

DD2440 Advanced Algorithms

Homework A

Jim Holmström F-08 890503-7571

9 oktober 2011

1 Problem 1

$$\text{Given: } a, b \geq 0 \wedge \neg(a = b = 0) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Definition of gcd: } \gcd(a, b) = c \iff \arg \max_c \{c | a \wedge c | b\} \quad (2)$$

1.1 Validate

In order from the top.

$$\text{if } (b > a) \text{ return } \gcd(b, a) \quad (3)$$

Sorts the arguments resulting in : $a \geq b$ and trivially holds from (2) with $a \leftrightarrow b$ and using the commutative property of “ \wedge ”

$$\text{else if } (b == 0) \text{ return } a \quad (4)$$

Acts as base case. $i \neq 0$ from (1) $\gcd(i, 0) = i$ since $c = i$ is the largest $c : (c | i \wedge c | 0)$

$$\text{else if } (a \text{ and } b \text{ are even}) \text{ return } 2 * \gcd(a/2, b/2) \quad (5)$$

Since both a and b are even both must have at least the factor 2 in common. More generally:

$$\gcd(pm, pn) = p \cdot \gcd(m, n) \quad (6)$$

In our case $a = 2m$ and $b = 2n$

$$\text{else if } (a \text{ is even}) \text{ return } \gcd(a/2, b) \quad (7)$$

Since we now that we have passed the above statement we have that b is odd thus 2 cannot be a factor, and we can thus remove the factor 2 from a without influencing the result.

$$\text{else if (b is even) return gcd(a, b/2)} \quad (8)$$

The same way as above but $a \leftrightarrow b$

$$\text{else return gcd(b,a-b)}$$

(3) $\Rightarrow a - b \geq 0$ and (4) $\Rightarrow b \neq 0$ and thus the parameters will at least be within the domain.

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(b, a - b) &= \arg \max_c \{b|c \wedge (a - b)|c\} = \\ &\{(a - \underbrace{b}_{b|c})|c \Rightarrow \{a \text{ must have a factor } c, c(a/c + b/c)|c\} \Rightarrow a|c\} \\ &= \arg \max_x \{a|c \wedge b|c\} = \gcd(a, b) \text{ and thus the statement holds.} \end{aligned}$$

All the recursive calls holds, has arguments within the domain, the argumentsum is strictly smaller for all calls (except (3) but it can only be called once in a row) \Rightarrow will always land in the basecase (4) and return the correct gcd. \square

1.2 Number of recursive calls

1.3 Bit complexity

2 Problem 2

$$N = 8905037571, a_1 = 123456789, a_2 = 987654321$$

Simple relations used:

$$c \geq 0 \Rightarrow \gcd(c + 1, c) = 1 \quad (9)$$

$$c \cdot d + a \equiv a \pmod{d} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Find a positive } x < N(N + 1) : \begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 \pmod{N} \\ x \equiv a_2 \pmod{N + 1} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Put x as a smart linear combination of $a_{1:2}$. $x = a_1 b_1 (N + 1) + a_2 b_2 N$

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 b_1(N+1) + a_2 b_2 N \equiv \{(10)\} \equiv a_1 b_1(N+1) \pmod{N} \\ x \equiv a_1 b_1(N+1) + a_2 b_2 N \equiv \{(10)\} \equiv a_2 b_2 N \pmod{N+1} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

(11) and (??) gives:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 b_1(N+1) \equiv a_1 \pmod{N} \\ a_2 b_2 N \equiv a_2 \pmod{N+1} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} b_1(N+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \\ b_2 N \equiv 1 \pmod{N+1} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

x satisfies (11) if $b_{1:2}$ satisfies (12)

$$b_1(N+1) \equiv b_1 N + b_1 \equiv \{(10)\} \equiv b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$$

$$b_2 N \equiv b_2(N+1) - b_2 \equiv \{(10)\} \equiv -b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N+1} \Rightarrow b_2 \equiv 1 \cdot (-1) \equiv N \pmod{N+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore x &\equiv a_1(N+1) + a_2 N^2 \equiv \{(\text{using python's native big-integer support})\} \equiv \\ &\equiv \underline{71603982658724599629} \pmod{N(N+1)} \end{aligned}$$

The x found solves (11) and $x < N(N+1)$ \square

3 Problem 3

Note this has a unitcost RAM so you must check that it doesn't address more than 2^w amount of RAM

From Fabian:

To achieve the linear time bound we use a radix sort that sorts using $\lceil \log_2(N+1) \rceil$ bits at a time (since we know that if $m = O(n^k)$, m consists of $O(k \cdot \log_2 n)$ number of bits. When sorting $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$ bits at a time the largest number that occurs is $O(n)$, and we know that the number of elements is n . Then by the previous question we can do this sorting step in $O(n)$ time. We then proceed to sort the next $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$ bits, and repeat this $O(k)$ times.

Thus sorting the whole list takes $O(kn)$ time, though k is just some constant so we claim that the whole sorting algorithm takes $O(n)$ time.

"The previous question"

4 Problem 4

5 Problem 5