Table 1: Mean Comparison between CAMS generated (_gen) and RAND CAMS (_rand) spending categories

	mean/sd	
$total_gen$	40580.95	
	(38060.12)	
total_rand	40286.74	
	(32454.46)	
$nondurables_gen$	21144.78	
	(21486.26)	
$nondurables_rand$	23063.96	
	(19936.29)	
durables_gen	338.3697	
	(762.8257)	
$durables_rand$	334.1937	
	(712.6508)	
$transportation_gen$	7876.816	
	(13134.34)	
$transportation_rand$	8221.075	
	(12476.09)	
housing_gen	10145.35	
	(15709.03)	
housing_rand	8667.517	
	(11095.3)	
N	30124	_

Most differences can be explained by the lack of imputation from the CAMS generated values.

Nondurables are much smaller because the CAMS generated values exclude new measures introduced in 2003/2005 to make the measure wave consistent.

The CAMS generated values are winsorized.

Table 2: Sum Comparison between CAMS generated (_gen) and RAND CAMS (_rand) spending categories

	$\mathrm{sum/sd}$	
total_gen	1.22e+09	
	(38060.12)	
total_rand	1.21e + 09	
	(32454.46)	
nondurables_gen	6.37e + 08	
	(21486.26)	
$nondurables_rand$	6.95e + 08	
	(19936.29)	
durables_gen	1.02e + 07	
	(762.8257)	
durables_rand	1.01e + 07	
	(712.6508)	
transportation_gen	2.37e + 08	
	(13134.34)	
$transportation_rand$	2.48e + 08	
	(12476.09)	
housing_gen	3.06e + 08	
	(15709.03)	
housing_rand	2.61e + 08	
	(11095.3)	
\overline{N}	30124	

Most differences can be explained by the lack of imputation from the CAMS generated values.

Nondurables are much smaller because the CAMS generated values exclude new measures introduced in 2003/2005 to make the measure wave consistent.

The CAMS generated values are winsorized.