

# Communication avoiding QR factorization and orthogonalization of a set of vectors

Laura Grigori

EPFL and PSI

October 3, 2023



# Plan

## Orthogonalization processes

- QR factorization

- Gram-Schmidt (GS) orthogonalization process

- CholeskyQR

- Block QR factorization

## Communication avoiding QR factorization

- TSQR: QR factorization of a tall skinny matrix

- Reconstruct Householder vectors from TSQR

# Plan

## Orthogonalization processes

- QR factorization

- Gram-Schmidt (GS) orthogonalization process

- CholeskyQR

- Block QR factorization

Communication avoiding QR factorization

# The QR factorization

Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ , its QR factorization is

$$A = QR = (\tilde{Q} \quad \bar{Q}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{Q} \tilde{R}$$

where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is orthogonal and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is upper triangular.

The thin factorization has  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

If  $A$  has full rank, the factorization  $\tilde{Q} \tilde{R}$  is essentially unique (modulo signs of diagonal elements of  $\tilde{R}$ ).

- $A^T A = \tilde{R}^T \tilde{R}$  is a Cholesky factorization and  $A = A \tilde{R}^{-1} \tilde{R}$  is a QR factorization.
- $A = \tilde{Q} D \cdot D \tilde{R}$ ,  $D = \text{diag}(\pm 1)$  is a QR factorization.

# Gram-Schmidt (GS) orthogonalization process

**Given** set of linearly independent vectors  $W = [w_1, \dots, w_b]$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times b}$ ,  $m \gg b$ .

**Construct** an orthogonal basis  $\tilde{Q} = [q_1, \dots, q_b]$  such that  $W = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$ ,  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times b}$ ,  $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times b}$ .

**For** each  $w_j$  **do**

1. Given  $P_{j-1}$  projector on  $\text{span}(\tilde{Q}_{j-1})^\perp$ , compute  $q_j \perp q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}$  as

$$q_j = P_{j-1}w_j$$

2. Normalize  $q_j$

**End For**

# Projectors $P_j$ used in Gram-Schmidt

- **Classical Gram-Schmidt (CGS)**: BLAS-2 matrix-vector operations

- one synchronization for each new vector  $w_j$

$$P_{j-1} = I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1}^T$$

- Numerical stability: assume  $c_2(m, b) \kappa^2(W) u < 1$ ,

$$\|I - \tilde{Q}^T \tilde{Q}\| = c_1(m, b) \kappa^2(W) u, \quad c_1, c_2 = \mathcal{O}(mb^2)$$

- **CGS2**: BLAS-2 matrix-vector operations

- CGS2: two synchronizations for each vector  $w_j$

$$P_{j-1} = (I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1}^T)(I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1}^T),$$

- Numerical stability: assume  $c_4(m, b) \kappa(W) u < 1$ ,  $c_4 = \mathcal{O}(m^2 b^3)$

$$\|I - \tilde{Q}^T \tilde{Q}\| = c_3(m, n) u, \quad c_3 = \mathcal{O}(mb^{3/2})$$

→ good efficiency, but stability issues

# Projectors $P_j$ used in Gram-Schmidt

- **Modified Gram-Schmidt (MGS)** : BLAS-1 vector-vector operations

- $(j - 1)$  synchronizations for each vector  $w_j$

$$P_{j-1} = (I - q_{j-1}q_{j-1}^T) \dots (I - q_1q_1^T)$$

- Numerical stability: assume  $c_3(m, b)\kappa(W)u < 1$ ,

$$\|I - \tilde{Q}^T \tilde{Q}\| = c_3(m, b)\kappa(W)u, c_3 = \mathcal{O}(mb)$$

→ better numerical stability, but poor efficiency

- Orthogonal projector

$$P_{j-1} = I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1}(\tilde{Q}_{j-1}^T \tilde{Q}_{j-1})^{-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1} = I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1}^\dagger$$

Note:  $u$  machine precision,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times b}$ ,  $\kappa(W)$  is condition number of  $W$ , bounds from [Giraud et al., 2005].

