

athm.8em1 2 (3)

athm

Transaction Env. ::= \cdot | $[x \mapsto (,)]$

undefined

kslashchar *symbols110largesymbols178*

@bb

@bb ifpackageloadedamsmath ifpackageloadedamsfonts

Verifying Snapping Mechanism - Floating Point Implementation Version

Jiawen Liu

March 22, 2020

In order to verify the differential privacy property of an implementation of the snapping mechanism [5], we follow the logic rules designed from [1] and the floating point error semantics from [7, 4, 2, 6].

1 Preliminary Definitions

defnLaplace mechanism [3]] Let $\epsilon > 0$. The Laplace mechanism $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Distr}\mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\mathcal{L}t = t + \nu$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ is drawn from the Laplace distribution $\text{laplace}_\epsilon^-$.

2 Syntax - IMP

Programs	p	$::=$	$x = e \mid x \leftarrow \mu \mid pp$
Expr.	e	$::=$	$r \mid c \mid x \mid fD \mid e * e \mid e \circ e$
Binary Operation	$*$	$::=$	$+ \mid - \mid \times \mid \div$
Unary Operation	\circ	$::=$	$\mid - \mid \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \mid \text{clamp}_B$
Value	v	$::=$	$r \mid c$
Distribution	μ	$::=$	$\text{laplace} \mid \text{unif} \mid \text{bernoulli}$
Error	err	$::=$	e, e
Transaction Env.	Θ	$::=$	$\cdot \mid \Theta x \mapsto e, err$

3 Semantics - IMP

The transition semantics with relative floating point computation error are shown in Figure. 1 for programs. The semantics are $\Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta'$, which means a real computation programs p with environment Θ can be transited in floating point computation with error bound for all variables in Θ' , η is the machine epsilon.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Theta x = e, \underline{e}, e}{\Theta, x \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e} \text{VAR} \quad \frac{r \geq}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow (r, \frac{r}{+\eta}, r + \eta)} \text{VAL} \quad \frac{c = \text{fl } r \quad r <}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow (r, r + \eta, \frac{r}{+\eta})} \text{VAL-NEG} \\
\\
\frac{r = \text{fl } r}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow r, r, r} \text{VAL-EQ} \quad \frac{}{\Theta, fD \Rightarrow fD, fD, fD} \text{F(D)} \\
\\
\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad \Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad e, \underline{e} =, \underline{e} * \underline{e}, e * \underline{e}, \underline{e} * e, e * e \quad e * e \geq}{\Theta, e * e \Rightarrow (e * e, \frac{e}{+\eta}, \underline{e} + \eta)} \text{BOP} \\
\\
\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad \Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad e, \underline{e} =, \underline{e} * \underline{e}, e * \underline{e}, \underline{e} * e, e * e \quad e * e <}{\Theta, e * e \Rightarrow (e * e, e + \eta, \frac{e}{+\eta})} \text{BOP-NEG} \\
\\
\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad \circ e \geq}{\Theta, \circ e \Rightarrow (\circ e, (\frac{\circ e}{+\eta}, \circ e + \eta))} \text{UOP} \quad \frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad \circ e <}{\Theta, \circ e \Rightarrow (\circ e, (\circ e + \eta, \frac{\circ e}{+\eta}))} \text{UOP-NEG}
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Semantics of Transition for Expressions with Relative Floating Point Error

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, err}{\Theta, x = e \Rightarrow \Theta x \mapsto e, err} \text{ASG} \quad \frac{\Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta \quad \Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta}{\Theta, p p \Rightarrow \Theta} \text{CONSQ} \quad \frac{c \leftarrow \mu^\diamond}{\Theta, x \leftarrow \mu \Rightarrow \Theta x \mapsto c, c, c} \text{SAMPLE}$$

Figure 2: Semantics of Transition with Relative Floating Point Error Propagation for Programs

$$\frac{\text{fl } r = c}{r \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c} \text{RVAL} \quad \frac{}{c \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c} \text{FVAL} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c \quad e \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c \quad \text{fl } c * c = c}{e * e \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c} \text{FBOP} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c', \quad \text{fl } \circ c' = c}{\circ e \Downarrow^{\text{F}} c} \text{FUOP}$$

Figure 3: Semantics of Evaluation in Floating Point Computation

$$\frac{}{r \Downarrow^{\text{R}} r} \text{RVAL} \quad \frac{}{c \Downarrow^{\text{R}} c} \text{RVAL} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow^{\text{R}} r \quad e \Downarrow^{\text{R}} r \quad r * r = r}{e * e \Downarrow^{\text{R}} r} \text{RBOP} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow^{\text{R}} r', \quad \circ r' = r}{\circ e \Downarrow^{\text{R}} r} \text{RUOP} \quad \frac{fD = c}{fD \Downarrow c} \text{F(D)}$$

Figure 4: Semantics of Evaluation in Real Computation

thmSoundness Theorem] For any p , if there exists a transition $\Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta'$ and Θ is a bounded transaction environment (i.e., $\forall x \in \text{dom}\Theta$ s.t. $\Theta x = e, \underline{e}, e$, if $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, then $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$), then $\forall x \in \text{dom}\Theta'$ s.t. $\Theta' x = e, \underline{e}, e$, if $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, then:

$$\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$$

Proof. Induction on transition rule of p , by assumption, we know Θ is a safe environment \star .

case

$$\frac{\Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta \quad \Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta}{\Theta, p p \Rightarrow \Theta} \text{CONSQ}$$

We need to show Θ is a bounded environment.

Since we know Θ is a bounded environment by assumption \star , by induction hypothesis, we have:

Θ and Θ are all bounded environment. This case is proved.

case

$$\frac{c \leftarrow \mu^\diamond}{\Theta, x \leftarrow \mu \Rightarrow \Theta x \mapsto c, c, c} \text{SAMPLE}$$

We need to show $\Theta x \mapsto c, c, c$ is a safe environment.

Since we know Θ is a safe environment by assumption \star . It is trivial that $c \leq c \leq c$. We can know $\Theta x \mapsto c, c, c$ is also a safe environment.

case

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, err}{\Theta, x = e \Rightarrow \Theta x \mapsto e, err} \text{ASG}$$

We need to show: $\Theta x \mapsto e, err$ is a safe environment.

