

Energy release rate of the fiber/matrix interface crack in cross-ply $[0_{2kn}^{\circ}, 90_n^{\circ}]_S$ laminates under transverse loading: debond/bi-material interface interaction

Luca Di Stasio^{a,b}, Janis Varna^b, Zoubir Ayadi^a

^a Université de Lorraine, EEIGM, IJL, 6 Rue Bastien Lepage, F-54010 Nancy, France

^b Luleå University of Technology, University Campus, SE-97187 Luleå, Sweden

Abstract

The effects of crack shielding, fiber content and ratio of 0° to 90° ply thickness on fiber/matrix debond growth in thin cross-ply laminates are investigated with Representative Volume Elements (RVEs) of different ordered microstructures. Debond growth is characterized by the estimation of the Energy Release Rates (ERRs) using the Virtual Crack Closure Technique (VCCT) and the J-integral. It is found that

Keywords: Polymer-matrix Composites (PMCs), Thin-ply, Transverse Failure, Debonding, Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

1. Introduction

Since the development of the *spread tow* technology or “FUKUI method” [1, 2], significant efforts have been directed toward the characterization of *thin-ply* laminates [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and their application to mission-critical structures in the aerospace sector [16, 17, 18, 19].

At the lamina level, the use of *thin-ply*s leads to more regular and homogeneous microstructures but no significant improvement in static properties except for an apparent improvement in compressive strength [12]. Improvements in fatigue life have been observed, although contrasting results can be found in the literature [4, 5, 6]. The beneficial effect of the use of *thin-ply*s with respect to damage propagation has been instead commonly observed by different re-

searchers under static [3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], fatigue [4, 6, 7, 8, 12] and impact loadings [6, 7, 8, 12]. It seems apparent that *thin-ply* laminates possess an increased ability to delay, and in some cases even suppress, the onset and
15 propagation of transverse cracks (or matrix or micro-cracks).

The first appearance of transverse cracking phenomena is known to be characterized by the appearance of fiber/matrix interface cracks (also referred to as debonds), which grow along the fiber's arc direction, then kink out of the interface and coalesce forming a transverse crack [20]. Different approaches
20 have been applied to model the initiation and growth of debonds. The Cohesive Zone Model (CZM) has been used to mimic the propagation of debonds along fiber interfaces; coupled with a failure criterion for the matrix, it has provided simulations of the growth of transverse cracks starting from a virgin material [21, 22, 23, 24]. The main advantages of this approach are the pos-
25 sibility to observe the development of a simulated crack path and to record a load-displacement curve to compare with experimental measurement. However, various observations cast a doubt about the applicability of the CZM: the bi- (for 2D models) and tri- (in 3D) axiality of the matrix stress state in the inter-fiber region that is linked with a cavitation-like failure of the polymer [25];
30 the locality and mode dependency of the interface failure [26]; the problematic use at the microscopic level of properties measured in UD specimens at the laminate level [22]. A second approach that obviates these drawbacks is the application of Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) arguments to the study of debond growth. The analysis focuses on the evaluation of Mode I and Mode
35 II Energy Release Rate (ERR) at the crack tip by means of the Virtual Crack Closure Technique (VCCT) [27] or the J-Integral method [28]. The stress and strain field, required for the ERR computation, can be solved by application of different methodologies such as analytical solutions [29], the Boundary Element Method (BEM) [30] or the Finite Element Method (FEM) [31]. Different
40 works have followed this approach and studied models of one or two fibers in an effectively infinite matrix [32, 33, 34, 35, 36] and of an hexagonal cluster of fibers in an effectively infinite homogenized UD composite [37, 31]. The problem

of debond growth along the fiber-matrix interface in a cross-ply laminate has been only addressed very recently in [38, 39], where the author embed a single
45 partially debonded fiber in an effectively infinite homogenized 90° ply bounded by homogenized 0° layers. Thus, the effect of debond-debond interaction and of the relative proximity of a bi-material interface on the debond's ERR in cross-ply laminates is yet to be addressed. The present work is devoted to this problem. Models of Repeating Unit Cells (RUCs) are developed to represent
50 laminates with different degrees of damage (here only in the form of debonds). The number of fully bonded fibers across the thickness of the 90° ply is varied in order to investigate the effect of the proximity of the bi-material interface. The thickness of the bounding 0° layers is also analyzed as a parameter of the study. The stress and strain fields are solved with the Finite Element Method
55 in Abaqus [40] and the crack characterized by its Mode I and Mode II ERR, calculated with the VCCT and the J-integral method.

