Verifying Snapping Mechanism - Floating Point Implementation Version

Jiawen Liu

March 22, 2020

In order to verify the differential privacy property of an implementation of the snapping mechanism [5], we follow the logic rules designed from [1] and the floating point error semantics from [7, 4, 2, 6].

1 Preliminary Definitions

Definition 1 (Laplace mechanism [3])
Let $\epsilon > 0$. The Laplace mechanism $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon$: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $\mathcal{L}(t) = t + v$, where $v \in \mathbb{R}$ is drawn from the Laplace distribution $\mathsf{laplce}(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$.

2 Syntax - IMP

Programs	p	::=	$x = e \mid x \xleftarrow{\$} \mu \mid p; p$
Expr.	e	::=	$r \mid c \mid x \mid f(D) \mid e * e \mid \circ(e)$
Binary Operation	$*$	::=	$+ \mid - \mid \times \mid \div$
Unary Operation	$\circ$	::=	$\ln \mid - \mid \lfloor\cdot\rceil \mid \mathsf{clamp}_B(\cdot)$
Value	v	::=	$r \mid c$
Distribution	μ	::=	$\mathsf{laplce} \mid \mathsf{unif} \mid \mathtt{bernoulli}$
Error	err	::=	(e, e)
Transaction Env.	Θ	::=	$\cdot \mid \Theta[x \mapsto (e, err)]$

3 Semantics - IMP

The transition semantics with relative floating point computation error are shown in Figure. 1 for programs. The semantics are $\Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta'$, which means a real computation programs p with environment Θ can be transited in floating point computation with error bound for all variables in Θ', η is the machine epsilon.

$$\frac{\Theta(x) = (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))}{\Theta, x \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))}\ \text{VAR} \qquad \frac{r \geq 0}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow \left(r, (\frac{r}{(1+\eta)}, r(1+\eta))\right)}\ \text{VAL} \qquad \frac{c = \texttt{fl}(r) \qquad r < 0}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow \left(r, (r(1+\eta), \frac{r}{(1+\eta)})\right)}\ \text{VAL-NEG}$$

$$\frac{r = \texttt{fl}(r)}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow (r, (r, r))}\ \text{VAL-EQ} \qquad \frac{}{\Theta, f(D) \Rightarrow (f(D), (f(D), f(D)))}\ \text{F(D)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, e^1 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^1, \bar{e}^1)) \quad \Theta, e^2 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^2)) \quad \bar{e}, \underline{e} = \max, \min(\underline{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \underline{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2) \quad e^1 * e^2 \geq 0}{\Theta, e^1 * e^2 \Rightarrow \left(e^1 * e^2, (\frac{\bar{e}}{(1+\eta)}, (\underline{e})(1+\eta))\right)}\ \text{BOP}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, e^1 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^1, \bar{e}^1)) \quad \Theta, e^2 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^2)) \quad \bar{e}, \underline{e} = \max, \min(\underline{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \underline{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2) \quad e^1 * e^2 < 0}{\Theta, e^1 * e^2 \Rightarrow \left(e^1 * e^2, (\bar{e})(1+\eta), \frac{\underline{e}}{(1+\eta)}\right)}\ \text{BOP-NEG}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e})) \qquad \circ(e) \geq 0}{\Theta, \circ(e) \Rightarrow \left(\circ(e), \left(\frac{\circ(\underline{e})}{(1+\eta)}, (\circ(\bar{e}))(1+\eta)\right)\right)}\ \text{UOP} \qquad \frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e})) \qquad \circ(e) < 0}{\Theta, \circ(e) \Rightarrow \left(\circ(e), \left(\circ(\underline{e})(1+\eta), \frac{\circ(\bar{e})}{(1+\eta)}\right)\right)}\ \text{UOP-NEG}$$

Figure 1: Semantics of Transition for Expressions with Relative Floating Point Error

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow (e, err)}{\Theta, x = e \Rightarrow \Theta[x \mapsto (e, err)]}\ \text{ASG} \qquad \frac{\Theta, p_1 \Rightarrow \Theta_1 \qquad \Theta_2, p_2 \Rightarrow \Theta_2}{\Theta, p_1; p_2 \Rightarrow \Theta_2}\ \text{CONSQ} \qquad \frac{c \leftarrow \mu^{\diamond}}{\Theta, x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu \Rightarrow \Theta[x \mapsto (c, (c, c))]}\ \text{SAMPLE}$$

Figure 2: Semantics of Transition with Relative Floating Point Error Propagation for Programs

$$\frac{\texttt{fl}(r) = c}{r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c}\ \text{RVAL} \qquad \frac{}{c \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c}\ \text{FVAL} \qquad \frac{e^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c^1 \quad e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c^2 \quad \texttt{fl}(c^1 * c^2) = c}{e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c}\ \text{FBOP} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c', \quad \texttt{fl}(\circ(c')) = c}{\circ(e) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c}\ \text{FUOP}$$

Figure 3: Semantics of Evaluation in Floating Point Computation

$$\frac{}{r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r}\ \text{RVAL} \qquad \frac{}{c \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} c}\ \text{RVAL} \qquad \frac{e^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r^1 \quad e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r^2 \quad r^1 * r^2 = r}{e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r}\ \text{RBOP} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r', \quad \circ(r') = r}{\circ(e) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} r}\ \text{RUOP} \qquad \frac{f(D) = c}{f(D) \Downarrow c}\ \text{F(D)}$$

Figure 4: Semantics of Evaluation in Real Computation

Theorem 1 (Soundness Theorem)
For any p, if there exists a transition $\Theta, p \Rightarrow \Theta'$ and Θ is a bounded transaction environment (i.e., $\forall x \in dom(\Theta)$ s.t. $\Theta(x) = (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))$, if $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\bar{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, then $\underline{r} \leq c \leq \bar{r}$) , then $\forall x \in dom(\Theta')$ s.t. $\Theta'(x) = (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))$, if $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\bar{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, then:

$$\underline{r} \leq c \leq \bar{r}$$

Proof. Induction on transition rule of p, by assumption, we know Θ is a safe environment ($\star$).

case

$$\frac{\Theta, p_1 \Rightarrow \Theta_1 \qquad \Theta_1, p_2 \Rightarrow \Theta_2}{\Theta, p_1; p_2 \Rightarrow \Theta_2} \textbf{ CONSQ}$$

We need to show Θ_2 is a bounded environment.
Since we know Θ is a bounded environment by assumption ($\star$), by induction hypothesis, we have:
Θ_1 and Θ_2 are all bounded environment. This case is proved.

case

$$\frac{c \leftarrow \mu^{\diamond}}{\Theta, x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu \Rightarrow \Theta[x \mapsto (c, (c, c))]} \textbf{ SAMPLE}$$

We need to show $\Theta[x \mapsto (c, (c, c))]$ is a safe environment.
Since we know Θ is a safe environment by assumption ($\star$). It is trivial that $c \leq c \leq c$. We can know $\Theta[x \mapsto (c, (c, c))]$ is also a safe environment.

