

Jim Vargas Paper  
Title Page  
February 19, 2020

# Contents

# 1 Introduction

## BACKGROUND ON PROBLEM

$$\Delta u(z) = 0, \quad z \in \Omega$$

$$u(z) = h(z), \quad z \in \Gamma$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator,  $\Delta = \nabla^2 = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$ .

$$u(z) \approx \operatorname{Re}[r(z)]$$

$$r(z) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \frac{a_j}{z - z_j}}_{\text{"Newman"}} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{N_2} b_j (z - z_*)^j}_{\text{"Runge"}}$$

## WHY RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

## USES FOR THE LAPLACE OPERATOR

## BARYCENTRIC COORDINATES?

# 2 Preliminaries

We start with a few definitions and theorems from Complex Analysis. These will help us understand and analyze our approximation  $r$ .

**Definition 1.** A function  $f$  is called *holomorphic* if, when it is defined in some  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z_0$ , then it is differentiable in some  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

We note that this definition requires complex-differentiation, which is very similar to but not identical to real-differentiability. The real and imaginary parts of any holomorphic function are harmonic, meaning they satisfy Laplace's equation, which can be seen in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1. Cauchy-Riemann Conditions for Differentiability.** A function  $f = u + i v$  is differentiable at a point  $z_0 = x_0 + i y_0$  if and only if the partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy

$$u_x(x_0, y_0) = v_y(x_0, y_0)$$

$$u_y(x_0, y_0) = -v_x(x_0, y_0),$$

where we use the standard notation  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = u_x$ , and so on. Furthermore,  $f'(z_0) = u_x(x_0, y_0) + i v_x(x_0, y_0) = u_y(x_0, y_0) - i v_y(x_0, y_0)$ .

This tells us that  $u_x = v_y$  and  $u_y = -v_x$ . If we assume we can differentiate once more and that the second partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  exist and are continuous, then we can obtain the following identity by taking derivatives of these equalities and adding:

$$\Delta u = u_{xx} + u_{yy} = v_{yx} - v_{yx} = 0.$$

The same can be said for  $v$  in a similar way. Holomorphic and harmonic functions have many nice properties, so establishing a connection between our problem and these concepts is advantageous. One such property is

the *Maximum Principle*, which states that a harmonic function on a closed domain achieves a maximum on the boundary of the domain, and this will guarantee us an identifiable error bound on our approximation.

HOLOMORPHIC=ANALYTIC

ROOT EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE

INTEGRAL FORMULA

### 3 Main Theorems

Theorems from Gopal and Trefethen.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f$  be a bounded analytic function in the slit disk  $A_\pi$  that satisfies  $f(z) = O(|z|^\delta)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$  be fixed. Then for some  $0 < \rho < 1$  depending on  $\theta$  but not on  $f$ , there exist type  $(n-1, n)$  rational functions  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $1 \leq n < \infty$ , such that

$$\|f - r_n\|_\Omega = O(e^{-C\sqrt{n}})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $C > 0$ , where  $\Omega = \rho A_\theta$ . Moreover, each  $r_n$  can be taken to have simple poles only at

$$\beta_j = -e^{-\sigma j/\sqrt{n}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is arbitrary.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a convex polygon with corners  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ , and let  $f$  be an analytic function in  $\Omega$  that is analytic on the interior of each side segment and can be analytically continued to a disk near each  $w_k$  with a slit along the exterior bisector there. Assume  $f$  satisfies  $f(z) - f(w_k) = O(|z - w_k|^\delta)$  as  $z \rightarrow w_k$  for each  $k$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . There exist degree  $n$  rational functions  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $1 \leq n < \infty$  such that

$$\|f - r_n\|_\Omega = O(e^{-C\sqrt{n}})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $C > 0$ . Moreover, each  $r_n$  can be taken to have finite poles only at points exponentially clustered along the exterior bisectors at the corners, with arbitrary clustering parameter  $\sigma$ , as long as the number of poles near each  $w_k$  grows at least in proportion to  $n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 4 Numerical Applications and Experiments

Present the algorithm probably, lots of figures...