2. RVE models & FE discretization

2.1. Models of Representative Volume Element (RVE)

We start by describing the different idealized micro-structures considered
60 and the corresponding repeating element or RVE used to model them. Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3

2.2. Finite Element (FE) discretization

We describe the model implemented: schematic + description of parameters, formulation (LEFM, frictionless contact, VCCT, J-Integral), implementation of
65 BCs, mesh. Fig. 5

We mention the validation of the model with respect to BEM results by referring to the other paper.

- | | |
|---|---|
| (a) A debonded fiber every 2 fully bonded ones. | (b) Central debonded fiber with 1 fiber each side. |
| (c) A debonded fiber every 4 fully bonded ones. | (d) Central debonded fiber with 2 fibers each side. |
| (e) A debonded fiber every 6 fully bonded ones. | (f) Central debonded fiber with 3 fibers each side. |

Figure 1: Models of $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminates in which the central 90° ply possesses a single layer of fibers and debonds repeating at different distances (left column), and corresponding Representative Volume Elements (right column) with symmetry applied on the lower boundary line. The interface crack is represented in red.

- | | |
|--|---|
| (a) 3 layers with a central line of debonded fibers. | (b) Central debonded fiber with 1 fiber above. |
| (c) 5 layers with a central line of debonded fibers. | (d) Central debonded fiber with 2 fibers above. |
| (e) 7 layers with a central line of debonded fibers. | (f) Central debonded fiber with 3 fibers above. |

Figure 2: Models of $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminates in which the central 90° ply possesses a central line of debonded fibers (left column), and corresponding Representative Volume Elements (right column) with symmetry applied on the lower boundary line. The interface crack is represented in red.

3. Results & Discussion

3.1. Effect of Fiber Volume Fraction

70 The effect is similar for all the different BC cases, it's enough to show some of them to exemplify. G_I in Fig. 6, G_{II} in Fig. 7.

Graphics of ERR vs $\Delta\theta$, one curve for each V_f , one graphic for each selected BC. Selected BC: free, coupling, some examples with fibers (see captions).

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) 3 layers with a debonded fiber every 2 fully bonded ones in the central line of fibers. | (b) Central debonded fiber with 1 fiber on each side and 1 above. |
| (c) 3 layers with a debonded fiber every 4 fully bonded ones in the central line of fibers. | (d) Central debonded fiber with 2 fibers on each side and 1 above. |
| (e) 5 layers with a debonded fiber every 4 fully bonded ones in the central line of fibers. | (f) Central debonded fiber with 2 fibers on each side and 2 above. |
| (g) 3 layers with a debonded fiber every 6 fully bonded ones in the central line of fibers. | (h) Central debonded fiber with 3 fibers on each side and 1 above. |

Figure 3: Models of $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminates in which the central 90° ply possesses multiple layers of fibers with debonds repeating at different distances in the central line of fibers (left column), and corresponding Representative Volume Elements (right column) with symmetry applied on the lower boundary line.

- | | |
|---|---|
| (a) Single layer of debonded fibers inside a cross-ply laminates. | (b) Element with a single debonded fiber and, on the top surface, coupled vertical displacement and linearly distributed horizontal displacement. |
|---|---|

Figure 4: Models of $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminates in which fibers belonging to the central 90° ply are all debonded (left column), and corresponding Representative Volume Elements (right column) with symmetry applied on the lower boundary line.

- | | |
|--|---|
| (a) Schematic of the model with its main parameters. | (b) Detail of the mesh in the crack tip's neighborhood. |
|--|---|

Figure 5: Details and main parameters of the Finite Element model.

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) Single fiber model with free boundary on top. | (b) Single fiber model with coupling of vertical displacements along the upper boundary. |
| (c) 1 fiber each side. | (d) 1 fiber above. |
| (e) 5 fibers each side. | (f) 5 fibers above. |
| (g) 10 fibers each side. | (h) 10 fibers above. |
| (i) 1 fiber each side, 1 above. | (j) 3 fibers each side, 1 above. |
| (k) 2 fibers each side, 2 above. | (l) 5 fibers each side, 2 above. |

Figure 6: A view of the effect of fiber volume fraction on Mode I ERR across different models.