By assumption \star we know: Θ is already a safe environment. We still need to show:

Let $err = \underline{e}, e, e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$.

Induction on transition of e , we have:

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta x = e, \underline{e}, e}{\Theta, x \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e} \text{VAR}$$

By the assumption, we have $\forall x \in \text{dom}\Theta$ s.t. $\Theta x = e, \underline{e}, e$, $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$. This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{r \geq}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow (r, \frac{r}{+\eta}, r + \eta)} \text{VAL}$$

By evaluation rule of floating point computation for r , we have:

$$\frac{\text{fl } r = c}{r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c} \text{ RVAL}$$

By the definition of floating point rounding error and $r \geq$, we have: $\frac{r}{+\eta} \leq c \leq r + \eta$

subcase

$$\frac{c = \text{fl } r \quad r < \frac{r}{+\eta}}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow (r, r + \eta, \frac{r}{+\eta})} \text{ VAL-NEG}$$

By evaluation rule of floating point computation for r , we have:

$$\frac{\text{fl } r = c}{r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c} \text{ RVAL}$$

By the definition of floating point rounding error and $r <$, we have: $r + \eta \leq c \leq \frac{r}{+\eta}$

subcase

$$\frac{r = \text{fl } r}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow r, r, r} \text{ VAL-EQ}$$

Given $r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, it is trivial to show $r \leq c = \text{fl } r = r \leq r$

subcase

$$\frac{}{\Theta, fD \Rightarrow fD, fD, fD} \text{ F(D)}$$

Given $fD \Downarrow c$ in both floating point and real computation, it is trivial to show $c \leq c \leq c$

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \diamond \quad \Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \Delta \quad e, \underline{e} =, \underline{e} * \underline{e}, e * \underline{e}, \underline{e} * e, e * e \quad e * e \geq \square}{\Theta, e * e \Rightarrow (e * e, \frac{e}{+\eta}, e + \eta)} \text{ BOP}$$

We need to show: for $e * e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\frac{e}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

By induction hypothesis on \diamond and Δ , we have:

(1) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

(2) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

Let $r' = r * r, \underline{r} * r, r * \underline{r}, \underline{r} * \underline{r}$ and $\underline{r}' = r * r, \underline{r} * r, r * \underline{r}, \underline{r} * \underline{r}$

By (1) and (2), we have: $\underline{r}' \leq c * c \leq r'$.

By hypothesis \square and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:

$$\frac{\underline{r}'}{+\eta} \leq \text{fl } c * c \leq r' + \eta.$$

By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:

$$e * e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \text{fl } c * c, \frac{e}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\underline{r}'}{+\eta} \text{ and } e + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r' + \eta.$$

This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad \Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad e, \underline{e} =, \underline{e} * \underline{e}, e * \underline{e}, \underline{e} * e, e * e \quad e * e <}{\Theta, e * e \Rightarrow (e * e, e + \eta, \frac{e}{+\eta})} \text{BOP-NEG}$$

We need to show: for $e * e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\frac{e}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

By induction hypothesis on \diamond and Δ , we have:

(1) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

(2) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

Let $r' = r * r, \underline{r} * r, r * \underline{r}, \underline{r} * \underline{r}$ and $\underline{r}' = r * r, \underline{r} * r, r * \underline{r}, \underline{r} * \underline{r}$

By (1) and (2), we have: $\underline{r}' \leq c * c \leq r'$.

By hypothesis \square and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:

$$\underline{r}' + \eta \leq \text{fl } c * c \leq \frac{r'}{+\eta}.$$

By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:

$$e * e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \text{fl } c * c, \underline{e} + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}' + \eta \text{ and } \frac{e}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{r'}{+\eta}.$$

This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \diamond \quad \circ e \geq \square}{\Theta, \circ e \Rightarrow (\circ e, \frac{\circ \underline{e}}{+\eta}, \circ e + \eta)} \text{UOP}$$

We need to show: for $\circ e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\frac{\circ \underline{e}}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\circ e + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

By induction hypothesis on \diamond , we have:

(1) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c'$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}'$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r'$, the $\underline{r}' \leq c \leq r'$ holds.

By (1) and monotone of unary operations, we have: $\circ \underline{r}' \leq \circ c' \leq \circ r'$.

By hypothesis \square and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:

$$\frac{\circ \underline{r}'}{+\eta} \leq \text{fl } \circ c' \leq \circ r' + \eta.$$

By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:

$$\circ c' \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \text{fl } \circ c', \frac{\circ \underline{e}}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\circ \underline{r}'}{+\eta} \text{ and } \circ e + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \circ r' + \eta.$$

This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow e, \underline{e}, e \quad \circ e <}{\Theta, \circ e \Rightarrow (\circ e, \circ \underline{e} + \eta, \frac{\circ e}{+\eta})} \text{UOP-NEG}$$

We need to show: for $\circ e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\circ \underline{e} + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\frac{\circ e}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$ holds.

By induction hypothesis on \diamond , we have:

(1) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c'$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}'$ and $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r'$, the $\underline{r}' \leq c \leq r'$ holds.

By (1) and monotone of unary operations, we have: $\circ \underline{r}' \leq \circ c' \leq \circ r'$.

By hypothesis \square and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:

$$\circ \underline{r}' + \eta \leq \text{fl } \circ c' \leq \frac{\circ \underline{r}'}{+\eta}.$$

By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:

$$\circ c' \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \text{fl } \circ c', \circ \underline{e} + \eta \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \circ \underline{r}' + \eta \text{ and } \frac{\circ e}{+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\circ r'}{+\eta}.$$

Let $c = \text{fl } \circ c'$, $\underline{r} = \circ \underline{r}' + \eta$ and $r = \frac{\circ r'}{+\eta}$, this case is proved.



