## Verifying Snapping Mechanism

## November 5, 2019

## 1 Formalization

**Definition 1** (Snap( $\mu$ , a): Distr(U)  $\rightarrow A \rightarrow$  Distr(B))

The ideal Snapping mechanism  $Snap(\mu, a)$  is defined as:

$$u \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu; y = \frac{\ln(|u|)}{\epsilon}; ssign(u); z = s * y; x = f(a); w = x + z; w' = \lfloor w \rfloor_{\Lambda}; r = clamp_B(w')$$

where f is the query function over input  $a \in A$ ,  $\epsilon$  is the privacy budget and S sampled from  $\{-1, +1\}$  with Bernoulli(0.5).

#### **Definition 2**

Let  $\epsilon \leq 0$ . The  $\epsilon$ -DP divergence  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  between two sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$  is defined as:

$$\sup_{E \in U} \Bigl( \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_1} [x \in E] - \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_2} [x \in \cdot E]] \Bigr)$$

#### **Definition 3** ( $\epsilon$ - dilation)

Let  $\epsilon \ge 0$ . The  $\epsilon$ -dilation  $D_{\epsilon}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  between two sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U)$  is defined as:

$$\sup_{E \in U} \Bigl( \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_1} [x \in E] - \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mu_2} [x \in \exp(-\epsilon) \cdot E]] \Bigr)$$

#### **Proposition 1** $((\epsilon, \delta)$ -differential privacy)

For every pair of sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U), \, \mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U), \, \mathrm{s.t.}$ 

$$D_{\epsilon}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \leq \delta$$
,

The snapping mechanism  $\mathsf{Snap}(\mu, a) : \mathsf{Distr}(U) \to A \to \mathsf{Distr}(B)$  is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$  - differentially private w.r.t. an adjacency relation  $\Phi$  for every two adjacent inputs a, a' and  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ 

*Proof.* Followed directly by unfolding the Snap mechanism

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mathsf{Snap}(\mu_1,a)}[x=e] & = & \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_1}[\lfloor f(a) + \frac{s \cdot \log(u)}{\epsilon} \rfloor_{\Lambda} = e] \\ \\ & = & \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_1}[u \in [\frac{\exp((e-\frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S}, \frac{\exp((e+\frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S})] \\ \\ & \leq & \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_2}[u \in \exp(-\epsilon)[\frac{\exp((e-\frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S}, \frac{\exp((e+\frac{\Lambda}{2} - f(a))\epsilon)}{S})] \\ \\ & = & \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{u \leftarrow \mu_2}[\lfloor f(a') + \frac{s \cdot \log(u)}{\epsilon} \rfloor_{\Lambda} = e] \\ \\ & = & \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{x \leftarrow \mathsf{Snap}(\mu_2,a')}[x=e] \end{array}$$

### **Definition 4** $((\epsilon, \delta)$ - **lifting** [1])

Two sub-distributions  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_1)$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_2)$  are related by the  $(\epsilon, \delta)$  - dilation lifting of  $\Psi \subseteq U_1 \times U_2$ , written  $\mu_1 \Psi^{\#(\epsilon, \delta)} \mu_2$ , if there exist two witness sub-distributions  $\mu_L \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_1 \times U_2)$  and  $\mu_R \in \mathsf{Distr}(U_1, U_2)$  s.t.:

$$\overline{u_{1} \stackrel{\$}{\rightleftharpoons} \mu \sim_{\epsilon,0} u_{2} \stackrel{\$}{\rightleftharpoons} \mu : T \Rightarrow e^{-\epsilon} u_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq e^{\epsilon} u_{2}}$$

$$\overline{y_{1} = \frac{\ln(|u_{1}|)}{\epsilon} \sim_{0,0} y_{2} = \frac{\ln(|u_{2}|)}{\epsilon} : e^{-\epsilon} u_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq e^{\epsilon} u_{2} \Rightarrow y_{2} - 1 \leq y_{1} \leq 1 + y_{2}}$$

$$\overline{s_{1} = \operatorname{sign}(u_{1}) \sim_{0,0} s_{2} = \operatorname{sign}(u_{2}) : e^{-\epsilon} u_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq e^{\epsilon} u_{2} \Rightarrow s_{1} = s_{2}}$$

$$\overline{z_{1} = s_{1} * y_{1} \sim_{0,0} z_{2} = s_{2} * y_{2} : s_{1} = s_{2} \wedge y_{2} - 1 \leq y_{1} \leq 1 + y_{2} \Rightarrow |z_{1} - z_{2}| \leq 1}$$

$$\overline{x_{1} = f(a_{1}) \sim_{0,0} x_{2} = f(a_{2}) : a_{1} = a_{2} + 1 \Rightarrow x_{1} = x_{2} + 1}$$

$$\overline{w_{1} = x_{1} + z_{1} \sim_{0,0} w_{2} = x_{2} + z_{2} : x_{1} = x_{2} + 1 \wedge |z_{1} - z_{2}| \leq 1 \wedge -2 \leq k \leq 0 \Rightarrow w_{1} + k = w_{2}}$$

$$\overline{w'_{1} = \lfloor w_{1} \rfloor_{\Lambda} \sim_{0,0} w'_{2} = \lfloor w_{2} \rfloor_{\Lambda} : w_{1} + k = w_{2} \wedge -2 \leq k \leq 0 \Rightarrow w'_{1} + k = w'_{2}}$$

