

# Energy release rate of the fiber/matrix interface crack growth in $[0_{m \cdot 2n}^\circ, 90_n^\circ]_S$ laminates under transverse loading: effect of $0^\circ/90^\circ$ interface

Luca Di Stasio<sup>a,b</sup>, Janis Varna<sup>b</sup>, Zoubir Ayadi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université de Lorraine, EEIGM, IJL, 6 Rue Bastien Lepage, F-54010 Nancy, France

<sup>b</sup> Luleå University of Technology, University Campus, SE-97187 Luleå, Sweden

---

## Abstract

Models of Representative Volume Elements (RVEs) of cross-ply  $[0_{m \cdot 2n}^\circ, 90_n^\circ]_S$  laminates with different geometric configurations and damage states are studied. Debond growth is characterized by the estimation of the Mode I and Mode II Energy Release Rate (ERR) using the Virtual Crack Closure Technique (VCCT) and the J-integral. It is found that the presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  interface and the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer have no effect, apart from laminates with *ultra-thin*  $90^\circ$  plies where it is however modest. With the exception of cross-ply laminates with an *ultra-thin*  $90^\circ$  ply, no difference is found in debond ERR between a UD composite and a cross-ply laminate.

**Keywords:** Polymer-matrix Composites (PMCs), Thin-ply, Transverse Failure, Debonding, Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

---

## 1. Introduction

Since the development of the *spread tow* technology or “FUKUI method” [1, 2], significant efforts have been directed toward the characterization of *thin-ply* laminates [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and their application to mission-critical structures in the aerospace sector [16, 17, 18, 19].

At the lamina level, the use of *thin-ply*s leads to more regular and homogeneous microstructures [9, 12]. Measurement of ply level properties (tensile and compressive modulus, Poisson’s ratio, ultimate tensile strength, tensile on-

set of damage, interlaminar shear strength) on UD specimens ( $[0_m^\circ]$  and  $[90_m^\circ]$ )  
10 revealed no remarkable difference with average properties available in the literature for the same type of fiber, nor showed any particular dependence on the ply thickness [12]. Only an increase of the ultimate compressive strength in the fiber direction was observed with very thin plies ( $\sim 4$  fiber diameters), although with very scattered values, which the authors claim to be due to the  
15 fiber arrangement's increased regularity which prevents the onset of fiber microbuckling [12]. A number of researchers [4, 5, 6, 7] has reported improvements in fatigue life with the use of *thin-ply*s, which are explained as a consequence of delayed propagation of free edge delaminations and intralaminar cracks. Several researchers have in fact analyzed the beneficial effect of *thin-ply*s on damage  
20 development under static [3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], fatigue [4, 6, 7, 8, 12] and impact loadings [6, 7, 8, 12]. It seems apparent that *thin-ply* laminates possess an increased ability to delay, and in some cases even suppress, the onset and propagation of intralaminar cracks (or transverse or matrix or micro-cracks).  
The first appearance of transverse cracks is known to be characterized by the  
25 occurrence of fiber/matrix interface cracks (also referred to as debonds), which grow along the fiber arc direction, then kink out of the interface and coalesce forming a transverse crack [20]. Different approaches have been applied to model the initiation and growth of debonds. The Cohesive Zone Model (CZM) has been used to mimic the propagation of debonds along fiber interfaces; coupled with a failure criterion for the matrix, it has provided simulations of the  
30 growth of transverse cracks starting from a virgin material [21, 22, 23, 24]. The main advantages of this approach are the possibility to observe the development of a simulated crack path and to record a load-displacement curve to compare with experimental measurement. However, various observations cast a doubt  
35 about the applicability of the CZM: the bi- (for 2D models) and tri- (in 3D) axially of the matrix stress state in the inter-fiber region that is linked with a cavitation-like failure of the polymer [25]; the locality and mode dependency of the interface failure [26]; the problematic use at the microscopic level of properties measured in UD specimens at the laminate level [22]. A second approach

40 that obviates these drawbacks is the application of Linear Elastic Fracture Me-  
 chanics (LEFM) arguments to the study of debond growth. The analysis focuses  
 on the evaluation of Mode I and Mode II Energy Release Rate (ERR) at the  
 crack tip by means of the Virtual Crack Closure Technique (VCCT) [27] or the  
 J-Integral method [28]. The stress and strain field, required for the ERR compu-  
 45 tation, can be solved by application of different methodologies such as analytical  
 solutions [29], the Boundary Element Method (BEM) [30] or the Finite Element  
 Method (FEM) [31]. The limitation of this approach is that it describes prop-  
 agation of the debond, not its initiation. Finite fracture mechanics [32] is one  
 way how to address the initiation problem. Different works have followed the  
 50 LEFM approach and studied models of one or two fibers in an effectively infinite  
 matrix [33, 34, 35, 36, 37] and of an hexagonal cluster of fibers in an effectively  
 infinite homogenized UD composite [38, 31]. The problem of debond growth  
 along the fiber-matrix interface in a cross-ply laminate has been only addressed  
 very recently in [39, 40], where the author embed a single partially debonded  
 55 fiber in an effectively infinite homogenized  $90^\circ$  ply bounded by homogenized  $0^\circ$   
 layers. Thus, the effect of debond-debond interaction and of the relative prox-  
 imity of a bi-material interface on the debond's ERR in cross-ply laminates is  
 yet to be addressed. The present work is devoted to this problem. Models of  
 Repeating Unit Cells (RUCs) are developed to represent laminates with differ-  
 60 ent degrees of damage (here only in the form of debonds). The number of fully  
 bonded fibers across the thickness of the  $90^\circ$  ply is varied in order to investigate  
 the effect of the proximity of the bi-material interface. The thickness of the  
 bounding  $0^\circ$  layers is also analyzed as a parameter of the study. The stress and  
 strain fields are solved with the Finite Element Method in Abaqus [41] and the  
 65 crack characterized by its Mode I and Mode II ERR, calculated with the VCCT  
 and the J-integral method.

