# Classical Monetary Model, Pt. II

Takeki Sunakawa

Quantitative Methods for Monetary Economics

University of Mannheim

April 2018

# Gali's classical monetary model

- This is a simple model of a classical monetary economy with perfect competition and fully flexible prices in all markets.
- The classical economy provides a reference benchmark that will be useful later, when imperfect competition and sticky prices are introduced.
- The resulting framework is referred to as the basic New Keynesian model, which will be discussed in the next week.

#### Households

 The economy is inhabited by a large number of identical households. The representative household maximizes

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, N_t; Z_t),$$

#### where

- ullet  $C_t$  is the quantity of consumption,
- $N_t$  is hours of work or employment, and
- ullet  $Z_t$  is an exogenous preference shifter.

# Household's budget constraint

Maximization is subject to a sequence of budget constraints:

$$P_tC_t + Q_tB_t \le B_{t-1} + W_tN_t + D_t,$$

#### where

- $P_t$  is the price of consumption goods,
- $W_t$  is nominal wage,
- ullet  $B_t$  is one-period riskless discount bonds with its price  $Q_t$ , and
- $D_t$  represents dividends.

#### Lagrangean

We set up the Lagrangean as

$$L_0 \equiv E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[ U(C_t, N_t; Z_t) \right.$$
  
$$\left. + \lambda_t \left( B_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t - P_t C_t - Q_t B_t \right) \right].$$

Taking the derivatives of the Lagrangean and set them to zero,

$$\partial C_t$$
:  $U_{c,t} = P_t \lambda_t$ ,  
 $\partial N_t$ :  $U_{n,t} = -W_t \lambda_t$ ,  
 $\partial B_t$ :  $Q_t \lambda_t = \beta E_t \lambda_{t+1}$ ,

where  $U_{c,t} = \partial U_t / \partial C_t$  and  $U_{n,t} = \partial U_t / \partial N_t$ .

# Household's optimality conditions

• Eliminating the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_t$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{W_t}{P_t} & = & -\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}}, \\ \\ Q_t & = & \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}. \end{array}$$

Also, the transversality condition is given by

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} E_t \left\{ \Lambda_{t,T} \frac{B_T}{P_T} \right\} = 0,$$

where  $\Lambda_{t,T} = \beta^{T-t} U_{c,T} / U_{c,t}$  is called the stochastic discount factor.



# Utility function

• The utility function takes the form

$$U(C_t, N_t; Z_t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}\right) Z_t, & \text{for } \sigma \neq 1, \\ \left(\log C_t - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}\right) Z_t, & \text{for } \sigma = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \geq 0$  are the curvature of the utility of consumption and the disutility of labor.

•  $z_t \equiv \log Z_t$  follows an exogenous AR(1) process:

$$z_t = \rho_z z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^z.$$



# Household's optimality conditions, cont'd

After having the utility function, the optimality conditions become

$$\begin{split} \frac{W_t}{P_t} &= C_t^\sigma N_t^\varphi, \\ Q_t &= \beta E_t \left\{ \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t}\right)^{-\sigma} \frac{Z_{t+1}}{Z_t} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

The log-linearized version of the optimality conditions are

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t,$$
  

$$c_t = E_t c_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho) + (1 - \rho_z) z_t,$$

where

- $i_t \equiv -\log Q_t$  is the nominal interest rate,
- $\rho = -\log \beta$  is the household's discount rate, and
- $\pi_{t+1} \equiv p_{t+1} p_t$  is the inflation rate.



#### Firms

 A large number of identical firms operate in the economy. The representative firm's production function is

$$Y_t = A_t N_t^{1-\alpha},$$

where  $A_t$  is the level of technology and  $a_t \equiv \log A_t$  follows

$$a_t = \rho_a a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^a.$$

# Firm's optimality conditions

• Each period the firm maximizes profit

$$P_t Y_t - W_t N_t$$

subject to the production function. This maximization yields

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = (1 - \alpha)A_t N_t^{-\alpha}.$$

Its log-linearized version is

$$w_t - p_t = a_t - \alpha n_t + \log(1 - \alpha).$$

# Summary

Now we have the following log-linearized equilibrium conditions

$$w_{t} - p_{t} = \sigma c_{t} + \varphi n_{t},$$

$$c_{t} = E_{t} c_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1} (i_{t} - E_{t} (p_{t+1} - p_{t}) - \rho) + (1 - \rho_{z}) z_{t},$$

$$w_{t} - p_{t} = a_{t} - \alpha n_{t} + \log(1 - \alpha),$$

$$y_{t} = a_{t} + (1 - \alpha) n_{t},$$

$$y_{t} = c_{t}$$

Given the policy rate  $i_t$  and exogeneous variables  $(a_t, z_t)$ , we have 5 equations and 5 variables.



# Solving for real variables

From the equilibrium conditions, we have

$$\sigma y_t + \varphi n_t = a_t - \alpha n_t + \log(1 - \alpha),$$
  

$$y_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha)n_t.$$

Then one can determine the equilibrium levels of employment and output

$$n_t = \psi_{na} a_t + \psi_n,$$
  
$$y_t = \psi_{ya} a_t + \psi_y,$$

where 
$$\psi_{na}=\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\varphi+\alpha}$$
,  $\psi_n=\frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\varphi+\alpha}$ ,  $\psi_{ya}=\frac{1+\varphi}{\sigma(1-\alpha)+\varphi+\alpha}$ , and  $\psi_y=(1-\alpha)\psi_n$ .