case

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow (e, err)}{\Theta, x = e \Rightarrow \Theta[x \mapsto (e, err)]} \textbf{ ASG}$$

We need to show: $\Theta[x \mapsto (e, err)]$ is a safe environment.
By assumption ($\star$) we know: Θ is already a safe environment. We still need to show:
Let $err = (\underline{e}, \bar{e})$, $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\bar{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, $\underline{r} \leq c \leq \bar{r}$.
Induction on transition of e, we have:

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta(x) = (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))}{\Theta, x \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))} \textbf{ VAR}$$

By the assumption, we have $\forall x \in dom(\Theta)$ s.t. $\Theta(x) = (e, (\underline{e}, \bar{e}))$, $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\bar{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, $\underline{r} \leq c \leq \bar{r}$. This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{r \geq 0}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow \left(r, \frac{r}{(1+\eta)}, r(1+\eta)\right)} \textbf{ VAL}$$

By evaluation rule of floating point computation for r, we have:

$$\frac{\texttt{fl}(r) = c}{r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c}\ \text{RVAL}$$

By the definition of floating point rounding error and $r \geq 0$, we have: $\frac{r}{(1+\eta)} \leq c \leq r(1+\eta)$

subcase

$$\frac{c = \texttt{fl}(r) \qquad r < 0}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow \left(r, r(1+\eta), \frac{r}{(1+\eta)}\right)}\ \textbf{VAL-NEG}$$

By evaluation rule of floating point computation for r, we have:

$$\frac{\texttt{fl}(r) = c}{r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c}\ \text{RVAL}$$

By the definition of floating point rounding error and $r < 0$, we have: $r(1+\eta) \leq c \leq \frac{r}{(1+\eta)}$

subcase

$$\frac{r = \texttt{fl}(r)}{\Theta, r \Rightarrow (r, r, r)}\ \textbf{VAL-EQ}$$

Given $r \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, it is trivial to show $r \leq c = \texttt{fl}(r) = r \leq r$

subcase

$$\frac{}{\Theta, f(D) \Rightarrow (f(D), (f(D), f(D)))}\ \textbf{F(D)}$$

Given $f(D) \Downarrow c$ in both floating point and real computation, it is trivial to show $c \leq c \leq c$

subcase

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Theta, e^1 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^1, \bar{e}^1))\ (\diamond) \qquad \Theta, e^2 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^2))\ (\triangle) \\ \bar{e}, \underline{e} = \max, \min(\underline{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \underline{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2) \qquad e^1 * e^2 \geq 0\ (\square)\end{array}}{\Theta, e^1 * e^2 \Rightarrow \left(e^1 * e^2, (\frac{\underline{e}}{(1+\eta)}, (\bar{e})(1+\eta))\right)}\ \textbf{BOP}$$

We need to show: for $e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\frac{\underline{e}}{(1+\eta)} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $(\bar{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, the $\underline{r} \leq c \leq \bar{r}$ holds.
By induction hypothesis on $(\diamond)$ and $(\triangle)$, we have:
(1) for $e^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c_1$, $\underline{e}^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}_1$ and $\bar{e}^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}_1$, the $\underline{r}_1 \leq c_1 \leq \bar{r}_1$ holds.
(2) for $e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c_2$, $\underline{e}^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}_2$ and $\bar{e}^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}_2$, the $\underline{r}_2 \leq c_2 \leq \bar{r}_2$ holds.
Let $\bar{r}' = \min(\bar{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1)$ and $\underline{r}' = \max(\bar{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1)$
By (1) and (2), we have: $\underline{r}' \leq c_2 * c_1 \leq \bar{r}'$.
By hypothesis $(\square)$ and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:
$\frac{\underline{r}'}{1+\eta} \leq \texttt{fl}(c_2 * c_1) \leq (\bar{r}')(1+\eta)$.
By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:
$e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \texttt{fl}(c_2 * c_1)$, $\frac{\underline{e}}{(1+\eta)} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\underline{r}'}{1+\eta}$ and $(\bar{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{r}')(1+\eta)$.
This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e^1 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^1, \bar{e}^1)) \qquad \Theta, e^2 \Rightarrow (e, (\underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^2)) \quad \bar{e}, \underline{e} = \max, \min(\underline{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2, \underline{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2, \bar{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2) \qquad e^1 * e^2 < 0}{\Theta, e^1 * e^2 \Rightarrow \left(e^1 * e^2, (\bar{e})(1+\eta), \frac{\underline{e}}{(1+\eta)}\right)} \textbf{BOP-NEG}$$

We need to show: for $e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $(\underline{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\frac{\bar{e}}{(1+\eta)} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, the $\underline{r} \le c \le \bar{r}$ holds.
By induction hypothesis on ($\diamond$) and ($\triangle$), we have:
(1) for $e^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c_1$, $\underline{e}^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}_1$ and $\bar{e}^1 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}_1$, the $\underline{r}_1 \le c_1 \le \bar{r}_1$ holds.
(2) for $e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c_2$, $\underline{e}^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}_2$ and $\bar{e}^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}_2$, the $\underline{r}_2 \le c_2 \le \bar{r}_2$ holds.
Let $\bar{r}' = \min(\bar{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1)$ and $\underline{r}' = \max(\bar{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2 * \underline{r}_1)$
By (1) and (2), we have: $\underline{r}' \le c_2 * c_1 \le \bar{r}'$.
By hypothesis ($\square$) and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:
$\underline{r}'(1+\eta) \le \mathtt{fl}(c_2 * c_1) \le \frac{\bar{r}'}{1+\eta}$.
By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:
$e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \mathtt{fl}(c_2 * c_1)$, $\underline{e}(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}'(1+\eta)$ and $\frac{\bar{e}}{(1+\eta)} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{r}'}{1+\eta}$.
This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow (e, \underline{e}, \bar{e})\ (\diamond) \qquad \circ(e) \ge 0\ (\square)}{\Theta, \circ(e) \Rightarrow \left(\circ(e), \frac{\circ(\underline{e})}{(1+\eta)}, (\circ(\bar{e}))(1+\eta)\right)} \textbf{UOP}$$

We need to show: for $\circ(e) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\frac{\circ(\underline{e})}{(1+\eta)} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\circ(\bar{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, the $\underline{r} \le c \le \bar{r}$ holds.
By induction hypothesis on ($\diamond$), we have:
(1) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c'$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}'$ and $\bar{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}'$, the $\underline{r}' \le c \le \bar{r}'$ holds.
By (1) and monotone of unary operations, we have: $\circ(\underline{r}') \le \circ(c') \le \circ(\bar{r}')$.
By hypothesis ($\square$) and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:
$\frac{\circ(\underline{r}')}{1+\eta} \le \mathtt{fl}(\circ(c')) \le \circ(\bar{r}')(1+\eta)$.
By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:
$\circ(c') \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \mathtt{fl}(\circ(c'))$, $\frac{\circ(\underline{e})}{1+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\circ(\underline{r}')}{1+\eta}$ and $\circ(\bar{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \circ(\bar{r}')(1+\eta)$.
This case is proved.