## 2. RVE models & FE discretization

### 2.1. Introduction & Nomenclature

In the present work, we investigate debond development in cross-ply  $[0_{m \cdot 2n}^\circ, 90_n^\circ]_S$  laminates under in-plane transverse tension. The interaction between debonds in the presence of a stiff bi-material interface is studied with the use of different RUCs (see Figures 1 and 2 in Sec. 2.2), in which only the central fiber presents damage in the form of a debond. Repetition of the composite RUC can occur only along the in-plane transverse direction only, thus representing a cross-ply laminate with a thin or even ultra-thin  $90^\circ$  ply in the middle.

The thickness of the  $90^\circ$  ply depends on the number of fibers present across the thickness (the vertical or  $z$  direction in Figures 1 and 2) and the value of the fiber volume fraction  $V_f$ . On the other hand, the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layers can be assigned freely as a multiple of the  $90^\circ$  ply thickness, i.e.  $t_{0^\circ} = i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$  where  $i$  is an arbitrary integer. The thickness ratio  $i$  could in theory be assumed to be a real positive number; however, it seems more reasonable to consider it only as a positive integer based on practical considerations on the actual manufacturing of laminates (stacking of a discrete number of pre-impregnated layers). Thus, the thickness ratio  $i$  represents one additional parameter for the investigation. In the RUCs proposed, we consider the  $90^\circ$  ply with debonds as a series of stacked damaged and undamaged fiber rows, each row with only one fiber in the thickness direction. All the RUCs present regular microstructures with fibers placed according to a square-packing configuration and consequently they are Representative Volume Elements (RVE) of cross-ply laminates with a certain distribution of debonds in the middle  $90^\circ$  layer. In the following, let us consider in-plane coordinates  $x$  and  $y$ , where  $x$  is in the transverse direction of the cross-ply laminate under consideration. In the presence of a load in the  $x$ -direction, the strain in the  $y$ -direction is small, due to the very small minor Poisson's ratio of the laminate. Furthermore, debonds are considered to be significantly longer in the fiber direction than in the arc direction [42]. Therefore we use 2D models under the assumption of plane strain, defined in the  $x - z$  section of the com-

posite. The study presented in this paper thus applies to long debonds and its focus is on understanding the mechanisms of growth along their arc direction. The laminates are assumed to be subject to transverse tensile strain, which is applied in the form of a constant displacement in the  $x$ -direction along both vertical boundaries of the RUC as shown in Figure 3.

In summary, the models are differentiated by: first, the spacing between debonds along the horizontal direction in the  $90^\circ$  layer, which corresponds to the number  $n$  of fibers in the RUC's horizontal direction; second, the thickness of the middle  $90^\circ$  ply measured in terms of the number  $k$  of fiber rows; third, the factor  $i$  which provides the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layers as an integer multiple of the  $90^\circ$  ply thickness. It thus seems natural to introduce the common notation  $n \times k - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ . A final additional model is considered to study the effect of equivalent boundary conditions. This final model is constituted by only one partially debonded fiber. The application of coupling of horizontal displacements in the form of a constant applied displacement along the right and left sides allows for repetition along the horizontal direction. The presence of coupling of vertical displacements and a linear distribution of horizontal displacements on the bottom and top surfaces models the presence of the stiff bi-material interface between the  $90^\circ$  and the  $0^\circ$  layers. This model is referred to as  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  given that: it has respectively 1 fiber in the horizontal and in the vertical direction; on the top and bottom surfaces, both horizontal (H) and vertical (V) displacements are assigned. Finally, two single fiber models similar to  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  are considered in the present work for comparison: the  $1 \times 1 - free$  and  $1 \times 1 - coupling$ . In the first, the upper surface is left free; in the second, vertical displacement coupling is applied to the upper boundary. Further details about these models and the corresponding laminate RVE can be found in [43].