4 Snapping Mechanism

$\text{defnSnap}a : A \rightarrow \text{Distr}\mathbb{R}$ Given privacy parameter ϵ , the Snapping mechanism $\text{Snap}a$ is defined as:

$$U \leftarrow \mu \quad S \leftarrow \{-, \} \quad y = fa + S \times U \div \epsilon \quad z = \text{clamp}_B(\lfloor y \rfloor_\Lambda)$$

where F is a primitive query function over input database $a \in A$, ϵ is the privacy budget, B is the clamping bound and Λ is the rounding argument satisfying $\lambda = 2^k$ where k is the smallest power of 2 greater or equal to the $\lceil \log_2 \epsilon \rceil$.

Let $\text{Snap}'a$ be the same as $\text{Snap}a$ given U, S without rounding and clamping steps, i.e., $\text{Snap}'a : y = fa + S \times U \div \epsilon$.

Let $\text{Snap}''a$ be the same as $\text{Snap}a$ given U, S , i.e., $\text{Snap}''a : \text{Snap}'a z = \text{clamp}_B(\lfloor y \rfloor_\Lambda)$.

5 Main Theorem

The Snap mechanism is ϵ -differentially private] Consider $\text{Snap}a$ defined as before, if $\text{Snap}a = x$ given database a and privacy parameter ϵ , then its actual privacy loss is bounded by $\epsilon + B\epsilon\eta$.

Proof. Given $\text{Snap}a = x$ and parameter ϵ , we consider a' be the adjacent database of a satisfying $|fa - fa'| \leq .$ Without loss of generalization, we assume $fa + = fa' \diamond$.

Consider the $\text{Snap}a$ outputting the same result x under floating point and real computation, let L, R be the range where $\forall u \in L, R$ and some s s.t.:

$$U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x.$$

We have $\text{Snap}a = x = R - L$. Since the $\text{Snap}a$ is ϵ -DP, we can get:

$$e^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{\text{Snap}a}{\text{Snap}a'} = \frac{R - L}{R' - L'} \leq e^{\epsilon}$$

Let l, r be the range where $\forall u \in l, r$ and some s s.t.:

$$U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x.$$

To show the privacy loss of Snap mechanism in floating point computation is bounded by $\epsilon + B\epsilon\eta$, it's sufficient to show: $|r - l|$ is bounded $f|R - L|$ and $g|R - L|$ s.t.:

$$-\epsilon + B\epsilon\eta \leq \frac{f|R - L|}{g|R - L|} \leq \epsilon + B\epsilon\eta.$$

Induction on the outputspace of $\text{Snap}a$ mechanism, we have following cases:

case $x = -B$

Let b be the largest number rounded by Λ that is smaller than B , $b' = b - \Lambda$.

Let L and R be the range where $\forall u \in L, R$ and $s = ,$ s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x$.

Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in l, r$ and $s = ,$ s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x$.

So we know $s = , l = L = , \underline{R} < r < R$ s.t.:

$$U \mapsto r, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}'a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto -b' \wedge U \mapsto R, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}'a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} y \mapsto -b'.$$

The derivation of this case given $\Theta = U \mapsto R, \underline{R}, R, S \mapsto ,$ is shown as following:

UOP

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\Theta, U \Rightarrow R, \underline{R}, R} \text{VAL-EQ} \\ \hline \text{BOP} \\ \Theta, U \Rightarrow R, \underline{R} + \eta, \frac{R}{+ \eta} \\ \hline \text{BOP} \\ \Theta, \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times U \Rightarrow \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R, \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta, \frac{\frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R}{+ \eta} \\ \hline \text{ID} \\ \Theta, fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times U \Rightarrow (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R, (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta + \eta, \frac{fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R}{+ \eta})) \\ \hline \Theta, \text{Snap}'a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R, (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta + \eta, \frac{fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R}{+ \eta})) \end{array}$$

In the same way, we have the derivation for $\text{Snap}'a'$:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}'a' \text{Snap}'' \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (\text{Snap}'a', (fa' + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R' + \eta + \eta, \frac{fa' + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R'}{+\eta}))}$$

Given $\text{Snap}a' \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} -b'$, $\text{Snap}a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} -b'$, we have the worst case lower and upper bounds for R and R' , which are $\underline{R}, R, \underline{R}'$ and R' :

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{R} &= e^{\epsilon(-b' + \eta - fa + \eta)}, R = e^{\epsilon \frac{-b' - fa}{+\eta}} \\ \underline{R}' &= e^{\epsilon(-b' + \eta - fa' + \eta)}, R' = e^{\epsilon \frac{-b' - fa'}{+\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

The privacy loss of $\text{Snap}a$ in this case is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R-}{R'-} &= e^{\epsilon(\frac{-b' - fa}{+\eta} - (-b' + \eta - fa' + \eta))} \\ &= e^{\epsilon(\frac{-b' - fa}{+\eta} - x + \eta + fa' + \eta)} \star \end{aligned}$$

Since $+\eta > +\eta$, $\frac{-}{+\eta} < \frac{-}{+\eta}$, $+\eta < +\eta$ and $\frac{-}{+\eta} > -\eta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \star &< e^{\epsilon(\frac{\eta+}{+\eta} b' + \eta fa + \eta)} \\ &< e^{\epsilon \eta B + \eta} \end{aligned}$$

case $x \in -B, \lfloor fa \rfloor_{\Lambda}$

subcase $\lfloor fa \rfloor_{\Lambda} \leq \vee (\lfloor fa \rfloor_{\Lambda} > \wedge x \in -B,)$

Let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, $y = x + \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we know $y < , y < .$

Let $L = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon y - fa'}$, we have: $\forall u \in L, R: U \mapsto u, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x.$

Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in l, r$ and $s = ,$ s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x.$

So we know: $\underline{L} < l < L, \underline{R} < r < R$ s.t.:

$$U \mapsto l, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}'a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y \wedge U \mapsto r, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}'a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y.$$

The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto R, \underline{R}, R, S \mapsto ,$ is shown as following:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{LN} \\ \frac{\frac{\Theta, R \Rightarrow R, \underline{R}, R}{\text{OP}} \text{VAL-EQ}}{\frac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow R, \underline{R} + \eta, \frac{R}{+\eta}}{\text{OP}}} \\ \frac{\Theta, \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times U \Rightarrow (\frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R, \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta, \frac{\frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R}{+\eta})}{\text{ID}} \\ \frac{\Theta, fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times U \Rightarrow (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R, ((fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta) + \eta, \frac{fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R}{+\eta}))}{\Theta, \text{Snap}'a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R, e, e} \end{array}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $e \leq y \leq e$, where we can get:

$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon(y+\eta-fa+\eta)}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{\epsilon} - fa}{\epsilon + \eta}}$. The transition from L to l given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto L, \underline{L}, L, S \mapsto , ,$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}'a \Rightarrow \Theta z \mapsto fa + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \times \underline{L}, \left(\frac{fa + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \times \underline{L} + \eta}{\epsilon + \eta}, fa + \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \times L}{\epsilon + \eta} + \eta \right)}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$.