subcase

$$\frac{\Theta, e \Rightarrow (e, \underline{e}, \bar{e}) \qquad \circ(e) < 0}{\Theta, \circ(e) \Rightarrow \left(\circ(e), (\circ(\underline{e}))(1+\eta), \frac{\circ(\bar{e})}{(1+\eta)}\right)} \textbf{UOP-NEG}$$

We need to show: for $\circ(e) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c$, $\circ(\underline{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}$ and $\frac{\circ(\bar{e})}{(1+\eta)} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}$, the $\underline{r} \le c \le \bar{r}$ holds.
By induction hypothesis on ($\diamond$), we have:
(1) for $e \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} c'$, $\underline{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \underline{r}'$ and $\bar{e} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \bar{r}'$, the $\underline{r}' \le c \le \bar{r}'$ holds.
By (1) and monotone of unary operations, we have: $\circ(\underline{r}') \le \circ(c') \le \circ(\bar{r}')$.
By hypothesis ($\square$) and relative error of floating point rounding, we have:
$\circ(\underline{r}')(1+\eta) \le \mathtt{fl}(\circ(c')) \le \frac{\circ(\bar{r}')}{1+\eta}$.
By evaluation rule FBOP and RBOP, we have:
$\circ(c') \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} \mathtt{fl}(\circ(c'))$, $\circ(\underline{e})(1+\eta) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \circ(\underline{r}')(1+\eta)$ and $\frac{\circ(\bar{e})}{1+\eta} \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\circ(\bar{r}')}{1+\eta}$.
Let $c = \mathtt{fl}(\circ(c'))$, $\underline{r} = \circ(\underline{r}')(1+\eta)$ and $\bar{r} = \frac{\circ(\bar{r}')}{1+\eta}$, this case is proved.

□

4 Snapping Mechanism

Definition 2 **(**$\mathsf{Snap}(a) : A \to \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$**)**
Given privacy parameter ϵ, the Snapping mechanism $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ is defined as:

$$U \xleftarrow{\$} \mu; S \xleftarrow{\$} \{-1, 1\}; y = f(a) + S \times \ln(U) \div \epsilon; z = \mathsf{clamp}_B\big(\lfloor y \rceil_\Lambda\big)$$

where F is a primitive query function over input database $a \in A$, ϵ is the privacy budget, B is the clamping bound and Λ is the rounding argument satisfying $\lambda = 2^k$ where 2^k is the smallest power of 2 greater or equal to the $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$.
Let $\mathsf{Snap}'(a)$ be the same as $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ given U, S without rounding and clamping steps, i.e., $\mathsf{Snap}'(a) : y = f(a) + S \times \ln(U) \div \epsilon$.
Let $\mathsf{Snap}''(a)$ be the same as $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ given U, S, i.e., $\mathsf{Snap}''(a) : \mathsf{Snap}'(a); z = \mathsf{clamp}_B\big(\lfloor y \rceil_\Lambda\big)$.

5 Main Theorem

Theorem 2 (The Snap mechanism is $\epsilon-$differentially private)
Consider $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ defined as before, if $\mathsf{Snap}(a) = x$ given database a and privacy parameter ϵ, then its actual privacy loss is bounded by $\epsilon + 23B\epsilon\eta$.

Proof. Given $\mathsf{Snap}(a) = x$ and parameter ϵ, we consider a' be the adjacent database of a satisfying $|f(a) - f(a')| \leq 1$. Without loss of generalization, we assume $f(a) + 1 = f(a')$ $(\diamond)$.

Consider the $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ outputting the same result x under floating point and real computaion, let (L, R) be the range where $\forall u \in (L, R)$ and some s s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x].$$

We have $\Pr[\mathsf{Snap}(a) = x] = R - L$. Sice the $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ is $\epsilon-$DP, we can get:

$$e^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{\Pr[\mathsf{Snap}(a)]}{\Pr[\mathsf{Snap}(a')]} = \frac{R-L}{R'-L'} \leq e^{\epsilon}$$

Let (l, r) be the range where $\forall u \in (l, r)$ and some s s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x].$$

To show the privacy loss of Snap mechanism in floating point computation is bounded by $\epsilon + 23B\epsilon\eta$, it's sufficent to show: $|r - l|$ is bounded $f(|R - L|)$ and $g(|R - L|)$ s.t.:

$$-(\epsilon + 23B\epsilon\eta) \leq \ln(\frac{f(|R-L|)}{g(|R-L|)}) \leq \epsilon + 23B\epsilon\eta.$$

Induction on the outputspace of $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ mechanism, we have following cases:

case $x = -B$

Let b be the largest number rounded by Λ that is smaller than B, $b' = b - \Lambda/2$.
Let L and R be the range where $\forall u \in (L, R)$ and $s = 1$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x]$.
Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in (l, r)$ and $s = 1$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x]$.
So we know $s = 1$, $l = L = 0$, $\underline{R} < r < \bar{R}$ s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto r, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto -b'] \wedge [U \mapsto R, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [y \mapsto -b'].$$

The derivation of this case given $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R, (\underline{R}, \bar{R})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

UOP

$$\frac{}{\Theta, U \Rightarrow (R, (\underline{R}, \bar{R}))}\ \text{VAL-EQ}$$

BOP

$$\Theta, \ln(U) \Rightarrow (\ln(R), \ln(\underline{R})(1+\eta), \frac{\ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)})$$

BOP

$$\Theta, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(U) \Rightarrow (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), ((\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2, \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2}))$$

ID

$$\Theta, f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(U) \Rightarrow \Big(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), \big((f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2)(1+\eta), \frac{(f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2})}{(1+\eta)}\big)\Big)$$

$$\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \Big(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), \big((f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2)(1+\eta), \frac{(f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2})}{(1+\eta)}\big)\Big)]$$

In the same way, we have the derivation for $\mathsf{Snap}'(a')$:

$$\frac{\cdots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a'); \mathsf{Snap}'' \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \Big(\mathsf{Snap}'(a'), \big((f(a') + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}'))(1+\eta)^2)(1+\eta), \frac{(f(a') + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R}')}{(1+\eta)^2})}{(1+\eta)}\big)\Big)]}$$

Given $\mathsf{Snap}(a') \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} -b'$, $\mathsf{Snap}(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} -b'$, we have the worst case lower and upper bounds for R and R', which are $\underline{R}, \bar{R}, \underline{R}'$ and $\bar{R}'$:

$$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((-b'(1+\eta) - f(a))(1+\eta)^2\right)}, \bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{-b'}{1+\eta} - f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$$
$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((-b'(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}, \bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon(\frac{(\frac{-b'}{1+\eta} - f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2})}$$

