Monetary Policy Design in the Basic New Keynesian Model

Takeki Sunakawa

Quantitative Methods for Monetary Economics

University of Mannheim

April 2018

Introduction

- How monetary policy should be conducted?
- Monopolistic competition and sticky prices are the source of inefficiency in the basic NK model.
- Fiscal subsidy can eliminate the inefficiency stemming from the monopolistic competition.
- Monetary policy can achieve the efficient allocation by fully stabilizing the price level.

The efficient allocation

- Let's consider a model without the source of inefficiencies.
- The benevolent social planner maximizes the representative household's utility:

$$U(C_t, N_t; Z_t)$$

subject to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} C_t & \equiv & \left(\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}, \\ C_t(i) & = & A_t N_t, \end{array}$$

where $N_t = \int_0^1 N_t(i)di$, for each period.

The conditions for the efficient allocation

The optimiality condition should satisfy

$$C_t(i) = C_t,$$

$$N_t(i) = N_t,$$

for all $i \in [0, 1]$. Given this, we have

$$\underbrace{-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}}}_{MRS_t} = MPN_t.$$

 $MPN_t = A_t$ denotes the economy's average product of labor (=the marginal product of each firm).

Distortions from monopolistic competition

 Now we go back to the basic model, but prices are fully flexible. In this case, the firm i maximizes

$$P_t(i)Y_t(i) - W_tN_t(i),$$

subject to

$$Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i),$$

 $Y_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_t.$

Distortions from monopolistic competition, cont'd

• The optimal price setting rule is given by

$$P_t = \mathcal{M} \frac{W_t}{MPN_t}.$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \varepsilon/(\varepsilon - 1)$. Note that firms are symmetric without Calvo-type price stickiness: $P_t(i) = P_t(j)$, $Y_t(i) = Y_t(j)$ and $N_t(i) = N_t(j)$ for $i \neq j$ hold.

Accordingly,

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t} = \frac{MPN_t}{\mathcal{M}} < MPN_t.$$

The presence of a markup leads to an inefficiently low level of employment and output. [graph]

Subsidy to eliminate the distortions

 The above inefficiency can be eliminated by an employment subsidy (financed by means of lump-sum tax):

$$P_t(i)Y_t(i) - (1 - \tau)W_tN_t(i).$$

ullet Then, under flexible prices, $P_t=\mathcal{M} rac{(1- au)W_t}{MPN_t}$ holds. Accordingly,

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t} = \frac{MPN_t}{\mathcal{M}(1-\tau)}.$$

The optimal allocation can be attained if $\mathcal{M}(1-\tau)=1$.

• By construction, the equilibrium under flexible prices is efficient.

Distortions related to sticky prices

Under sticky prices, the economy's average markup is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_t = \frac{P_t}{(1-\tau)(W_t/MPN_t)} = \frac{P_t\mathcal{M}}{(W_t/MPN_t)},$$

where we have used $\mathcal{M}(1-\tau)=1.$ In this case of the efficient steady state,

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t} = MPN_t \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}_t}.$$

The optimal allocation can be attained if $\mathcal{M}_t = \mathcal{M}$.

Distortions related to sticky prices, cont'd

• Yet another source of distortion: When prices are sticky, $P_t(i) \neq P_t(j)$ holds. Then the efficiency conditions $C_t(i) = C_t$ and $N_t(i) = N_t$ are also violated.

The case of efficient natural allocation

Optimal policy (which achieves the efficient allocation) requires that

$$y_t = y_t^n,$$

or equivalently, the output gap $x_t=y_t-y_t^n=0$ for all t. Then the NKPC implies $\pi_t=0$ and the dynamic IS curve implies $i_t=r_t^n$ for all t.

- Two features of the optimal policy:
- Output stability is not optimal; output should vary with the natural level of output.
- Price stability implies an efficient level of output, and vice versa. This is called the divine coincidence (Blanchard and Gali, 2007).

