## JIETENG CHEN

Room 1149, Cheng Yu Tung Building (+86) 138-6013-5951 ☑ jieteng.chen@link.cuhk.edu.hk 12 Chak Cheung St, Shatin N.T., HK SAR https://jietengchen.github.io **EDUCATION** The Chinese University of Hong Kong Ph.D. in Marketing 2021 - 2026 (Expected) Yale University Visiting Student 2024 - 2025 Xiamen University B.A. in Economics 2017 - 2021 RESEARCH Economics of AI, Generative AI, Online Platforms, Industrial Organization Interests 1. "Designing Detection Algorithms for AI-Generated Content: Consumer Inference, Creator **IOB MARKET** Incentives, and Platform Strategy" PAPER Jieteng Chen, T. Tony Ke, and Jiwoong Shin, under review, Marketing Science **PUBLICATION** 2. "Regulating Digital Piracy Consumption" Jieteng Chen, Yuetao Gao and T. Tony Ke, Journal of Marketing Research, (2024) 3. "From Canvas to Blockchain: Impact of Royalties on Art Market Efficiency" Working **PAPERS** Xinyu Cao, Jieteng Chen, and T. Tony Ke, minor revision, Management Science (Equal contribution) 4. "Algorithmic Allocation: Match Quality and Labor Supply on a Two-Sided Market" Jieteng Chen and Chongyan Sun, draft available 5. "Data Externalities and Data Acquisition by Online Platforms" Jieteng Chen and T. Tony Ke, draft available Conference Designing Detection Algorithms for AI-Generated Content: Consumer Inference, Creator In-Presentations centives, and Platform Strategy The 47th ISMS Marketing Science Conference, Washington DC 2025 Chinese Marketing Association Annual Conference, Jinan 2025 From Canvas to Blockchain: Impact of Royalties on Art Market Efficiency Asia-Pacific Industrial Organization Conference, Hong Kong 2023 Chinese Marketing Association Annual Conference, Changsha 2024 Asia-Pacific Marketing Academy Conference, Hong Kong 2024 The 46th ISMS Marketing Science Conference, Sydney 2024 Regulating Digital Piracy Consumption Asia-Pacific Marketing Academy Conference, Guangzhou 2023 The 45th ISMS Marketing Science Conference, Miami 2023 Marketing Research (Undergrad), Tutorial Instructor (for R and SPSS Programming) TEACHING 2025 Experience Teaching Evaluation: 5.5/6.0; Recognized as an Excellent TA by CUHK Marketing Management (MBA), Teaching Assistant 2023

GRANTS,	
Honors	&
Awards	

## National Natural Science Foundation of China, Research Grant for PhD Students

• "Privacy Leakage and Platform Regulation", PI, (300,000 RMB)	2025-2027
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	
• University Research Output Award, (25,000 HKD)	2025
Sole awardee in CUHK Business School	
• Reaching Out Award, (10,000 HKD)	2025
Graduate Research Grants	

2025-2026 "Algorithmic Detection by Content Platforms", PI, (30,000 HKD) "Regulating Digital Piracy", PI, (20,000 HKD) 2023-2025

• ISMS Doctoral Consortium Fellow

2025

Xiamen University

• Outstanding Graduate 2021

• Academic Excellence Scholarship 2019, 2020, 2021

#### SERVICE WORK

## Ad-hoc Reviewer for Marketing Science

## SELECTED GRADUATE Coursework

**Economics** 

Microeconomic Theory I Jimmy Chan Wei He Microeconomic Theory II Econometric Theory and Application Xun Lu Qingliang Fan **Applied Econometrics** Theory of Industrial Organization (HKUST) Pakhung Au Kohei Kawaguchi

Empirical Industrial Organization (HKUST) Duozhe Li & Murayama Kota Game Theory

Review of Quantitative Methods Kam Chau Wong

Advanced Microeconomic Theory (Yale, audit) Roberto Corrao & Kai Hao Yang Industrial Organization I (Yale, audit) Phil Haile & Charles Hodgson

## Marketing

Analytical Modeling in Marketing T. Tony Ke Empirical Modeling in Marketing Francisco Cisternas Marketing Models (CityU) Liang Guo Special Topics in Marketing I Sha Yang Special Topics in Marketing II Ganesh Iver

Behavioral Studies in Marketing

Research Methodology in Behavioural Studies

Marketing Management Buyer Behaviour

Empirical Methods in Marketing (Yale, audit)

Computer Science, Statistics, Optimization

Foundation of Optimization Advanced Statistical Computing Machine Learning (CityU) Applied Deep Learning (CityU)

Xianchi Dai Jessica Kwong Hao Shen Rosette Leung K. Sudhir

Man Cho So Yingying Wei Anthony Chan Po Lai Man

#### REFERENCES

T. Tony Ke (Advisor) Professor of Marketing

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

☑ tonyke@cuhk.edu.hk **J** (+852) 3943-8565

#### **Jiwoong Shin**

Professor of Marketing Yale University

☑ jiwoong.shin@yale.edu **(**+1) 203-432-6665

## Xinvu Cao

Associate Professor of Marketing The Chinese University of Hong Kong

☑ xinyucao@cuhk.edu.hk **J** (+852) 3943-7829

Paper Abstract

"Designing Detection Algorithms for AI-Generated Content: Consumer Inference, Creator Incentives, and Platform Strategy", *under review*, *Marketing Science* (Job Market Paper)

