# 1 Derivation

HJB is

$$0 = \rho \theta V_t \left( \frac{C_t^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\theta}}}{((1-\gamma)V_t)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} - 1 \right) + \frac{E[dV_t]}{dt}$$

Let's define  $G_t$  such that

$$V_t = \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} G_t$$

By Ito,

$$0 = \rho \theta (G_t^{1 - \frac{1}{\theta}} - G_t) + G_t E \frac{\frac{dC_t^{1 - \gamma}}{C_t^{1 - \gamma}}}{dt} + E \frac{dG_t}{dt} + E \frac{dG_t}{C_t^{1 - \gamma}} \frac{dG_t^{1 - \gamma}}{dt}$$

Denoting  $\mu_C$  and  $\sigma_C$  the geometric drift of  $C_t$ , we have

$$\frac{dC_t^{1-\gamma}}{C_t^{1-\gamma}} = ((1-\gamma)\mu_C - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\sigma_C^2)dt + (1-\gamma)\sigma_C dW_t$$

Injecting this expression into HJB and denoting  $\mu_G, \sigma_G$  the arithmetic drift and volatility of  $G_t$ 

$$0 = \rho \theta (G_t^{1 - \frac{1}{\theta}} - G_t) + G_t ((1 - \gamma)\mu_C - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma)\gamma \sigma_C' \sigma_C) + \mu_G + \sigma_G' (1 - \gamma)\sigma_C$$

# 2 Long run risk model

# 2.1 Derivation

We now assume that the evolution of consumption is driven by two state variables  $\mu_t$  and  $\sigma_t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC_t}{C_t} &= \mu_t dt + \nu_D \sqrt{\sigma_t} dZ_t \\ d\mu_t &= \kappa_\mu (\bar{\mu} - \mu_t) dt + \nu_\mu \sqrt{\sigma_t} dZ_t^\mu \\ d\sigma_t &= \kappa_\sigma (1 - \sigma_t) dt + \nu_\sigma \sqrt{\sigma_t} dZ_t^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

We write  $G_t = G(\mu, \sigma)$  and we get the PDE

$$0 = \rho \theta [G^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} - G] + G((1-\gamma)\mu - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma\nu_D^2\sigma)$$
$$+ \kappa_\mu (\bar{\mu} - \mu)\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} + \kappa_\sigma (1-\sigma)\frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\nu_\mu^2 \sigma \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \mu^2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu_\sigma^2 \sigma \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \sigma^2}$$

#### 2.1.1 Log linearization method

We guess  $G = e^{A_0 + A_1 \mu_t + A_2 \sigma_t}$  and linearize the non linear term in G

$$G^{-\frac{1}{\theta}} \approx e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}(A_0 + A_1\overline{\mu} + A_2)} (1 - \frac{1}{\theta}(A_1(\mu_t - \overline{\mu}) + A_2(\sigma_t - 1))$$

We can then solve for  $A_0, A_1, A_2$  by setting the constant term, the term in  $\mu_t$  and the term in  $\sigma_t$  to zero in the linearized PDE.

#### 2.1.2 Finite Difference Method

We can discretize this PDE on a grid using a Finite Difference Scheme. We assume that the processes associated with state variables have reflecting boundaries at the borders (except at the LHS border for  $\sigma$ ). This gives a supplementary condition of the form  $\partial G = 0$  at the borders<sup>1</sup>

To handle boundary conditions, we upwind the first derivative. This means that we approximate  $\partial G$  by a forward difference when the drift is positive and a backward difference when the drift is negative. The second derivative at the border involves points outside the grid. We find the value of G at these points by applying the reflecting boundary condition at these points. <sup>2</sup>

To sum up the scheme is

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \rho \theta [(G_{ij})^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} - G_{ij}] + G_{ij} ((1-\gamma)\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)\gamma \nu_D^2 \sigma_j) \\ &+ (\kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i))^+ \frac{G_{i+1,j} - G_{i,j}}{\Delta \mu} + (\kappa_{\mu_i}(\bar{\mu} - \mu_i))^- \frac{G_{i,j} - G_{i-1,j}}{\Delta \mu} \\ &+ (\kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j))^+ \frac{G_{i,j+1} - G_{i,j}}{\Delta \sigma} + (\kappa_{\sigma_j}(1-\sigma_j))^- \frac{G_{i,j} - G_{i,j-1}}{\Delta \sigma} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{\mu_i}^2 \sigma_j \frac{G_{i+1,j} - 2G_{i,j} + G_{i-1,j}}{(\Delta \mu)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \nu_{\sigma_j}^2 \sigma_j \frac{G_{i,j+1} - 2G_{i,j} + G_{i,j-1}}{(\Delta \sigma)^2} \end{split}$$