The privacy loss of $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ in this case is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{R} - 0)}{\frac{1}{2}(\underline{R}' - 0)} &= e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{(\frac{-b'}{1+\eta} - f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2} - \left((-b'(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)\right)} \\ &= e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{-b'}{(1+\eta)^3} - \frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2} - x(1+\eta)^3 + f(a')(1+\eta)^2\right)} \quad (\star) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1+\eta)^3 > 1+3\eta$, $\frac{1}{(1+\eta)^3} < \frac{1}{1+3\eta}$, $(1+\eta)^2 < 1+2.1\eta$ and $\frac{1}{(1+\eta)^2} > 1-2\eta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\star) &< e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{9\eta+6}{1+3\eta} b' + 4.1\eta f(a) + (1+2.1\eta)\right)} \\ &< e^{\epsilon(10.1\eta B + 1 + 2.1\eta)} \end{aligned}$$

case $x \in (-B, \lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda)$

subcase $\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda \leq 0 \vee \Big(\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda > 0 \wedge x \in (-B, 0)\Big)$

Let $y_1 = x - (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, $y_2 = x + (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, we know $y_1 < 0$, $y_2 < 0$.
Let $L = e^{\epsilon(y_1 - f(a))}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon(y_2 - f(a))}$, we have: $\forall u \in (L, R)$: $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x]$.
Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in (l, r)$ and $s = 1$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x]$.
So we know: $\underline{L} < l < \bar{L}$, $\underline{R} < r < \bar{R}$ s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto l, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_1] \wedge [U \mapsto r, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_2].$$

The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R, (\underline{R}, \bar{R})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$

is shown as following:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Theta, R \Rightarrow (R, (\underline{R}, \bar{R})}\ \text{VAL-EQ}}{\Theta, \ln(U) \Rightarrow (\ln(R), (\ln(\underline{R})(1+\eta), \dfrac{\ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)}))}\ \text{OP}}{\Theta, \dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(\dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), ((\dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2, \dfrac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2})\right)}\ \text{OP}}{\Theta, f(a) + \dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(f(a) + \dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), \left(\left(f(a) + (\dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2\right)(1+\eta), \dfrac{(f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2})}{(1+\eta)}\right)\right)}\ \text{ID}$$

$$\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), (e^1, e^2))]$$

From soundness theorem, we have $e^1 \leq y_2 \leq e^2$, where we can get:
$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_1(1+\eta) - f(a))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$ and $\bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_1}{1+\eta} - f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$. The transition from L to l given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (L, (\underline{L}, \bar{L})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\dfrac{\dots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[z \mapsto (f(a) + (\dfrac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L}), (\dfrac{f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a) + \dfrac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{L})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta)))]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \leq y_2 \leq err_2$.
Taking the lower bound , we have: $\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta) - f(a))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$.
Taking the upper bound, we have: $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_2}{1+\eta} - f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.
In the same way, we have the bound of l, r for adjacent data set a':

$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((y_1(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)},\ \bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_1}{1+\eta} - f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2}}.$$

$$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)},\ \bar{L}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_2}{1+\eta} - f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$$

Then, we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\bar{R} - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - \bar{L}'|}.$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{R}}{R} &= e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{y_1}{(1+\eta)^3} - \frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2} - y_1 + f(a)\right)} \leq e^{\epsilon\left(-\frac{3\eta}{1+3\eta} y_1 + 2\eta f(a)\right)} \leq e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{3\eta}{1+3\eta} B + 2\eta B\right)} \leq e^{5\epsilon B\eta} \\ \frac{\underline{L}}{L} &= e^{\epsilon\left(y_2(1+\eta)^3 - f(a)(1+\eta)^2 - y_2 + f(a)\right)} \geq e^{\epsilon\left(3\eta y_1 - 2\eta f(a)\right)} \geq e^{-5\epsilon B\eta} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can derive:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{R}-\underline{L}| &\le e^{5\epsilon B\eta}R - e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}L \\
&= L\big(e^{\Lambda\epsilon+5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}\big) \\
&= L\big(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{5\epsilon B\eta} + e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}\big) \\
&= L\big(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{5\epsilon B\eta} + \tfrac{1}{(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}-1)}(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}-1)e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}\big) \\
&\le L\big(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{5\epsilon B\eta} + \tfrac{1}{(e-1)}(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}-1)e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}\big)\ (by 1 \le \Lambda\epsilon < 2) \\
&= L\tfrac{e}{(e-1)}\big(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}\big) \\
&< L\tfrac{e}{(e-1)}\big(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}e^{5\epsilon B\eta} - e^{5\epsilon B\eta}\big) \\
&= L(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}-1)e^{\ln(\frac{e}{(e-1)})+5\epsilon B\eta} \\
&< L(e^{\Lambda\epsilon}-1)e^{11\epsilon B\eta}\ (by\ (\tfrac{1}{\epsilon} < B < 2^{42}\tfrac{1}{\epsilon})) \\
&= (R-L)e^{11\epsilon B\eta}
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can derive:

$$|\underline{R}-\bar{L}| > e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}R - e^{5\epsilon B\eta}L > (R-L)e^{-12\epsilon B\eta}$$

Then we have:

$$\frac{|\bar{R}-\underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}'-\bar{L}'|} < e^{(23\epsilon B\eta+\epsilon)}.$$

subcase $\lfloor f(a)\rceil_\Lambda > 0 \wedge x \in (0, \lfloor f(a)\rceil_\Lambda)$

Let $y_1 = x - (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, $y_2 = x + (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, we know $y_1 > 0$, $y_2 > 0$.
Let $S = 1$, $L = e^{\epsilon(y_1 - f(a))}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon(y_2 - f(a))}$, we have $\forall u \in (L, R)$: $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x]$.
Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in (l, r)$ and $s = 1$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x]$.
We know: $\underline{L} < l < \bar{L}$, $\underline{R} < r < \bar{R}$ s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto l, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_1] \wedge [U \mapsto r, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_2].$$

The transition from L to l given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (L, (\underline{L}, \bar{L})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow (L, (\underline{L}, \bar{L}))}{\Theta, \ln(U) \Rightarrow (\ln(L), (\ln(\underline{L})(1+\eta), \frac{\ln(\bar{L})}{(1+\eta)}))}}{\Theta, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(U) \Rightarrow \big(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(L), ((\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L}))(1+\eta)^2, \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{L})}{(1+\eta)^2}\big)}}{\Theta, f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(U) \Rightarrow \big(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(l), (\frac{f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{L})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta))\big)}}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(L), (err_1, err_2))}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \le y_1 \le err_2$, then we can get:
$\underline{L} = e^{(y_1/(1+\eta) - f(a))(1+\eta)^2\epsilon}$ and $\bar{L} = e^{(y_1(1+\eta) - f(a))\epsilon/(1+\eta)^2}$. The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R, (\underline{R}, \bar{R})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\dfrac{\dots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \big(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R), (\frac{f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta))\big)]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \le y_2 \le err_2$.
Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err_1 = y_2$), we have: $\underline{R} = e^{(y_2/(1+\eta)-f(a))(1+\eta)^2\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err_2 = y_1$), we have: $\bar{R} = e^{(y_2(1+\eta)-f(a))\epsilon/(1+\eta)^2}$.