Generative AI has transformed content creation, enhancing efficiency and scalability across media platforms. However, it also introduces substantial risks, particularly the spread of misinformation that can undermine consumer trust and platform credibility. In response, platforms deploy detection algorithms to distinguish AI-generated from human-created content, but these systems face inherent trade-offs: aggressive detection lowers false negatives (failing to detect AI-generated content) but raises false positives (misclassifying human content), discouraging good creators. Conversely, conservative detection protects creators but weakens the informational value of labels, eroding consumer trust. We develop a model in which a platform sets the detection threshold, consumers form beliefs from content labels and decide whether to engage, and creators choose whether to adopt AI and how much effort to exert to create content. A central insight is that detection does not affect outcomes continuously: instead, equilibrium structure shifts discontinuously as the threshold changes. At low thresholds, consumers trust human labels and partially engage with AI-labeled content, disciplining AI misuse and boosting engagement. But when detection threshold becomes higher, this inference breaks down, AI adoption rises, and both trust and engagement collapse. Thus, the platform's optimal detection strategy balances these risks, influencing content creation incentives, consumer beliefs, and overall welfare.

#### "Regulating Digital Piracy Consumption", Journal of Marketing Research (2024)

Regulators across the globe have imposed penalties on consumers for digital piracy consumption. Contrary to expectations, however, digital piracy consumption has continued to grow. The authors develop a simple model of competition between a copyright holder and a pirate firm to offer a plausible account for this observation as well as actionable guidelines for optimal regulation design. The core of this idea is to endogenize the pirate firm's strategic investment in antitracking technologies that help consumers evade a regulator's penalty. The authors find that as the penalty rises, piracy consumption can surprisingly increase after decreasing first; relatedly, the copyright holder and the society may suffer from tighter regulation. Depending on the cost of antitracking technologies of the pirate firm, the regulator optimally sets the penalty to operate in two different regimes. When the technology is available at a low cost, the regulator can achieve the goals of maximizing social welfare and minimizing piracy consumption simultaneously by setting a moderate penalty that maximizes consumers' expected penalty and tolerates some level of piracy consumption. In contrast, when the technology is costly, the regulator should set a relatively high penalty to completely impede piracy supply. Additionally, the authors show that supply-side regulation does not substitute away demand-side regulation, and educating consumers about copyright protection may unintentionally lead to an increase in piracy consumption. Last, the authors identify complex nonmonotonic long-run effects of piracy consumption regulation on the copyright holder's incentives for content creation and copyright protection.

# "From Canvas to Blockchain: Impact of Royalties on Art Market Efficiency", *minor revision*, *Management Science*

Since the advocacy for droit de suite in France in the 1890s, policymakers and the public have recognized artworks as intellectual property and sought to grant artists resale royalties yet encountered heated debates and various logistical obstacles. The emergence of blockchain technology now makes automated royalty collection feasible. We examine the impact of resale royalties on artists' pricing decisions and the overall efficiency of the art market. We build an infinite-horizon model in which an artist sells her artwork in the primary market, after which it can be resold in a sequence of secondary markets. We find that when artwork popularity is public information, royalties—acting as a tax on resales—reduce the artwork's resale value and transaction volume, lowering the artist's profit and leaving all market participants worse off. However, when the artist possesses superior information about artwork popularity compared to buyers, a popular artist may set an inefficiently high price to signal their appeal, which hurts primary market efficiency. In this case, royalties benefit the popular artist by reducing the unpopular artist's incentive to mimic, thereby mitigating price distortion in the primary market. Consequently, the profit of a popular artist first increases and then decreases with the royalty rate, peaking at a unique positive rate. Social welfare may either rise or fall with the royalty rate, depending on whether the reduction in primary-market price distortion outweighs the deadweight loss in resale markets.

PAPER ABSTRACT

"Algorithmic Allocation: Match Quality and Labor Supply on a Two-Sided Market"

Platforms increasingly rely on algorithms to manage demand allocation across service providers. This paper investigates the implications of quality-based allocation algorithms that prioritize higher-quality workers, highlighting the tradeoff in algorithmic design: improving matching quality may undermine labor supply. Using data from a large on-demand delivery platform in Asia, we find that the quality-based allocation mechanism directs more customer orders toward higher-quality drivers, leading to higher hourly earnings for these drivers and lower customer cancellation rates. We further develop and estimate a two-sided market model with endogenous labor supply and heterogeneous driver types. Counterfactual simulations reveal that although prioritizing high-quality drivers improves average match quality and customer outcomes, it reduces earnings and participation incentives for lower-quality drivers, leading to the risk of labor supply shortages. Therefore, favoring match quality does not universally improve platform profits. Our findings underscore the importance of balancing match efficiency with labor supply considerations in the design of algorithmic management systems.

"Data Externalities and Data Acquisition by Online Platforms"

In the digital era, platforms actively acquire consumer data to improve match efficiency between the two sides. Under the prevalent privacy regulations, the platform can only obtain consumer data upon their consent. However, even if a consumer opts out of the data collection, their information can still be leaked by others' data sharing because a consumer's data are predictive of others' preferences, thereby generating data externalities. This paper investigates the platform's optimal data acquisition strategy under privacy rights and data externality. We find that the platform compensates consumers who share data based on the consumption utility difference between sharing and not sharing data, which is endogenously affected by others' data sharing. In equilibrium, the platform balances the benefit of data to optimize match efficiency through personalized recommendations against the cost of data acquisition. As information correlation increases, the benefit of individual data for learning this specific consumer's preference declines because the information could be more accurately predicted from others' data. Conversely, the value of individual data for predicting other ones' preferences is enhanced, and the costs of data acquisition are lower. Consequently, the platform may acquire data from more or fewer consumers as the information correlation rises. We also discuss the implications for platform profit, consumer surplus, and social welfare.

Last update: 2025 Aug