

5 slides to convince you that it's endogenous TFP

Marco Brianti and Laura Gati

Boston College

February 2, 2018

A two-sector model

Final goods firm (GE)

$$\max_{K_{1,t}(s), L_{1,t}(s), S_t^{IT}(s)} Y_t(s) = K_{1,t}(s)^\alpha L_{1,t}(s)^\beta S_t^{IT}(s)^{1-\alpha-\beta} A_t$$

$$- w_t L_{1,t}(s) - R_t K_{1,t}(s) - P_t^{IT} I T_t(s)$$

$$\text{where } S_t^{IT}(s) = (1 - \delta^{IT}) S_{t-1}^{IT} + I T_t$$

$$A_t = \eta_t \Psi_t(G(S_t^{IT}, S_{t-1}^{IT}, \dots))^\gamma$$

$$G(S_t^{IT}, S_{t-1}^{IT}, \dots) = \zeta_1 S_t^{IT} + \zeta_2 S_{t-1}^{IT} + \dots$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i = 1$$

IT-producing firm (Google)

$$\max_{K_{2,t}(s), L_{2,t}(s)} IT_t(s) = P_t^{IT} \eta_t \lambda_t F(K_{2,t}(s), L_{2,t}(s)) - w_t L_{2,t}(s) - R_t K_{2,t}(s)$$

A news shock is $\eta_{t+k} \uparrow$ for some positive k .

An IT productivity shock is $\lambda_t \uparrow$, i.e. an increase in IT productivity today.

Ψ is a final-good-specific exogenous technology process.

Proposition 1 - the Solow-residual in this model

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\dot{A}}{A} &= \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} - \alpha \frac{\dot{K}_1}{K_1} - \beta \frac{\dot{L}_1}{L_1} - (1 - \alpha - \beta) \frac{\dot{S}^{IT}}{S^{IT}} \\ &= \frac{\dot{\eta}}{\eta} + \frac{\dot{\Psi}}{\Psi} + \gamma \frac{\dot{G}(S^{IT}, \dots)}{G(S^{IT}, \dots)}\end{aligned}$$

TFP consists of an exogenous, common technology process η , a final-good-specific exogenous technology process Ψ and an endogenous component coming as a spillover from the aggregate stock of IT technology.

Proposition 2 - when a news shock leaves relative prices constant

Since the rate of return on the input factors have to equal in the two sectors, we can set the FOCs from GE and Google equal. Let's do this for capital:

$$R_t = \alpha \frac{Y_t(s)}{K_{1,t}(s)} P_t^C = \eta_t \lambda_t F_k P_t^{IT}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{P_t^{IT}}{P_t^C} = \alpha \frac{K_{1,t}(s)^\alpha L_{1,t}(s)^\beta S_t^{IT}(s)^{1-\alpha-\beta} \eta_t \Psi_t(S_t^{IT})^\gamma}{K_{1,t}(s)} \frac{1}{\eta_t \lambda_t F_k}$$

A news shock doesn't move relative prices if

- the news shock doesn't change the relative marginal productivities of the two inputs in the two sectors, so that labor and capital are not reallocated from one sector to another.

Evidence that $\gamma \neq 0$; GPTs show up as spillovers

Theoretical evidence

- Whelan (2001): a two-sector model with IT relative prices.
- Brynjolfsson, e.g. in Bloom et al. (2014): theory of IT as a GPT changing management practices.
- Mokyr (1990): an economic history account of how GPTs (electricity, computers) change the **organization** of production
→ neither use, nor output value of IT, but **indirect** effect
- Romer (1986): a production function with spillovers from human capital.

Empirical evidence

- Basu, Fernald, Oulton & Srinivasan (2004 Macro Annuals)
- Stiroh (2002 AER): industry-level data show that productivity gains from IT are not confined to industries producing IT.
- Oliner & Sichel (2000 JEP): growth accounting.
- Black & Lynch (2004 EJ), Doms, Dunn & Troske (1997 QJE): plant-level data.