-m-2))$  is what we know:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2},\frac{n-2m-1}{2})\frac{n-2m-1}{n+2m+3} \\ & \sqrt{\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)\frac{n-2m}{n+2m+2}} \\ > & \frac{\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n+2m+7}{2},\frac{n-2m-3}{2})\frac{n-2m-3}{n+2m+5}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\operatorname{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)\frac{n-2m-2}{n+2m+6}} \end{aligned}$$

2.2.3. STATEMENT III

when  $x < x_0$ 

 $x > x_0$ 

when

Proof. From Statement I and Statement II, we can conclude

Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x + 1)

Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x-1))

i.e.  $\Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0)$ 

i.e.  $\Delta_l u(x) > \Delta_l u(x_0)$ .

3. Experimental Evaluations

We got some results from these mechanisms.

 $> Hlg(BayesInfer(x_0), BayesInfer(x_0 + 1);$ 

 $> Hlg(BayesInfer(x_0), BayesInfer(x_0 - 1);$ 

### 165 166 $\frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2},\frac{n-2m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}}$ 167 168 $\frac{\det(\frac{n+2m+7}{2},\frac{n-2m-3}{2})}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{n}{2}+2+m,\frac{n}{2}-m)\det(\frac{n}{2}+3+m,\frac{n}{2}-m-1)}}$ 169 170 171 172 $$\begin{split} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+2m+5}{2}, \frac{n-2m-1}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 3 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m - 1)}}} \\ < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n+2m+7}{2}, \frac{n-2m-3}{2})}{\sqrt{\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m)\mathsf{beta}(\frac{n}{2} + 3 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m - 1)}}} \end{split}$$ 173 174 175 176 177 178 $\mathsf{Hlg}(beta(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + m, \frac{n}{2} - m), beta(\frac{n}{2} + 3 + m, \frac{n}{2} - 1 - m))$ 179 $<\!\mathrm{HIg}(beta(\frac{n}{2}+m+3,\frac{\tilde{n}}{2}-1-m),beta(\frac{n}{2}+m+4,\frac{n}{2}-m-2))^{\mathbf{i}},\mathbf{e}\;\forall\;x\neq x_0,\Delta_lu(x)>\Delta_lu(x_0).$ 180 181 182 183 i.e. $x = x_0 + m + 1$ also holds when $x = x_0 + m$ is 184 185 186 187 2.2.2. STATEMENT II 188 Proof. 189 190 $\therefore \Delta_l u(x) = |\mathsf{HIg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), r) - \mathsf{HIg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(y'), r)|,$ 191 $\max_{\{|x,y'| \le 1; y' \in \mathcal{X}^n\}} \max_{\{r \in R\}};$ 193 $\therefore$ Hlg(BayesInfer(x), r) - Hlg(BayesInfer(y'), r) $\leq \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(y'));$ 196 $\therefore \Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(y')),$ $\max_{\{|x,y'| \le 1; y' \in \mathcal{X}^n\}}$ 198 199 $\therefore \Delta_l u(x) = \max\{\mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1)),\$ 200 Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x-1)); 202 According to Statement I:if $x > x_0$ 204 then Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x-1))< Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x + 1));206 $\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x+1));$ 208 if $x < x_0$ 209 then Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x-1))210 > Hlg(BayesInfer(x), BayesInfer(x + 1));211 $\Delta_l u(x) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x-1));$ 212 else $\Delta_l u(x_0) = \mathsf{Hlg}(\mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0), \mathsf{BayesInfer}(x_0-1))$ 214 = Hlg(BayesInfer $(x_0)$ , BayesInfer $(x_0 + 1)$ ). 215

From above, we can conclude the Statement II.

216 217

218

219