

Week12

IN0003

Jigao

TUM

24. Januar 2019

- The first stage to Ocaml is gone.
- Last time we had introduced a proving tool **Big Step**
- Today we have induction proving, which we learned in other lecture.(Math maybe)
- We also use the Ocaml syntax for Big Step. So recursion.
- Do you have the lectures? They are helpful for this topic.

- This slide is aimed at the presentation in my tutorial.
- Can be some style, which not 100% same of the original solution and the lecture slide.
- **If there are some mismatch with the original solution, please following the style in original solution**
- And tell me where is wrong.

- Lecture part **verification**.
- A tool to find the equality of two recursive functions.
- Because we could use the induction steps to simplify the recursion.
- They are both done step by step. Right?

Consider the following function definitions:

```
let rec fact n = match n with 0 -> 1
                  | n -> n * fact (n-1)
```

```
let rec fact_aux x n = match n with 0 -> x
                       | n -> fact_aux (n*x) (n-1)
```

```
let fact_iter = fact_aux 1
```

Assume that all expressions terminate. Show that

$$\text{fact_iter } n = \text{fact } n$$

holds for all non-negative inputs $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Consider the following function definitions:

```
let rec fact n = match n with 0 -> 1
                    | n -> n * fact (n-1)
```

```
let rec fact_aux x n = match n with 0 -> x
                        | n -> fact_aux (n*x) (n-1)
```

```
let fact_iter = fact_aux 1
```

Assume that all expressions terminate. Show that

$$\text{fact_iter } n = \text{fact } n$$

holds for all non-negative inputs $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

■ Question: Which is the end recursion function?

Consider the following function definitions:

```
let rec fact n = match n with 0 -> 1
                  | n -> n * fact (n-1)
```

```
let rec fact_aux x n = match n with 0 -> x
                       | n -> fact_aux (n*x) (n-1)
```

```
let fact_iter = fact_aux 1
```

Assume that all expressions terminate. Show that

$$\text{fact_iter } n = \text{fact } n$$

holds for all non-negative inputs $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

- Question: Which is the end recursion function?
- Pay attention to this function and its accumulator.

Intuitive?

I would try to prove that

$\text{fact_iter } n = \text{fact } n \equiv \text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ by induction on n .

Hope we are familiar with **Induction proving steps**.

- **Base case:** $n = 0 \dots$
- **Inductive step:** We assume $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$: ...

- Base case: $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fact_aux } 1 \ 0 \\ &= \\ &\dots \\ &= \\ \text{fact } 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Try to simplify them to a same thing, then we can say they are equal.
- Two direction. One goes to the bottom, one goes to top.

- Base case: $n = 0$

fact_aux 1 0

f_a

fact fact 0

■ Base case: $n = 0$

```
fact_aux 1 0
```

```
 $\stackrel{f\_a}{=}$  match 0 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)
```

```
...
```

```
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  match 0 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)
```

```
 $\stackrel{fact}{=}$  fact 0
```

■ Base case: $n = 0$

```
fact_aux 1 0
 $\stackrel{f\_a}{=}$  match 0 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)
match
 $\stackrel{=}{=}$  1
match
 $\stackrel{=}{=}$  match 0 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)
fact
 $\stackrel{=}{=}$  fact 0
```

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$:

$\text{fact_aux } 1 \ (n+1)$

$\stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} \text{fact } (n+1)$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$:

$\text{fact_aux } 1 \ (n+1)$

$\stackrel{f_a}{=} \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow \text{fact_aux } (n*1) \ (n-1)$

$\stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow n * \text{fact } (n-1)$

