

# RESEARCH STATEMENT

VARDAKIS DIMITRIS

My research is focused on Complex Analysis, Geometric Measure Theory and Probability. I also retain a strong background in Harmonic Analysis and Boolean functions. I have worked in three main separate projects so far which I will explain below.

I was able to estimate the rate of decay of the Favard length for certain random Cantor-like planar set, which directly relates to the Buffon needle problem. This is Section 1. In Section 2, I explain how I tried to relax the boundary condition for a Schwarz function to obtain similar smoothness results for the boundary of its domain. The problems I considered have a strong connection with *Nevanlinna domains*, *model spaces*, and free boundary problems. Last in Section 3, by imposing certain “intersecting” conditions on a planar curves and graphs, I could describe in more detail their geometric properties than what one has from Marstrand’s and Mattila’s theorems.

## 1. BUFFON’S NEEDLE PROBLEMS FOR CANTOR-LIKE PLANAR SETS

**Introduction.** The *Buffon needle problem* asks the probability that a line dropped randomly on the plane intersects the set  $E \subset \mathbb{D}$  provided that it intersects the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . On the other hand, the *Favard length* of  $E$  is defined as

$$\text{Fav}(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |\text{proj}_\theta E| d\theta,$$

where  $\text{proj}_\theta E$  is the projection of  $E$  onto a line of slope  $\tan \theta$ . It turns out the above probability for a compact set  $E$  is proportional to its Favard length.

For an unrectifiable set  $E$  with  $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$  (where  $\mathcal{H}^1$  is the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure), a theorem by Besicovitch says that  $\text{Fav}(E) = 0$  [16], that is, the projection of  $E$  on almost every line is 0. Such an example is the famed 1/4-corners Cantor set, say  $C$ , i.e.  $\text{Fav}(C) = 0$ . If we denote by  $C_n$  the intermediate steps in  $C$ ’s construction (i.e.  $C = \bigcap C_n$ ), then it natural to ask what is the rate with which  $\text{Fav}(C_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This question has gained popularity in recent years, with the best known bounds being  $\text{Fav}(C_n) \lesssim_\varepsilon n^{-1/6+\varepsilon}$  [18] and  $\text{Fav}(C_n) \gtrsim n^{-1} \log n$  [3]. It is worth mentioning that this lower bound was an improvement to the estimate  $\text{Fav}(C_n) \gtrsim 1/n$  by Mattila [17], which holds for a wider class of sets. Yet, the exact rate remains unknown.

At the same time, Cladek, Davey and Taylor in [10] inspired by the *circular* Favard length appearing in [6], introduced the *Favard curve length* where their “needle” was a piecewise  $C^1$  curve with controlled tangent unit vectors. They derived the exact same bounds as above.

On the other hand, Peres and Solomyak introduced in [19] a random version, say  $\mathcal{R}$ , of the 1/4-corners Cantor set  $C$  in which the “child” square at every step is chosen at random between the four possible “children” instead of the same corner at each step; then  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Fav}(\mathcal{R})] = 0$ . The authors, inspired by a percolation technique on trees, calculated the rate of decay for the average Favard length to be exactly  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Fav}(\mathcal{R}_n)] \simeq 1/n$ , where  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap \mathcal{R}_n$ .

**Results.** Recently in [24], S. Zhang obtained the exact same rate for a disk-like analogue  $\mathcal{D}$  of Peres and Solomyak's random Cantor set constructed by rotating *all* the disks at each step *by a fixed angle* compared to random placement on the dyadic grid. However, his construction is too restricted.

In [VarVol2022ep], Volberg and I were able to rebuilt his model of randomness, but this time the angles of rotation happen independently for each disk; this is much closer to Peres and Solomyak's construction. If we denote  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcap \mathcal{D}_n$ , we showed the projection of  $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}$  is of square order compared with the projection of  $\mathcal{D}_n$  on the same line. This allowed us to obtain the same exact average decay for the Favard length, that is  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Fav}(\mathcal{D}_n)] \simeq 1/n$ .

