```
clear all
close all
restoredefaultpath
addpath(genpath('jsz_library'))
clc
load('sample_RY_model_jsz.mat')
load('sample_zeros.mat')
8888888888888888888888888888888
% Compute the loadings and rotated model with the yields as states
[BcP, AcP, K0Q_cP, K1Q_cP, rho0_cP, rho1_cP, K0Q_X, K1Q_X, AX, BX,
Sigma_X] = jszLoadings(W, K1Q_X, kinfQ, Sigma_cP, mats, dt);
yields m = ones(length(dates),1)*AcP + (yields*W.')*BcP;
figure(1)
plot(year(dates) + month(dates)/12, yields_m)
xlabel('date')
ylabel('yields')
% We can also re-parameterize kinfQ with rho0 cP
% This is beneficial since it will help make the likelihood
continuous.
[BcP, AcP, K0Q_cP, K1Q_cP, rho0_cP, rho1_cP, K0Q_X, K1Q_X, AX, BX,
Sigma_X] = jszLoadings_rho0cP(W, K1Q_X, rho0_cP, Sigma_cP, mats, dt);
% We could also use rinfQ (the long run mean of the short rate under
Q) instead of kinfQ provided that the model is Q-stationary.
% This will make the likelihood continuous and provides a proper
bijective identification for the set of Q-stationary models
[BCP, ACP, K00 CP, K10 CP, rho0 CP, rho1 CP, K00 X, K10 X, AX, BX,
Sigma_X] = jszLoadings(W, K1Q_X, -K1Q_X(1,1)*rinfQ, Sigma_cP, mats,
dt);
222222
% Can compute the likelihood with the "without error assumption"
llk = jszLLK(yields, W, KlQ_X, kinfQ, Sigma_cP, mats, dt);
% Or all the bells and whistles
```

```
[llk, AcP, BcP, AX, BX, KOP_cP, K1P_cP, sigma_e, K0Q_cP, K1Q_cP,
rho0 cP, rho1 cP, cP, llkP, llkQ] = ...
   jszLLK(yields, W, K1Q_X, kinfQ, Sigma_cP, mats, dt);
fprintf('The average (negative) log likelihood is %6.6g\n', mean(llk))
% With the without error assumption, we can concentrate out kinf
(which
% makes the choice of rinf vs rho cP vs kinf irrelevant)
[llk, AcP, BcP, AX, BX, kinf, K0P_cP, K1P_cP, sigma_e, K0Q_cP, K1Q_cP,
rho0_cP, rho1_cP, cP, llkP, llkQ, K0Q_X, K1Q_X, rho0_X, rho1_X]
= ...
   jszLLK_kinf_conc(yields, W, K1Q_X, Sigma_cP, mats, dt);
fprintf('The average (negative) log likelihood is (concentrate) %6.69
\n', mean(llk))
888888888888888888888888888
88888888888888888888888888888
% The model was estimated assuming the 6-month, 2-year, 10-year zeros
% measured without error. We can compute the likelihood with the KF
error
% assumption. (this function requires [KOP cP, K1P cP, sigma e])
[llk, AcP, BcP, AX, BX, K0Q_cP, K1Q_cP, rho0_cP, rho1_cP, cP,
yields_filtered, cP_filtered] = ...
   jszLLK_KF(yields, W, K1Q_X, kinfQ, Sigma_cP, mats, dt, K0P_cP,
K1P cP, sigma e);
fprintf('The average (negative) log likelihood is %6.6g when using
KF instead of assuming yields without error at these estimates\n',
mean(llk))
figure(2)
plot(year(dates) + month(dates)/12, [yields*W', cP_filtered])
xlabel('date')
ylabel('yields')
The average (negative) log likelihood is -38.1863
The average (negative) log likelihood is (concentrate) -38.1863
The average (negative) log likelihood is -37.9501 when using KF
instead of assuming yields without error at these estimates
```