$\stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} \text{fact } (n+1)$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fact_aux } 1 \ (n+1) \\ \stackrel{f_a}{=} & \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow \text{fact_aux } (n*1) \ (n-1) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{fact_aux } ((n+1)*1) \ ((n+1)-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text{arith}}{=} (n+1) * \text{fact}((n+1) - 1) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow n * \text{fact } (n-1) \\ \stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} & \text{fact } (n+1) \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fact_aux } 1 \ (n+1) \\ \stackrel{\text{f_a}}{=} & \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow \text{fact_aux } (n*1) \ (n-1) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{fact_aux } ((n+1)*1) \ ((n+1)-1) \\ \stackrel{\text{arith}}{=} & \text{fact_aux } (n+1) \ n \\ & = (n+1) * \text{fact } n \\ \stackrel{\text{arith}}{=} & (n+1) * \text{fact}((n+1) - 1) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow n * \text{fact } (n-1) \\ \stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} & \text{fact } (n+1) \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux } 1 \ n = \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now we try to prove that it also holds for $n + 1$:

```
fact_aux 1 (n+1)
   $\stackrel{f\_a}{=}$  match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> fact_aux (n*1) (n-1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  fact_aux ((n+1)*1) ((n+1)-1)
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  fact_aux (n+1) n
      our proof fails here
= (n+1) * fact n
 $\stackrel{arith}{=}$  (n + 1) * fact((n + 1) - 1)
 $\stackrel{match}{=}$  match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)
 $\stackrel{fact}{=}$  fact (n+1)
```

- Our first proof fails
- WHY?

- Our first proof fails
- WHY?
- Because we cannot use the induction hypothesis (induction assumption, Induktionsannahme) in induction step .
- The reason is that **our hypothesis holds only for the special case** where x is exactly for 1.
- Since the value of argument x changes between recursive calls, we have to state (and prove) **a more general equality between the two sides that holds for arbitrary x .**

- It is easy to see that x is used as an accumulator here and the function simply multiplies the factorial of n onto its initial value.
- **For an arbitrary x , `fact_aux x n` computes $x * n!$.**
- In order for the other side to compute the exact same value, we have also have to multiply by the initial value of x :

```
fact_aux acc n = acc * fact n
```

Time for you to exercise.

- Base case: $n = 0$

fact_aux acc 0

fact acc * fact 0

■ Base case: $n = 0$

fact_aux acc 0

$\stackrel{f_a}{=}$ match 0 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)

$\stackrel{match}{=}$ acc * match 0 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)

$\stackrel{fact}{=}$ acc * fact 0

■ Base case: $n = 0$

fact_aux acc 0

$\stackrel{f_a}{=}$ match 0 with 0 \rightarrow acc | n \rightarrow fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)

$\stackrel{match}{=}$ acc

$\stackrel{arith}{=}$ acc * 1

$\stackrel{match}{=}$ acc * match 0 with 0 \rightarrow 1 | n \rightarrow n * fact (n-1)

$\stackrel{fact}{=}$ acc * fact 0

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux acc } n = \text{acc} * \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it holds for $n + 1$ as well:

$\text{fact_aux acc (n+1)}$

$\stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} \text{acc} * \text{fact (n+1)}$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux acc } n = \text{acc} * \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it holds for $n + 1$ as well:

`fact_aux acc (n+1)`

$\stackrel{f_a}{=}$ `match n+1 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)`

$\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$ `acc * match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)`

$\stackrel{\text{fact}}{=}$ `acc * fact (n+1)`

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux acc } n = \text{acc} * \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it holds for $n + 1$ as well:

```
fact_aux acc (n+1)
≡f_a match n+1 with 0 -> acc | n -> fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)
match
= fact_aux ((n+1)*acc) ((n+1)-1)

arith
= acc * (n+1) * fact ((n+1)-1)

match
= acc * match n+1 with 0 -> 1 | n -> n * fact (n-1)

fact
= acc * fact (n+1)
```

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{fact_aux acc } n = \text{acc} * \text{fact } n$ holds for an input $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it holds for $n + 1$ as well:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fact_aux acc (n+1)} \\ \stackrel{f.a}{=} & \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow \text{acc} \mid n \rightarrow \text{fact_aux (n*acc) (n-1)} \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{fact_aux ((n+1)*acc) ((n+1)-1)} \\ \stackrel{\text{arith}}{=} & \text{fact_aux ((n+1)*acc) n} \\ \stackrel{I.H.}{=} & (n+1) * \text{acc} * \text{fact } n \\ \stackrel{\text{arith}}{=} & \text{acc} * (n+1) * \text{fact ((n+1)-1)} \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{acc} * \text{match } n+1 \text{ with } 0 \rightarrow 1 \mid n \rightarrow n * \text{fact (n-1)} \\ \stackrel{\text{fact}}{=} & \text{acc} * \text{fact (n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

- *I.H.* stands for induction hypothesis.