## 2.2. Models of Representative Volume Element (RVE)

The first family of models is represented in Figure 1. It represents a set of  $[0_{m \cdot 2n}^\circ, 90_n^\circ]_S$  cross-ply laminates with an ultra-thin  $90^\circ$  layer, constituted by a single row of fibers across the thickness. Debonds appear at regular intervals

measured in terms of number  $n$  of fully bonded fibers present between them, which in turn correspond to the number of fibers along the horizontal direction of the RUC as highlighted in Fig. 1. They are thus the  $n \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$  models, where  $i = 1, 10$  and  $n$  is an integer  $\geq 1$  ( $n = 1$  corresponds to the case of a debond appearing on all the fibers in the central  $90^\circ$  layer). These models are quite extreme, but allow to focus on the interaction between debonds and the inter-ply bi-material interface. Furthermore, the *spread tow* technology is today capable of producing cross-ply laminates with the central  $90^\circ$  layer thickness only 4 – 5 times the fiber diameter, as shown for example in [9], which give practical relevance even to such extreme models.




Figure 1: Models of  $[0_{m \cdot 2n}^\circ, 90_n^\circ]_S$  cross-ply laminates with an ultra-thin  $90^\circ$  layer, where the  $90^\circ$  ply is made up by a single “row” of fibers. Debonds are repeating at different distances, measured in terms of the number  $n$  of fully bonded fibers appearing between two consecutive debonds.

The second set of models considers instead cross-ply laminates with a central  $90^\circ$  ply of variable thickness, measured in terms of number  $k$  of fiber rows appearing in the vertical direction in Figure 2. Once again, debonds appear at regular intervals measured in terms of number  $n$  of fully bonded fibers present between them, which in turn correspond to the number of fibers along the horizontal direction of the RUC as highlighted in Fig. 2. These models are thus the  $n \times k - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$  models, where  $i = 1, 10$ ,  $k > 1$  and  $n$  is an integer  $\geq 1$  ( $n = 1$

corresponds to the case of a debond appearing on all the fibers of the central  
145 fiber row in the  $90^\circ$  layer).




Figure 2: Models of  $[0_{m \cdot 2n}^{\circ}, 90_n^{\circ}]_S$  cross-ply laminates with a  $90^\circ$  layer of variable thickness, determined by the number  $k$  of “rows” of fibers along the vertical direction. Debonds are repeating at different distances along the horizontal direction, measured in terms of the number  $n$  of fully bonded fibers appearing between two consecutive debonds.

By increasing the number  $n$  of fibers in the horizontal direction in the RUC,

decreasing levels of damage (debonds spaced further apart) are considered to be present in the laminate. By increasing the number  $k$  of fiber rows, the thickness of the  $90^\circ$  layer is increased and the effect of the relative proximity of the inter-  
150 ply bi-material interface can thus be studied. Finally, by increasing the factor  $i$ , the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layers is increased for a given thickness of the  $90^\circ$ , which allows the investigation of the size effect or *in-situ* effect for the fiber-matrix interface crack.

### 2.3. Finite Element (FE) discretization

155 The RUCs are discretized using the Finite Element Method (FEM) with the commercial FEM package Abaqus [41]. The length  $l$  and height  $h$  of the model are determined by the number of fibers  $n$  in the horizontal direction, the number of fiber rows  $k$  across the thickness and the thickness ratio  $i$  (see Sec. 2.2) according to Eq. 1:

$$l = 2nL \quad h = (1 + 2i)kL. \quad (1)$$

160 In Eq. 1,  $2L$  is the length of a one-fiber unit (see Fig. 3), which in turn is as a function of the fiber volume fraction  $V_f$  and the fiber radius according to

$$L = \frac{R_f}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{V_f}}. \quad (2)$$

Each fiber in the model has the same radius  $R_f$ , equal to  $1 \mu m$ . This specific value has no physical meaning per se and it has been selected for simplicity. It is useful to observe that, in a linear elastic solution as the one described in the  
165 present article, the ERR is proportional to the geometrical dimensions of the model and thus re-evaluation of the ERR for fibers of any size requires just a multiplication. Furthermore, the local and global  $V_f$  are everywhere equal thanks to the relationships in Eqs. 1 and 2.

The debond appears symmetrically with respect to the  $x$  axis (see Fig. 3)  
170 and we characterize it with the angular size  $\Delta\theta$  (the full debond size is thus  $2\Delta\theta$ ). In the case of large debond sizes ( $\geq 60^\circ - 80^\circ$ ), a region of size  $\Delta\Phi$  to be





Figure 3: Schematic of the model with its main parameters.

determined by the solution itself appears at the crack tip. In this region, called the *contact zone*, the crack faces are in contact and slide on each other. Due to existence of the contact zone, frictionless contact is considered between the two crack faces to avoid interpenetration and allow free sliding. Symmetry with respect to the  $x$  axis is applied on the lower boundary. The upper boundary is free, except for the model  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  which requires on the upper side kinematic coupling of vertical displacements and applied linearly distributed horizontal displacements. Kinematic coupling on the  $x$ -displacement is applied along the left and right boundaries of the model in the form of a constant  $x$ -displacement  $\pm \bar{\epsilon}_x l$ , corresponding to transverse strain  $\bar{\epsilon}_x$  equal to 1%.

The FEM model is discretized using second order, 2D, plane strain triangular (CPE6) and rectangular (CPE8) elements. In the crack tip neighborhood, a refined regular mesh of quadrilateral elements with almost unitary aspect ratio is needed to ensure a correct evaluation of the ERR. The angular size  $\delta$  of an

Table 1: Summary of the mechanical properties of fiber, matrix and UD layer.  $E$  stands for Young’s modulus,  $\mu$  for shear modulus and  $\nu$  for Poisson’s ratio. Indexes  $L$  and  $T$  stand respectively for *longitudinal* and *transverse*.

