# Verifying Snapping Mechanism

November 5, 2019

## 1 Formalization

#### **Definition 1 (Laplce mechanism [2])**

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . The Laplace mechanism  $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by  $\mathcal{L}(t) = t + v$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  is drawn from the Laplace distribution  $\mathsf{Laplace}(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ .

### **Definition 2** (Snap(a): $A \rightarrow Distr(B)$ )

The ideal Snapping mechanism  $Snap(\mu, a)$  is defined as:

$$u \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu; y = \frac{\ln(|u|)}{\epsilon}; s = \operatorname{sign}(u); z = s * y; x = f(a); w = x + z; w' = \lfloor w \rfloor_{\Lambda}; r = \operatorname{clamp}_{B}(w')$$

where f is the query function over input  $a \in A$ ,  $\epsilon$  is the privacy budget.

#### **Definition 3**

Let  $\epsilon \leq 0$ . The  $\epsilon$ -DP divergence  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  between two sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$  is defined as:

$$\sup_{E \in U} \left( \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_1} [x \in E] - \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_2} [x \in E] \right]$$

#### **Definition 4** $((\epsilon, \delta)$ - **lifting** [1])

Two sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_1)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_2)$  are related by the  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  - dilation lifting of  $\Psi \subseteq U_1 \times U_2$ , written  $\mu_1 \Psi^{\#(\varepsilon, \delta)} \mu_2$ , if there exist two witness sub-distributions  $\mu_L \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_1 \times U_2)$  and  $\mu_R \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_1, U_2)$  s.t.:

- 1.  $\pi_1(\mu_L) = \mu_1$  and  $\pi_2(\mu_R) = \mu_2$ ;
- 2.  $supp(\mu_L) \subseteq \Psi$  and  $supp(\mu_R) \subseteq \Psi$ ; and
- 3.  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) \leq \delta$ .

#### Theorem 1

Let  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$  are defined:

$$\mu_1(x) = \mathsf{unif}(x)$$

$$\mu_2(y) = \text{unif}(y)$$

where unif is uniform distribution over [0,1) whoes pdf. is defined as:

$$\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}.$$

Then,  $\mu_1 \Psi^{\#(\epsilon,0)} \mu_2$ , where

$$\Psi = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} | x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} \le y \le x \cdot e^{\epsilon} \}$$

$$\frac{-1}{|-u_1|} + \frac{1}{|-u_2|} + \frac{1$$

Figure 1: Proof Rules from [1]

*Proof.* Existing  $\mu_L, \mu_R \in \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ :

$$\mu_L(x,y) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{unif}(x) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \land x \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} \\ \mu_R(x,y) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{unif}(y) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \land y \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}.$$

Their pdf. are defined:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{pdf}_{\mu_L}(x,y) &= \begin{cases} \operatorname{pdf}_{\operatorname{unif}}(x) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \wedge x \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{pdf}_{\mu_R}(x,y) &= \begin{cases} \operatorname{pdf}_{\operatorname{unif}}(y) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \wedge y \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} . \end{split}$$

- $supp(\mu_L) \in \Psi \land supp(\mu_R) \in \Psi$ 
  - $\sup(\mu_L) \subseteq \Psi$ By definition of the pdf of  $\mu_L$ , we have:  $\Pr_{(x,y) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_L} [(x,y) \notin \Psi] = 0.$

Then we can derive  $supp(\mu_L) \in \Psi$ 

- supp $(\mu_R) \subseteq \Psi$ By definition of the pdf of  $\mu_R$ , we have:  $\Pr_{(x,y) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_R} [(x,y) \notin \Psi] = 0.$ 

Then we can derive  $supp(\mu_L) \in \Psi$ 

- $\pi_1(\mu_L) = \mu_1 \wedge \pi_2(\mu_R) = \mu_2$ 
  - $\pi_1(\mu_L) = \mu_1$

By definition of the  $\pi_1$  and pdf of  $\mu_L$ , we have  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathsf{pdf}_{\pi_1(\mu_L)}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) & (x,y) \in \Psi \land x \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) & x \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_1}(x)$$

$$\overline{u_{1} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu \sim_{\epsilon,0} u_{2} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu : \top \Rightarrow e^{-\epsilon} u_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq e^{\epsilon} u_{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{AxUNIF}}$$

$$\overline{y_{1} = \frac{\ln(u_{1})}{\epsilon} \sim_{0,0} y_{2} = \frac{\ln(u_{2})}{\epsilon} : e^{-\epsilon} u_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq e^{\epsilon} u_{2} \Rightarrow y_{2} - 1 \leq y_{1} \leq 1 + y_{2}}$$

$$\overline{s_{1} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{-1, 1\} \sim_{0,0} s_{2} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{-1, 1\} : \top \Rightarrow s_{1} = s_{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{LAPNULL}}$$

$$\overline{z_{1} = s_{1} * y_{1} \sim_{0,0} z_{2} = s_{2} * y_{2} : s_{1} = s_{2} \land y_{2} - 1 \leq y_{1} \leq 1 + y_{2} \Rightarrow |z_{1} - z_{2}| \leq 1}$$