Figure 1: Coupling Derivation of two Snap mechanisms:  $Snap(\mu_1, a_1)$ ,  $Snap(\mu_2, a_2)$ 

 $\overline{r_1 = \mathsf{clamp}_B(w_1') \sim_{0,0} r_2 = \mathsf{clamp}_B(w_2') : w_1' + k = w_2' \land -2 \le k \le 0 \Rightarrow r_1 + k = r_2}$ 

- 1.  $\pi_1(\mu_L) = \mu_1$  and  $\pi_2(\mu_R) = \mu_2$ ;
- 2.  $supp(\mu_L) \subseteq \Psi$  and  $supp(\mu_R) \subseteq \Psi$ ; and
- 3.  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) \leq \delta$ .

#### Theorem 2

Let  $\mu_1 \in \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mu_2 \in \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R})$  are defined:

$$\mu_1(x) = \operatorname{unif}(x)$$

$$\mu_2(y) = \operatorname{unif}(y)$$

where unif is uniform distribution over (-1,1) whoes pdf. is defined as:

$$pdf_{unif}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & x \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}.$$

Then,  $\mu_1 \Psi^{\#(\epsilon,0)} \mu_2$ , where

$$\Psi = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} | x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} \le y \le x \cdot e^{\epsilon} \}$$

*Proof.* Existing  $\mu_L, \mu_R \in \mathsf{Distr}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ :

$$\mu_L(x,y) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{unif}(x) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \wedge x \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} \\ \mu_R(x,y) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{unif}(y) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \wedge y \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}.$$

Their pdf. are defined:

$$\operatorname{pdf}_{\mu_L}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{pdf}_{\operatorname{unif}}(x) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \wedge x \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$$
 
$$\operatorname{pdf}_{\mu_R}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{pdf}_{\operatorname{unif}}(y) & x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y \wedge y \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}.$$

- $supp(\mu_L) \in \Psi \land supp(\mu_R) \in \Psi$ 
  - $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_L) \subseteq \Psi$ By definition of the pdf of  $\mu_L$ , we have:  $\Pr_{(x,y) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_L} [(x,y) \notin \Psi] = 0.$

Then we can derive  $supp(\mu_L) \in \Psi$ 

- supp $(\mu_R) \subseteq \Psi$ By definition of the pdf of  $\mu_R$ , we have:  $\Pr_{(x,y) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_R} [(x,y) \notin \Psi] = 0.$ 

Then we can derive  $supp(\mu_L) \in \Psi$ 

- $\pi_1(\mu_L) = \mu_1 \wedge \pi_2(\mu_R) = \mu_2$ 
  - $\pi_1(\mu_L) = \mu_1$ By definition of the  $\pi_1$  and pdf of  $\mu_L$ , we have  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathsf{pdf}_{\pi_1(\mu_L)}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) & (x,y) \in \Psi \land x \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) & x \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_1}(x)$$

 $- \pi_1(\mu_R) = \mu_2$ 

Equivalent to showpdf<sub> $\pi_2(\mu_R)$ </sub> = pdf<sub> $\mu_2$ </sub>.

By definition of the  $\pi_2$  and pdf of  $\mu_R$ , we have  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathsf{pdf}_{\pi_2(\mu_R)}(y) = \begin{cases} \int_x \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y) & (x,y) \in \Psi \land y \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y) & y \in (-1,1) \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases} = \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_2}(y)$$

•  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) \leq 0$ 

By definition of  $\epsilon$ -DP divergence, we have:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_{L}, \mu_{R}) &= \sup_{S} \left( \Pr_{(x,y) \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_{L}} [(x,y) \in S] - e^{\epsilon} \Pr_{(x,y) \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mu_{R}} [(x,y) \in S] \right) \\ &= \sup_{S} \left( \int_{(x,y) \in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_{L}}(x,y) - e^{\epsilon} \int_{(x,y) \in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mu_{R}}(x,y) \right) \end{split}$$

**case**  $S \subseteq \{(x, y) | x \in (-1, 1) \land x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y\}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{L},\mu_{R}) &= \int_{(x,y)\in S}\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) - e^{\varepsilon} \int_{(x,y)\in S}\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y) \\ &= \int_{(x,y)\in S}\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) - e^{\varepsilon} \int_{(x,y)\in S}\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x*e^{-\varepsilon}) \\ &= \int_{(x,y)\in S}\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) - e^{\varepsilon} * e^{-\varepsilon} \int_{(x,y)\in S}\mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(x) \\ &= 0 \end{array}$$

**case**  $S \subseteq \{(x, y) | x \in [1, e^{\epsilon}) \cup (-e^{\epsilon}, -1] \land x \cdot e^{-\epsilon} = y\}$ :

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L, \mu_R) = 0 - e^{\epsilon} \int_{(x,y) \in S} \mathsf{pdf}_{\mathsf{unif}}(y)$$
  
< 0

case o.w.

$$\Delta_{\epsilon}(\mu_L,\mu_R) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

# References

[1] Gilles Barthe, Marco Gaboardi, Benjamin Grégoire, Justin Hsu, and Pierre-Yves Strub. Proving differential privacy via probabilistic couplings. In *LICS 2016*.