

# A set of criteria for the prediction of initiation and propagation of transverse cracks

Luca Di Stasio<sup>a,b</sup>, Janis Varna<sup>b</sup>, Zoubir Ayadi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Université de Lorraine, EEIGM, IJL, 6 Rue Bastien Lepage, F-54010 Nancy, France*

<sup>b</sup>*Luleå University of Technology, University Campus, SE-97187 Luleå, Sweden*

---

## Abstract

A set of criteria is proposed to predict the initiation and propagation of fiber-matrix interface debonds and the transition to collective mesoscopic behavior in the form of transverse cracks. It features:

- a group of deterministic equations to determine the driving quantities of the fracture process: Energy Release Rates and dilatational energy;
- a set of probabilistic expressions to quantify the random distributions of critical values.

---

## 1. Normalization function

$$G_0 = G_0(\varepsilon_0, V_f, E_{1f}, E_{2f}, E_m, \nu_{12f}, \nu_{23f}, \nu_m, G_{12f}, G_{23f}) \quad (1)$$

Given the elastic properties of the transversely isotropic UD ply  $E_1, E_2, \nu_{12}, \nu_{23}$ , for a  $90^\circ$  ply under transverse tension the cross section along the direction of the load coincides with the plane of transversal isotropy. It is thus possible, for  
5 a system in plane strain, to define equivalent isotropic Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio as follows. The effective Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio in plane strain in the plane of isotropy are defined as

$$E^* = \frac{E_2}{1 - \nu_{21}\nu_{12}} \quad \nu^* = \frac{\nu_{23} + \nu_{21}\nu_{12}}{1 + \nu_{23}} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_0 &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{E^*} \pi R_f \quad \text{for a stress or force controlled test} \\
G_0 &= E^* \varepsilon_0^2 \pi R_f \quad \text{for a strain or displacement controlled test}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

## 2. Boundary conditions

The ratio of maximum radial and tangential crack displacements with respect  
to the free case (single repeating element or single fiber layer ply?) can be  
considered as proxies for the effect of boundary conditions

$$\frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \tag{4}$$

## 3. Initiation of fiber-matrix debonds

Following Asp,

$$U_{\nu,m} = \frac{1-2\nu}{6E} (\sigma_{1,m} + \sigma_{2,m} + \sigma_{3,m}) \tag{5}$$

$$U_{\nu,m} \geq U_{\nu,m}^{cr} \tag{6}$$

$$\theta_0 = \max_{\theta} U_{\nu,m}, \quad U_{\nu,m} \geq U_{\nu,m}^{cr} \tag{7}$$

→ Measurable with hybrid laminate  $[[90_2^\circ, 0^\circ]_S, epoxy, [90_2^\circ, 0^\circ]_S]$  as in Paper

III Asp's thesis; from which we can derive  $p(U_{\nu,m}^{cr})$

#### 4. Propagation of fiber-matrix debonds

$$\frac{G_I}{G_0} = \begin{cases} A_\delta (V_f) \log(\delta) + A_{\Delta\theta} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \sin(B_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + C_{\Delta\theta}) + D \\ B_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{max} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + C_{\Delta\theta} = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ B_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{CZ} \left( \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + C_{\Delta\theta} = \pi \\ \text{for } \Delta\theta < \Delta\theta_{CZ} \left( \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{G_{II}}{G_0} = \begin{cases} E_\delta (V_f) \log(\delta) + F_{\Delta\theta} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \sin(G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + H_{\Delta\theta}) + \\ + I_{\Delta\theta} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \sin(2G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + H_{\Delta\theta}) + L \\ G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{max} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + H_{\Delta\theta} = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{CZ} \left( \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + H_{\Delta\theta} = \pi \\ \text{for } \Delta\theta < \Delta\theta_{CZ} \left( \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \\ F_{\Delta\theta} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \sin(G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + H_{\Delta\theta}) + \\ + I_{\Delta\theta} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) \sin(2G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta + H_{\Delta\theta}) + L \\ G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{max} \left( V_f, \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + H_{\Delta\theta} = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ G_{\Delta\theta}\Delta\theta_{CZ} \left( \frac{u_{r,max}^{BC}}{u_{r,max}^{free}}, \frac{u_{\theta,max}^{BC}}{u_{\theta,max}^{free}} \right) + H_{\Delta\theta} = \pi \\ \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\Delta\Phi}{\Delta\theta} = \begin{cases} A_{\Delta\Phi} (\Delta\theta - \Delta\theta_{CZ}) & \text{for } \Delta\theta \geq \Delta\theta_{CZ} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta\Phi = \begin{cases} A_{\Delta\Phi} (\Delta\theta - \Delta\theta_{CZ})^2 & \text{for } \Delta\theta \geq \Delta\theta_{CZ} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

## 5. Fracture toughness

$$G_c = G_{Ic} (1 + \tan^2 ((1 - \lambda) \psi)) \quad \psi = \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{G_{II}}{G_I}} \right) \quad (12)$$

*Hypothesis*

$$p(\Delta\theta) = p(\Delta\theta|\varepsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\Delta\theta}(\varepsilon)} e^{\left(\frac{\Delta\theta - \overline{\Delta\theta}}{\sigma_{\Delta\theta}}(\varepsilon)\right)} \quad (13)$$

→ Verified by measuring debond's size at different strain levels (see preliminary experimental work)

20

$$\begin{cases} G_{TOT}(\Delta\theta) = G_{Ic} (1 + \tan^2 ((1 - \lambda) \psi)) \\ \psi = \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{G_{II}(\Delta\theta)}{G_I(\Delta\theta)}} \right) \end{cases} \quad \forall \Delta\theta : p(\Delta\theta) \neq 0 \quad (14)$$

$$p(G_c|\psi) = p(G_{Ic}|\psi) p(\lambda|\psi) \quad (15)$$

## 6. Transition to collective mesoscopic behavior

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{\text{debond}} > \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{\text{transverse crack}} \\ \rightarrow \text{Propagation of debonds at fiber/matrix interface level will occur, discrete events, "debonds' regime"} \\ \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{\text{debond}} < \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} |_{\text{transverse crack}} \\ \rightarrow \text{Propagation of transverse cracks will occur, collective behavior of debonds} \\ \text{inter-fiber matrix cracks propagating and coalescing, "transverse cracks' regime"} \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

## 7. *Global propagation function*

Hypothesis

$$\frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} \left( a, \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} \right) = -A \cdot \left( \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} - \frac{t_{0^\circ}}{t_{90^\circ}} \Big|_{ref} \right)^{2n+1} \sqrt{a} + \frac{G_{TOT}}{G_0} \Big|_0 \quad (17)$$