**Work in progress and future problems.** It is natural to ask whether our results along with those of Peres and Solomyak can be extended in higher dimensions. Thankfully, Besicovitch's Theorem [16] holds in all dimensions and therefore it is possible to construct higher dimensional analogues for both our disk-like and the "classic" Cantor sets. In this case, the average should be taken over the corresponding measure for the appropriate Grassmannians. The difficulty here will be to find a convenient way to express the projections of the intermediate steps, but I expect the rate  $Cn^{-1}$  of the decay to be the same with the dimension contributing only to the constant.

At the same time, I am planning to investigate how to replicate the result in [10] into the random setting, that is, to calculate the average Favard curve length of  $\mathcal{R}$  and of its disk-like analogue  $\mathcal{D}$  we constructed in [VarVol2022ep]. In fact, I expect that certain restrictions on the curvature of the curves in [10] can be lifted in the random setting as they were forced by the strict geometric nature of the 1/4-corners Cantor set. Lifting this to higher dimensions also seems to be a reachable task.

## 2. FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEMS AND SAKAI'S THEOREM

**Introduction.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set on the complex plane,  $\zeta_0$  a non-isolated boundary point of  $\Omega$ , and  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \cap D(\zeta_0, r)$  ( $r > 0$ ) a part of the boundary containing  $\zeta_0$ . A *Schwarz function*  $S$  on  $\Omega \cup \Gamma$  at  $\zeta_0$  is holomorphic function on  $\Omega$  which is continuous on  $\Omega \cup \Gamma$  and satisfies  $S(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}$  on the boundary piece  $\Gamma$ . Schwarz function are of independent interest and are strongly connected with Nevanlinna domains and poly-analytic polynomials, see for example [8, 12].

In 1991, Sakai gave a complete characterisation of the boundaries of open sets admitting a Schwarz function [20]. Using topological arguments and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, Sakai was able to prove that the limit  $|S(z - \zeta_0)/(z - \zeta_0)|$  exists and equals 1 while  $z \rightarrow \zeta_0$ . Then, this limit along with the residue formula allowed with him to calculate the "multiplicity" of  $\zeta_0$  as a zero of  $F(z) = (z - \zeta_0)S(z - \zeta_0)$ ; turns out it is 1 or 2. Depending on this number and on whether and how  $S$  can be extended around  $\zeta_0$ , the boundary  $\Gamma$  has a different shape:

**Theorem 1** (Sakai '91). *Set  $D = D(\zeta_0, r)$ . Let  $\Omega \subset D$  be any open set and  $\zeta_0$  an accumulation point of its boundary,  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \cap D$ . Suppose  $S$  is a Schwarz function on  $\Omega \cup \Gamma$ . Then, for some small  $0 < \delta \leq r$  one of the following must occur:*

- (1)  $\Omega \cap D$  is simply connected and  $\Gamma \cap D$  is a regular real analytic simple arc through  $\zeta_0$ .
- (2a)  $\Gamma \cap D$  determines uniquely a regular real analytic arc through  $\zeta_0$ .  $\Gamma \cap D$  is either an infinite proper subset of this arc with  $\zeta_0$  as an accumulation point or equal to it. Also,  $\Omega \cap D = D \setminus \Gamma$ .
- (2b)  $\Omega \cap D = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$  where  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are simply connected and  $\partial\Omega_1 \cap D$  and  $\partial\Omega_2 \cap D$  are regular real analytic simple arcs through  $\zeta_0$  and tangent at  $\zeta_0$ .

(2c)  $\Omega \cap D$  is simply connected and  $\Gamma \cap D$  is a regular real analytic simple arc except for a cusp at  $\zeta_0$ . The cusp points into  $\Omega$ .

Conversely, if one of (1), (2a), (2b), or (2c) holds,  $\Omega$  has a Schwarz function for some  $r > 0$ .

**Results.** Restricting to only simply connected domains, I derived similar results with boundary conditions different from  $S(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}$ , even though simply-connectedness automatically restricts the possibilities of Theorem 1. The alternative relations considered on  $\Gamma$  were the following and I will present them in order in the subsections to come:  $f_1(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}f_2(\zeta)$ ,  $R(\zeta) = \Phi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ , and  $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V} = |A|^2$ .

**2.1. Nevanlinna domains and Model spaces.** First, suppose  $f_1, f_2$  are holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  continuous up the boundary satisfying  $f_1(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}f_2(\zeta)$  on  $\Gamma$ . Unless  $f_2$  is a polynomial, in which case  $f_1/f_2$  is a Schwarz function, the existence of such  $f_1, f_2$  is non-trivial.