For the adjacent input a, we first have the same setting as input a for R' and L'. Then the transition from L' to l' given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (L', (\underline{L'}, \bar{L'})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\cdots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a') \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(L'), (\frac{f(a') + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L'}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a') + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{L'})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta))\right)]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \le y_2 \le err_2$.

Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err_1 = y_1$), we get: $\underline{L'} = e^{(y_1/(1+\eta)-f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err_2 = y_1$), we get: $\bar{L'} = e^{(y_1(1+\eta)-f(a'))\epsilon/(1+\eta)^2}$. The transition from R' to r' given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R', (\underline{R'}, \bar{R'})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\cdots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a') \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R'), (\frac{f(a') + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R'}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a') + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R'})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta))\right)]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \le y_2 \le err_2$.

Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err_1 = y_2$), we have: $\underline{R'} = e^{(y_2/(1+\eta)-f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err_2 = y_1$), we have: $\bar{R'} = e^{(y_2(1+\eta)-f(a'))\epsilon/(1+\eta)^2}$.
We have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\bar{R} - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R'} - \bar{L'}|}$$

Since the following bound can be proved by using $1 - 2\eta < (1+\eta)^2 < 1 + 2.1\eta, y_1 > -B, y_2 > -B$ and simple approximation:

$$\bar{R} - \underline{L} < (R - L)e^{(5B\eta\epsilon)}, \underline{R'} - \bar{L'} > (R' - L')e^{-7B\eta\epsilon}$$

We also have the $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ is ϵ-dp:

$$\frac{|R - L|}{|R' - L'|} = e^{\epsilon}$$

So we can get:

$$\frac{|\bar{R} - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R'} - \bar{L'}|} < \frac{|R - L|}{|R' - L'|} e^{(12B\eta\epsilon)} = e^{(1+12B\eta)\epsilon}$$

subcase $\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda > 0 \wedge x = 0$

Let $y_1 = x - (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, $y_2 = x + (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, we know $y_1 < 0$, $y_2 > 0$.
Let $S = 1$, $L = e^{\epsilon(y_1 - f(a))}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon(y_2 - f(a))}$, we have $\forall u \in (L, R)$: $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x]$.

Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in (l, r)$ and $s = 1$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x]$.
We know: $\underline{L} < l < \bar{L}$, $\underline{R} < r < \bar{R}$ s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto l, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_1] \wedge [U \mapsto r, S \mapsto 1], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_2].$$

The transition from L to l given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (L, (\underline{L}, \bar{L})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\cdots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L}), (\frac{f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{L}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{L})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta))\right)]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \leq y_2 \leq err_2$.
Taking the lower bound , we have: $\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta) - f(a))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$.
Taking the upper bound, we have: $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_2}{1+\eta} - f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$. The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R, (\underline{R}, \bar{R})), S \mapsto (1, (1, 1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\cdots}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}), (\frac{f(a) + (\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\underline{R}))(1+\eta)^2}{1+\eta}, (f(a) + \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(\bar{R})}{(1+\eta)^2})(1+\eta))\right)]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \leq y_2 \leq err_2$. Taking the lower bound (i.e. $err_1 = y_2$), we have: $\underline{R} = e^{(y_2/(1+\eta) - f(a))(1+\eta)^2\epsilon}$. Taking the upper bound (i.e. $err_2 = y_1$), we have: $\bar{R} = e^{(y_2(1+\eta) - f(a))\epsilon/(1+\eta)^2}$. Using the bound we proved before, we have the folloing bound on $|\bar{R} - \underline{L}|$ and $|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} - \underline{L} &< e^{(2B\eta\epsilon)}R - e^{-5B\eta\epsilon}L < (R - L)e^{6B\eta\epsilon} \\ \underline{R} - \bar{L} &> e^{(-3B\eta\epsilon)}R - e^{5B\eta\epsilon}L > (R - L)e^{-8B\eta\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

and privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\bar{R} - \underline{L}|}{|\underline{R}' - \bar{L}'|} < e^{14B\eta\epsilon + \epsilon}$$

case $x = \lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda$

This case can also be split into 3 subcases by: $\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda < 0$, $\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda = 0$ and $\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda > 0$. Without loss of generalization, we consider the worst case where the error propagate in the same direction, i.e. $\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda < 0$.
From this assumption, let $y_1 = x - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, $y_2 = x + \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we know $y_1 < 0$, $y_2 < 0$. Since $f(a) + 1 = f(a')$, we also have $\lfloor f(a) \rceil < \lfloor f(a') \rceil$. So, we know s can only be 1 for input a' but s can be 1 or -1 for input a.
For input a, let $S = s$, $L = e^{\epsilon(y_1 - f(a))}$ and $R = e^{\epsilon(y_2 - f(a))}$, we have $\forall u \in (L, R)$: $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x]$.
Let l and r be the range where $\forall u \in (l, r)$ and $S = s$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x]$.
We know: $\underline{L} < l < \bar{L}$, $\underline{R} < r < \bar{R}$ s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto l, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_1] \wedge [U \mapsto r, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_2].$$

Induction on s, we have when $s = 1$:
The transition from R to r given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R_+, (\underline{R_+}, \bar{R_+})), S \mapsto$

$(1,(1,1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow R_+, (\underline{R}_+, \bar{R}_+)}{\Theta, \ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(\ln(R_+), (\ln(\underline{R}_+)(1+\eta), \frac{\ln(\bar{R}_+)}{1+\eta})\right)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, \ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(\ln(R_+), (\ln(\underline{R}_+)(1+\eta), \frac{\ln(\bar{R}_+)}{1+\eta})\right)}{\Theta, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), (\frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(\underline{R}_+)(1+\eta)^2, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\ln(\bar{R}_+)}{(1+\eta)^2})\right)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), (\frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(\underline{R}_+)(1+\eta)^2, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\ln(\bar{R}_+)}{(1+\eta)^2})\right)}{\Theta, f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), ((f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(\underline{R}_+)(1+\eta)^2)(1+\eta), (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\ln(\bar{R}_+)}{(1+\eta)^2})/(1+\eta))\right)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), ((f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(\underline{R}_+)(1+\eta)^2)(1+\eta), (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\ln(\bar{R}_+)}{(1+\eta)^2})/(1+\eta))\right)}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), (err_1, err_2))]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \le y_2 \le err_2$. Then we can get following bounds for r:
$\underline{R}_+ = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta)-f(a))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R}_+ = e^{\epsilon\frac{(\frac{y_2}{1+\eta}-f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.
Since $y_2 = \lfloor f(a) \rceil + \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $e^{\epsilon\left((y_2-f(a))\right)} > 1$, so actually we know $R = r = 1$.
We can also derive the bound for l in the same way as:
$\underline{L}_+ = e^{\epsilon\left((y_1(1+\eta)-f(a))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L}_+ = e^{\epsilon\frac{(\frac{y_1}{1+\eta}-f(a))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.
When $s = -1$, we can derive following bounds in the same way for l and r:
$\underline{L}_- = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a)-y_2(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L}_- = e^{\epsilon\frac{(f(a)-\frac{y_2}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.
$\underline{R}_2 = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a)-y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R}_2 = e^{\epsilon\frac{(f(a)-\frac{y_1}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.
Since $y_1 = \lfloor f(a) \rceil - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $e^{\epsilon\left((f(a)-y_1)\right)} > 1$, so actually we know $R' = r' = 1$.
For input a', we have only one case where $s = 1$, the following bound can be derived:
$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta)-f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon\frac{(\frac{y_2}{1+\eta}-f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.
$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon\left((y_1(1+\eta)-f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L}' = e^{\epsilon\frac{(\frac{y_1}{1+\eta}-f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