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) Single fiber model with free boundary on top. | (b) Single fiber model with coupling of vertical displacements along the upper boundary. |
| (c) 1 fiber each side. | (d) 1 fiber above. |
| (e) 5 fibers each side. | (f) 5 fibers above. |
| (g) 10 fibers each side. | (h) 10 fibers above. |
| (i) 1 fiber each side, 1 above. | (j) 3 fibers each side, 1 above. |
| (k) 2 fibers each side, 2 above. | (l) 5 fibers each side, 2 above. |

Figure 7: A view of the effect of fiber volume fraction on Mode II ERR across different models.

3.2. Interaction between debonds in a 90° ply with a single layer of fibers inside a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate

We start with a simpler (2 parameters: number of fibers in the horizontal directions + bounding ply thickness) but more extreme model: central 90° ply with one line of fibers. What's the effect on G_I and G_{II} ? What's the effect of 0° ply's thicknesses? Reference to Kies strain magnification. G_I in Fig. 8, G_{II} in Fig. 9.

One graphic for each V_f (30%,50%,60%,65%) and thickness ratio (1, 10), one curve for each case of fibers on the side (1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 50, 100) + curve for equivalent BC (Fig. 8, Fig. 9). Focus is effect of debond distribution in the horizontal direction.

85

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (b) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (c) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (d) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (e) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (f) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (g) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (h) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |

Figure 8: Effect of the interaction between debonds appearing at regular intervals on Mode I ERR in a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminates in which the central 90° ply possesses a single layer of fibers at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (b) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (c) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (d) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (e) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (f) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (g) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (h) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |

Figure 9: Effect of the interaction between debonds appearing at regular intervals on Mode II ERR in a single-ply laminate with a single layer of fibers at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

One graphic for each V_f (30%,50%,60%,65%) and selected cases of fibers on the side (1, 3, 10), one curve for thickness ratio (1, 10) + curve for corresponding UD model + curve for equivalent BC (vertical displacement coupling+linear horizontal displacement)(Fig. 10, Fig. 11). Focus is effect of thickness of bounding plies.

90

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (b) $V_f = 30\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (c) $V_f = 30\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (d) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (e) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (f) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (g) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (h) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (i) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (j) $V_f = 65\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (k) $V_f = 65\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (l) $V_f = 65\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |

Figure 10: Effect of 0° ply's thickness on the interaction between debonds appearing at regular intervals on Mode I ERR in a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate in which the central 90° ply possesses a single layer of fibers at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (b) $V_f = 30\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (c) $V_f = 30\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (d) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (e) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (f) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (g) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (h) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (i) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (j) $V_f = 65\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (k) $V_f = 65\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (l) $V_f = 65\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |

Figure 11: Effect of 0° ply's thickness on the interaction between debonds appearing at regular intervals on Mode II ERR in a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate in which the central 90° ply possesses a single layer of fibers at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

3.3. Interaction between layers of fully bonded fibers and a centrally located line of debonded fibers in a 90° ply inside a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate

We then move to a ply with multiple lines of fibers and only debonded fibers in the central one (2 parameters: number of fibers in vertical direction + bounding ply thickness, a bit closer to real plies). G_I in Fig. 12, G_{II} in Fig. 13.

One graphic for each V_f (30%,50%,60%,65%) and thickness ratio (1, 10), one curve for each case of fibers on top (1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 50, 100) + curve for equivalent BC (Fig. 12, Fig. 13). Focus is effect of debond distribution in the vertical direction.

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (b) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (c) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (d) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (e) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (f) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (g) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (h) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |

Figure 12: Influence of layers of fully bonded fibers on debond's growth in Mode I ERR in a centrally located line of debonded fibers at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f and thickness ratios.

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (b) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (c) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (d) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (e) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (f) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (g) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (h) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |

Figure 13: Influence of layers of fully bonded fibers on debond's growth in Mode II ERR in a centrally located line of debonded fibers at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f and thickness ratios.

One graphic for each V_f (30%,50%,60%,65%) and selected cases of fibers on top (1, 3, 10), one curve for thickness ratio (1, 10) + curve for corresponding UD model + curve for equivalent BC (vertical displacement coupling+linear horizontal displacement)(Fig. 14, Fig. 15). Focus is effect of thickness of bounding plies.

