

Thank you for agreeing to review this paper. Please keep in mind the following as you conduct your review and prepare comments for the authors.

Structure of Review. Prepare your review using any software (RStudio, Word, etc.) and include these 2 parts:

1. Brief paragraph summarizing the manuscript's key points and your general impressions.
2. Itemized list of specific questions or concerns that you'd like the author to consider and address. Include page and line references as appropriate. It might be helpful to divide this section into "major comments" and "minor comments," where major comments relate to substantial concerns whereas minor comments might include typos, grammatical errors, formatting suggestions, and other issues that should be relatively simple to fix.

Consider the following as you review the paper.

- Writing Style
 - Clarity
 - Organization
 - Formatting of report
- Statistical Analysis
 - Thoroughness (at least all potential "flags" explored, as evidence in the commented R code, though not necessarily addressed in the paper if found not to be a problem)
 - Appropriateness of final model - have the authors assessed the appropriateness of their final model?
- Conclusion and Discussion
 - Sufficient support for conclusion - are the conclusions supported by the data?
 - Limitations and Strengths - do the authors thoughtfully consider limitations and strengths of their work?
- Figures and Tables
 - Appropriate choice of tables and figures to include in paper
 - Appropriate use of labels - informative and descriptive
 - Formatting of tables and figures (e.g. no copy and paste of R output)

Submitting your review. When you have finalized your review,

1. Save the file as a .pdf with filename— *Author Last Names-Reviewer Last Names.pdf*, e.g. BradleyHoynes-Alamolnoa.pdf
2. E-mail your review to both the authors *and* me, using subject line— MATH 242 Section 5x: Review of *Author Last Names* by *Reviewer Last Names*, where you replace 5x with your section number (either 51 or 52)