The basic NK model

Recall: New Keynesian Phillips curve (NKPC)

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t,$$

where $x_t=y_t-y_t^n$, $y_t^n=\psi_{ya}a_t+\psi_y$, and $\kappa=\frac{(1-\beta\theta)(1-\theta)(\sigma+\varphi)}{\theta}$.

Dynamic IS curve

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1} \left(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^n \right),$$

where the narutal rate of interest is given by

$$r_t^n = \rho - \sigma (1 - \rho_a) \psi_{ya} a_t + (1 - \rho_z) z_t.$$

• Question: What is the optimal interest rate rule for i_t ?



Optimal monetary policy rules

If we consider the following rule

$$i_t = r_t^n + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y x_t,$$

where $\phi_{\pi} > 0$ and $\phi_{y} > 0$, It implements the efficient allocation iff the equilibrium is determinate, i.e.,

$$\kappa(\phi_{\pi} - 1) + (1 - \beta)\phi_y > 0$$

holds.

 \bullet However, it is unlikely to observe the narural rate of interest, $r_t^n,$ in reality.

Simple monetary policy rules

Instead, we consider

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y (y_t - y),$$

This is a function of observable variables only, and called "simple rule."

• How to evaluate the simple rules?

Welfare loss function

- Following Rotemberg and Woodford (1999), a welfare-based criterion from a second-order approximation to the representative household's utility is used.
- Recall: the representative household's utility is given by

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, N_t; Z_t).$$

It can be approximated by

$$-\frac{1}{2}E_0\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\beta^t\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}\pi_t^2+(\sigma+\varphi)x_t^2\right).$$

This is called welfare loss function.



Welfare loss function, cont'd

• The average welfare loss per period is given by a linear combination of the variance of the output gap and inflation

$$\mathbb{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} \mathrm{var}(\pi_t) + (\sigma + \varphi) \mathrm{var}(x_t) \right].$$

- We can obtain the variance and the avarage welfare loss once we solve the model.
- The analysis is conducted on technology and demand shocks separately.

The effects of a technology shock

• Recall: After some algebra, we have the decision rules

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_t & = & -\psi_{ya}(\phi_y + \sigma(1-\rho_a))(1-\beta\rho_a)\Lambda_a a_t, \\ \pi_t & = & -\psi_{ya}(\phi_y + \sigma(1-\rho_a))\kappa\Lambda_a a_t, \end{array}$$

where
$$\Lambda_a = \frac{1}{(1-\beta\rho_a)[\sigma(1-\rho_a)+\phi_y]+\kappa(\phi_\pi-\rho_a)}.$$

Also,

$$y_t = \psi_{ya} \kappa (\phi_{\pi} - \rho_a) \Lambda_a a_t.$$

The effects of a demand shock

We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_t = y_t & = & -(1-\beta\rho_{\nu})\Lambda_z z_t, \\ \pi_t & = & -\kappa\Lambda_z z_t, \end{array}$$

where
$$\Lambda_z = \frac{1}{(1-\beta\rho_z)[\sigma(1-\rho_z)+\phi_y]+\kappa(\phi_\pi-\rho_z)}.$$

Numerical examples (when $\alpha = 0$)

• The standard deviation of innovations in both shocks is set to one percent. What if $\phi_{\pi} \to \infty$ or $\phi_{y} \to \infty$?