I showed that for this to happen the ratio  $f_1/f_2$  has to admit a Nevanlinna type pseudo-continuation and in turn there has to exist a bounded conformal map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$  belonging in a model space  $K_\theta$ . Recall that model spaces  $K_\theta$  are the invariant subspaces of the backwards shift operator. The existence of such conformal map is equivalent to  $\Omega$  being a Nevanlinna domain [12, 2]. However, this might not be possible when  $\theta$  has a Blaschke part as Carmona, Paramonov and Fedorovskiy showed with an example in [8, Example 5.8], and since we seek for continuity for  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

Therefore in [22], Volberg and I needed to look for a purely singular inner function  $\theta$  that would give us the desired bounded conformal map. To do that, we adopted a very clever trip devised by Belov and Fedorovskiy in [4] (also found in [1]) which is based on an earlier observation by Dyakonov and Khavinson that if the singular part of  $\theta$  is supported on Beurling-Carleson set of positive measure, then  $K_\theta$  contains maps of class  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  [11].

We were able to show that there exist simply connected domains admitting holomorphic functions  $f_1, f_2$  continuous up to the boundary and which satisfy that  $f_1(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}f_2(\zeta)$  on the boundary. The boundary itself can be of class  $C^\infty$  boundary and yet not real-analytic.

**Question.** Interestingly enough, we were not able to produce domains admitting such functions with boundary of a worst class, say  $C^1$ , Nevanlinna domains which are the image of purely singular inner functions. I suspect that it is in fact *impossible* to do, and this is one of my future projects.

**2.2. Functions holomorphic in two variables.** Second, let  $R$  be a holomorphic on  $\Omega$  continuous on  $\Omega \cup \Gamma$ , and  $\Phi$  a holomorphic function of two variables on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ . If  $R$  and  $\Phi$  satisfy  $R(\zeta) = \Phi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$  for  $\zeta \in \Gamma$ , then what can we say about  $\Gamma$  itself?

By a careful combination of Mergelyan's and Weierstrass' theorems, we are able to approximate  $\Phi$  through certain polynomials. An analysis on these polynomials revealed that if we exclude from  $\Gamma$  a certain set of zero harmonic measure, then the rest of  $\Gamma$  is in fact a countable union of regular real-analytic arcs, save for possible cusps.

**2.3. Harmonic functions.** And third, let us consider the following *one-phase boundary problem*. Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are positive harmonic functions on  $\Omega$  which vanish on a Jordan boundary piece  $\Gamma$ . If the ratio  $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V}$  is a real-analytic function on  $\Gamma$ , then again what can we say about the smoothness  $\Gamma$ ? A setup like is possible (also in higher dimensions) thanks to the work of Jerison and Kenig on non-tangentially accessible (NTA) domains [13].

In [22], Volberg and I give an independent proof this is possible and also provide an example. Additionally, we show that the boundary in this case is in fact real-analytic, with possible cusps (Theorem 2). Moreover, we give a precise description of when a cusp can or cannot appear.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset D(\zeta_0, \rho)$  be a simply-connected domain of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be an open Jordan arc of its boundary with  $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$ . Suppose there are two positive non-proportional harmonic functions  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\Omega$ , continuous on  $\Omega \cup \Gamma$  which satisfy*

$$\mathcal{U}(\zeta) = \mathcal{V}(\zeta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(\zeta)/\mathcal{V}(\zeta) = |A(\zeta)|^2 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \Gamma$$

*where  $A$  is a non-trivial analytic function on a neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$ .*

*Then, for all but possibly finitely many points  $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$  there exist some small neighbourhood  $D$  of  $\zeta_0$  such that the following holds:*

*$\Gamma \cap D$  is a regular real-analytic simple arc through  $\zeta_0$  except possibly a cusp at  $\zeta_0$ .*

*The finitely many points around which the above might fail are the points  $\zeta \in \Gamma$  where  $A'(\zeta) = 0$ .*

A summary of these results can be found in [21] and detailed proofs have been accepted for publication in [22].