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (b) $V_f = 30\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (c) $V_f = 30\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (d) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (e) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (f) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (g) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (h) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (i) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (j) $V_f = 65\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (k) $V_f = 65\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (l) $V_f = 65\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |

Figure 14: Effect of 0° ply's thickness on the influence of layers of fully bonded fibers on debond's growth in Mode I ERR in a centrally located line of debonded fibers in the central 90° ply of a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (b) $V_f = 30\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (c) $V_f = 30\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (d) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (e) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (f) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (g) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (h) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (i) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (j) $V_f = 65\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (k) $V_f = 65\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (l) $V_f = 65\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |

Figure 15: Effect of 0° ply's thickness on the influence of layers of fully bonded fibers on debond's growth in Mode I ERR in a centrally located line of debonded fibers in the central 90° ply of a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

3.4. Interaction of debonds within a 90° ply with multiple layers of fibers inside a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_S$ laminate

110 Finally models that are closer to real laminates and are more complex (3 parameters: number of fibers along the horizontal direction + number of layers in the vertical one + bounding ply thickness). G_I in Fig. 16, G_{II} in Fig. 17.

One graphic for each V_f (30%,50%,60%,65%) and thickness ratio (1, 10), one curve for each selected case of fibers on side and on top ([n. on side, n. on top]: [1,1], [2,1], [2,2], [5,1], [5,5], [10,1], [10,10]) + curve for equivalent BC (Fig. 12, Fig. 17). Focus is effect of debond distribution in the horizontal and vertical direction.

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (b) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (c) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (d) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (e) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (f) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (g) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (h) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |

Figure 16: Effect of the interaction of debonds within a 90° ply with multiple layers of fibers on debond's growth in Mode I ERR at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f and thickness ratios.

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (b) $V_f = 30\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (c) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (d) $V_f = 50\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (e) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (f) $V_f = 60\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |
| (g) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 1.$ | (h) $V_f = 65\%, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} = 10.$ |

Figure 17: Effect of the interaction of debonds within a 90° ply with multiple layers of fibers on debond's growth in Mode II ERR at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f and thickness ratios.

One graphic for each V_f (30%,50%,60%,65%) and selected cases of fibers on side and on top ([1,1], [5,1], [5,5]), one curve for thickness ratio (1, 10) + curve for corresponding UD model + curve for equivalent BC (vertical displacement coupling+linear horizontal displacement)(Fig. 18, Fig. 19). Focus is effect of thickness of bounding plies.

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (b) $V_f = 30\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (c) $V_f = 30\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (d) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (e) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (f) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (g) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (h) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (i) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (j) $V_f = 65\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (k) $V_f = 65\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (l) $V_f = 65\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |

Figure 18: Effect of 0° ply's thickness on debond's growth in Mode I ERR within the 90° ply with multiple layers of fibers of a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_G$ laminate at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) $V_f = 30\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (b) $V_f = 30\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (c) $V_f = 30\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (d) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (e) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (f) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (g) $V_f = 50\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (h) $V_f = 50\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (i) $V_f = 50\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |
| (j) $V_f = 65\%$, 1 fiber on each side. | (k) $V_f = 65\%$, 3 fibers on each side. | (l) $V_f = 65\%$, 10 fibers on each side. |

Figure 19: Effect of 0° ply's thickness on debond's growth in Mode II ERR within the 90° ply with multiple layers of fibers of a $[0_n^\circ, 90^\circ]_G$ laminate at different levels of fiber volume fraction V_f .

125 4. Conclusions & Outlook

Acknowledgements

Luca Di Stasio gratefully acknowledges the support of the European School of Materials (EUSMAT) through the DocMASE Doctoral Programme and the

European Commission through the Erasmus Mundus Programme.

130 **References**

- [1] K. Kawabe, New spreading technology for carbon fiber tow and its application to composite materials, *Sen'i Gakkaishi* 64 (8) (2008) 262–267. doi:10.2115/fiber.64.p_262.
- [2] K. Kawabe, H. Sasayama, S. Tomoda, New carbon fiber tow-spread technology and applications to advanced composite materials, *SAMPE Journal* 45 (2) (2008) 6–17.
- [3] H. Sasayama, K. Kawabe, S. Tomoda, I. Ohsawa, K. Kageyama, N. Ogata, Effect of lamina thickness on first ply failure in multidirectionally laminated composites, in: *Proceedings of the 8th Japan SAMPE Symposium*, SAMPE, 2003.
- [4] K. Yamaguchi, H. Hahn, The improved ply cracking resistance of thin-ply laminates, in: *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Composite Materials (ICCM-15)*, SAMPE, 2005.
- [5] S. Tsai, S. Sih, R. Kim, Thin ply composites, in: *Proceedings of 46th AIAA/ASME/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics & Materials Conference*, 2005.
- [6] S. Sih, R. Kim, K. Kawabe, S. Tsai, Experimental studies of thin-ply laminated composites, *Composites Science and Technology* 67 (6) (2007) 996–1008. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2006.06.008.
- [7] T. Yokozeki, Y. Aoki, T. Ogasawara, Experimental characterization of strength and damage resistance properties of thin-ply carbon fiber/toughened epoxy laminates, *Composite Structures* 82 (3) (2008) 382–389. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2007.01.015.