Taking the lower bound, we have: $\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon(y+\eta-fa+\eta)}$.

Taking the upper bound, we have: $L = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{\epsilon} - fa}{\epsilon + \eta}}$.

In the same way, we have the bound of l, r for adjacent data set a' :

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{R}' &= e^{\epsilon(y+\eta-fa'+\eta)}, \quad R' = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{\epsilon} - fa'}{\epsilon + \eta}}. \\ \underline{L}' &= e^{\epsilon(y+\eta-fa'+\eta)}, \quad L' = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{\epsilon} - fa'}{\epsilon + \eta}} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|R - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - L'|}.$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{\underline{R}} &= e^{\epsilon \left(\frac{y}{\epsilon} - \frac{fa}{\epsilon} - y + fa \right)} \leq e^{\epsilon \left(-\frac{\eta}{\epsilon} y + \eta fa \right)} \leq e^{\epsilon \left(\frac{\eta}{\epsilon} B + \eta B \right)} \leq e^{\epsilon B \eta} \\ \frac{\underline{L}}{L} &= e^{\epsilon (y + \eta - fa + \eta - y + fa)} \geq e^{\epsilon (\eta y - \eta fa)} \geq e^{-\epsilon B \eta} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can derive:

$$\begin{aligned} |R - \underline{L}| &\leq e^{\epsilon B \eta} R - e^{-\epsilon B \eta} L \\ &= L (e^{\Lambda \epsilon + \epsilon B \eta} - e^{-\epsilon B \eta}) \\ &= L (e^{\Lambda \epsilon} e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} + e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{-\epsilon B \eta}) \\ &= L (e^{\Lambda \epsilon} e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} + \frac{e^{\Lambda \epsilon}}{e^{\epsilon}} e^{\Lambda \epsilon} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{-\epsilon B \eta}) \\ &\leq L (e^{\Lambda \epsilon} e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} + \frac{e^{\Lambda \epsilon}}{e^{\epsilon}} e^{\Lambda \epsilon} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{-\epsilon B \eta}) \quad by \leq \Lambda \epsilon < \\ &= L \frac{e}{e^{\epsilon}} (e^{\Lambda \epsilon} e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{-\epsilon B \eta}) \\ &< L \frac{e}{e^{\epsilon}} (e^{\Lambda \epsilon} e^{\epsilon B \eta} - e^{\epsilon B \eta}) \\ &= L e^{\Lambda \epsilon} - e^{\frac{e}{e^{\epsilon}} + \epsilon B \eta} \\ &< L e^{\Lambda \epsilon} - e^{\epsilon B \eta} \quad by \frac{e}{\epsilon} < B < \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \\ &= R - L e^{\epsilon B \eta} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can derive:

$$|\underline{R} - L| > e^{-\epsilon B \eta} R - e^{\epsilon B \eta} L > R - L e^{-\epsilon B \eta}$$

Then we have:

$$\frac{|R - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - L'|} < e^{\epsilon B \eta + \epsilon}.$$

subcase $\lfloor fa \rfloor_{\Lambda} > \wedge x \in \lfloor fa \rfloor_{\Lambda}$

Let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, $y = x + \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we know $y > \cdot$, $y > \cdot$.

Let $S = \cdot$, $L = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$, we have $\forall u \in L, R: U \mapsto u, S \mapsto \cdot, \text{Snap}'' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x$.

Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in l, r$ and $s = \cdot$, s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}'' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x$.

We know: $\underline{L} < l < L$, $\underline{R} < r < R$ s.t.:

$$U \mapsto l, S \mapsto \cdot, \text{Snap}' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y \wedge U \mapsto r, S \mapsto \cdot, \text{Snap}' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y.$$

The transition from L to l given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto L, \underline{L}, L, S \mapsto \cdot$, is shown as following:

$$\frac{\frac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow L, \underline{L}, L}{\Theta, U \Rightarrow L, \underline{L} + \eta, \frac{L}{+\eta}}}{\frac{\Theta, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times U \Rightarrow (\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times L, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times \underline{L} + \eta, \frac{\bar{\epsilon} \times L}{+\eta})}{\Theta, fa + \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times U \Rightarrow (fa + \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times l, \frac{fa + \bar{\epsilon} \times \underline{L} + \eta}{+\eta}, fa + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} \times L}{+\eta} + \eta)}}{\Theta, \text{Snap}' a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto fa + \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times L, err, err}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$, then we can get:

$\underline{L} = e^{y + \eta - fa + \eta\epsilon}$ and $L = e^{y + \eta - fa\epsilon + \eta}$. The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto R, \underline{R}, R, S \mapsto \cdot$, is shown as following:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}' a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (fa + \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times R, \frac{fa + \bar{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta}{+\eta}, fa + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} \times R}{+\eta} + \eta)}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$.

Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err = y$), we have: $\underline{R} = e^{y + \eta - fa + \eta\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err = y$), we have: $R = e^{y + \eta - fa\epsilon + \eta}$.

For the adjacent input a , we first have the same setting as input a for R' and L' . Then the transition from L' to l' given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto L', \underline{L}', L', S \mapsto \cdot$, is shown as following:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}' a' \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (fa' + \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times L', \frac{fa' + \bar{\epsilon} \times \underline{L}' + \eta}{+\eta}, fa' + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} \times L'}{+\eta} + \eta)}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$.

Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err = y$), we get: $\underline{L}' = e^{y + \eta - fa' + \eta\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err = y$), we get: $L' = e^{y + \eta - fa'\epsilon + \eta}$. The transition from R' to r' given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto R', \underline{R}', R', S \mapsto \cdot$, is shown as following:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}' a' \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (fa' + \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \times R', \frac{fa' + \bar{\epsilon} \times \underline{R}' + \eta}{+\eta}, fa' + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} \times R'}{+\eta} + \eta)}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$.

Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err = y$), we have: $\underline{R}' = e^{y+\eta-fa'+\eta\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err = y$), we have: $R' = e^{y+\eta-fa'\epsilon+\eta}$.

We have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|R - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - L'|}$$

Since the following bound can be proved by using $-\eta < +\eta < +. \eta, y > -B, y > -B$ and simple approximation:

$$R - \underline{L} < R - L e^{B\eta\epsilon}, \underline{R}' - L' > R' - L' e^{-B\eta\epsilon}$$

We also have the $\text{Snap}a$ is ϵ -dp:

$$\frac{|R - L|}{|R' - L'|} = e^\epsilon$$

So we can get:

$$\frac{|R - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - L'|} < \frac{|R - L|}{|R' - L'|} e^{B\eta\epsilon} = e^{+B\eta\epsilon}$$

subcase $\lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda > \wedge x =$

Let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}, y = x + \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we know $y < , y > .$

Let $S = , L = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$, we have $\forall u \in L, R: U \mapsto u, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x$.

Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in l, r$ and $s = ,$ s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}''a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x$.

We know: $\underline{L} < l < L, \underline{R} < r < R$ s.t.:

$$U \mapsto l, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}'a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y \wedge U \mapsto r, S \mapsto , \text{Snap}'a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y.$$

The transition from L to l given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto L, \underline{L}, L, S \mapsto ,$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}'a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{L}, \frac{fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{L} + \eta}{+ \eta}, fa + \frac{\frac{-}{\epsilon} \times L}{+ \eta} + \eta)}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$.

Taking the lower bound , we have: $\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon(y+\eta-fa+\eta)}$.

Taking the upper bound, we have: $L = e^{\epsilon(\frac{y}{+\eta} - fa)}$. The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto R, \underline{R}, R, S \mapsto ,$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\dots}{\Theta, \text{Snap}'a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R}, \frac{fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times \underline{R} + \eta}{+ \eta}, fa + \frac{\frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R}{+ \eta} + \eta)}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$. Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err = y$), we have: $\underline{R} = e^{y+\eta-fa+\eta\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err = y$), we have: $R = e^{y+\eta-fa\epsilon+\eta}$. Using the bound we proved before, we have the folloing bound on $|R - \underline{L}|$ and $|\underline{R} - L|$:

$$\begin{aligned} R - \underline{L} &< e^{B\eta\epsilon} R - e^{-B\eta\epsilon} L < R - L e^{B\eta\epsilon} \\ \underline{R} - L &> e^{-B\eta\epsilon} R - e^{B\eta\epsilon} L > R - L e^{-B\eta\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

and privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|R - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|} < e^{B\eta\epsilon + \epsilon}$$

case $x = \lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda$

This case can also be split into 3 subcases by: $\lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda < \cdot$, $\lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda = \cdot$ and $\lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda > \cdot$. Without loss of generalization, we consider the worst case where the error propagate in the same direction, i.e. $\lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda < \cdot$.

From this assumption, let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, $y = x + \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we know $y < \cdot$, $y < \cdot$. Since $fa + = fa'$, we also have $\lfloor fa \rfloor < \lfloor fa' \rfloor$. So, we know s can only be \cdot for input a' but s can be \cdot or $-$ for input a .

For input a , let $S = s$, $L = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$, we have $\forall u \in L, R: U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}'' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x$.

Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in l, r$ and $S = s$, s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}'' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x$.

We know: $\underline{L} < l < L$, $\underline{R} < r < R$ s.t.:

$$U \mapsto l, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y \wedge U \mapsto r, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y.$$

Induction on s , we have when $s = \cdot$:

The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto R_+, R_+, R_+, S \mapsto \cdot$, is shown as following:

$$\frac{\frac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow R_+, R_+, R_+}{\Theta, U \Rightarrow (R_+, R_+ + \eta, \frac{R_+}{+\eta})}}{\frac{\Theta, -U \Rightarrow (\frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R_+, (\frac{-}{\epsilon} R_+ + \eta, \frac{R_+}{\epsilon + \eta}))}{\Theta, fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} U \Rightarrow (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R_+, (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} R_+ + \eta + \eta, fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \frac{R_+}{\epsilon + \eta} + \eta))}}{\Theta, \text{Snap}' a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R_+, err, err}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$. Then we can get following bounds for r :

$$R_+ = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - fa + \eta)}, R_+ = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{+\eta} - fa}{+\eta}}.$$

Since $y = \lfloor fa \rfloor + \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we have $e^{\epsilon(y - fa)} > \cdot$, so actually we know $R = r = \cdot$.

We can also derive the bound for l in the same way as:

$$L_+ = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - fa + \eta)}, L_+ = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{+\eta} - fa}{+\eta}}.$$

When $s = -$, we can derive following bounds in the same way for l and r :

$$L_- = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta + \eta)}, L_- = e^{\epsilon \frac{fa - \frac{y}{+\eta}}{+\eta}}.$$

$$R_- = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta + \eta)}, R_- = e^{\epsilon \frac{fa - \frac{y}{+\eta}}{+\eta}}.$$

Since $y = \lfloor fa \rfloor - \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we have $e^{\epsilon(fa - y)} > \cdot$, so actually we know $R' = r' = \cdot$.