Material	$V_f$ [%]	$E_L$ [GPa]	$E_T$ [GPa]	$\mu_{LT}$ [GPa]	$\nu_{LT}$ [-]	$\nu_{TT}$ [-]
Glass fiber	-	70.0	70.0	29.2	0.2	0.2
Epoxy	-	3.5	3.5	1.25	0.4	0.4
UD	60.0	43.442	13.714	4.315	0.273	0.465

element in this refined region close to the crack tip is by design equal to  $0.05^\circ$ . The crack faces are modeled as element-based surfaces with a frictionless small-sliding contact pair interaction. The Mode I, Mode II and total Energy Release Rates (ERRs) (respectively  $G_I$ ,  $G_{II}$  and  $G_{TOT}$ ) represent the main result of the numerical analysis. They are computed using the VCCT [27] implemented in a custom Python routine and the total ERR is obtained from the J-integral [28] evaluation by means of the Abaqus built-in functionality. Glass fiber and epoxy are considered throughout this article, and it is assumed that their response always lies in the linear elastic domain. The effective UD properties are computed using Hashin’s Concentric Cylinder Assembly model [44] with the self-consistency scheme for the out-of-plane shear modulus of Christensen [45]. The properties used are listed in Table 1. The model was validated with respect to BEM results of [46, 36]; considerations about the order of accuracy can be found in [43].

### 3. Results & Discussion

#### 3.1. Effect of the proximity of the $0^\circ/90^\circ$ bi-material interface and of the thickness of the $0^\circ$ layer on debond ERR

We first focus our attention on the model  $1 \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ , which represents a particular case of the family  $n \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ . It corresponds to a cross-ply laminate in which the central  $90^\circ$  ply is constituted by only one fiber row, in which each fiber possesses a debond appearing on alternating sides. The model thus

represents an extreme idealization, in the sense that: the central  $90^\circ$  layer is the thinnest that can be conceived and cannot actually be produced; second, a very particular damage state is present for which every fiber is partially debonded from the surrounding matrix. However, the first condition allows us to investigate the direct effect of the proximity of the stiff  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface on debond ERR; the second condition prevents the insurgence of strain magnification effects which would be significant in one-fiber-row ply with debonds appearing at regular intervals of fully bonded fibers [43]. The model  $1 \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$  thus isolates the effect of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface. Given that the ratio  $i = \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}}$  is a free parameter, we can furthermore study the effect of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer on debond ERR.




Figure 4: Effect of the proximity of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface and of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer on Mode I ERR: models  $1 \times 1 - free$ ,  $1 \times 1 - coupling$ ,  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  and  $1 \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

In Figures 4 and 5 respectively the Mode I and Mode II ERR are compared between models  $1 \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$  with  $i = 1, 10, 50, 100$  and models  $1 \times 1 - free$ ,  $1 \times 1 - coupling$  and  $1 \times 1 - H + V$ . It is worth to remind us of the laminate RVE that correspond to these last three models: model  $1 \times 1 - free$  represents a one-fiber-row UD composite with all the fibers partially debonded; model  $1 \times 1 - coupling$  corresponds to a UD laminate with an infinite number of fiber rows and all the fibers partially debonded; model  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  represents a cross-ply laminate with one-fiber-row central  $90^\circ$  ply. Observing Figure 4, it is possible to notice that the presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface translates into a modest increase in the value of  $G_I$  with respect to the free surface. For every value of the thickness, however, the values of  $G_I$  are lower than those computed with the  $1 \times 1 - coupling$  and  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  models. A more significant effect can be observed in relation to contact zone onset, which is delayed from  $\Delta\theta = 60^\circ$  in the presence of a free surface to  $70^\circ$  in the presence of a homogenized  $0^\circ$  layer. The maximum delay is however reached with the models with equivalent boundary conditions ( $1 \times 1 - coupling$  and  $1 \times 1 - H + V$ ), for which the contact zone onset happens at  $\Delta\theta = 80^\circ$ . No effect of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer on Mode I ERR can be observed.

The presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface causes instead a decrease of Mode II for open debonds ( $\Delta\theta < 60^\circ - 70^\circ$ ) and a decrease for close debonds ( $\Delta\theta > 60^\circ - 70^\circ$ ) with respect to the free surface case (see Fig. 5). The trend is the same as the one of the model  $1 \times 1 - H + V$ , but more modest in magnitude. A small effect of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer on Mode II ERR can be noticed in Fig. 5 when the ratio  $i = \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}}$  is increased from 1 to 10. The change between the two follows the same pattern described previously: when the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  ply is increased, Mode II decreases for open debonds and increases for closed debonds.