**Questions and future work.** In the proof of Theorem 2, I facilitated the properties of the holomorphic function  $A$  to construct a Schwarz function. I am interested in working with different boundary conditions. That is, how smooth is the boundary piece  $\Gamma$  if the ratio  $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V}$  is only a  $C^\infty$  on  $\Gamma$ ? What if it is only  $C^1$  or in some Hölder class? In [13], Jerison and Kenig show this is in fact a valid question, and, to my best knowledge, there is no clear answer to this so far.

All the above was done under the assumption that  $\Omega$  is simply connected. I plan to try and generalise this results to arbitrary (connected) domains. The case of an arbitrary domain  $\Omega$  and functions  $R$  and  $\Phi$  satisfying  $R(\zeta) = \Phi(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$  on its boundary would require a careful consideration of covering maps that could reduced the problem back to the simply-connected setup. The case seems to be easier for the problem with the harmonic functions. In fact, I believe it is possible to find small simply connected regions where the above result might still hold. The consideration for Nevanlinna domains seems to be the most cumbersome, but perhaps it is possible reproduce some of the results for model spaces and Nevanlinna domains for non-simply-connected regions.

### 3. GEOMETRY OF PLANAR CURVES

**Introduction.** Marstrand's and Mattila's work (in [15] and [16] respectively) shows that if a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has an at most countable intersection with whole cone of lines and their parallel shifts, then it is of Hausdorff dimension at most  $n - 1$ . This drop in dimension also appears in perturbation theory of self-adjoint operators and in particular for exceptional perturbations [14]. These considerations urge one to understand the structure of such sets.

**Results.** Inspired by such problems, Volberg and I restricted the conditions in Marstrand's theorem to obtain more precise description of certain curves [23].

In particular, suppose that a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has at most two points of intersection with a fixed cone of lines and its parallel shifts. After careful geometric considerations, we were able to find that such  $f$ 's graph actually has both Lipschitz and convex pieces.

Second, let us assume that a planar curve  $\gamma$  has a finite (contrasted with countable) intersection with a certain cone of lines and all its shifts. As I mentioned above, this would mean that  $\gamma$  necessarily has dimension at most 1. However, Volberg and I showed that the  $\mathcal{H}^1$  measure of  $\gamma$  (contrasted with its dimension) is in fact  $\sigma$ -finite, and additionally provided an exact estimate for the  $\mathcal{H}^1$  measure is its pieces.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baranov, Y. Belov, A. Borichev, and K. Fedorovskiy. *Univalent functions in model spaces: revisited*. May 16, 2017. arXiv: [1705.05930v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05930v2) [[math.CV](#)].
- [2] A. Baranov and K. Fedorovskiy. “Boundary regularity of Nevanlinna domains and univalent functions in model subspaces”. In: *Sbornik: Mathematics* 202.12 (Dec. 2011), pp. 1723–1740. DOI: [10.1070/sm2011v202n12abeh004205](https://doi.org/10.1070/sm2011v202n12abeh004205).
- [3] M. Bateman and A. Volberg. “An estimate from below for the Buffon needle probability of the four-corner Cantor set”. In: *Mathematical Research Letters* 17.5 (2010), pp. 959–967. DOI: [10.4310/mrl.2010.v17.n5.a12](https://doi.org/10.4310/mrl.2010.v17.n5.a12).
- [4] Y. S. Belov and K. Y. Fedorovskiy. “Model spaces containing univalent functions”. In: *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 73.1 (Feb. 2018), pp. 172–174. DOI: [10.1070/rm9807](https://doi.org/10.1070/rm9807).
- [5] Y. Belov, A. Borichev, and K. Fedorovskiy. “Nevanlinna domains with large boundaries”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 277.8 (Oct. 2019), pp. 2617–2643. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfa.2018.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2018.12.015).
- [6] M. Bond and A. Volberg. “Circular Favard Length of the Four-Corner Cantor Set”. In: *Journal of Geometric Analysis* 21.1 (July 2010), pp. 40–55. DOI: [10.1007/s12220-010-9141-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-010-9141-4).
- [7] L. Carleson. “Sets of uniqueness for functions regular in the unit circle”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 87.0 (1952), pp. 325–345. DOI: [10.1007/bf02392289](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02392289).
- [8] J. J. Carmona, P. V. Paramonov, and K. Y. Fedorovskiy. “On uniform approximation by polyanalytic polynomials and the Dirichlet problem for bianalytic functions”. In: *Sbornik: Mathematics* 193.10 (Oct. 2002), pp. 1469–1492. DOI: [10.1070/sm2002v193n10abeh000690](https://doi.org/10.1070/sm2002v193n10abeh000690).
- [9] J. Cima and W. Ross. *The Backward Shift on the Hardy Space*. American Mathematical Society, June 2000. DOI: [10.1090/surv/079](https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/079).
- [10] L. Cladek, B. Davey, and K. Taylor. “Upper and lower bounds on the rate of decay of the Favard curve length for the four-corner Cantor set”. In: *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 71.3 (2022), pp. 1003–1025. DOI: [10.1512/iumj.2022.71.8951](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2022.71.8951).
- [11] K. Dyakonov and D. Khavinson. *Smooth functions in star-invariant subspaces*. 2006. DOI: [10.1090/conm/393/07371](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/393/07371).
- [12] K. Y. Fedorovskiy. “On some properties and examples of Nevanlinna domains”. In: *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* 253.1 (July 2006), pp. 186–194. DOI: [10.1134/s0081543806020155](https://doi.org/10.1134/s0081543806020155).
- [13] D. S. Jerison and C. E. Kenig. “Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in non-tangentially accessible domains”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 46.1 (Oct. 1982), pp. 80–147. DOI: [10.1016/0001-8708\(82\)90055-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(82)90055-x).
- [14] C. Liaw, S. Treil, and A. Volberg. “Dimension of the Exceptional Set in the Aronszajn-Donoghue Theorem for Finite Rank Perturbations”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2022.5 (Nov. 2020), pp. 3297–3307. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnaa281](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa281).
- [15] J. M. Marstrand. “Some Fundamental Geometrical Properties of Plane Sets of Fractional Dimensions”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* s3-4.1 (1954), pp. 257–302. DOI: [10.1112/plms/s3-4.1.257](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-4.1.257).
- [16] P. Mattila. “Hausdorff dimension, orthogonal projections and intersections with planes”. In: *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Series A I Mathematica* 1 (1975), pp. 227–244. DOI: [10.5186/aasfm.1975.0110](https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.1975.0110).
- [17] P. Mattila. “Orthogonal Projections, Riesz Capacities, and Minkowski Content”. In: *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 39.1 (1990), pp. 185–198. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24895440>.