	Technology				Demand			
ϕ_{π}	1.5	1.5	5	1.5	1.5	1.5	5	1.5
ϕ_y	0.125	0	0	1	0.125	0	0	1
$\sigma(y)$	2.126	2.216	2.282	1.653	0.351	0.380	0.113	0.229
$\sigma(x)$	0.169	0.078	0.012	0.641	0.351	0.380	0.113	0.229
$\sigma(\pi)$	0.797	0.369	0.056	3.030	0.358	0.387	0.116	0.234
$(1-\beta)\mathbb{L}$	0.334	0.072	0.002	4.826	0.071	0.083	0.007	0.030

Appendices

- A model with Rotemberg (1982) adjustment cost
- Second-order approximation of the utility function

Firm's price setting: Price adjustment cost

- Rotemberg (1982) introduced price stickiness in a form of price adjustment cost. Firms incur a cost when they set price different from yesterday.
- The firm $i \in [0,1]$ chooses the price $P_t(i)$ so as to maximize

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\frac{C_t}{C_0}\right)^{-\sigma} \left[\left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} - (1-\tau)\tilde{\Psi}_t\right) Y_t(i) - \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_{t-1}(i)} - 1\right)^2 Y_t \right],$$

subject to the sequence of demand constraints

$$Y_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_t.$$

Firm's price setting, cont'd

• The optimality condition takes the form

$$\begin{split} &(1-\varepsilon)\left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon}\frac{Y_t}{P_t} + \varepsilon\left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon-1}\frac{(1-\tau)\tilde{\Psi}_tY_t}{P_t} \\ &-\phi\left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_{t-1}(i)} - 1\right)\frac{Y_t}{P_{t-1}(i)} \\ &+\beta\phi E_t\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(\frac{P_{t+1}(i)}{P_t(i)} - 1\right)\frac{P_{t+1}(i)Y_{t+1}}{P_t(i)^2} = 0. \end{split}$$

ullet Note that firms are symmetric in the equilibrium; $P_t(i)=P_t$. Then we have

$$(1 - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon (1 - \tau) \tilde{\Psi}_t$$
$$-\phi (\Pi_t - 1) \Pi_t + \beta \phi E_t \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t}\right)^{-\sigma} (\Pi_{t+1} - 1) \Pi_{t+1} \frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_t} = 0.$$

Firm's price setting, cont'd

• In the steady state, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(1-\tau)\tilde{\Psi}=1.$$

• The log-linearized version is given by

$$\begin{split} (1-\epsilon) + \epsilon (1-\tau) \tilde{\Psi} (1+\hat{\psi}_t) \\ -\phi \Pi^2 (1+2\pi_t) + \beta \phi \Pi^2 E_t \left\{ 1 + 2\pi_{t+1} + (1-\sigma)(\hat{c}_{t+1} - \hat{c}_t) \right\} \\ +\phi \Pi (1+\pi_t) - \beta \phi \Pi E_t \left\{ 1 + \pi_{t+1} + (1-\sigma)(\hat{c}_{t+1} - \hat{c}_t) \right\} = 0, \\ \Leftrightarrow \pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\phi} \hat{\psi}_t. \end{split}$$

Given that $\Pi=1$, $\pi_t=\log\Pi_t$ and $\tilde{\psi}_t=\log\tilde{\Psi}_t$.

Inflation dynamics coincide

• Under staggered prices by following Calvo (1983), we have

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \frac{(1 - \beta \theta)(1 - \theta)}{\theta} \hat{\psi}_t,$$

• If $\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\phi} = \frac{(1-\beta\theta)(1-\theta)}{\theta}$, the Calvo and Rotemberg price stickiness has the same first-order inflation dynamics (Roberts, 1995).

Nonlinear equilibrium conditions

The good market clearing implies

$$Y_t = C_t + \frac{\phi}{2} (\Pi_t - 1)^2 Y_t.$$

• Then we have the following equilibrium conditions:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{W_t}{P_t} = C_t^{\sigma} N_t^{\varphi}, \\ &1 = \beta R_t E_t \left\{ \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{Z_{t+1}}{Z_t} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}, \\ &C_t = \left[1 - \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\Pi_t - 1 \right)^2 \right] Y_t, \\ &Y_t = A_t N_t, \\ &\tilde{\Psi}_t = \frac{W_t}{P_t} \frac{1}{A_t}, \end{split}$$

Nonlinear equilibrium conditions, cont'd

and

$$\begin{split} &(1-\epsilon)+\epsilon(1-\tau)\tilde{\Psi}_{t}\\ &-\phi\left(\Pi_{t}-1\right)\Pi_{t}+\beta\phi E_{t}\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_{t}}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(\Pi_{t+1}-1\right)\Pi_{t+1}\frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_{t}}=0. \end{split}$$

There are 7 variables $\{C_t, Y_t, N_t, \tilde{\Psi}_t, W_t, P_t, R_t\}$. Note that $\Pi_t = P_t/P_{t-1}$.

