

## SUMMARY OF PROBLEMS W/ THEORETICAL MODEL

### ① Our model

$$\text{GE: } \max_{K_1, L_1, S} \sum \beta^t \left[ P_t^c A_{1,t} K_{1,t}^{\alpha_1} L_{1,t}^{\alpha_2} S_t^{\eta^{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}} - W_t L_{1,t} - R_t K_{1,t} - P_t^{\eta} I T_t \right]$$

[abstract from spillover for now]  $A_{1,t} = \eta_t \psi_t$

$$\text{Google: } \max_{K_2, L_2} P_t^{\eta} A_{2,t} K_{2,t}^{\phi} L_{2,t}^{1-\phi} - W_t L_{2,t} - R_t K_{2,t}$$
$$A_{2,t} = \eta_t \pi_t$$

$$\text{s.t. } K = K_1 + K_2$$

$$L = L_1 + L_2$$

$$K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta_K) K_t + I_t$$

$$S_{t+1} = (1 - \delta_S) S_t + I T_t$$

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t$$

② GHHK (Greenwood, Hercowitz, Kusell 1997)

HHS:  $\max_{c, h, k_e, k_s} u(c, h) = \ln c - \chi h$

s.t.  $-c - \frac{1}{q} k_e' - k_s' + R_e k_e + R_s k_s + w h + (1 - \delta_e) \frac{1}{q} k_e + (1 - \delta_s) k_s = 0$

Firms:  $\max \quad z k_e^{\alpha_e} k_s^{\alpha_s} h^{1 - \alpha_e - \alpha_s} - w h - R_e k_e - R_s k_s$

$$c + i_e + i_s = z k_e^{\alpha_e} k_s^{\alpha_s} h^{1 - \alpha_e - \alpha_s}$$

$$i_s = k_s' - (1 - \delta_s) k_s$$

$$i_e = [k_e' - (1 - \delta_e) k_e] \frac{1}{q}$$

$k_s$  := "structures"; the usual kind of capital

$k_e$  := "equipment"; the more productive capital

$z$  := TFP exog. AR(1) w/ exog growth rate  $\gamma_z$

$q$  := productivity of  $e$ , exog. AR(1) w/ exog growth rate  $\gamma_q$

$\rightarrow \frac{1}{q}$  := relative price of  $e$  by definition



⑤ Oulton (2010) (see also Oulton 2007...?)

$$y_c = B_c h^{1-\alpha-\beta} k_c^{\alpha} k_{ict}^{\beta}$$

$\uparrow$  labor skill  
 $\uparrow$  TFP in cons. sector grows at rate  $\mu_c$   
 $\uparrow$  stock of capital used in cons. sector  
 $\uparrow$  stock of IT used in cons. sector

$$y_{ict} = B_{ict} h^{1-\alpha-\beta} k_c^{\alpha} k_{ict}^{\beta}$$

$\uparrow$  TFP in IT sector, grows at rate  $\mu_{ict} > \mu_c$  by ass.

$$K_c = K_c^c + K_c^{ict}$$

$$K_{ict} = K_{ict}^c + K_{ict}^{ict}$$

$$\dot{K}_c = I_c - \delta_c K_c$$

$$\dot{K}_{ict} = I_{ict} - \delta_{ict} K_{ict}$$

$$Y_c = C + I_c$$

$$Y_{ict} = I_{ict}$$

$$P = P_{ict} / P_c$$

And HHs max C & a (assets)

getting  $\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = \frac{1}{\theta}(r - \rho)$

Labor (H) exog, grows at the rate  $n$

labor skill/hour,  $h$ , grows exog. at  $g_h$

THEORY

Our model	GHK	Oulton
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can derive that <math>p^I/p^C</math> won't move after a news shock until the shock materializes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>q</math> only moves in response to IT prod. shocks by definition.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can derive that <math>P = \mu_C - \mu_{ICT} &lt; 0</math>  <math>\rightarrow P</math> won't move after a news shock until TFP moves.</li> </ul>

• explodes even w/o spillover

• explodes & st. st. is wrong

• haven't done

& st. st. is wrong

$\rightarrow$  for both I think the reason is that I stationarize wrong

MATLAB

either you treat exog. growth stuff as variables w/ a Lon and then you have at least 3 or 4 different growth rates that I don't know how to treat

or you shut off growth in which case there's something that's overdetermined or not determined at all  $\rightarrow$  PTO!



in GHK, I've solved the model & implemented in Matlab 2 different ways:

1.) growth  $\rightarrow$  explodes

conceptual problem: we get  $\delta q$ ,  $\delta z$ ,  $g_z$  and  $g \rightarrow$  hard to get the relationship between these right; stationarizing is not correct; also an issue of how they stationarize and an issue of net vs. gross growth rates.

2.) growth in a reduced 1-sector model ( $\approx$  RBC)  
 $\rightarrow$  works

$\hookrightarrow$  the problem really comes from things growing at different rates.

$\Rightarrow$  But: if you shut off growth, then  $g$  is undetermined; it can be set exog. as a parameter but shocking that doesn't make sense.



⇒ So what's my conclusion so far?

- 1.) To do the model right on Matlab, 2 options  
either do growth & get the 2-sector  
stationarization right (reasonable!)  
take out growth & shock levels (although  
for a 2-sector model I'm doubtful b/c  
this seems to take out all the action)

- 2) I think we might be able to proceed  
pencil & paper: our model & Oulton  
gets the result that

rel. prices = differences in TFP across sectors  
(more or less) → RP won't move after a news  
shock until the shock hits TFP ... which we  
know from our VAR is after  $\approx 20$  quarters!

A 0-restriction on RP before 20 quarters  
makes sense!