- [18] F. Nazarov, Y. Peres, and A. Volberg. “The power law for the Buffon needle probability of the four-corner Cantor set”. In: *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal* 22.1 (Feb. 2011), pp. 61–61. DOI: [10.1090/s1061-0022-2010-01133-6](https://doi.org/10.1090/s1061-0022-2010-01133-6).
- [19] Y. Peres and B. Solomyak. “How likely is Buffon’s needle to fall near a planar Cantor set?” In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 204.2 (June 2002), pp. 473–496. DOI: [10.2140/pjm.2002.204.473](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2002.204.473).
- [20] M. Sakai. “Regularity of a boundary having a Schwarz function”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 166.0 (1991), pp. 263–297. DOI: [10.1007/bf02398888](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02398888).
- [21] D. Vardakis and A. Volberg. “Free boundary problems in the spirit of Sakai’s theorem”. In: *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* 359.10 (2021), pp. 1233–1238. ISSN: 1778-3569. DOI: [10.5802/crmath.259](https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.259).
- [22] D. Vardakis and A. Volberg. “Free boundary problems via Sakai’s theorem”. In: *Algebra i Analiz* 34 (3 May 31, 2022), pp. 252–275. arXiv: [2105.14570v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.14570v3) [[math.CV](#)]. URL: <http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/aa/v34/i3/p252>.
- [23] D. Vardakis and A. Volberg. “Geometry of planar curves intersecting many lines in a few points”. English. In: *Algebra i Analiz* 33 (6 Mar. 15, 2021), pp. 214–234. arXiv: [1909.03203v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.03203v2) [[math.AP](#)]. URL: <http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/aa/v33/i6/p214>.
- [24] S. Zhang. “The exact power law for Buffon’s needle landing near some random Cantor sets”. In: *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 36.2 (Dec. 2019), pp. 537–548. DOI: [10.4171/rmi/1138](https://doi.org/10.4171/rmi/1138).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824  
 Email address: [vardakis@msu.edu](mailto:vardakis@msu.edu)