Assignment 12.2

Let these functions be defined:

```
let rec summa l = match l with [] -> 0
                  | h::t -> h + summa t
```

```
let rec sum l a = match l with [] -> a
                  | h::t -> sum t (h+a)
```

```
let rec mul i j a = if i <= 0 then a
                    else mul (i-1) j (j+a)
```

Prove that, under the assumption that all expressions terminate, for arbitrary l and $c \geq 0$ it holds that:

$$\text{mul } c \text{ (sum } l \text{ 0) 0} = c * \text{summa } l$$

Hint: Which one is end recursion function and how can we handle the accumulator?

Little exercise: find the generalized proving claim.

Both `sum` and `mul` use an accumulator in their tail recursive implementation.

- `sum 1 0` has 0 as accumulator \rightarrow `sum 1 acc1`
- Right side: `summa 1` \rightarrow `acc1 + summa 1`
- `mul c x 0` has 0 as accumulator \rightarrow `mul c x acc2`
- Combine them together `mul c (sum 1 acc1) acc2`
- Right side: `acc2 + c * (acc1 + summa 1)`

Thus, we have to generalize the claim to:

$$\text{mul } c \text{ (sum 1 acc1) acc2} = \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa 1})$$

instead of the original one:

$$\text{mul } c \text{ (sum 1 0) 0} = c * \text{summa 1}$$

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Base case: $l = []$
- Time for exercise. 5 Min

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Base case: $l = []$
- Time for exercise. 5 Min

```
sum [] acc1
   $\stackrel{\text{sum}}{=}$  match [] with [] -> acc1 | h::t -> sum t (h+acc1)
   $\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$  acc1
   $\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$  acc1 + match [] with [] -> 0 | h::t -> h + summa t
   $\stackrel{\text{summa}}{=}$  acc1 + summa []
```

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$ holds for a list xs of length $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it then also holds for a list $x :: xs$ of length $n + 1$:
- Time for exercise. 8 Min

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$ holds for a list xs of length $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it then also holds for a list $x :: xs$ of length $n + 1$:

$$\text{sum } (x :: xs) \text{ acc1}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{summa}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{summa } (x :: xs)$$

Assignment 12.2

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$ holds for a list xs of length $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it then also holds for a list $x::xs$ of length $n + 1$:

$\text{sum } (x::xs) \text{ acc1}$

$\stackrel{\text{sum}}{=} \text{match } x::xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow \text{acc1} \mid h::t \rightarrow \text{sum } t \text{ (h+acc1)}$

$\stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{match } x::xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow 0 \mid h::t \rightarrow h + \text{summa } t$

$\stackrel{\text{summa}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{summa } (x::xs)$

Assignment 12.2

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$ holds for a list xs of length $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it then also holds for a list $x::xs$ of length $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum } (x::xs) \text{ acc1} \\ & \stackrel{\text{sum}}{=} \text{match } x::xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow \text{acc1} \mid h::t \rightarrow \text{sum } t \ (h+\text{acc1}) \\ & \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{sum } xs \ (x+\text{acc1}) \\ & \stackrel{\text{comm}}{=} \text{acc1} + x + \text{summa } xs \\ & \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{match } x::xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow 0 \mid h::t \rightarrow h + \text{summa } t \\ & \stackrel{\text{summa}}{=} \text{acc1} + \text{summa } (x::xs) \end{aligned}$$

Assignment 12.2

First we prove a lemma by induction on the length n of the list l :

Lemma 1: $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$

- Inductive step: We assume $\text{sum } l \text{ acc1} = \text{acc1} + \text{summa } l$ holds for a list xs of length $n \geq 0$. Now, we show that it then also holds for a list $x :: xs$ of length $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum } (x :: xs) \text{ acc1} \\ \stackrel{\text{sum}}{=} & \text{match } x :: xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow \text{acc1} \mid h :: t \rightarrow \text{sum } t \ (h + \text{acc1}) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{sum } xs \ (x + \text{acc1}) \\ \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} & x + \text{acc1} + \text{summa } xs \\ \stackrel{\text{comm}}{=} & \text{acc1} + x + \text{summa } xs \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{acc1} + \text{match } x :: xs \text{ with } [] \rightarrow 0 \mid h :: t \rightarrow h + \text{summa } t \\ \stackrel{\text{summa}}{=} & \text{acc1} + \text{summa } (x :: xs) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the initial statement by induction on c :