# Solving for real variables, cont'd

• Further, the real interest rate  $r_t \equiv i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}$  is given by

$$r_t = \rho + (1 - \rho_z)z_t + \sigma E_t(y_{t+1} - y_t),$$
  
=  $\rho + (1 - \rho_z)z_t + \sigma \psi_{ya}a_t.$ 

 Note that the equilibrium levels of employment, output, and the real interest rate are determined independently of monetary policy. In other words, monetary policy is neutral.

# Monetary policy and price level determination

- In contrast with real variables, nominal variables cannot be determined independently of monetary policy.
- We will see that
  - Inflation and price level is undetermined under a fixed interest rate, and
  - Inflation is pinned down with the Taylor rule.

# Fisherian equation

• The Fisherian equation is given by

$$i_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + r_t.$$

• In the steady state (i.e.,  $z_t = a_t = 0$ ),  $r = \rho$  and

$$i = \rho + \pi$$
.

# Exogenous nominal interest rate

• A monetary policy rule is given by

$$i_t = i + \nu_t,$$

where  $\nu_t$  follows

$$\nu_t = \rho_\nu \nu_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^\nu.$$

 $\nu_t$  is called a monetary policy shock. It should be interpreted as a random and transitory deviation from the "usual" conduct of monetary policy.

# Expected inflation determined

• Combining the Fisherian equation and monetary policy rule, we have

$$E_t \pi_{t+1} = i_t - r_t,$$

$$= \pi + \nu_t - \underbrace{(r_t - \rho)}_{\hat{r}_t}.$$

the expected inflation is pinned down uniquely, as it is a function of exogenous variables.

# Price level indeterminacy

However, actual inflation is not. Any inflation path that satisfies

$$\pi_t = \pi + \nu_{t-1} - \hat{r}_{t-1} + \xi_t,$$

is consistent with equilibrium. Equivalently, the price level is given by

$$p_t = \pi + p_{t-1} + \nu_{t-1} - \hat{r}_{t-1} + \xi_t,$$

where  $\xi_t$  is called sunspot shocks.

 An equilibrium in which nonfundamental factors may cause fluctuations is referred to as an indeterminate equilibrium.

#### A simple interest rate rule

• Suppose that the central bank (CB) adjusts the nominal interest rate in response to deviations of inflation from a target  $\pi$ , according to the interest rate rule

$$i_t = \rho + \pi + \phi_{\pi} \underbrace{(\pi_t - \pi)}_{\hat{\pi}_t} + \nu_t,$$

where  $\phi_{\pi} \geq 0$  is a degree of the endogenous response of monetary policy.

• Combining the Fisherian equation and this rule, we have

$$\phi_{\pi}\hat{\pi}_t = E_t\hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \hat{r}_t - \nu_t.$$

# The Taylor principle

• If  $\phi_{\pi} > 1$ , the previous difference equation has only one nonexplosive solution:

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_{\pi}^{-(k+1)} E_t(r_{t+k} - \rho - \nu_{t+k}).$$

ullet In particular, using the previous solution for  $r_{t+k}$ , we have [demonstrated on the white board]

$$\pi_t = \pi - \frac{\sigma(1 - \rho_a)\psi_{ya}}{\phi_{\pi} - \rho_a} a_t + \frac{1 - \rho_z}{\phi_{\pi} - \rho_z} z_t - \frac{1}{\phi_{\pi} - \rho_{\nu}} \nu_t.$$

Through the choice of  $\phi_\pi$ , the CB can influence the degree of inflation volatility.

• The condition for determinacy,  $\phi_{\pi} > 1$ , is known as the Taylor principle.



# Beyond the cashless economy

- In the previous model, money plays only the role of numeraire. This is called cashless economy.
- It is unclear why agents would want to hold an asset that is dominated in return by bonds.
- There are two (somewhat incomplete) frameworks which can explain why.
  - Cash-in-advance (CIA) constraint: Cooley and Hansen
  - Money-in-the-utiliy (MIU) function

# Money in the utility

The representative household maximizes

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, \frac{M_t}{P_t}, N_t; Z_t),$$

subject to

$$P_tC_t + Q_tA_t + (1 - Q_t)M_t \le A_{t-1} + W_tN_t + D_t,$$

where  $A_t = B_t + M_t$ . Real money holdings,  $M_t/P_t$ , enter the utility function. Money provides a "transaction service" that households value.

The additional optimality condition is given by

$$\frac{U_{m,t}}{U_{c,t}} = 1 - Q_t = 1 - \exp(-i_t).$$



#### An example with separable utility

Assume that the household's utility function takes the form

$$U(C_t, N_t; Z_t) = \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} + \frac{(M_t/P_t)^{1-\nu} - 1}{1-\nu} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}\right) Z_t.$$

Then the optimality condition becomes

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} = C_t^{\sigma/\nu} (1 - \exp(-i_t))^{-1/\nu},$$

and its log-linearized version is

$$m_t - p_t = \frac{\sigma}{\nu} c_t - \eta i_t,$$

where  $\eta \equiv [\nu(\exp(i) - 1)]^{-1}$ . This equation can be interpreted as a demand for real balances.