• Also, a nonlinear version of the Taylor rule is given by

$$R_t = R\Pi_t^{\phi_{\pi}} \left(\frac{Y_t}{Y}\right)^{\phi_y} \exp(\nu_t).$$

Then we have 7 equations and can solve the model.



Steady state

• In steady state,

$$\begin{split} \Pi &= 1, \\ R &= \beta^{-1}, \\ C &= N = \tilde{\Psi}^{\frac{1}{\sigma + \varphi}}, \\ \tilde{\Psi} &= [(1 - \tau)\mathcal{M}]^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Note that when $(1-\tau)\mathcal{M}=1$, the steady state is efficient.

Log-linearization

We have

$$\begin{split} i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho - \sigma(E_t c_{t+1} - c_t) + E_t z_{t+1} - z_t &= 0, \\ c_t &= y_t = a_t + n_t, \\ \tilde{\psi}_t &= \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t - a_t, \\ \pi_t &= \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\phi} (\tilde{\psi}_t - \tilde{\psi}), \\ i_t &= \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y (y_t - y) + \nu_t. \end{split}$$

There are 5 variables, $\{c_t, \pi_t, i_t, n_t, \tilde{\psi}_t\}$, and 5 equations. Note that $\{y_t, w_t\}$ are substituted out.

Flexible-price equilibrium

 \bullet In flexible-price equilibrium (when $\phi=0$), $C^n_t=Y^n_t=A_tN^n_t$ and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Psi} &= [(1-\tau)\mathcal{M}]^{-1} \\ &= (C_t^n)^{\sigma} (N_t^n)^{\varphi} A_t^{-1} \\ &= (Y_t^n)^{\sigma+\varphi} A_t^{-(1+\varphi)}. \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{\psi} = (\sigma + \varphi)y_t^n - (1 + \varphi)a_t.$$

Log-linearized equations

• Finally, we have

$$x_{t} = E_{t}x_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1}(i_{t} - E_{t}\pi_{t+1} - r_{t}^{n}),$$

$$\pi_{t} = \beta E_{t}\pi_{t+1} + \frac{(\varepsilon - 1)(\sigma + \varphi)}{\phi}x_{t},$$

$$i_{t} = \rho + \phi_{\pi}\pi_{t} + \phi_{y}(y_{t} - y) + \nu_{t},$$

where

$$x_t = y_t - y_t^n,$$

$$y_t^n = \frac{1+\varphi}{\sigma+\varphi}a_t + \frac{1}{\sigma+\varphi}\tilde{\psi},$$

$$r_t^n = \rho + \sigma(E_t y_{t+1}^n - y_t^n) - E_t z_{t+1} + z_t.$$

Second-order approximation

• The second order approximation of relative deviation:

$$\frac{X_t - X}{X} \simeq \hat{x}_t + \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}_t,$$

where $\hat{x}_t \equiv \log(X_t/X)$.