- [8] T. Yokozeki, A. Kuroda, A. Yoshimura, T. Ogasawara, T. Aoki, Damage characterization in thin-ply composite laminates under out-of-plane transverse loadings, *Composite Structures* 93 (1) (2010) 49–57. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2010.06.016.
- [9] H. Saito, H. Takeuchi, I. Kimpara, Experimental evaluation of the damage growth restraining in 90 layer of thin-ply cfrp cross-ply laminates, *Advanced Composite Materials* 21 (1) (2012) 57–66. doi:10.1163/156855112X629522.
- [10] A. Arteiro, G. Catalanotti, J. Xavier, P. Camanho, Notched response of non-crimp fabric thin-ply laminates, *Composites Science and Technology* 79 (2013) 97–114. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2013.02.001.
- [11] A. Arteiro, G. Catalanotti, J. Xavier, P. Camanho, Large damage capability of non-crimp fabric thin-ply laminates, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 63 (2014) 110–122. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.04.002.
- [12] R. Amacher, J. Cugnoni, J. Botsis, L. Sorensen, W. Smith, C. Dransfeld, Thin ply composites: Experimental characterization and modeling of size-effects, *Composites Science and Technology* 101 (2014) 121–132. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.06.027.
- [13] G. Guillaumet, A. Turon, J. Costa, J. Renart, P. Linde, J. Mayugo, Damage occurrence at edges of non-crimp-fabric thin-ply laminates under off-axis uniaxial loading, *Composites Science and Technology* 98 (2014) 44–50. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.04.014.
- [14] C. Huang, S. Ju, M. He, Q. Zheng, Y. He, J. Xiao, J. Zhang, D. Jiang, Identification of failure modes of composite thin-ply laminates containing circular hole under tension by acoustic emission signals, *Composite Structures* 206 (2018) 70–79. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.08.019.

- [15] J. Cugnoni, R. Amacher, S. Kohler, J. Brunner, E. Kramer, C. Dransfeld, W. Smith, K. Scobbie, L. Sorensen, J. Botsis, Towards aerospace grade thin-ply composites: Effect of ply thickness, fibre, matrix and interlayer toughening on strength and damage tolerance, *Composites Science and Technology* 168 (2018) 467–477. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2018.08.037.
- [16] J.-B. Moon, M.-G. Kim, C.-G. Kim, S. Bhowmik, Improvement of tensile properties of CFRP composites under LEO space environment by applying MWNTs and thin-ply, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 42 (6) (2011) 694–701. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.02.011.
- [17] Y. H. N. Kim, S. Ko, W.-S. Lay, J. Tian, P. Chang, S. U. Thielk, H.-J. Bang, J. Yang, Effects of shallow biangle, thin-ply laminates on structural performance of composite wings, *AIAA Journal* 55 (6) (2017) 2086–2092. doi:10.2514/1.j055465.
- [18] A. Kopp, S. Stappert, D. Mattsson, K. Olofsson, E. Marklund, G. Kurth, E. Mooij, E. Roorda, The aurora space launcher concept, *CEAS Space Journal* 10 (2) (2017) 167–187. doi:10.1007/s12567-017-0184-2.
- [19] D. A. McCarville, J. C. Guzman, A. K. Dillon, J. R. Jackson, J. O. Birkland, 3.5 Design, Manufacture and Test of Cryotank Components, Elsevier, 2018, pp. 153–179. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-803581-8.09958-6.
- [20] J. E. Bailey, A. Parvizi, On fibre debonding effects and the mechanism of transverse-ply failure in cross-ply laminates of glass fibre/thermoset composites, *Journal of Materials Science* 16 (3) (1981) 649–659. doi:10.1007/bf02402782.
- [21] V. Kushch, S. Shmegeera, P. Brøndsted, L. Mishnaevsky, Numerical simulation of progressive debonding in fiber reinforced composite under transverse loading, *International Journal of Engineering Science* 49 (1) (2011) 17–29. doi:10.1016/j.ijengsci.2010.06.020.