These results help to shed light on the effect of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface on debond ERR. The presence of the stiff homogenized  $0^\circ$  layer causes the matrix placed relatively far from the fiber (close to the left and right sides) to contract much less than it would do in the presence of a free surface due



Figure 5: Effect of the proximity of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface and of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer on Mode II ERR: models  $1 \times 1 - free$ ,  $1 \times 1 - coupling$ ,  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  and  $1 \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

to its relatively high Poisson's ratio. Furthermore, the presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface induces a more homogeneous  $x$ -displacement field all over the matrix domain. This causes a concurrent increase of  $G_I$  and decrease of  $G_{II}$  for small debonds, where the crack opening displacement component at the crack tip (responsible for Mode I) is mostly due to the global  $x$ -displacement field (which increase in the presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface) while the crack shear displacement component at the crack tip (responsible for Mode II) is instead linked to the global vertical displacement field due to Poisson's effect (which is decreasing). This causes also the delay in the onset of the contact zone. For large debonds instead, after the onset of the contact zone, the situation reverses: the magnitude increase of the global  $x$ -displacement field

260 leads to an increase in the crack shear displacement component at the crack  
 tip and thus in Mode II ERR. By comparing the results for Mode II of models  
 $1 \times 1 - free$ ,  $1 \times 1 - H + V$  and  $1 \times 1 - i \cdot t_{90^\circ}$  with  $i = 1, 10, 50, 100$  (Fig. 5), it  
 can be argued that the effect of the  $0^\circ$  ply thickness is related to the distance  
 of the free surface: for  $t_{0^\circ} = t_{90^\circ}$  a modest effect of the presence of the upper  
 265 free surface of the  $0^\circ$  ply is still felt by the debond and the effect of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$   
 bi-material interface previously described is reduced, with the ERR values closer  
 to the  $1 \times 1 - free$  model. When the thickness ratio is increased to 10, the effect  
 disappears. No further change is observed for thicker  $0^\circ$  layers.

### 3.2. *Effect of the proximity of the $0^\circ/90^\circ$ bi-material interface on debond-debond 270 interaction in a single fiber row $90^\circ$ ply*

We turn now our attention to the models  $n \times 1 - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ , which correspond to  
 a cross-ply laminate in which the central  $90^\circ$  ply is constituted by only one fiber  
 row where debonds appear on alternating sides of the damaged fiber at regular  
 intervals of  $n - 1$  fully bonded fibers (see Figure 1). This class of models allows  
 275 to study the effect of the presence of the  $0^\circ$  layer on debond-debond interaction  
 and, particularly, crack shielding [47, 43].

From Figures 6 and 7 it seems apparent that the effect of the presence of  
 the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface is to reduce the  $x$ -strain magnification caused  
 by the presence of an increasing number of fully bonded fibers between two  
 consecutive debonds. Effects observed in the previous section (Sec. 3.1) are also  
 280 retrievable in Figures 6 and 7. For Mode I, irrespectively of the number of  
 undamaged fibers between two consecutive debonds, the contact zone onset is  
 shifted by  $\sim 10^\circ$  from  $60^\circ$  in the presence of a free surface to  $70^\circ$  when the  
 $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface is present. For Mode II it is possible to observe,  
 285 especially when debonds are closer to each other, that larger debonds show a  
 slightly higher  $G_{II}$ , as discussed in Sec. 3.1.




Figure 6: Effect of the presence of the  $0^\circ$  layer on debond-debond interaction for Mode I ERR: models  $n \times 1 - free$  and  $n \times 1 - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

### 3.3. Effect of the presence of fiber rows with no damage on the debond- $0^\circ/90^\circ$ bi-material interface interaction

After having investigated the effect of the proximity of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface and of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  layer on debond ERR and on debond-debond interaction, we address in this section the effect of the presence of fiber rows with only fully bonded fibers inside (and thus no damage) on the interaction between debonds and the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface interaction. To this end, we study the models  $1 \times k - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ , which represent a cross-ply laminate with the central  $90^\circ$  ply made of  $k$  fiber rows and where all the fibers in the central row are partially debonded. Given that today  $90^\circ$  layers with around 4–5 fibers across the thickness are manufacturable thanks to the *thin-ply* technology, this family of models considers a quite realistic geometric configuration of the  $90^\circ$




Figure 7: Effect of the presence of the  $0^\circ$  layer on debond-debond interaction for Mode II ERR: models  $n \times 1 - free$  and  $n \times 1 - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

ply, although ideally organized following a perfect square-packing arrangement.  
 300 The damage state represents on the other hand quite an extreme idealization:  
 however, the fact that all the fibers in the central row are partially debonded  
 prevents the presence of strain magnification effects.

Figures 8 and 9 show clearly that the presence of the  $0^\circ$  ply does not affect  
 in any measurable way the debond ERR neither in Mode I nor in Mode II: there  
 305 is no difference in  $G_I$   $G_{II}$  between models  $1 \times k - free$  and  $1 \times k - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .

