


Imlab: Dremel

Andreas Zimmerer

Technische Universität München

Garching, 27. Januar 2020





Overview

- Why Dremel?
- How does Dremel Work?
- Example: Record Assembly
- Challenges
- Benchmarks
- Outlook




Why Dremel?

- It's a good idea to support non-relational data:
 Alternative would be normalizing data and joining the parts
 -> might be very costly
- Dremel utilizes the benefits of column stores for nested data
- Dremel is highly parallelizable



Shredding documents into columns:



How do we compute repetition and definition levels?



Computing definition and repetition levels:

```
message Document {
  required int64 DocId;
  optional group Links {
    repeated int64 Backward;
    repeated int64 Forward; }
  repeated group Name {
    repeated group Language {
      required string Code;
      optional string Country; }
    optional string Url; }}
```

The *definition level* is always fixed for a field, and is used for specifying which field in the message was NULL.

The definition level of a field is the number of potentially undefined (optional and repeated) fields in the path.

The *repetition level* tells us at which level a field is repeated.

The maximum repetition level of a field is the number of repeated fields in the path.

=> with these two values we can losslessly reconstruct records



Retrieving a record: (1) Create a FSM with the fields

Question: How can we reconstruct a (partial) record?

=> We construct a FSM that defines how we jump between fields. e.g. given a previous field and a repetition level, what's the next field?


We can also construct a FSM that constructs only a partial record, but still preserves the record structure.



Full FSM with all fields

Document.DocId Document.Links.Backward [0] Document.Links.Forward [0] Document.Name.Language.Code [0, 1] [1] Document.Name.Language.Code

Partial FSM for Docld and Country





Retrieving a record: (2) Assemble record by jumping from field to field with FSM

Example: Record Assembly



Three Ingredients:

(1) Document Structure


```
message Document {
  required int64 DocId;
  optional group Links {
    repeated int64 Backward;
    repeated int64 Forward; }
  repeated group Name {
    repeated group Language {
       required string Code;
       optional string Country; }
    optional string Url; }}
```

(2) Record Data


Docld r	d	
10	0	0
****	0	0

N.L.Country r d		
us	0	3
NULL	2	2
NULL	1	1
gb	1	3
	0	3


(3) FSM





























Docld: 10	






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
    Lang: {
        Country: 'us'
    }
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: {
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
```






```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'gb'
```





```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'gb'
```





```
Docld: 10
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'us'
  Lang: { }
Name: {
  Lang: {
     Country: 'gb'
```



Challenges



FSM Construction

```
1 procedure ConstructFSM(Field[] fields):
 2 for each field in fields:
     maxLevel = maximal repetition level of field
     barrier = next field after field or final FSM state otherwise
     barrierLevel = common repetition level of field and barrier
     for each preField before field whose
            repetition level is larger than barrierLevel:
       backLevel = common repetition level of preField and field
        Set transition (field, backLevel) -> preField
10
     end for
11
     for each level in [barrierLevel+1..maxLevel]
          that lacks transition from field:
12
        Copy transition's destination from that of level-1
13
14
     end for
15
     for each level in [0..barrierLevel]:
16
        Set transition (field, level) -> barrier
     end for
18 end for
19 end procedure
```



FSM Construction

```
1 procedure ConstructFSM(Field[] fields):
 2 for each field in fields:
     maxLevel = maximal repetition level of field
     barrier = next field after field or final FSM state otherwise
     barrierLevel = common repetition level of field and barrier
     for each preField before field whose
            repetition level is larger than barrierLevel:
       backLevel = common repetition level of preField and field
 8
        Set transition (field, backLevel) -> preField
10
     end for
11
     for each level in [barrierLevel+1..maxLevel]
          that lacks transition from field:
                                                                      Instead: Insert reflexive edge
                                                       No
12
        Copy transition's destination from that of level-1
13
14
     end for
15
     for each level in [0..barrierLevel]:
16
        Set transition (field, level) -> barrier
     end for
18 end for
19 end procedure
```




FSM Construction: Algorithms Compared

Version from Paper:

Document.DocId Document,Links,Forward Document.Links.Backwar Document.Name.Language.Country Document.Name.Language.Code [0, 1] Document.Name.Url

Modified Version:





Common Ancestor

```
message Document {
  required int64 DocId;
  optional group Links {
    repeated int64 Backward;
    repeated int64 Forward; }
  repeated group Name {
    repeated group Language {
     required string Code;
     optional string Country; }
  optional string Url; }}
```

Order is important!


CoAn of Code and Country -> Language CoAn of Country and Code -> Name



Benchmarks




Benchmarks






Benchmarks






Performance against Apache Drill





Profiling



Time spent with Protobuf



Summary & Outlook

- FSM construction is fast and only needed once (=> neglectable overhead)
- Record assembly takes a lot of time (copies & heap allocs with Proto)

- Compilation instead of interpretation
- Not using Protobuf