■ Base case: $c = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mul } 0 \text{ (sum } 1 \text{ acc1) acc2)} \\ \stackrel{\text{mul}}{=} & \text{ if } 0 \leq 0 \text{ then acc2 else mul (0-1) (sum 1 acc1) ((sum} \\ & \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \text{ acc2} \\ \stackrel{\text{arith}}{=} & \text{ acc2} + 0 * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa } 1) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the initial statement by induction on c :

- Inductive step: We assume the statement holds for a $c \geq 0$. Now, we show that it also holds for $c + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mul } (c+1) \text{ (sum 1 acc1) acc2} \\ \stackrel{\text{mul}}{=} & \text{ if } c+1 \leq 0 \text{ then acc2 else mul } c \text{ (sum 1 acc1) ((sum 1} \\ & \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \text{ mul } c \text{ (sum 1 acc1) ((sum 1 acc1) + acc2))} \\ & \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} (\text{sum 1 acc1}) + \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa 1}) \\ \stackrel{\text{comm}}{=} & \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa 1}) + (\text{sum 1 acc1}) \\ \stackrel{\text{L.1}}{=} & \text{acc2} + c * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa 1}) + (\text{acc1} + \text{summa 1}) \\ \stackrel{\text{Distr}}{=} & \text{acc2} + (c+1) * (\text{acc1} + \text{summa 1}) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the initial statement by induction on c :

- Inductive step: We assume the statement holds for a $c \geq 0$. Now, we show that it also holds for $c + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mul } (c+1) \text{ (sum 1 acc1) acc2} \\ \stackrel{\text{mul}}{=} & \text{ if } c+1 \leq 0 \text{ then acc2 else mul } c \text{ (sum 1 acc1) ((sum 1} \\ & \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \text{ mul } c \text{ (sum 1 acc1) ((sum 1 acc1) + acc2)} \\ & \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} (\text{sum 1 acc1) + acc2 + } c * (\text{acc1 + summa 1}) \\ \stackrel{\text{comm}}{=} & \text{acc2 + } c * (\text{acc1 + summa 1) + (sum 1 acc1)} \\ \stackrel{\text{L.1}}{=} & \text{acc2 + } c * (\text{acc1 + summa 1) + (acc1 + summa 1)} \\ \stackrel{\text{Distr}}{=} & \text{acc2 + (c+1) * (acc1 + summa 1)} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement.



Assignment 12.3

A binary tree and two functions to count the number of nodes in such a tree are defined as follows:

```
type tree = Node of tree * tree | Empty
```

```
let rec nodes t = match t with Empty -> 0  
                  | Node (l,r) -> 1 + (nodes l) + (nodes r)
```

```
let rec count t =  
  let rec aux t a = match t with Empty -> a  
                    | Node (l,r) -> aux r (aux l (acc+1))  
  in  
  aux t 0
```

Prove or disprove the following statement for arbitrary trees t :

$$\text{nodes } t = \text{count } t$$

Hint: Which one is end recursion function and how can we handle the accumulator?

First, we show that $\text{nodes } t = \text{aux } t \ 0$ or, more precisely, the generalized statement $\text{acc} + \text{nodes } t = \text{aux } t \ \text{acc}$ holds.

We prove by induction on the structure of trees:

- Base case: $t = \text{Empty}$

$$\text{acc} + \text{nodes } \text{Empty}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{aux}}{=} \text{aux } \text{Empty} \ \text{acc}$$

■ Base case: `t = Empty`

```
acc + nodes Empty
 $\stackrel{\text{nodes}}{=}$  acc + match Empty with Empty -> 0
      | Node (l,r) -> 1 + (nodes l) + (nodes r)

 $\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$  match Empty with Empty -> acc
      | Node (l,r) -> aux r (aux l (acc+1))

 $\stackrel{\text{aux}}{=}$  aux Empty acc
```

■ Base case: `t = Empty`

```
acc + nodes Empty
 $\stackrel{\text{nodes}}{=}$  acc + match Empty with Empty -> 0
      | Node (l,r) -> 1 + (nodes l) + (nodes r)
match
 $\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$  acc
 $\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$  match Empty with Empty -> acc
      | Node (l,r) -> aux r (aux l (acc+1))
aux
 $\stackrel{\text{aux}}{=}$  aux Empty acc
```

- Inductive step: Assume the above equivalence holds for two trees a and b . Now, we show that it then also holds for a tree

Node (a, b) :

- Time to exercise.