However, in Figures 8 and 9 the central fiber row of the  $90^\circ$  layer possesses  
 only partially debonded fibers, which represents an extreme damage state. It  
 has been shown that the presence of fully bonded fibers causes a magnification of  
 the  $x$ -strain in the debond neighborhood which leads to an increase in ERR both  
 310 in one-fiber-row UD [43] and  $90^\circ$  ply in cross-ply laminates (Sec. 3.2). When






Figure 8: Effect of the presence of undamaged fiber rows in the  $90^\circ$  layer on debond- $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface interaction for Mode I ERR: models  $1 \times k - free$  and  $1 \times k - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

rows of undamaged fibers are present above and below the fiber row containing the debonds, the presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface has, with respect to the free surface case (corresponding to an extremely thin UD composite), no effect on  $G_I$  and only a small effect on  $G_{II}$ , relevant only for thin  $90^\circ$  plies  
 315 (see Figures 10 and 11). When present, this effect corresponds to a reduction in Mode II ERR, particularly for debonds further apart (in terms of number of fully bonded fibers between them).

In [39, 40], the authors investigated the existence of scale effects (like the *thin-ply effect*) in the context of the fiber-matrix interface crack using a single  
 320 partially debonded fiber embedded in a homogenized  $90^\circ$  ply bounded by homogenized  $0^\circ$  layers. They observed the absence of any size effect. The results



Figure 9: Effect of the presence of undamaged fiber rows in the  $90^\circ$  layer on debond- $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface interaction for Mode II ERR: models  $1 \times k - free$  and  $1 \times k - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

presented in this article confirm their observation and provide a micromechanical explanation (see Sec. 3.1). We have also shown that extremely thin  $90^\circ$  plies (1 – 5 fibers across the thickness) do on the other hand present a magnification effect when fully bonded fibers appear between consecutive aligned debonds. The effect becomes stronger with thinner  $90^\circ$  layers. The only effect of the  $0^\circ$  ply is to reduce the magnification of ERR, which nonetheless takes place. However, this mechanism is not typical of cross-ply laminates, but it can be observed in UD composites as well [43]. It provides a possible mechanical description of the observations presented in [9]: in very thin  $90^\circ$  plies debonds appear at lower strains because the magnification effect is stronger. As more debonds are created, their interaction (crack shielding) causes a reduction in



Figure 10: Effect of the presence of undamaged fiber rows in the  $90^\circ$  layer on debond- $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface interaction for Mode I ERR: models  $n \times k - free$  and  $n \times k - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

ERR which disfavors debond growth.

#### 4. Conclusions & Outlook

335 Different models of Repeating Unit Cell, representing different representative cross-ply laminates, have been studied in order to study the effect of the presence of the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface and of the thickness of the  $0^\circ$  ply on debond Energy Release Rate and on crack shielding. It has been found that the presence of the  $0^\circ$  ply causes only a reduction in ERR, especially in Mode II. However, 340 the strain magnification effect due to the presence of fully bonded fibers between two consecutive debonds follows the same pattern previously identified for UD composites. Furthermore, the influence of the  $0^\circ$  layer is strongly mitigated by



Figure 11: Effect of the presence of undamaged fiber rows in the  $90^\circ$  layer on debond- $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface interaction for Mode II ERR: models  $n \times k - free$  and  $n \times k - 1 \cdot t_{90^\circ}$ .  $V_f = 60\%$ ,  $\varepsilon_x = 1\%$ .

the presence of rows of undamaged fibers. Already the presence of 1 row between  
 respectively the upper and lower  $0^\circ$  layer and the central fiber row with partially  
 debonded fibers causes the computed Mode I and Mode II ERR to adhere closely  
 to the results for a UD composite with the same geometrical configuration and  
 damage state. The results presented provide an important insight: it appears  
 that the behavior of the fiber/matrix interface crack is affected strongly only by  
 very close perturbation of the elastic fields. *Thin* and *ultra-thin* plies present  
 a peculiar behavior in terms of debond growth because their reduced thickness  
 brings the  $0^\circ/90^\circ$  bi-material interface close enough for the debonds to feel the  
 perturbation in the elastic fields. Otherwise, it seems that no difference can  
 be found in the mechanism of debond growth between a UD composite and a

cross-ply laminate.

## 355 **Acknowledgements**

Luca Di Stasio gratefully acknowledges the support of the European School of Materials (EUSMAT) through the DocMASE Doctoral Programme and the European Commission through the Erasmus Mundus Programme.

## **References**

- 360 [1] K. Kawabe, New spreading technology for carbon fiber tow and its application to composite materials, *Sen'i Gakkaishi* 64 (8) (2008) 262–267. doi:10.2115/fiber.64.p\_262.
- [2] K. Kawabe, H. Sasayama, S. Tomoda, New carbon fiber tow-spread technology and applications to advanced composite materials, *SAMPE Journal* 365 45 (2) (2008) 6–17.
- [3] H. Sasayama, K. Kawabe, S. Tomoda, I. Ohsawa, K. Kageyama, N. Ogata, Effect of lamina thickness on first ply failure in multidirectionally laminated composites, in: *Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> Japan SAMPE Symposium*, SAMPE, 2003.
- 370 [4] K. Yamaguchi, H. Hahn, The improved ply cracking resistance of thin-ply laminates, in: *Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Composite Materials (ICCM-15)*, SAMPE, 2005.
- [5] S. Tsai, S. Sih, R. Kim, Thin ply composites, in: *Proceedings of 46<sup>th</sup> AIAA/ASME/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics & Materials Conference*, 2005. 375
- [6] S. Sih, R. Kim, K. Kawabe, S. Tsai, Experimental studies of thin-ply laminated composites, *Composites Science and Technology* 67 (6) (2007) 996–1008. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2006.06.008.