We have following bounds on their ratios:

$$\frac{\underline{R}_+}{R_+} = e^{\epsilon\left((1+\eta)^3 y_2-(1+\eta)^2 f(a)-y_2+f(a)\right)} > e^{-3\epsilon B\eta}, \frac{\bar{R}_+}{R_+} = e^{\epsilon\left(fracy_2(1+\eta)^3-\frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2}-y_2+f(a)\right)} < e^{3\epsilon B\eta},$$

The same bound for L_+ by substituting y_2 with y_1, and similar bound for L', R'.

$$\frac{\underline{R}'}{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((1+\eta)^2 f(a)-(1+\eta)^3 y_2-f(a)+y_2\right)} > e^{-2\epsilon B\eta}, \frac{\bar{R}'}{R'} = e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2}-fracy_2(1+\eta)^3-f(a)+y_2\right)} < e^{2\epsilon B\eta},$$

Using the bound on their ratios, we can get following bounds on $|\bar{R}_+ - \underline{L}_+|$ and $|\underline{R}' - \bar{L}'|$:

$$|\bar{R}_+ - \underline{L}_+| < e^{3\epsilon B\eta}R - e^{-3\epsilon B\eta}L < (R-L)e^{7\epsilon B\eta}, |\underline{R}' - \bar{L}'| > e^{-2\epsilon B\eta}R - e^{2\epsilon B\eta}L > (R'-L')e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}$$

Then we have the following bounds on privacy loss:

$$\frac{2-(\underline{L}_+ + \underline{L}_-)}{\underline{R}' - \bar{L}'} < \frac{\bar{R}_+ - \underline{L}_+}{\underline{R}' - \bar{L}'} < \frac{e^{7\epsilon B\eta}(R_+ - L_+)}{e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}(R'-L')} = e^{12\epsilon B\eta+\epsilon}$$

case $x \in (\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda, \lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda)$

Since the output set $(\lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda, \lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda)$ is empty when $\Lambda \geq 1$, so we consider the situation where $\Lambda < 1$. There are two subcases in this case : $x > 0$ and $x < 0$. Without loss of generalization, we consider the worst case where error propagate in the same direction, i.e., $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda) < 0$. The bounds derived for l, r and l', r' under input a and a' are as follows:

For input a:

$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - y_2(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a) - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{f(a) - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

For input a':

$\underline{R'} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R'} = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y_2}{1+\eta} - f(a')}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L'} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_1(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L'} = e^{\epsilon \frac{\frac{y_1}{1+\eta} - f(a')}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{R} > e^{-5B\eta\epsilon}, \ \frac{\bar{R}}{R} < e^{5B\eta\epsilon}; \quad \frac{\underline{R'}}{R'} > e^{-5B\eta\epsilon}, \ \frac{\bar{R'}}{R'} < e^{5B\eta\epsilon}.$$

And the bounds on $|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|$ and $|\bar{R'} - \underline{L'}|$ are as follows:

$$|\underline{R} - \bar{L}| > e^{-12B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|, \ |\bar{R'} - \underline{L'}| < e^{11B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|}{|\bar{R'} - \underline{L'}|} > \frac{e^{-12B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|}{e^{11B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|} = e^{-23B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

case $x = \lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda$

This case is symmetric with the case where $x = \lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda$. It can also be split into 3 subcases by: $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda < 0$, $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda = 0$ and $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda > 0$. Without loss of generalization, we consider the worst case where the error propagate in the same direction, i.e. $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda < 0$.

From this assumption, let $y_1 = x - (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, $y_2 = x + (\frac{\Lambda}{2})$, we know $y_1 < 0$, $y_2 < 0$. Since $f(a) + 1 = f(a')$, we also have $\lfloor f(a) \rceil < \lfloor f(a') \rceil < 0$. So, we know s can only be -1 for input a but s can be 1 or -1 for input a'.

For input a', Let $S = s$, $L' = e^{\epsilon(y_1 - f(a'))}$ and $R' = e^{\epsilon(y_2 - f(a))}$, we have $\forall u \in (L', R')$: $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a') \Downarrow^{\mathbb{R}} [z \mapsto x]$.

Let l' and r' be the range where $\forall u \in (l', r')$ and $S = s$, s.t. $[U \mapsto u, S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}''(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [z \mapsto x]$.

We know: $\underline{L'} < l' < \bar{L'}$, $\underline{R'} < r < \bar{R'}$ s.t.:

$$[U \mapsto l', S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_1] \wedge [U \mapsto r', S \mapsto s], \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Downarrow^{\mathbb{F}} [y \mapsto y_2].$$

Induction on s, we have: When $s = 1$.

The transition from R' to r' given the transition environment $\Theta = [U \mapsto (R'_+, (\underline{R'_+}, \bar{R'_+})), S \mapsto$

$(1,(1,1))]$ is shown as following:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\Theta, U \Rightarrow R_+, (R'_+, R'_+)}{\Theta, \ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(\ln(R_+), (\ln(R'_+)(1+\eta), \frac{\ln(R'_+)}{1+\eta})\right)}}{\Theta, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(U) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(R'_+)(1+\eta)^2, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\ln(R'_+)}{(1+\eta)^2}\right)}}{\Theta, f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(U) \Rightarrow \left(f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), \left((f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\ln(R'_+)(1+\eta)^2)(1+\eta), (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\ln(R'_+)}{(1+\eta)^2})/(1+\eta)\right)\right)}}{\Theta, \mathsf{Snap}'(a) \Rightarrow \Theta[y \mapsto (f(a) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \ln(R_+), (err_1, err_2))]}$$

From soundness theorem, we have $err_1 \le y_2 \le err_2$. Then we can get following bounds for r:

$\underline{R'_+} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_2(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R'_+} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_2}{1+\eta} - f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

Since $y_2 = \lfloor f(a) \rceil + \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $e^{\epsilon\left((y_2 - f(a))\right)} > 1$, so actually we know $R'_+ = r'_+ = 1$.