# Projectors $P_j$ used in Gram-Schmidt

- **Modified Gram-Schmidt (MGS)** : BLAS-1 vector-vector operations

- $(j - 1)$  synchronizations for each vector  $w_j$

$$P_{j-1} = (I - q_{j-1}q_{j-1}^T) \dots (I - q_1q_1^T)$$

- Numerical stability: assume  $c_3(m, b)\kappa(W)u < 1$ ,

$$\|I - \tilde{Q}^T \tilde{Q}\| = c_3(m, b)\kappa(W)u, c_3 = \mathcal{O}(mb)$$

→ better numerical stability, but poor efficiency

- **Orthogonal projector**

$$P_{j-1} = I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1}(\tilde{Q}_{j-1}^T \tilde{Q}_{j-1})^{-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1} = I - \tilde{Q}_{j-1} \tilde{Q}_{j-1}^\dagger$$

Note:  $u$  machine precision,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times b}$ ,  $\kappa(W)$  is condition number of  $W$ , bounds from [Giraud et al., 2005].



- **CholeskyQR** : BLAS-3 matrix-matrix operations

Compute  $W = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$  as:

1. Compute the Cholesky factorization of  $W^T W$  as  $W^T W = \tilde{R}^T \tilde{R}$
2. Compute the orthogonal factor as  $\tilde{Q} = W\tilde{R}^{-1}$

- Numerical stability: assume  $O(u)\kappa^2(W) < 1$ , then

$$\|I - \tilde{Q}^T \tilde{Q}\| = O(u)\kappa^2(W)$$


# Householder transformation

The Householder matrix

$$P = I - \frac{2}{v^T v} v v^T$$

has the following properties:

- is symmetric and orthogonal,  
 $P^2 = I$ ,
- is independent of the scaling of  $v$ ,
- it reflects  $x$  about the hyperplane  $\text{span}(v)^\perp$



$$Px = x - \frac{2v^T x}{v^T v} v = x - \alpha v$$

Presentation of Householder transformations and stability analysis from [N.J.Higham, 2002].

## Householder for the QR factorization

We look for a Householder matrix that allows to annihilate the elements of a vector  $x$ , except first one.

$$Px = y, \quad \|x\|_2 = \|y\|_2, \quad y = \sigma e_1, \quad \sigma = \pm \|x\|_2$$

With the choice of sign made to avoid cancellation when computing  $v_1 = x_1 - \sigma$  (where  $v_1, x_1$  are the first elements of vectors  $v, x$  respectively), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v &= x - y = x - \sigma e_1, \\ \sigma &= -\text{sign}(x_1) \|x\|_2, \quad v = x - \sigma e_1, \\ P &= I - \beta vv^T, \quad \beta = \frac{2}{v^T v} \end{aligned}$$

## Householder based QR factorization

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ x & x & x \\ x & x & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x \\ 0 & x & x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \tilde{P}_2 \end{pmatrix} P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = R$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^T A &= P_n P_{n-1} \dots P_1 A = R, \\ Q &= (I - \beta_1 v_1 v_1^T) \dots (I - \beta_{n-1} v_{n-1} v_{n-1}^T) (I - \beta_n v_n v_n^T) \end{aligned}$$

$$\#flops = 2n^2(m - n/3)$$

# Error analysis of the QR factorization

The following result follows

## Theorem ([N.J.Higham, 2002])

*Let  $\hat{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be the computed factor of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  obtained by using Householder transformations. Then there is an orthogonal  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  such that*

$$A + \Delta A = Q\hat{R}, \text{ where } \|\Delta a_j\|_2 \leq \tilde{\gamma}_{mn} \|a_j\|_2, \quad j = 1 : n,$$