For input a' , we have only one case where $s = \cdot$, the following bound can be derived:

$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - fa' + \eta)}, R' = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{+\eta} - fa'}{+\eta}}.$$

$$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - fa' + \eta)}, L' = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{+\eta} - fa'}{+\eta}}.$$

We have following bounds on their ratios:

$$\frac{R_+}{R_-} = e^{\epsilon(+\eta y - \eta f a - y + f a)} > e^{-\epsilon B \eta}, \frac{R_+}{R_-} = e^{\epsilon(f r a c y + \eta - \frac{f a}{+\eta} - y + f a)} < e^{\epsilon B \eta},$$

The same bound for L_+ by substituting y with y , and similar bound for L', R' .

$$\frac{R'}{R} = e^{\epsilon(+\eta f a - \eta y - f a + y)} > e^{-\epsilon B \eta}, \frac{R'}{R} = e^{\epsilon(\frac{f a}{+\eta} - f r a c y + \eta - f a + y)} < e^{\epsilon B \eta},$$

Using the bound on their ratios, we can get following bounds on $|R_+ - L_+|$ and $|R' - L'|$:

$$|R_+ - L_+| < e^{\epsilon B \eta} R - e^{-\epsilon B \eta} L < R - L e^{\epsilon B \eta}, |R' - L'| > e^{-\epsilon B \eta} R - e^{\epsilon B \eta} L > R' - L' e^{-\epsilon B \eta}$$

Then we have the following bounds on privacy loss:

$$\frac{-L_+ + L_-}{R' - L'} < \frac{R_+ - L_+}{R' - L'} < \frac{e^{\epsilon B \eta} R_+ - L_+}{e^{-\epsilon B \eta} R' - L'} = e^{\epsilon B \eta + \epsilon}$$

case $x \in \lfloor f a \rfloor_\Lambda, \lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda$

Since the output set $\lfloor f a \rfloor_\Lambda, \lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda$ is empty when $\Lambda \geq$, so we consider the situation where $\Lambda < .$ There are two subcases in this case : $x >$ and $x < .$ Without loss of generalization, we consider the worst case where error propagate in the same direction, i.e., $\lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda < .$ The bounds derived for l, r and l', r' under input a and a' are as follows:

For input a :

$$R = e^{\epsilon(f a - y + \eta + \eta)}, R = e^{\epsilon \frac{f a - \frac{y}{+\eta}}{+\eta}}.$$

$$L = e^{\epsilon(f a - y + \eta + \eta)}, L = e^{\epsilon \frac{f a - \frac{y}{+\eta}}{+\eta}}.$$

For input a' :

$$R' = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - f a' + \eta)}, R' = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{+\eta} - f a'}{+\eta}}.$$

$$L' = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - f a' + \eta)}, L' = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y}{+\eta} - f a'}{+\eta}}.$$

The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{R}{R'} > e^{-B \eta \epsilon}, \frac{R}{R'} < e^{B \eta \epsilon} \quad \frac{R'}{R} > e^{-B \eta \epsilon}, \frac{R'}{R} < e^{B \eta \epsilon}.$$

And the bounds on $|R - L|$ and $|R' - L'|$ are as follows:

$$|R - L| > e^{-B \eta \epsilon} |R - L|, |R' - L'| < e^{B \eta \epsilon} |R' - L'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|R - L|}{|R' - L'|} > \frac{e^{-B \eta \epsilon} |R - L|}{e^{B \eta \epsilon} |R' - L'|} = e^{-B \eta \epsilon - \epsilon}$$

case $x = \lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda$

This case is symmetric with the case where $x = \lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda$. It can also be split into 3 subcases by: $\lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda < , \lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda =$ and $\lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda > .$ Without loss of generalization, we consider the worst case where the error propagate in the same direction, i.e. $\lfloor f a' \rfloor_\Lambda < .$

From this assumption, let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{2}, y = x + \frac{\Delta}{2}$, we know $y < , y < .$ Since $f a + = f a'$, we also

have $\lfloor fa \rfloor < \lfloor fa' \rfloor < .$ So, we know s can only be $-$ for input a but s can be $+$ or $-$ for input a' . For input a' , Let $S = s$, $L' = e^{\epsilon y - fa'}$ and $R' = e^{\epsilon y - fa}$, we have $\forall u \in L', R': U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}'' a' \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} z \mapsto x$.

Let l' and r' be the range where $\forall u \in l', r'$ and $S = s$, s.t. $U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}'' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} z \mapsto x$.

We know: $\underline{L}' < l' < L'$, $\underline{R}' < r < R'$ s.t.:

$$U \mapsto l', S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y \wedge U \mapsto r', S \mapsto s, \text{Snap}' a \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} y \mapsto y.$$

Induction on s , we have: When $s = +$.

The transition from R' to r' given the transition environment $\Theta = U \mapsto R'_+, R'_+, R'_+, S \mapsto +$, is shown as following:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow R_+, R'_+, R'_+}{\Theta, U \Rightarrow (R_+, R'_+ + \eta, \frac{R'_+}{+\eta})}}{\Theta, \frac{-}{\epsilon} U \Rightarrow \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R_+, (\frac{-}{\epsilon} R'_+ + \eta, \frac{R'_+}{\epsilon + \eta})}}{\Theta, fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} U \Rightarrow (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R_+, (fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} R'_+ + \eta + \eta, fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon + \eta} \frac{R'_+}{\epsilon + \eta}))}}{\Theta, \text{Snap}' a \Rightarrow \Theta y \mapsto fa + \frac{-}{\epsilon} \times R_+, err, err}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err \leq y \leq err$. Then we can get following bounds for r :

$$R'_+ = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - fa' + \eta)}, R'_+ = e^{\epsilon \frac{y}{+\eta} - fa'}.$$

Since $y = \lfloor fa \rfloor + \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we have $e^{\epsilon(y - fa)} > 1$, so actually we know $R'_+ = r'_+ = 1$.

We can also derive the bound for l in the same way as:

$$L'_+ = e^{\epsilon(y + \eta - fa' + \eta)}, L'_+ = e^{\epsilon \frac{y}{+\eta} - fa'}.$$

When $s = -$, we can derive following bounds in the same way for l and r :

$$L'_- = e^{\epsilon(fa' - y + \eta + \eta)}, L'_- = e^{\epsilon \frac{fa' - y}{+\eta}}.$$

$$R'_- = e^{\epsilon(fa' - y + \eta + \eta)}, R'_- = e^{\epsilon \frac{fa' - y}{+\eta}}.$$

Since $y = \lfloor fa' \rfloor - \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}$, we have $e^{\epsilon(fa' - y)} > 1$, so actually we know $R'_- = r'_- = 1$.