- [7] T. Yokozeki, Y. Aoki, T. Ogasawara, Experimental characterization of strength and damage resistance properties of thin-ply carbon fiber/toughened epoxy laminates, *Composite Structures* 82 (3) (2008) 382–389. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2007.01.015.
- [8] T. Yokozeki, A. Kuroda, A. Yoshimura, T. Ogasawara, T. Aoki, Damage characterization in thin-ply composite laminates under out-of-plane transverse loadings, *Composite Structures* 93 (1) (2010) 49–57. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2010.06.016.
- [9] H. Saito, H. Takeuchi, I. Kimpara, Experimental evaluation of the damage growth restraining in 90 layer of thin-ply cfrp cross-ply laminates, *Advanced Composite Materials* 21 (1) (2012) 57–66. doi:10.1163/156855112X629522.
- [10] A. Arteiro, G. Catalanotti, J. Xavier, P. Camanho, Notched response of non-crimp fabric thin-ply laminates, *Composites Science and Technology* 79 (2013) 97–114. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2013.02.001.
- [11] A. Arteiro, G. Catalanotti, J. Xavier, P. Camanho, Large damage capability of non-crimp fabric thin-ply laminates, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 63 (2014) 110–122. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.04.002.
- [12] R. Amacher, J. Cugnoni, J. Botsis, L. Sorensen, W. Smith, C. Dransfeld, Thin ply composites: Experimental characterization and modeling of size-effects, *Composites Science and Technology* 101 (2014) 121–132. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.06.027.
- [13] G. Guillaumet, A. Turon, J. Costa, J. Renart, P. Linde, J. Mayugo, Damage occurrence at edges of non-crimp-fabric thin-ply laminates under off-axis uniaxial loading, *Composites Science and Technology* 98 (2014) 44–50. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.04.014.

- [14] C. Huang, S. Ju, M. He, Q. Zheng, Y. He, J. Xiao, J. Zhang, D. Jiang, Identification of failure modes of composite thin-ply laminates containing circular hole under tension by acoustic emission signals, *Composite Structures* 206 (2018) 70–79. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.08.019.
- 410 [15] J. Cugnoni, R. Amacher, S. Kohler, J. Brunner, E. Kramer, C. Dransfeld, W. Smith, K. Scobbie, L. Sorensen, J. Botsis, Towards aerospace grade thin-ply composites: Effect of ply thickness, fibre, matrix and interlayer toughening on strength and damage tolerance, *Composites Science and Technology* 168 (2018) 467–477. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2018.08.037.
- 415 [16] J.-B. Moon, M.-G. Kim, C.-G. Kim, S. Bhowmik, Improvement of tensile properties of CFRP composites under LEO space environment by applying MWNTs and thin-ply, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 42 (6) (2011) 694–701. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.02.011.
- 420 [17] Y. H. N. Kim, S. Ko, W.-S. Lay, J. Tian, P. Chang, S. U. Thielk, H.-J. Bang, J. Yang, Effects of shallow biangle, thin-ply laminates on structural performance of composite wings, *AIAA Journal* 55 (6) (2017) 2086–2092. doi:10.2514/1.j055465.
- [18] A. Kopp, S. Stappert, D. Mattsson, K. Olofsson, E. Marklund, G. Kurth, 425 E. Mooij, E. Roorda, The aurora space launcher concept, *CEAS Space Journal* 10 (2) (2017) 167–187. doi:10.1007/s12567-017-0184-2.
- [19] D. A. McCarville, J. C. Guzman, A. K. Dillon, J. R. Jackson, J. O. Birkland, 3.5 Design, Manufacture and Test of Cryotank Components, Elsevier, 2018, pp. 153–179. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-803581-8.09958-6.
- 430 [20] J. E. Bailey, A. Parvizi, On fibre debonding effects and the mechanism of transverse-ply failure in cross-ply laminates of glass fibre/thermoset composites, *Journal of Materials Science* 16 (3) (1981) 649–659. doi:10.1007/bf02402782.

