

Report on the 2D Paper

Jie Liu

Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands

December 15, 2020

Aim of the paper

- ① To determine α_R and β_R for different FEM methods of different FEM packages for various 2D second-order problems.
- ② To choose FEM methods/elements that give smaller round-off error, i.e. α_R and β_R .
- ③ To apply the strategy in the 1D paper to find the optimal number of DoFs of 2D problems*.

Problem statement

We solve the problem as follows:

$$-\nabla \cdot (d(x, y) \nabla u) + \mathbf{a}(x, y) \cdot \nabla u + r(x, y)u = f, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

where $d(x, y)$, $\mathbf{a}(x, y)$ and $r(x, y)$ are scalar/vector coefficient functions. The dependent variable u and coefficients can be either real-valued or complex-valued if not stated otherwise. By choosing different coefficient functions, we can have Poisson, diffusion or Helmholtz problems.

Progress

- Coefficients for the first derivative in Eq. (1), i.e. $a(x, y)$ and $b(x, y)$ considered for problems with real-valued solutions using the standard FEM. The solution using the mixed FEM is not correct by now, since the function space involved with u is not satisfied.

Future work

- To clarify the existence of the derivative of interest.
- To only show the error of $H(\text{div})$ for the second derivative using the mixed FEM.