*where  $\tilde{\gamma}_{mn} = cmnu/(1 - cmnu)$ ,  $c$  is a constant,  $u$  is machine precision,  $mnu < 1$ ,  $a_j$  denotes the  $j$ -th column of  $A$ .*

# Computational complexity

## ■ Flops per iterations

- Dot product  $w = v_k^T A(k : m, k + 1 : n) : 2(m - k)(n - k)$
- Outer product  $v_k w : (m - k)(n - k)$
- Subtraction  $A(k : m, k + 1 : n) - \dots : (m - k)(n - k)$

## ■ Flops of Householder-QR

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^n 4(m - k)(n - k) &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^n (mn - k(m + n) + k^2) \\ &\approx 4mn^2 - 4(m + n)n^2/2 + 4n^3/3 = 2mn^2 - 2n^3/3\end{aligned}$$

# Algebra of block QR

Storage efficient representation for Q [Schreiber and Loan, 1989]

$$Q = P_1 P_2 \dots P_k = (I - \beta_1 v_1 v_1^T) \dots (I - \beta_k v_k v_k^T) = I - YTY^T$$

Example for  $k = 2$

$$Y = (v_1 | v_2), \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & -\beta_1 v_1^T v_2 \beta_2 \\ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example for combining two compact representations

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (I - Y_1 T_1 Y_1^T)(I - Y_2 T_2 Y_2^T) \\ T &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & -T_1 Y_1^T Y_2 T_2 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

# Block algorithm for computing the QR factorization

Partitioning of matrix  $A$  of size  $m \times n$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11}$  is of size  $b \times b$ ,  $A_{21}$  is of size  $(m - b) \times b$ ,  $A_{12}$  is of size  $b \times (n - b)$  and  $A_{22}$  is of size  $(m - b) \times (n - b)$ .

## Block QR algebra

The first step of the block QR factorization algorithm computes:

$$Q_1^T A = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ & A^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The algorithm continues recursively on the trailing matrix  $A^1$ .



# Algebra of block QR factorization

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = Q_1 \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ & A^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Block QR algebra

1. Compute the factorization

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{12} \end{pmatrix} = Q_1 R_{11}$$

2. Compute the compact representation  $Q_1 = I - YTY^T$
3. Apply  $Q_1^T$  on the trailing matrix

$$(I - YTY^T) \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} - Y \left( T^T \left( Y^T \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right)$$

4. The algorithm continues recursively on the trailing matrix  $A^1$ .

# Parallel implementation of the QR factorization

QR factorization on a  $P = P_r \times P_c$  grid of processors

For  $ib = 1$  to  $n-1$  step  $b$

1. Compute panel factorization on  $P_r$  processors

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{12} \end{pmatrix} = Q_1 R_{11} = (I - YTY^T)R_{11}$$

2. The  $P_r$  processors broadcast along the rows their parts of  $Y$  and  $T$

3. Apply  $Q_1^T$  on the trailing matrix:

- All processors compute their local part of

$$W_l = Y_l^T (A_{12l}; A_{22l})$$

- The processors owning block row  $ib$  compute the sum over  $W_l$ , that is

$$W = Y^T (A_{12}; A_{22})$$

and then compute  $W' = T^T W$

- The processors owning block row  $ib$  broadcast along the columns their part of  $W'$

4. All processors compute

$$(A_{12}^1; A_{22}^1) = (A_{12}; A_{22}) - Y * W'$$

## Cost of parallel QR factorization

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \cdot \left( \frac{6mn b - 3n^2 b}{2p_r} + \frac{n^2 b}{2p_c} + \frac{2mn^2 - 2n^3/3}{p} \right) \\ + & \beta \cdot \left( nb \log p_r + \frac{2mn - n^2}{p_r} + \frac{n^2}{p_c} \right) \\ + & \alpha \cdot \left( 2n \log p_r + \frac{2n}{b} \log p_c \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Plan

