A set of criteria for the prediction of initiation and propagation of transverse cracks

Luca Di Stasio^{a,b}, Janis Varna^b, Zoubir Ayadi^a

^a Université de Lorraine, EEIGM, IJL, 6 Rue Bastien Lepage, F-54010 Nancy, France
^bLuleå University of Technology, University Campus, SE-97187 Luleå, Sweden

Abstract

A set of criteria is proposed to predict the initiation and propagation of fibermatrix interface debonds and the transition to collective mesoscopic behavior in the form of transverse cracks. It features:

- a group of deterministic equations to determine the driving quantities of the fracture process: Energy Release Rates and dilatational energy;
- a set of probabilistic expressions to quantify the random distributions of critical values.

1. Normalization function

$$G_0 = G_0(\varepsilon_0, V_f, E_{1f}, E_{2f}, E_m, \nu_{12f}, \nu_{23f}, \nu_m, G_{12f}, G_{23f})$$
(1)

Given the elastic properties of the transversely isotropic UD ply E_1 , E_2 , nu_{12} , nu_{23} , for a 90° ply under transverse tension the cross section along the direction of the load coincides with the plane of transversal isotropy. It is thus possible, for a system in plane strain, to define equivalent isotropic Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio as follows. The effective Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio in plane strain in the plane of isotropy are defined as

$$E^* = \frac{E_2}{1 - \nu_{21}\nu_{12}} \qquad \nu^* = \frac{\nu_{23} + \nu_{21}\nu_{12}}{1 + \nu_{23}}$$
 (2)

$$G_0 = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{E^*} \pi R_f \quad \text{for a stress or force controlled test}$$

$$G_0 = E^* \varepsilon_0^2 \pi R_f \quad \text{for a strain or displacement controlled test}$$
 (3)

2. Boundary conditions

The ratio of maximum radial and tangential crack displacements with respect to the free case (single repeating element or single fiber layer ply?) can be considered as proxies for the effect of boundary conditions

$$\frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \tag{4}$$

3. Initiation of fiber-matrix debonds

Following Asp,

$$U_{\nu,m} = \frac{1 - 2\nu}{6E} \left(\sigma_{1,m} + \sigma_{2,m} + \sigma_{3,m} \right) \tag{5}$$

$$U_{\nu,m} \ge U_{\nu,m}^{cr} \tag{6}$$

$$\theta_0 = \max_{\theta} U_{\nu,m}, \quad U_{\nu,m} \ge U_{\nu,m}^{cr} \tag{7}$$

 \rightarrow Measurable with hybrid laminate $[[90_2^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}]_S, epoxy, [90_2^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}]_S]$ as in Paper III Asp's thesis; from which we can derive $p\left(U_{\nu,m}^{cr}\right)$

4. Propagation of fiber-matrix debonds

$$\frac{G_I}{G_0} = \begin{cases}
A_{\delta} \left(V_f \right) \log \left(\delta \right) & + A_{\Delta\theta} \left(V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \sin \left(B_{\Delta\theta} \Delta\theta + C_{\Delta\theta} \right) + D \\
B_{\Delta\theta} \Delta\theta_{max} \left(V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + C_{\Delta\theta} = \frac{\pi}{2} \\
B_{\Delta\theta} \Delta\theta_{CZ} \left(\frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + C_{\Delta\theta} = \pi \\
for \Delta\theta < \Delta\theta_{CZ} \left(\frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \\
0 & otherwise
\end{cases}$$
(8)

$$\frac{G_{II}}{G_{0}} = \begin{cases}
E_{\delta}\left(V_{f}\right)\log\left(\delta\right) & +F_{\Delta\theta}\left(V_{f}, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}}\right)\sin\left(G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + H_{\Delta\theta}\right) + \\
& +I_{\Delta\theta}\left(V_{f}, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}}\right)\sin\left(2G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + H_{\Delta\theta}\right) + L \\
& G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{max}\left(V_{f}, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}}\right) + H_{\Delta\theta} = \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{CZ}\left(\frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}}\right) + H_{\Delta\theta} = \pi
\end{cases} \tag{9}$$

5. Fracture toughness

$$G_c = G_{Ic} \left(1 + \tan^2 \left((1 - \lambda) \psi \right) \right) \qquad \psi = \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{G_{II}}{G_I}} \right)$$
 (10)

Hypothesis

20

$$p(\Delta\theta) = p(\Delta\theta|\varepsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\Delta\theta}(\varepsilon)} e^{\left(\frac{\Delta\theta - \Delta\theta}{\sigma_{\Delta\theta}}(\varepsilon)\right)}$$
(11)

→ Verified by measuring debond's size at different strain levels (see preliminary experimental work)

$$\begin{cases} G_{TOT}(\Delta\theta) = G_{Ic} \left(1 + \tan^2 \left((1 - \lambda) \psi \right) \right) \\ \psi = \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{G_{II}(\Delta\theta)}{G_I(\Delta\theta)}} \right) \end{cases} \quad \forall \ \Delta\theta : p(\Delta\theta) \neq 0$$
 (12)

$$p(G_c|\psi) = p(G_{Ic}|\psi) p(\lambda|\psi)$$
(13)

6. Transition to collective mesoscopic behavior

$$\begin{cases} \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{debond} &> \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{transverse\ crack} \\ &\to Propagation\ of\ debonds\ at\ fiber/matrix\ interface\ level\ will\ occur,\ discrete\ events,\ "debonds'\ regime"} \\ \begin{cases} \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{debond} &< \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{transverse\ crack} \\ &\to Propagation\ of\ transverse\ cracks\ will\ occur,\ collective\ behavior\ of\ debonds} \\ &\quad inter-fiber\ matrix\ cracks\ propagating\ and\ coalescing,\ "transverse\ cracks'\ regime"} \\ \end{cases}$$

$$(14)$$

7. Global propagation function

Hypothesis

$$\frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} \left(a, \frac{t_{0^{\circ}}}{t_{90^{\circ}}} \right) = -A \cdot \left(\frac{t_{0^{\circ}}}{t_{90^{\circ}}} - \frac{t_{0^{\circ}}}{t_{90^{\circ}}} |_{ref} \right)^{2n+1} \sqrt{a} + \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_0 \tag{15}$$