- [21] V. Kushch, S. Shmegeera, P. Brøndsted, L. Mishnaevsky, Numerical simulation of progressive debonding in fiber reinforced composite under transverse loading, *International Journal of Engineering Science* 49 (1) (2011) 17–29. doi:10.1016/j.ijengsci.2010.06.020.
- [22] L. P. Canal, C. González, J. Segurado, J. LLorca, Intraply fracture of fiber-reinforced composites: Microscopic mechanisms and modeling, *Composites Science and Technology* 72 (11) (2012) 1223–1232. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2012.04.008.
- [23] L. Bouhala, A. Makrady, S. Belouettar, H. Kiefer-Kamal, P. Frères, Modelling of failure in long fibres reinforced composites by x-FEM and cohesive zone model, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 55 (2013) 352–361. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.12.013.
- [24] M. Herráez, D. Mora, F. Naya, C. S. Lopes, C. González, J. LLorca, Transverse cracking of cross-ply laminates: A computational micromechanics perspective, *Composites Science and Technology* 110 (2015) 196–204. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2015.02.008.
- [25] L. E. Asp, L. A. Berglund, P. Gudmundson, Effects of a composite-like stress state on the fracture of epoxies, *Composites Science and Technology* 53 (1) (1995) 27–37. doi:10.1016/0266-3538(94)00075-1.
- [26] V. Mantič, Interface crack onset at a circular cylindrical inclusion under a remote transverse tension. application of a coupled stress and energy criterion, *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 46 (6) (2009) 1287–1304. doi:10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2008.10.036.
- [27] R. Krueger, Virtual crack closure technique: History, approach, and applications, *Applied Mechanics Reviews* 57 (2) (2004) 109. doi:10.1115/1.1595677.
- [28] J. R. Rice, A path independent integral and the approximate analysis of



strain concentration by notches and cracks, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 35 (2) (1968) 379. doi:10.1115/1.3601206.

- [29] M. Toya, A crack along the interface of a circular inclusion embedded in an infinite solid, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 22 (5) (1974) 325–348. doi:10.1016/0022-5096(74)90002-7.

- [30] F. París, J. C. Caño, J. Varna, The fiber-matrix interface crack — a numerical analysis using boundary elements, *International Journal of Fracture* 82 (1) (1996) 11–29. doi:10.1007/bf00017861.

- [31] L. Zhuang, A. Pupurs, J. Varna, R. Talreja, Z. Ayadi, Effects of inter-fiber spacing on fiber-matrix debond crack growth in unidirectional composites under transverse loading, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 109 (2018) 463–471. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.03.031.

- [32] M. Muñoz-Reja, L. Távara, V. Mantič, P. Cornetti, Crack onset and propagation at fibre-matrix elastic interfaces under biaxial loading using finite fracture mechanics, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 82 (2016) 267–278. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2015.09.023.

- [33] E. Correa, V. Mantič, F. París, Effect of thermal residual stresses on matrix failure under transverse tension at micromechanical level: A numerical and experimental analysis, *Composites Science and Technology* 71 (5) (2011) 622–629. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2010.12.027.

- [34] E. Correa, F. París, V. Mantič, Effect of the presence of a secondary transverse load on the inter-fibre failure under tension, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics* 103 (2013) 174–189. doi:10.1016/j.engfracmech.2013.02.026.

- [35] E. Correa, F. París, V. Mantič, Effect of a secondary transverse load on the inter-fibre failure under compression, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 65 (2014) 57–68. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2014.01.005.

- [36] C. Sandino, E. Correa, F. París, Numerical analysis of the influence of a nearby fibre on the interface crack growth in composites under transverse tensile load, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics* 168 (2016) 58–75. doi:10.1016/j.engfracmech.2016.01.022.
- [37] C. Sandino, E. Correa, F. París, Interface crack growth under transverse compression: nearby fibre effect, in: *Proceeding of the 18<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Composite Materials (ECCM-18)*, 2018.
- [38] J. Varna, L. Q. Zhuang, A. Pupurs, Z. Ayadi, Growth and interaction of debonds in local clusters of fibers in unidirectional composites during transverse loading, *Key Engineering Materials* 754 (2017) 63–66. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/kem.754.63.
- [39] M. Velasco, E. Graciani, L. Távara, E. Correa, F. París, BEM multiscale modelling involving micromechanical damage in fibrous composites, *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements* 93 (2018) 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.enganabound.2018.03.012.
- [40] F. París, M. L. Velasco, E. Correa, Micromechanical study on the influence of scale effect in the first stage of damage in composites, *Composites Science and Technology* 160 (2018) 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2018.03.004.
- [41] Simulia, Providence, RI, USA, ABAQUS/Standard User’s Manual, Version 6.12 (2012).
- [42] H. Zhang, M. Ericson, J. Varna, L. Berglund, Transverse single-fibre test for interfacial debonding in composites: 1. experimental observations, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 28 (4) (1997) 309–315. doi:10.1016/s1359-835x(96)00123-6.
- [43] L. D. Stasio, J. Varna, Z. Ayadi, Energy release rate of the fiber/matrix interface crack in ud composites under transverse loading: debond-debond

- 515 and debond-free boundary interactions, submitted to Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics.
- [44] Z. Hashin, Analysis of composite materials—a survey, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 50 (3) (1983) 481. doi:10.1115/1.3167081.
- [45] R. Christensen, K. Lo, Solutions for effective shear properties in three phase  
520 sphere and cylinder models, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 27 (4) (1979) 315–330. doi:10.1016/0022-5096(79)90032-2.
- [46] F. París, E. Correa, V. Mantič, Kinking of transversal interface cracks between fiber and matrix, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 74 (4) (2007) 703. doi:10.1115/1.2711220.
- 525 [47] I. García, V. Mantič, E. Graciani, Debonding at the fibre–matrix interface under remote transverse tension. one debond or two symmetric debonds?, *European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids* 53 (2015) 75–88. doi:10.1016/j.euromechsol.2015.02.007.