For input a , we have only one case where $s = -$, the following bound can be derived:

$$R_- = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta + \eta)}, R_- = e^{\epsilon \frac{fa - y}{+\eta}}.$$

$$\underline{L}_- = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta + \eta)}, \underline{L}_- = e^{\epsilon \frac{fa - y}{+\eta}}.$$

We have following bounds on their ratios:

$$\frac{R'_+}{R'_+} = e^{\epsilon(+\eta y - +\eta fa - y + fa)} > e^{-\epsilon B \eta}, \frac{R'_+}{R'_+} = e^{\epsilon(frac{y}{+\eta} - y + fa)} < e^{\epsilon B \eta},$$

The same bound for L'_+ by substituting y with y , and similar bound for L, R .

$$\frac{R}{R} = e^{\epsilon(+\eta fa - +\eta y - fa + y)} > e^{-\epsilon B \eta}, \frac{R}{R} = e^{\epsilon(\frac{fa}{+\eta} - frac{y}{+\eta} - fa + y)} < e^{\epsilon B \eta},$$

Using the bound on their ratios, we can get following bounds on $|R'_- - L'_-|$ and $|R_- - L_-|$:

$$|R'_- - L'_-| < e^{\epsilon B\eta} R_- - e^{-\epsilon B\eta} L_- < R'_- - L'_- e^{\epsilon B\eta}, |R_- - L_-| > e^{-\epsilon B\eta} R_- - e^{\epsilon B\eta} L_- > R_- - L_- e^{-\epsilon B\eta}$$

Then we have the following bounds on privacy loss:

$$\frac{R_- - L_-}{-L'_- + L'_-} > \frac{R_- - L_-}{R'_- - L'_-} > \frac{e^{-\epsilon B\eta} R_- - L_-}{e^{\epsilon B\eta} R'_- - L'_-} = e^{-\epsilon B\eta - \epsilon}$$

case $x \in \lfloor fa' \rfloor_\Lambda, \mathbf{B}$

This case can also be split into 3 subcases symmetric with the case where $x \in -\mathbf{B}, \lfloor fa \rfloor_\Lambda$:

subcase $\lfloor fa' \rfloor_\Lambda > \vee \lfloor fa' \rfloor_\Lambda < \wedge x \in \mathbf{B}$

let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{2}$, $y = x + \frac{\Delta}{2}$, we have $y, y > .$ The bounds derived for l, r and l', r' under input a and a' in this case are as follows:

For input a' :

$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - \frac{y}{2} + \eta)}, \underline{R}' = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa' - y + \eta}{2}}, \\ \underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - \frac{y}{2} + \eta)}, \underline{L}' = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa' - y + \eta}{2}}.$$

For input a :

$$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon(fa - \frac{y}{2} + \eta)}, \underline{R} = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa - y + \eta}{2}}, \\ \underline{L} = e^{\epsilon(fa - \frac{y}{2} + \eta)}, \underline{L} = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa - y + \eta}{2}}. \text{ The bounds on their ratio are as follows:}$$

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{L}} > e^{-B\eta\epsilon}, \frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{L}} < e^{B\eta\epsilon}$$

And the bounds on $|R_- - L_-|$ and $|R'_- - L'_-|$ are as follows:

$$|R_- - L_-| > e^{-B\eta\epsilon} |R_- - L_-|, |R'_- - L'_-| < e^{B\eta\epsilon} |R'_- - L'_-|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|R_- - L_-|}{|R'_- - L'_-|} > \frac{e^{-B\eta\epsilon} |R_- - L_-|}{e^{B\eta\epsilon} |R'_- - L'_-|} = e^{-B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

subcase $\lfloor fa' \rfloor_\Lambda < \wedge x \in \lfloor fa' \rfloor_\Lambda,$

let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{2}$, $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{2}$, we have $y, y < .$ The bounds derived for l, r in this case are as follows:

For input a' :

$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - y + \eta)}, \underline{R}' = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa' - y + \eta}{2}}, \\ \underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - y + \eta)}, \underline{L}' = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa' - y + \eta}{2}}.$$

For input a :

$$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta)}, \underline{R} = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa - y + \eta}{2}}, \\ \underline{L} = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta)}, \underline{L} = e^{\frac{\epsilon fa - y + \eta}{2}}.$$

The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{L}} > e^{-B\eta\epsilon}, \frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{L}} < e^{B\eta\epsilon}$$

And the bounds on $|\underline{R} - \underline{L}|$ and $|\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|$ are as follows:

$$|\underline{R} - \underline{L}| > e^{-B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R} - \underline{L}|, |\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'| < e^{B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\underline{R} - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|} > \frac{e^{-B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R} - \underline{L}|}{e^{B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|} = e^{-B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

subcase $\lfloor fa' \rfloor_{\Lambda} < \Lambda x =$

let $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{\eta}$, $y = x - \frac{\Delta}{\eta}$, we have $y < \cdot$ and $y > \cdot$. The bounds derived for l, r in this case are as follows:

For input a' :

$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - \frac{y}{\eta} + \eta)}, \underline{R}' = e^{\frac{fa' - y + \eta}{\eta}}.$$

$$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - y + \eta + \eta)}, \underline{L}' = e^{\frac{fa' - y}{\eta}}.$$

For input a :

$$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon(fa - \frac{y}{\eta} + \eta)}, \underline{R} = e^{\frac{fa - y + \eta}{\eta}}.$$

$$\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon(fa - y + \eta + \eta)}, \underline{L} = e^{\frac{fa - y}{\eta}}.$$

The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{R}'} > e^{-B\eta\epsilon}, \frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{R}'} < e^{B\eta\epsilon} \frac{\underline{L}}{\underline{L}'} > e^{-B\eta\epsilon}, \frac{\underline{L}}{\underline{L}'} < e^{B\eta\epsilon}$$

And the bounds on $|\underline{R} - \underline{L}|$ and $|\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|$ are as follows:

$$|\underline{R} - \underline{L}| > e^{-B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R} - \underline{L}|, |\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'| < e^{B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\underline{R} - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|} > \frac{e^{-B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R} - \underline{L}|}{e^{B\eta\epsilon} |\underline{R}' - \underline{L}'|} = e^{-B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

case $x = B$

We know $s = -$, $L = l =$ and $R = b$, so we only need to estimate the right side range r in this case. The bounds derived for r, r' are as following:

$$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon(fa - \frac{x}{\eta} + \eta)}, \underline{R} = e^{\frac{fa - x + \eta}{\eta}}$$