- Inductive step: Assume the above equivalence holds for two trees a and b . Now, we show that it then also holds for a tree

`Node` (a , b):

- Time to exercise.

`acc + nodes (Node (a,b))`

$\stackrel{\text{aux}}{=} \text{aux} (\text{Node} (a,b)) \text{ acc}$

- Inductive step: Assume the above equivalence holds for two trees a and b . Now, we show that it then also holds for a tree

`Node` (a, b) :

`acc + nodes (Node (a,b))`

$\stackrel{\text{nodes}}{=}$ `acc + match Node (a,b) with Empty -> 0`
`| Node (l,r) -> 1 + (nodes l) + (nodes r)`

$\stackrel{\text{match}}{=}$ `match Node (a,b) with Empty -> acc | Node (l,r) -> aux`

$\stackrel{\text{aux}}{=}$ `aux (Node (a,b)) acc`

- Inductive step: Assume the above equivalence holds for two trees a and b . Now, we show that it then also holds for a tree

`Node` (a , b):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{acc} + \text{nodes } (\text{Node } (a, b)) \\ \stackrel{\text{nodes}}{=} & \text{acc} + \text{match Node } (a, b) \text{ with Empty} \rightarrow 0 \\ & \quad | \text{Node } (l, r) \rightarrow 1 + (\text{nodes } l) + (\text{nodes } r) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{acc} + 1 + (\text{nodes } a) + (\text{nodes } b) \\ \\ & \text{I.H.} \\ \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} & \text{aux } b \text{ (aux } a \text{ (acc+1))} \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{match Node } (a, b) \text{ with Empty} \rightarrow \text{acc} \mid \text{Node } (l, r) \rightarrow \text{aux} \\ \stackrel{\text{aux}}{=} & \text{aux } (\text{Node } (a, b)) \text{ acc} \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive step: Assume the above equivalence holds for two trees a and b . Now, we show that it then also holds for a tree

Node (a, b) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{acc} + \text{nodes } (\text{Node } (a,b)) \\ \stackrel{\text{nodes}}{=} & \text{acc} + \text{match Node } (a,b) \text{ with Empty} \rightarrow 0 \\ & \quad | \text{Node } (l,r) \rightarrow 1 + (\text{nodes } l) + (\text{nodes } r) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{acc} + 1 + (\text{nodes } a) + (\text{nodes } b) \\ \stackrel{I.H.}{=} & \text{aux } b \text{ (acc} + 1 + \text{nodes } a) \\ \stackrel{I.H.}{=} & \text{aux } b \text{ (aux } a \text{ (acc}+1)) \\ \stackrel{\text{match}}{=} & \text{match Node } (a,b) \text{ with Empty} \rightarrow \text{acc} \mid \text{Node } (l,r) \rightarrow \text{aux} \\ \stackrel{\text{aux}}{=} & \text{aux } (\text{Node } (a,b)) \text{ acc} \end{aligned}$$

- The Induction workaround:
- Judge the function: end recursion?
- Re-form to proving claim abd I.H with *acc* at the end recursion case.
- Base case and Induction step.
- We start from top and bottom to try to get the same expression.
- More to Induction: refer to the exercise 13 from last year.
- This time we had induction on numbers(12.1), list(12.2), tree(12.3).

- The Induction like Big Step is just basic work around as a proving tool.
- **Time** is ultimate factor in the exam.
- If you master the time with Induction and Big Step, then this part is 100% easy for you.
- They are almost always same work around and if you get all the exercise (or the old exercise) then you can already solve the exam proving part.
- In exam, then you save time to consider more with Ocaml.
- Any Questions?