

# Example Paper

Kyle Butts

Univ. of Colorado, Boulder

November 5, 2020

## **ABSTRACT**

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

## 1. Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur:  $\sum X_i$ . Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.<sup>1</sup>

See below for Theorem 1, for the regression specification Equation 1, and for Table 2 (Eigen, 1971).

## 2. Econometric Model

### 2.1. Principal Specification

**Theorem 1 (Example Theorem)** *This is an example theorem*

$$y = f(X) + \varepsilon$$

$$y = f(X) + \varepsilon = X\beta + \psi_i + \nu_t + w_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\} = \mathbb{P}(\tau|D_i = 1)$$

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

---

<sup>1</sup>This is a test.

Table 1 — Column Width Resize Box Table

Year	N	% Urban	Market Access		Urban Weekly Wage		Nonurban Weekly Wage	
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1940	16,875,829	0.66	10,708.21	14,819.55	33.22	19.66	25.23	16.56
1950	67,790	0.69	23,166.06	26,600.85	70.05	32.70	58.27	29.24
1960	1,338,491	0.66	40,328.17	45,385.47	124.11	77.55	99.37	59.01
1970	277,951	0.75	65,373.07	68,048.88	205.42	137.80	165.61	104.49
1980	1,907,836	0.73	73,223.43	74,906.62	408.83	284.44	340.82	220.68
1990	2,257,874	0.68	224,312.50	168,933.04	711.77	574.58	550.26	392.90
2000	2,581,741	0.73	288,195.77	199,100.92	1,010.36	1,022.77	754.10	649.57
2005	530,283	0.76	283,709.53	196,293.00	1,211.38	1,203.62	881.47	722.94
2010	530,359	0.76	273,754.31	195,220.04	1,306.70	1,281.34	972.40	817.94
2015	559,168	0.76	252,832.47	164,905.15	1,447.26	1,545.33	1,080.38	1,011.25

*Notes.* Weekly wage is reported in 2015 \$.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Table 2 — Summary Statistics

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
rating	30	64.633	12.173	40	58.8	71.8	85
complaints	30	66.600	13.315	37	58.5	77	90
privileges	30	53.133	12.235	30	45	62.5	83
learning	30	56.367	11.737	34	47	66.8	75
raises	30	64.633	10.397	43	58.2	71	88
critical	30	74.767	9.895	49	69.2	80	92
advance	30	42.933	10.289	25	35	47.8	72

*Notes.* Using R base dataframe attitude \$.

Table 3—Cohabitation

**2.12** Percentage of women aged 18–49 cohabiting:  
by age

Great Britain	Percentage and numbers				
	1979	1981	1983	1984	1985
Age group ( <i>percentages</i> )					
18–24	4.5	5.6	5.2	7.3	9.1
25–49	2.2	2.6	3.2	3.3	3.9
All aged 18–49	2.7	3.3	3.6	4.2	5.0
Women in sample ( <i>numbers</i> )					
(=100% above)					
18–24	1,353	1,517	1,191	1,174	1,182
25–49	4,651	5,007	4,094	4,070	4,182
All aged 18–49	6,004	6,524	5,285	5,244	5,364

Table 4 — Regression Results

	<i>Dependent variable: Overall Rating</i>	
	(1)	(2)
Handling of Complaints	0.692*** (0.149)	0.682*** (0.129)
No Special Privileges	−0.104 (0.135)	−0.103 (0.129)
Opportunity to Learn	0.249 (0.160)	0.238* (0.139)
Performance-Based Raises	−0.033 (0.202)	
Too Critical	0.015 (0.147)	
Advancement	11.011 (11.704)	11.258 (7.318)
Observations	30	30
R <sup>2</sup>	0.715	0.715
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.656	0.682

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

## References

Eigen, Manfred (1971). “Selforganization of matter and the evolution of biological macromolecules”. In: *Naturwissenschaften* 58.10, pp. 465–523.