• This can be obtained by the second-order Taylor expansion of:

$$X_t/X = \exp(\hat{x}_t) \simeq \exp(\hat{x}) + \exp(\hat{x})(\hat{x}_t - \hat{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\exp(\hat{x})(\hat{x}_t - \hat{x})^2,$$

= $1 + \hat{x}_t + \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}_t^2.$

Second-order approximation to the household utility

• The second order Taylor expansion of $U_t = U(C_t, N_t; Z_t)$ around a steady state (C, N, Z) yields

$$U_{t} - U \simeq U_{c}C\left(\frac{C_{t} - C}{C}\right) + U_{n}N\left(\frac{N_{t} - N}{N}\right) + \frac{1}{2}U_{cc}C^{2}\left(\frac{C_{t} - C}{C}\right)^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}U_{nn}N^{2}\left(\frac{N_{t} - N}{N}\right) + U_{c}C\left(\frac{C_{t} - C}{C}\right)\left(\frac{Z_{t} - Z}{Z}\right)$$
$$+ U_{n}N\left(\frac{N_{t} - N}{N}\right)\left(\frac{Z_{t} - Z}{Z}\right) + t.i.p.$$

where t.i.p. stands for terms independent of policy. Note that we have used $U_{cn}=0.$

Second-order approximation to the household utility, cont'd

• Let's consider the model with Calvo pricing. In terms of log deviations,

$$U_t - U \simeq U_c C \left(\hat{y}_t (1 + z_t) + \frac{1 - \sigma}{2} \hat{y}_t^2 \right)$$

+
$$U_n N \left(\hat{n}_t (1 + z_t) + \frac{1 + \varphi}{2} \hat{n}_t^2 \right) + t.i.p.$$

where we have used $\sigma \equiv -\frac{U_{cc}}{U_c}C$ and $\varphi \equiv \frac{U_{nn}}{U_n}N$ and $\hat{c}_t = \hat{y}_t$. Also, using $\hat{n}_t = \hat{y}_t - a_t + d_t$ and the fact that d_t is at second order:

$$U_{t} - U \simeq U_{c}C\left(\hat{y}_{t}(1+z_{t}) + \frac{1-\sigma}{2}\hat{y}_{t}^{2}\right) + U_{n}N\left(\hat{y}_{t}(1+z_{t}) + d_{t} + \frac{1+\varphi}{2}(\hat{y}_{t}-a_{t})^{2}\right) + t.i.p.$$

Second-order approximation to the household utility, cont'd

• The efficient steady state implies $U_cC=-U_nN$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{U_t - U}{U_c C} & \simeq \left(\hat{y}_t (1 + z_t) + \frac{1 - \sigma}{2} \hat{y}_t^2 \right) \\ & - \left(\hat{y}_t (1 + z_t) + d_t + \frac{1 + \varphi}{2} (\hat{y}_t - a_t)^2 \right) + t.i.p., \\ & = - \left(d_t - \frac{1 - \sigma}{2} \hat{y}_t^2 + \frac{1 + \varphi}{2} (\hat{y}_t - a_t)^2 \right) + t.i.p., \\ & = - \left(d_t + \frac{\sigma + \varphi}{2} \hat{y}_t^2 + (\sigma + \varphi) \hat{y}_t a_t \right) + t.i.p., \\ & = - \left(d_t + \frac{\sigma + \varphi}{2} x_t^2 \right) + t.i.p. \end{split}$$

where we have used that $\hat{y}_t^n = \frac{1+\varphi}{\sigma+\varphi}a_t$ and $x_t = \hat{y}_t - \hat{y}_t^n$.



Welfare loss function derived

- We use the following lemmas:
- **1** In a neighborhood of a symmetric steady state and up to the second order approximation, $d_t = (\epsilon/2) var_i \{p_t(i)\}$. [See Appendix 3.4 in the text.]
- **2** $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t var_i \{ p_t(i) \} = \lambda^{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \pi_t^2$. [See Woodford (2003, ch. 6).]
- Then we obtain

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^2 \left(\frac{U_t - U}{U_c C} \right) \simeq -\frac{1}{2} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} \pi_t^2 + (\sigma + \varphi) x_t^2 \right) + t.i.p.,$$

$$= -\frac{\lambda(\sigma + \varphi)}{2\epsilon} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^2 \left(\pi_t^2 + \frac{\kappa}{\epsilon} x_t^2 \right) + t.i.p.$$