- [22] L. P. Canal, C. González, J. Segurado, J. LLorca, Intraply fracture of
 210 fiber-reinforced composites: Microscopic mechanisms and modeling, *Composites Science and Technology* 72 (11) (2012) 1223–1232. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2012.04.008.
- [23] L. Bouhala, A. Makradi, S. Belouettar, H. Kiefer-Kamal, P. Frères, Modelling of failure in long fibres reinforced composites by x-FEM and co-
 215 hesive zone model, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 55 (2013) 352–361. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.12.013.
- [24] M. Herráez, D. Mora, F. Naya, C. S. Lopes, C. González, J. LLorca, Transverse cracking of cross-ply laminates: A computational micromechanics perspective, *Composites Science and Technology* 110 (2015) 196–204.
 220 doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2015.02.008.
- [25] L. E. Asp, L. A. Berglund, P. Gudmundson, Effects of a composite-like stress state on the fracture of epoxies, *Composites Science and Technology* 53 (1) (1995) 27–37. doi:10.1016/0266-3538(94)00075-1.
- [26] V. Mantič, Interface crack onset at a circular cylindrical inclusion under
 225 a remote transverse tension. application of a coupled stress and energy criterion, *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 46 (6) (2009) 1287–1304. doi:10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2008.10.036.
- [27] R. Krueger, Virtual crack closure technique: History, approach, and applications, *Applied Mechanics Reviews* 57 (2) (2004) 109. doi:10.1115/1.1595677.
 230 1595677.
- [28] J. R. Rice, A path independent integral and the approximate analysis of strain concentration by notches and cracks, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 35 (2) (1968) 379. doi:10.1115/1.3601206.
- [29] M. Toya, A crack along the interface of a circular inclusion embedded in an
 235 infinite solid, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 22 (5) (1974) 325–348. doi:10.1016/0022-5096(74)90002-7.

- [30] F. París, J. C. Caño, J. Varna, The fiber-matrix interface crack — a numerical analysis using boundary elements, *International Journal of Fracture* 82 (1) (1996) 11–29. doi:10.1007/bf00017861.
- 240 [31] L. Zhuang, A. Pupurs, J. Varna, R. Talreja, Z. Ayadi, Effects of inter-fiber spacing on fiber-matrix debond crack growth in unidirectional composites under transverse loading, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 109 (2018) 463–471. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.03.031.
- 245 [32] E. Correa, V. Mantič, F. París, Effect of thermal residual stresses on matrix failure under transverse tension at micromechanical level: A numerical and experimental analysis, *Composites Science and Technology* 71 (5) (2011) 622–629. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2010.12.027.
- 250 [33] E. Correa, F. París, V. Mantič, Effect of the presence of a secondary transverse load on the inter-fibre failure under tension, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics* 103 (2013) 174–189. doi:10.1016/j.engfracmech.2013.02.026.
- [34] E. Correa, F. París, V. Mantič, Effect of a secondary transverse load on the inter-fibre failure under compression, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 65 (2014) 57–68. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2014.01.005.
- 255 [35] C. Sandino, E. Correa, F. París, Numerical analysis of the influence of a nearby fibre on the interface crack growth in composites under transverse tensile load, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics* 168 (2016) 58–75. doi:10.1016/j.engfracmech.2016.01.022.
- 260 [36] C. Sandino, E. Correa, F. París, Interface crack growth under transverse compression: nearby fibre effect, in: *Proceeding of the 18th European Conference on Composite Materials (ECCM-18)*, 2018.
- [37] J. Varna, L. Q. Zhuang, A. Pupurs, Z. Ayadi, Growth and interaction of debonds in local clusters of fibers in unidirectional composites during

- transverse loading, Key Engineering Materials 754 (2017) 63–66. doi:
265 10.4028/www.scientific.net/kem.754.63.
- [38] M. Velasco, E. Graciani, L. Távara, E. Correa, F. París, BEM multiscale modelling involving micromechanical damage in fibrous composites, Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements 93 (2018) 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.enganabound.2018.03.012.
- 270 [39] F. París, M. L. Velasco, E. Correa, Micromechanical study on the influence of scale effect in the first stage of damage in composites, Composites Science and Technology 160 (2018) 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2018.03.004.
- 275 [40] Simulia, Providence, RI, USA, ABAQUS/Standard User’s Manual, Version 6.12 (2012).