GROWTH OF INTERFACE CRACKS ON CONSECUTIVE FIBERS: ON THE SAME OR ON THE OPPOSITE SIDES?

L. Di Stasio[1,2], J. Varna[1], Z. Ayadi[2]

[1]Division of Materials Science, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

[2]EEIGM & IJL, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France

12th International Conference on Composite Science and Technology (ICCST/12)
Sorrento (IT), May 8-10, 2019

Outline

- Initiation of Transverse Cracks in FRPCs
- Modeling the Fiber-Matrix Interface Crack
- Debond Energy Release Rate
- Conclusions

↘ Initiation of Transverse Cracks in FRPCs

Microscopic Observations



Left:
front view of $[0, 90_2]_S$, visual inspection.

Center:
edge view of $[0, 90]_S$, optical microscope.

Right:
edge view of $[0, 90]_S$, optical microscope.

Micromechanics of Initiation

Stage 1: isolated debonds



Zhang, H., Ericson, M. L., Varna, J., Berglund, L.A.; 1997. *Transverse single-fibre test for interfacial debonding in composites: 1. Experimental observations*. *Compos. Part A-Appl. S.* **28** (4) pp. 309–315.

Micromechanics of Initiation

Stage 2: consecutive debonds



Zhang, H., Ericson, M. L., Varna, J., Berglund, L.A.; 1997. *Transverse single-fibre test for interfacial debonding in composites: 1. Experimental observations*. *Compos. Part A-Appl. S.* **28** (4) pp. 309–315.

Micromechanics of Initiation

Stage 3: kinking



Zhang, H., Ericson, M. L., Varna, J., Berglund, L.A.; 1997. *Transverse single-fibre test for interfacial debonding in composites: 1. Experimental observations*. *Compos. Part A-Appl. S.* **28** (4) pp. 309–315.

Micromechanics of Initiation

Stage 4: coalescence



Zhang, H., Ericson, M. L., Varna, J., Berglund, L.A.; 1997. *Transverse single-fibre test for interfacial debonding in composites: 1. Experimental observations*. *Compos. Part A-Appl. S.* **28** (4) pp. 309–315.

Objective of the Study

Stage 2: consecutive debonds



- ➔ Effect of debond-fiber interaction?
- ➔ Effect of debond-debond interaction?
- ➔ Effect of relative debond position on consecutive fibers: same or opposite sides?

↘ MODELING THE FIBER-MATRIX INTERFACE CRACK

Geometry



- ➔ $L, W >> t$
- ➔ $L, W \rightarrow \infty$
- ➔ Square packing
- ➔ $L_d >> \Delta\theta_d$
- ➔ 2D RVE

Representative Volume Elements



$n \times k - coupling$



$n \times k - asymm$

Equivalent Boundary Conditions





Symmetric Coupling

$$u_z(x,h) = u_z^{\nu}$$

Anti-symmetric Coupling

$$u_z(x,h) - u_z(0,h) = -\left(u_z(-x,h) - u_z(0,h)\right)$$
$$u_x(x,h) = -u_x(-x,h)$$

Assumptions



$$R_f = 1 \ [\mu m] \qquad L = \frac{R_f}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{V_f}}$$

Material	E	ν
glass fiber	70.0	0.2
epoxy	3.5	0.4

- ➔ Linear elastic, homogeneous and isotropic materials
- ➔ Plane strain
- ➔ Frictionless contact interaction
- ➔ Symmetric w.r.t. x-axis
- ➔ Coupling of x-displacements on left and right side (repeating unit cell)
- ➔ Applied uniaxial tensile strain $\bar{\varepsilon}_x = 1\%$

Solution



in Ω_f, Ω_m :

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{zz}}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_{zx}}{\partial x \partial z}$$

$$\varepsilon_y = \gamma_{xy} = \gamma_{yz} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\sigma_{yy} = \nu \left(\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{zz}\right)$$

for $0^\circ \leq \alpha \leq \Delta\theta$:

$$\left(\vec{u}_m\left(R_f, \alpha\right) - \vec{u}_f\left(R_f, \alpha\right)\right) \cdot \vec{n}_\alpha \geq 0$$

for $\Delta\theta \leq \alpha \leq 180^\circ$:

$$\vec{u}_m\left(R_f, \alpha\right) - \vec{u}_f\left(R_f, \alpha\right) = 0$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = E_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{kl}$$

$$+ BC$$

➔ Oscillating singularity

$$\sigma \sim r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin\left(\varepsilon \log r\right), \quad V_f \rightarrow 0$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)$$

$$\beta = \frac{\mu_2\left(\kappa_1 - 1\right) - \mu_1\left(\kappa_2 - 1\right)}{\mu_2\left(\kappa_1 + 1\right) + \mu_1\left(\kappa_2 + 1\right)}$$

➔ Finite Element Method (FEM) in Abaqus™

➔ 2^{nd} order shape functions

➔ 6-nodes triangles & 8-nodes quadrilaterals

➔ regular mesh of quadrilaterals at the crack tip:

- $AR \sim 1$
- $\delta = 0.05^\circ$

↘ Debond Energy Release Rate

Strain Magnification







$\mathbf{3 \times 1 - asymm}$



$\mathbf{3 \times 1 - asymm}$

Strain Magnification







$11 \times 1 -$ asymm



$11 \times 1 -$ asymm

Strain Magnification









Strain Magnification









Crack Shielding









Crack Shielding









Crack Shielding









Crack Shielding









Consecutive Debonds: Mode I







Consecutive Debonds: Mode II





Consecutive Debonds: Mode II





Consecutive Debonds: Mode II





Non-Consecutive Debonds







3 × 3 − coupling



3 × 3 − asymm

Conclusions

Conclusions

- ➔ Debond-debond interaction in the through-the-thickness direction is extremely localized: with only a couple of undamaged fibers in between, no effect can be seen!
- ➔ For debonds on consecutive vertically-aligned fibers, G_I is higher and contact zone onset delayed if debonds are on the same side of their respective fiber.
- ➔ No significant difference in G_{II} observed, except in the range $80^{\circ} - 100^{\circ}$.
- ➔ In the range $80^{\circ} - 100^{\circ}$, G_{II} is higher when debonds are located on opposite sides of consecutive vertically-aligned fibers.

Doc MASE

UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE

EEIGM

LULEÅ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Education and Culture

Erasmus Mundus