Orthogonalization processes

Communication avoiding QR factorization


TSQR: QR factorization of a tall skinny matrix

Reconstruct Householder vectors from TSQR

# TSQR: QR factorization of a tall skinny matrix

- QR decomposition of  $m \times b$  matrix  $W$ ,  $m \gg b$  using Householder transformations
  - $P$  processors, block row layout
- Classic Parallel Algorithm
  - Compute Householder vector for each column
  - Number of messages  $\approx b \cdot \log_2 P$
- Communication Avoiding Algorithm
  - Reduction operation, with QR as operator
  - Number of messages  $\log_2 P$

# TSQR: QR factorization of a tall skinny matrix



J. Demmel, LG, M. Hoemmen, J. Langou, 08

References: Golub, Plemmons, Sameh 88, Pothen, Raghavan, 89, Da Cunha, Becker, Patterson, 02

# Algebra of TSQR

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &= \begin{pmatrix} W_0 \\ W_1 \\ W_2 \\ W_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{00} R_{00} \\ Q_{10} R_{10} \\ Q_{20} R_{20} \\ Q_{30} R_{30} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} Q_{00} & & & \\ \hline & Q_{10} & & \\ \hline & & Q_{20} & \\ \hline & & & Q_{30} \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} R_{00} \\ R_{10} \\ R_{20} \\ R_{30} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\begin{pmatrix} R_{00} \\ R_{10} \\ \hline R_{20} \\ R_{30} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{00} \\ R_{10} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} R_{20} \\ R_{30} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{01} R_{01} \\ Q_{11} R_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Q_{01} & \\ \hline & Q_{11} \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} R_{01} \\ R_{11} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\begin{pmatrix} R_{01} \\ \hline R_{11} \end{pmatrix} = Q_{02} \cdot R_{02}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Algebra of TSQR (contd)

- $Q$  is represented implicitly as a product of orthogonal matrices


$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_0 \\ W_1 \\ W_2 \\ W_3 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} Q_{00} & & & \\ \hline & Q_{10} & & \\ \hline & & Q_{20} & \\ \hline & & & Q_{30} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Q_{01} & \\ \hline & Q_{11} \end{array} \right) \cdot Q_{02} \cdot R_{02}. \quad (1)$$

- For the products to make sense, dimensions of intermediate  $Q$  factors must be chosen consistently. They can all be square, or they can all have  $b$  columns (in which case each  $R$  factor will be  $b \times b$ )




# Flexibility of TSQR and CAQR algorithms

- Reduction tree will depend on the underlying architecture, could be chosen dynamically




(a) Parallel TSQR




(b) Householder QR

**Figure:** Graphical comparison of parallel TSQR and Householder QR.




**Figure:** Graphical representation of sequential TSQR.

# CAQR based on TSQR



(a) Parallel TSQR




(b) Householder QR

CAQR: communication avoiding QR factorization of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$



- TSQR used to compute the panel factorization
- Update of trailing matrix follows same reduction tree structure as TSQR

## CAQR



# TSQR: QR factorization of a tall skinny matrix

Goal: to simplify the usage of TSQR in CAQR, reconstruct Householder vectors from TSQR to provide the same output as Householder QR.



J. Demmel, LG, M. Hoemmen, J. Langou, 08

Ballard, Demmel, LG, Jacquelin, Nguyen, Solomonik, 14

# Reconstruct Householder vectors from TSQR


- The QR factorization using Householder vectors

$$W = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R} = (I - YTY_1^T)$$

where  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$

- can be re-written as an LU factorization

$$\begin{aligned} W - \tilde{R} &= Y(-TY_1^T)\tilde{R} \\ \tilde{Q} - I &= Y(-TY_1^T) \end{aligned}$$





NB: in the figure  $Q$  should be the thin  $\tilde{Q}$

# Reconstruct Householder vectors from TSQR



1. Perform TSQR
2. Form  $\tilde{Q}$  explicitly (tall-skinny orthonormal factor)
3. Perform LU decomposition  
 $\tilde{Q} - I = LU$
4. Set  $Y = L$
5. Set  $T = -UY_1^{-T}$

