

# GMM-Lasso Solution Path

Zhentao Shi

How to efficiently computing the solution path of GMM Lasso? It is well known that LARS provides a solution path. Is there a counterpart for GMM-Lasso with a linear structural model? This is critical for data-driven tuning parameter determination and bootstrap.

For the criterion function

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\beta)' W \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\beta)$$

with a positive definite matrix  $W$ . We can decompose it into

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(\beta)' \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(\beta) = \sum_{l=1}^{L_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n h_{il}(\beta) \right)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{L_n} [\tilde{h}_l(\beta)]^2$$

where  $h_i(\beta) = W^{1/2} g_i(\beta)$  and  $\tilde{h}_l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{il}(\beta)$ . The farthestmost part of the above equation resembles the criterion function of OLS.

To give a concrete example, we consider GMM-Lasso in the form of 2SLS. In this case  $W = (Z'Z)^{-1}$  so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(\beta) = W^{1/2} Z' (y - X\beta) = (Z'Z)^{-1/2} Z' (y - X\beta) = P (y - X\beta)$$

where  $P = (Z'Z)^{-1/2} Z'$ . This is as if we OLS with  $Py$  against  $PX$ .

In the asymptotics, the dimension  $\dim(Py) = L_n \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  has no problem. In reality, we need to use the information criterion or the cross-validation to decide.

Note that the dimension mismatch with OLS. This is as if we have  $L_n$  observations. But if we do bootstrap or cross-validation, the sample must be randomized or grouped over the dimension of  $n$ , not the dimension  $L_n$ . That means we cannot direct use the LARS cross-validation function in the R package LARS. Fortunately, randomize the sample and then apply the transformation is still straightforward.

There are several alternative ways to choose the tuning parameters. A thorough comparison with an additional empirical application will be interesting.