$$\overline{x_{1} = f(a_{1}) \sim_{0,0} x_{2} = f(a_{2}) : a_{1} = a_{2} + 1 \Rightarrow x_{1} = x_{2} + 1}$$

$$\overline{w_1 = x_1 + z_1 \sim_{0,0} w_2 = x_2 + z_2 : x_1 = x_2 + 1 \land |z_1 - z_2| \le 1 \land -2 \le k \le 0 \Rightarrow w_1 + k = w_2}$$

$$\overline{w_1' = \lfloor w_1 \rfloor_{\Lambda} \sim_{0,0} w_2' = \lfloor w_2 \rfloor_{\Lambda} : w_1 + k = w_2 \land -2 \le k \le 0 \Rightarrow w_1' + k = w_2'}$$

$$\overline{r_1 = \mathsf{clamp}_B(w_1') \sim_{0,0} r_2 = \mathsf{clamp}_B(w_2') : w_1' + k = w_2' \land -2 \le k \le 0 \Rightarrow r_1 + k = r_2}$$

Figure 2: Coupling Derivation of two Snap mechanisms:  $Snap(a_1)$ ,  $Snap(a_2)$ 

 $- \pi_1(\mu_R) = \mu_2$ 

Equivalent to showpdf<sub> $\pi_2(\mu_R)$ </sub> = pdf<sub> $\mu_2$ </sub>.

By definition of the  $\pi_2$  and pdf of  $\mu_R$ , we have  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathsf{pdf}_{\pi_2(\mu_R)}(y) = \begin{cases} \int_x \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y) & (x,y) \in \Psi \land y \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y) & y \in [0,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_2}(y)$$

•  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) \leq 0$ 

By definition of  $\epsilon$ -DP divergence, we have:

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_{L}, \mu_{R}) = \sup_{S} \left( \Pr_{(x,y) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_{L}} [(x,y) \in S] - e^{\epsilon} \Pr_{(x,y) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_{R}} [(x,y) \in S] \right)$$
$$= \sup_{S} \left( \int_{(x,y) \in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_{L}}(x,y) - e^{\epsilon} \int_{(x,y) \in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_{R}}(x,y) \right)$$

case  $S \subseteq \{(x, y) | x \in [0, 1) \land x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y\}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L,\mu_R) &= \int_{(x,y)\in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) - e^{\epsilon} \int_{(x,y)\in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y) \\ &= \int_{(x,y)\in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) - e^{\epsilon} \int_{(x,y)\in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x*e^{-\epsilon}) \\ &= \int_{(x,y)\in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) - e^{\epsilon} * e^{-\epsilon} \int_{(x,y)\in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) \\ &= 0 \end{array}$$

**case**  $S \subseteq \{(x, y) | x \in [1, e^{\epsilon}) \land x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y\}$ :

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) = 0 - e^{\epsilon} \int_{(x,y) \in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y)$$
  
< 0

case o.w.

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

**Definition 5** ( $\epsilon$  - dilation)

Let  $\epsilon \ge 0$ . The  $\epsilon$ -dilation  $D_{\epsilon}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  between two sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$  is defined as:

$$\sup_{E \in U} \left( \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_1} [x \in E] - \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_2} [x \in \exp(-\epsilon) \cdot E]] \right)$$

## **Proposition 2** $((\epsilon, \delta)$ -differential privacy)

For every pair of sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \text{Distr}(U)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \text{Distr}(U)$ , s.t.

$$D_{\epsilon}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \leq \delta$$
,

The snapping mechanism  $\mathsf{Snap}(\mu, a) : \mathsf{Distr}(U) \to A \to \mathsf{Distr}(B)$  is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$  - differentially private w.r.t. an adjacency relation  $\Phi$  for every two adjacent inputs a, a' and  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ 

*Proof.* Followed directly by unfolding the Snap mechanism.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mathsf{Snap}(\mu_1, a)}[x = e] & = & \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_1}[\lfloor f(a) + \frac{S \cdot \log(u)}{\epsilon} \rfloor_{\Lambda} = e] \\ & = & \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_1}[u \in [\frac{\exp((e - \frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S}, \frac{\exp((e + \frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S})] \\ & \leq & \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_2}[u \in \exp(-\epsilon)[\frac{\exp((e - \frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S}, \frac{\exp((e + \frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S})] \\ & = & \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_2}[\lfloor f(a') + \frac{S \cdot \log(u)}{\epsilon} \rfloor_{\Lambda} = e] \\ & = & \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_2}[x = e] \end{array}$$

## References

- [1] Gilles Barthe, Marco Gaboardi, Benjamin Grégoire, Justin Hsu, and Pierre-Yves Strub. Proving differential privacy via probabilistic couplings. In *LICS 2016*.
- [2] Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith. Calibrating Noise to Sensitivity in Private Data Analysis. In *TCC*, 2016.