Obtain the compact representation:

$$Q = I - YTY^T = I - \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} T (Y_1^T Y_2^T)$$



# Strong scaling of TSQR



- Hopper: Cray XE6 (NERSC) – 2 × 12-core AMD Magny-Cours (2.1 GHz)
- Edison: Cray CX30 (NERSC) – 2 × 12-core Intel Ivy Bridge (2.4 GHz)
- Effective flop rate, computed by dividing  $2mn^2 - 2n^3/3$  by measured runtime

Ballard, Demmel, LG, Jacquelin, Knight, Nguyen, and Solomonik, 2015

# CAQR based on TSQR


## Cost of CAQR vs ScaLAPACK's PDGEQRF

- $n \times n$  matrix on  $\sqrt{P} \times \sqrt{P}$  processor grid, block size  $b$
- Flops:  $(4/3)n^3/P + 3/4n^2b \log_2 P / \sqrt{P}$  vs  $(4/3)n^3/P$
- Bandwidth:  $(3/4)n^2 \log_2 P / \sqrt{P}$  vs same
- Latency:  $2.5n \log_2 P / b$  vs  $1.5n \log_2 P$

## Close to optimal (modulo log P factors)

- Assume  $O(n^2/P)$  memory/processor,  $O(n^3)$  algorithm
- Choose  $b$  near  $n/\sqrt{P}$  (its upper bound)
- Bandwidth lower bound:  $\Omega(n^2/\sqrt{P})$  – just  $\log_2 P$  smaller
- Latency lower bound:  $\Omega(\sqrt{P})$  – just  $\text{polylog}(P)$  smaller

# Modeled Speedups of CAQR vs ScaLAPACK



Petascale machine with 8192 procs, each at 500 GFlops/s, a bandwidth of 4 GB/s,  $\gamma = 2 \cdot 10^{-12}$ ,  $\alpha = 10^{-5}$ ,  $\beta = 2 \cdot 10^{-9}$  sec/word



- TSQR/CAQR implemented in
  - Intel Data analytics library
  - GNU Scientific Library
  - ScaLAPACK
  - Spark for data mining
- CALU (introduced in next lecture) implemented in
  - Cray's libsci
  - To be implemented in lapack/scapalack

## Solving least squares problems

Given matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) = n$ , vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ , the unique solution to  $\min_x \|Ax - b\|_2$  is

$$x = A^+ b, \quad A^+ = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$$

### Using the QR factorization of $A$

$$A = QR = (\tilde{Q} \quad \bar{Q}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

We obtain





$$\begin{aligned} \|r\|_2^2 &= \|b - Ax\|_2^2 = \|b - (\tilde{Q} \quad \bar{Q}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Q}^T \\ \bar{Q}^T \end{pmatrix} b - \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x \right\|_2^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Q}^T b - \tilde{R}x \\ \bar{Q}^T b \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\tilde{Q}^T b - \tilde{R}x\|_2^2 + \|\bar{Q}^T b\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Solve  $\tilde{R}x = \tilde{Q}^T b$  to minimize  $\|r\|_2$ .

# Acknowledgement

- Some of the examples taken from [Golub and Van Loan, 1996]

# References (1)

-  Giraud, L., Langou, J., Rozložník, M., and Eshof, J. v. d. (2005).  
Rounding error analysis of the classical gram-schmidt orthogonalization process.  
*Numerische Mathematik*, 101(1):87–100.
-  Golub, G. H. and Van Loan, C. F. (1996).  
*Matrix Computations (3rd Ed.)*.  
Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, USA.
-  N.J.Higham (2002).  
*Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*.  
SIAM, second edition.
-  Schreiber, R. and Loan, C. V. (1989).  
A storage efficient  $WY$  representation for products of Householder transformations.  
*SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.*, 10(1):53–57.