We can also derive the bound for l in the same way as:

$\underline{L'_+} = e^{\epsilon\left((y_1(1+\eta) - f(a'))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L'_+} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(\frac{y_1}{1+\eta} - f(a'))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

When $s = -1$, we can derive following bounds in the same way for l and r:

$\underline{L'_-} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - y_2(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L'_-} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{R'_-} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R'_-} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

Since $y_1 = \lfloor f(a') \rceil - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - y_1)\right)} > 1$, so actually we know $R'_- = r'_- = 1$.

For input a, we have only one case where $s = -1$, the following bound can be derived:

$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left(f(a) - (y_2(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a) - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{f(a) - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

We have following bounds on their ratios:

$$\frac{\underline{R'_+}}{R'_+} = e^{\epsilon\left((1+\eta)^3 y_2 - (1+\eta)^2 f(a) - y_2 + f(a)\right)} > e^{-3\epsilon B\eta}, \frac{\bar{R'_+}}{R'_+} = e^{\epsilon\left(frac y_2 (1+\eta)^3 - \frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2} - y_2 + f(a)\right)} < e^{3\epsilon B\eta},$$

The same bound for L'_+ by substituting y_2 with y_1, and similar bound for L, R.

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((1+\eta)^2 f(a) - (1+\eta)^3 y_2 - f(a) + y_2\right)} > e^{-2\epsilon B\eta}, \frac{\bar{R}}{R} = e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2} - frac y_2 (1+\eta)^3 - f(a) + y_2\right)} < e^{2\epsilon B\eta},$$

Using the bound on their ratios, we can get following bounds on $|\bar{R'_-} - \underline{L'_-}|$ and $|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|$:

$$|\bar{R'_-} - \underline{L'_-}| < e^{3\epsilon B\eta} R - e^{-3\epsilon B\eta} L < (R'_- - L'_-) e^{7\epsilon B\eta}, |\underline{R} - \bar{L}| > e^{-2\epsilon B\eta} R - e^{2\epsilon B\eta} L > (R - L) e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}$$

Then we have the following bounds on privacy loss:

$$\frac{\underline{R} - \bar{L}}{2 - (\underline{L'_+} + \underline{L'_-})} > \frac{\underline{R} - \bar{L}}{\bar{R'_-} - \underline{L'_-}} > \frac{e^{-5\epsilon B\eta}(R - L)}{e^{7\epsilon B\eta}(R'_- - L'_-)} = e^{-12\epsilon B\eta - \epsilon}$$

case $x \in (\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda, B)$

This case can also be split into 3 subcases symmetric with the case where $x \in (-B, \lfloor f(a) \rceil_\Lambda)$:

subcase $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda > 0 \vee \lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda < 0 \wedge x \in (0, B)$

let $y_1 = x - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, $y_2 = x + \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $y_1, y_2 > 0$. The bounds derived for l, r and l', r' under input a and a' in this case are as follows:

For input a':

$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - y_2(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - y_1(1+\eta)}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

For input a:

$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a) - y_2(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{f(a) - y_1(1+\eta)}{(1+\eta)^2}}$. The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{R} > e^{-3B\eta\epsilon}, \ \frac{\bar{R}}{R} < e^{3B\eta\epsilon}$$

And the bounds on $|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|$ and $|\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'|$ are as follows:

$$|\underline{R} - \bar{L}| > e^{-7B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|, \ |\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'| < e^{7B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|}{|\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'|} > \frac{e^{-7B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|}{e^{7B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|} = e^{-14B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

subcase $\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda < 0 \wedge x \in (\lfloor f(a') \rceil_\Lambda, 0)$

let $y_1 = x - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, $y_2 = x - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $y_1, y_2 < 0$. The bounds derived for l, r in this case are as follows:

For input a':

$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - y_2(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

For input a:

$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - y_2(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a) - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{f(a) - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{R} > e^{-5B\eta\epsilon}, \ \frac{\bar{R}}{R} < e^{5B\eta\epsilon}$$

And the bounds on $|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|$ and $|\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'|$ are as follows:

$$|\underline{R} - \bar{L}| > e^{-12B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|, \ |\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'| < e^{11B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|}{|\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'|} > \frac{e^{-12B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|}{e^{11B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|} = e^{-23B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

subcase $\lfloor f(a')\rceil_\Lambda < 0 \wedge x = 0$

let $y_1 = x - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, $y_2 = x - \frac{\Lambda}{2}$, we have $y_1 < 0$ and $y_2 > 0$. The bounds derived for l, r in this case are as follows:

For input a':

$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - y_2(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{f(a') - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

For input a:

$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - \frac{y_2}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a) - y_2(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

$\underline{L} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - y_1(1+\eta))(1+\eta)^2\right)}$, $\bar{L} = e^{\epsilon \frac{f(a) - \frac{y_1}{1+\eta}}{(1+\eta)^2}}$.

The bounds on their ratio are as follows:

$$\frac{\underline{R}}{R} > e^{-3B\eta\epsilon},\ \frac{\bar{R}}{R} < e^{3B\eta\epsilon} \frac{\underline{L}}{L} > e^{-5B\eta\epsilon},\ \frac{\bar{L}}{L} < e^{5B\eta\epsilon}$$

And the bounds on $|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|$ and $|\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'|$ are as follows:

$$|\underline{R} - \bar{L}| > e^{-8B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|,\ |\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'| < e^{8B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|$$

So we have the privacy loss is bounded by:

$$\frac{|\underline{R} - \bar{L}|}{|\bar{R}' - \underline{L}'|} > \frac{e^{-8B\eta\epsilon}|R - L|}{e^{8B\eta\epsilon}|R' - L'|} = e^{-16B\eta\epsilon - \epsilon}$$

case $x = B$

We know $s = -1$, $L = l = 0$ and $R = b$, so we only need to estimate the right side range r in this case. The bounds derived for r, r' are as following:

$$\underline{R} = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a) - \frac{x}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}, \bar{R} = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a) - x(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$$
$$\underline{R}' = e^{\epsilon\left((f(a') - \frac{x}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right)}, \bar{R}' = e^{\epsilon \frac{(f(a') - x(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}}$$