Denote Y the vector of  $(G_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ . The scheme defines a function F such that F(Y) = 0. We can solve for Y using one of these methods:

- 1. Use a non linear solver for the system F(Y) = 0. These algorithms start with an initial guess, and update based on the Jacobian of F. In some PDE (not this one), you need a good initial guess. A technique is to solve the PDE for  $\theta = 1$ , and use the solution at an initial guess for other values of  $\theta$ .
- 2. Use an ODE solver for the system  $F(Y) = \dot{Y}$ . The solution when  $T \to +\infty$  is the solution of the PDE. This method is called "the method of lines".

$$dG_t = G'(x)\sigma(x)\sqrt{dt} + G'(x)\mu(x)dt + \frac{1}{2}G''(x)\sigma^2(x)dt + o(dt)$$

Since  $dG_t$  is O(dt), we must have G'(x) = 0, at least when  $\sigma(x) \neq 0$ .

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{At}$  the frontier we have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>At the points j=0, the volatility is zero. Although we don't have the border condition  $\partial_{\sigma}G=0$  or  $\partial_{\mu}G=0$  anymore, the term involving the second derivative disappears naturally

Both methods require the jacobian of F, which can generally be automatically computed using automatic or numerical differenciation.

A solution that would *not* work is to solve for G by iterating over time

$$\frac{G_{n+1} - G_n}{\Delta t} = F(G_n)$$

This method can be seen as a special case of a non linear solver (fixed point method) or as a special case of an ODE solver (in this context, it is called the Euler method). The criterion for the convergence of this method is that F is monotonous in G (Barles Souganadis theorem). This is not the case here, due to the non linear term  $\rho\theta[(G_{ij})^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}}-G_{ij}]$ .

### 2.1.3 Spectral Method (= collocation method)

We can also solve the PDE by looking at solutions of the form

$$V(\mu, \sigma) = \sum_{kl} a_{kl} \phi_k(\mu) \psi_l(\sigma)$$

where  $\phi, \psi$  denote any basis of functions (splines or chebyshev polynomials). The value function is characterized by its coordinates  $a_{kl}$  on this basis. Writing the PDE on a grid gives a non linear system in term of the coordinates, which we can solve. We use the same border condition and initial guess as the Finite Difference method.

# 2.2 Comparison

Name	BY04	BY04	This paper	This paper	Link
mean growth rate	$\mu$	0.0015	$ar{\mu}$	0.0015	$\mu = \bar{\mu}$
mean volatility	$\sigma^2$	0.00006084	$ u_D$	0.0078	$\sqrt{\sigma^2} = \nu_D$
growth persistence	ρ	0.979	$\kappa_{\mu}$	0.0212	$-\log(\rho) = \kappa_{\mu}$
volatility persistence	$\nu_1$	0.987	$\kappa_{\sigma}$	0.0131	$-\log\left(\nu_1\right) = \kappa_{\sigma}$
growth rate volatility	$arphi_e$	0.044	$ u_{\mu}$	0.0003432	$\varphi_e \times \sqrt{\sigma^2} = \nu_\mu$
volatility volatility	$\sigma_w$	0.0000023	$\nu_{\sigma}$	0.0378	$\sigma_w/\sigma^2 = \nu_\sigma$
time discount	δ	0.998	ρ	0.002	$-\log\left(\delta\right) = \rho$
RRA	$1 - \gamma(RRA)$	7.5 or 10	$1-\gamma$	-6.5 or -9	$1 - RRA = 1 - \gamma$
IES	$\psi$	1.5	$\psi$	1.5	$\psi = \psi$

Also,  $\theta = (1 - \gamma)/(1 - 1/\psi) = -19.50$  or -27. Let's express the wealth to consumption ratio  $K_t$  in term of state variables.

$$V = G_t K_t^{\gamma - 1} \frac{W^{1 - \gamma}}{(1 - \gamma)}$$

FOC for consumption can be written

$$K_t^{-1} = \rho^{\psi} K_t^{\psi - 1} G_t^{\frac{1 - \psi}{1 - \gamma}}$$

We conclude

$$K_t = \rho^{-1} G_t^{1/\theta}$$

Bansal Yaron find

$$\begin{split} \log K_t &\propto A_1 \mu_t + A_2 \nu_D^2 \sigma_t \\ A1 &= \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\psi}}{1 - 0.997 e^{-\kappa_\mu}} \\ A2 &= 0.5 \theta \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\psi})^2 + (A_1 0.997 \frac{\nu_\mu}{\nu_D})^2}{1 - 0.997 e^{-\kappa_\sigma}} \end{split}$$