$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon(fa' - \frac{x}{\eta} + \eta)}, \underline{R}' = e^{\frac{fa' - x + \eta}{\eta}}$$

The privacy loss of Snapa in this case is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\underline{R}}{\underline{R}'} &= e^{\epsilon((fa - \frac{x}{\eta} + \eta) - \frac{fa' - x + \eta}{\eta})} \\ &= e^{\epsilon(fa + \eta - x + \eta - \frac{fa}{\eta} + \frac{x}{\eta})} \star \end{aligned}$$

Since $+\eta > +\eta > +\eta$ and $\frac{1}{\eta} > -\eta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \star &> e^{\epsilon(+\eta fa - \frac{\eta\eta}{\eta} x - \frac{1}{\eta} fa +)} \\ &= e^{\epsilon(\frac{\eta\eta}{\eta} fa - \frac{\eta\eta}{\eta} x - \frac{1}{\eta})} \\ &> e^{\epsilon(-B\eta \frac{\eta}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{\eta} x -)} \\ &> e^{\epsilon - \eta B -} \end{aligned}$$

□

6 Syntax - Functional

Following are the syntax of our system:

Expr.	e	$::=$	$x \mid r \mid c \mid \text{FD} \mid e * e \mid e \circ e \mid \text{let } x \leftarrow \mu \text{ in } e \mid \text{let } x = e \text{ in } e$
Binary Operation	$*$	$::=$	$+ \mid - \mid \times \mid \div$
Unary Operation	\circ	$::=$	$\mid - \mid [\cdot] \mid \text{clamp}_B \cdot$
Value	v	$::=$	$r \mid c$
Distribution	μ	$::=$	$\text{unif} \mid \text{bernoulli}$
Error	err	$::=$	e, e

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{r \geq}{r \Rightarrow \left(\frac{r}{+\eta}, r + \eta\right)} \text{VAL} \quad \frac{r <}{r \Rightarrow \left(r + \eta, \frac{r}{+\eta}\right)} \text{VAL-NEG} \quad \frac{r = \text{fl}r}{r \Rightarrow r, r} \text{VAL-EQ} \quad \frac{}{fD \Rightarrow fD, fD} \text{F(D)} \\
\\
\frac{}{\leftarrow \mu \Rightarrow \leftarrow \mu, \leftarrow \mu} \text{SAMPLE} \\
\\
\frac{e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e \quad e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e \quad e * e \geq}{e * e \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\underline{e} * \underline{e}}{+\eta}, e * e + \eta\right)} \text{BOP} \quad \frac{e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e \quad e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e \quad e * e <}{e * e \Rightarrow \left(e * e + \eta, \frac{\underline{e} * \underline{e}}{+\eta}\right)} \text{BOP-NEG} \\
\\
\frac{e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e \quad \circ e \geq}{\circ e \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\circ \underline{e}}{+\eta}, \circ e + \eta\right)} \text{UOP} \quad \frac{e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e \quad \circ e <}{\circ e \Rightarrow \left(\circ \underline{e} + \eta, \frac{\circ \underline{e}}{+\eta}\right)} \text{UOP-NEG}
\end{array}$$

Figure 5: Semantics of Transition for Expressions with Relative Floating Point Error

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\text{fl}r = c}{r \Downarrow c} \text{FVAL} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow c \quad e \Downarrow c \quad \text{fl}c * c = c}{e * e \Downarrow c} \text{FBOP} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow c', \quad \text{fl} \circ c' = c}{\circ e \Downarrow c} \text{FUOP}
\end{array}$$

Figure 6: Semantics of Evaluation in Floating Point Computation

7 Semantics - Functional

The transition semantics with relative floating point computation error are shown in Figure. 5. The semantics are $e \Rightarrow err$, which means a real expression e can be transited in floating point computation with error bound err , η is the machine epsilon.

We assume the SAMPLE and F(D) semantics for floating point and real computation are the same. $\mu \Downarrow v$ represents v is sampled from the distribution μ .

thmSoundness Theorem] Given e where the transition $e \Rightarrow \underline{e}, e$ holds, then if e evaluates to c in floating point computation and \underline{e} and e evaluates to \underline{r} and r in real computation, we have:

$$\underline{r} \leq c \leq r$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{r \Downarrow r} \text{RVAL} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow r \quad e \Downarrow r \quad r * r = r}{e * e \Downarrow r} \text{RBOP} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow r', \quad \circ r' = r}{\circ e \Downarrow r} \text{RUOP} \\
\\
\frac{c \leftarrow \mu^\diamond}{\leftarrow \mu \Downarrow c} \text{SAMPLE} \quad \frac{fD = c}{fD \Downarrow c} \text{F(D)}
\end{array}$$

Figure 7: Semantics of Evaluation in Real Computation

References

- [1] Gilles Barthe, Marco Gaboardi, Benjamin Grégoire, Justin Hsu, and Pierre-Yves Strub. Proving differential privacy via probabilistic couplings. In *LICS 2016*.
- [2] H. Becker, N. Zyuzin, R. Monat, E. Darulova, M. O. Myreen, and A. Fox. A verified certificate checker for finite-precision error bounds in coq and hol4. In *2018 Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design (FMCAD)*, 2018.
- [3] Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith. Calibrating Noise to Sensitivity in Private Data Analysis. In *TCC*, 2016.
- [4] Matthieu Martel. Semantics of roundoff error propagation in finite precision calculations. *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, 2006.
- [5] Ilya Mironov. On significance of the least significant bits for differential privacy. In *CCS 2012*, 2012.
- [6] Mariano Moscato, Laura Titolo, Aaron Dutle, and César A. Muñoz. Automatic estimation of verified floating-point round-off errors via static analysis. In Stefano Tonetta, Erwin Schoitsch, and Friedemann Bitsch, editors, *Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security*, 2017.
- [7] Tahina Ramananandro, Paul Mountcastle, Benoundefinedt Meister, and Richard Lethin. A unified coq framework for verifying c programs with floating-point computations. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs (CPP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, 2016.