The privacy loss of $\mathsf{Snap}(a)$ in this case is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\underline{R} - 0)}{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{R}' - 0)} &= e^{\epsilon\left(\left((f(a) - \frac{x}{1+\eta})(1+\eta)^2\right) - \frac{(f(a') - x(1+\eta))}{(1+\eta)^2}\right)} \\ &= e^{\epsilon\left(f(a)(1+\eta)^2 - x(1+\eta) - \frac{f(a)}{(1+\eta)^2} + \frac{x}{(1+\eta)}\right)} \quad (\star) \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 + 2.1\eta > (1+\eta)^2 > 1 + 2\eta$ and $\frac{1}{(1+\eta)^2} > 1 - 2\eta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\star) &> e^{\epsilon\left((1+2\eta)f(a) - \frac{\eta(\eta+2)}{1+\eta}x - \frac{1}{1+2\eta}(f(a)+1)\right)} \\ &= e^{\epsilon\left(\frac{4\eta(\eta+1)}{1+2\eta}f(a) - \frac{\eta(\eta+2)}{1+\eta}x - \frac{1}{1+2\eta}\right)} \\ &> e^{\epsilon\left(-B\eta\frac{4(\eta+1)}{1+2\eta} + \frac{(\eta+2)}{1+\eta}x - 1\right)} \\ &> e^{\epsilon(-6\eta B - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

□

6 Syntax - Functional

Following are the syntax of our system:

Expr.	e	::=	$x \mid r \mid c \mid F(D) \mid e * e \mid \circ(e) \mid \texttt{let}\ x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu\ \texttt{in}\ e \mid \texttt{let}\ x = e_1\ \texttt{in}\ e_2$
Binary Operation	$*$	::=	$+ \mid - \mid \times \mid \div$
Unary Operation	$\circ$	::=	$\ln \mid - \mid \lfloor \cdot \rceil \mid \mathsf{clamp}_B(\cdot)$
Value	v	::=	$r \mid c$
Distribution	μ	::=	$\mathsf{unif} \mid \texttt{bernoulli}$
Error	err	::=	(e, e)

$$\frac{r \geq 0}{r \Rightarrow \left(\frac{r}{(1+\eta)}, r(1+\eta)\right)}\ \text{VAL} \qquad \frac{r < 0}{r \Rightarrow \left(r(1+\eta), \frac{r}{(1+\eta)}\right)}\ \text{VAL-NEG} \qquad \frac{r = \texttt{fl}(r)}{r \Rightarrow (r, r)}\ \text{VAL-EQ}$$

$$\frac{}{f(D) \Rightarrow (f(D), f(D))}\ \text{F(D)} \qquad \frac{}{\overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu \Rightarrow (\overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu, \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu)}\ \text{SAMPLE}$$

$$\frac{e^1 \Rightarrow (\underline{e}^1, \bar{e}^1) \qquad e^2 \Rightarrow (\underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^2) \qquad e^1 * e^2 \geq 0}{e^1 * e^2 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\underline{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2}{(1+\eta)}, (\bar{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2)(1+\eta)\right)}\ \text{BOP} \qquad \frac{e^1 \Rightarrow (\underline{e}^1, \bar{e}^1) \qquad e^2 \Rightarrow (\underline{e}^2, \bar{e}^2) \qquad e^1 * e^2 < 0}{e^1 * e^2 \Rightarrow \left((\bar{e}^1 * \bar{e}^2)(1+\eta), \frac{\underline{e}^1 * \underline{e}^2}{(1+\eta)}\right)}\ \text{BOP-NEG}$$

$$\frac{e \Rightarrow (\underline{e}, \bar{e}) \qquad \circ(e) \geq 0}{\circ(e) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\circ(\underline{e})}{(1+\eta)}, (\circ(\bar{e}))(1+\eta)\right)}\ \text{UOP} \qquad \frac{e \Rightarrow (\underline{e}, \bar{e}) \qquad \circ(e) < 0}{\circ(e) \Rightarrow \left((\circ(\underline{e}))(1+\eta), \frac{\circ(\bar{e})}{(1+\eta)}\right)}\ \text{UOP-NEG}$$

Figure 5: Semantics of Transition for Expressions with Relative Floating Point Error

$$\frac{\texttt{fl}(r) = c}{r \Downarrow c}\ \text{FVAL} \qquad \frac{e^1 \Downarrow c^1 \qquad e^2 \Downarrow c^2 \qquad \texttt{fl}(c^1 * c^2) = c}{e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow c}\ \text{FBOP} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow c', \qquad \texttt{fl}(\circ(c')) = c}{\circ(e) \Downarrow c}\ \text{FUOP}$$

Figure 6: Semantics of Evaluation in Floating Point Computation

7 Semantics - Functional

The transition semantics with relative floating point computation error are shown in Figure. 5. The semantics are $e \Rightarrow (err)$, which means a real expression e can be transited in floating point computation with error bound err, η is the machine epsilon.

We assume the SAMPLE and F(D) semantics for floating point and real computation are the same. $\mu \Downarrow_{\$} v$ represents v is sampled from the distribution μ.

Theorem 3 (Soundness Theorem)
Given e where the transition $e \Rightarrow (\underline{e}, \bar{e})$ holds, then if e evaluates to c in floating point computation and

$$\frac{}{r \Downarrow r}\ \text{RVAL} \qquad \frac{e^1 \Downarrow r^1 \qquad e^2 \Downarrow r^2 \qquad r^1 * r^2 = r}{e^1 * e^2 \Downarrow r}\ \text{RBOP} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow r', \qquad \circ(r') = r}{\circ(e) \Downarrow r}\ \text{RUOP}$$

$$\frac{c \leftarrow \mu^{\diamond}}{\overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu \Downarrow c}\ \text{SAMPLE} \qquad \frac{f(D) = c}{f(D) \Downarrow c}\ \text{F(D)}$$

Figure 7: Semantics of Evaluation in Real Computation

$\underline{e}$ and $\bar{e}$ evaluates to $\underline{r}$ and $\bar{r}$ in real computation, we have:

$$\underline{r} \leq c \leq \bar{r}$$

References

[1] Gilles Barthe, Marco Gaboardi, Benjamin Grégoire, Justin Hsu, and Pierre-Yves Strub. Proving differential privacy via probabilistic couplings. In *LICS 2016*.

[2] H. Becker, N. Zyuzin, R. Monat, E. Darulova, M. O. Myreen, and A. Fox. A verified certificate checker for finite-precision error bounds in coq and hol4. In *2018 Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design (FMCAD)*, 2018.

[3] Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith. Calibrating Noise to Sensitivity in Private Data Analysis. In *TCC*, 2016.

[4] Matthieu Martel. Semantics of roundoff error propagation in finite precision calculations. *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, 2006.

[5] Ilya Mironov. On significance of the least significant bits for differential privacy. In *CCS 2012*, 2012.

[6] Mariano Moscato, Laura Titolo, Aaron Dutle, and César A. Muñoz. Automatic estimation of verified floating-point round-off errors via static analysis. In Stefano Tonetta, Erwin Schoitsch, and Friedemann Bitsch, editors, *Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security*, 2017.

[7] Tahina Ramananandro, Paul Mountcastle, Benoundefinedt Meister, and Richard Lethin. A unified coq framework for verifying c programs with floating-point computations. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs (CPP)*. Association for Computing Machinery, 2016.