

1(a).

The first pattern we recommend for this problem is the **Actor Pattern**. We make this suggestion as the problem domain can be expressed as entities, which map, on a 1:1 basis, to actors. These actors are squirrels, grid cells and simulation master. Squirrel and grid cell actors have a one to one mapping with the functionality described in the details of the biologists model, i.e. a grid cell will have a `populationInflux` value. This mapping is a notable advantage as it will make it much easier for biologists to understand the final code. The simulation master would be able to act as a clock, to instruct grid cells if a two or three month interval had passed, which would then adjust the `populationInflux` and `infectionLevel` of all land cells. The simulation master could also keep a list of live and dead squirrels, to ensure that no more than 200 squirrels are created, and to terminate the program if all squirrels die or the simulation time has elapsed. When a squirrel is born, the simulation master can simply resurrect a squirrel to be reused. Another key advantage of this model is its extensibility, in that if the biologists wish to create a predator animal for the simulation, they only need to create another actor, rather than restructuring and rethinking their entire code base. This pattern also gives us an opportunity to reuse the biologist's function to map the x,y location of a squirrel to a grid cell number, and make that grid cell number the rank of the grid process in an MPI implementation. This code reuse is desirable for two reasons, firstly it saves us development time, and secondly it actively involves the biologists in the development of their model, which should lead to better development outcomes.

However, that is not to say there are not drawbacks to the actor pattern for this problem domain. It is very difficult to design any form of locality in the actor pattern, which means that squirrel processes may communicate with grid processes very far away from themselves, leading to latency. For this model, the number of cells is 16 and the maximum number of squirrels is 200, so data locality isn't too much of a priority, but it may become more of an issue at large scales. It is noteworthy that the actor pattern can be very difficult to debug, as squirrels and land cells will interact in a way that inevitably becomes harder and harder to determine as the simulation continues. Whilst this unpredictability does closely follow the biologist's model, and helps avoid bulk synchronicity emerging from the implementation, it will make the simulation difficult to debug.

We also recommend the use of **2D Geometric Decomposition** with halo swapping for this problem. We make this suggestion because we principally believe that a geometric decomposition will allow us to fully exploit the local nature of the problem. Each grid square would essentially be equivalent to a land cell, with all the values and functions as in the actor pattern. However, in the Geometric Decomposition we would bundle these functions along with other functions in a general `process()` function for each grid square. The `process()` function would also move squirrels between grid squares and manage squirrel mortality. Squirrels would be represented by data structures, which could be exchanged across UEs through halo swaps. In this scenario the simulation would perform a global reduction at monthly intervals, to collect statistics

on squirrels and infection level for the global simulation. These monthly reductions would also allow for the the infectionLevel and populationInflux level to be updated at the same time across the global simulation.

Overall, a geometric decomposition has many advantages, but we remain sceptical of it's advantages, because we don't know how process intensive it is to calculate squirrel birth or squirrel death, only that they are reliant upon environmental factors. If we use a 2D geometric decomposition we may also have to extensively rewrite our code for different irregular gridshapes.

1(b).

Ultimately, we recommend using the actor pattern for this problem. Although use of the actor pattern does imply a higher level of communication between UEs, it also prevents our solution from becoming too rigid. A 2D geometric decomposition can only easily scale between differently sized quadrilateral grids, and we must build a tool which can be adapted later for differently shaped grids, i.e. an irregular blob shape constructed from a pentagonal grid. The actor pattern will certainly require more UEs if each squirrel and each grid cell has its own UE, but this should not be too much of a problem on a machine like Archer.

1(c).

We would implement the actor pattern with MPI and C. We have chosen MPI because the actor pattern does not require shared state, and dictates that all parallelism must occur through communication. These principles are central to the use MPI, therefore using it will keep our implementation true to our design. We have also chosen to use C because whilst it is not a particularly memory safe language, it is one of the fastest programming languages available, with a larger pool of people able to develop in it than fortran, which also has compatiability with MPI.

For hardware we would preferably use a large compute cluster of roughly 15, where each node in the cluster has the ability to run at least 16 parallel processes (non-GPU). We suggest this as it would give us more than enough processes to run 200 squirrels, 16 grid sqaures and 1 squirrel master, with room to begin testing larger grids.

2(a).

We would recommend against using the **Pipeline Pattern**. It will be unsuitable no matter what data elements the problem is decomposed into, as a sense of global time will be needed at month intervals to assess the populationInflux and infectionLevel variables. As such, the pipeline will regularly block until all elements, squirrels or grids, are at the same time step. This stuttering of time spent processing would lead to bulk synchronicity, preventing operations from being run concurrently on different data elements.

It is also conceptially difficult to map this problem to **Recursive Task Parallelism**. Whilst the squirrel simulation can be decomposed into tasks, it does not fully map to a recursive task pattern, which works best when tasks are completely asynchronous. Unfortunately, as we found with the pipeline pattern,

the simulation must synchronise each month to calculate the `populationInflux` and `infectionLevel` values. However, even if this hurdle were overcome using some sort of shared memory model, either with `openMP` or `PGAS`, we would still face the problem of how to break this problem down into recursive tasks.