Servant

Haskell and Cryptocurrencies

Dr. Andres Löh, Well-Typed LLP Dr. Lars Brünjes, IOHK 2018-03-05



Goals

- · A case study for type-level programming.
- · An API description language.
- Using **servant** to define clients and servers.

Describing an API

A simple web API

```
GET /entry get all entries
POST /entry post a new entry
GET /entry/<n> get entry of given number
DELETE /entry/<n> remove entry of given number
```

Describing the API as a Haskell type

```
type KeyVal =
       "entry" :> Get '[JSON] [Entry]
  :<|> "entry"
         :> RegBody '[JSON] Text
         :> PostCreated '[JSON] Entry
  :<|> "entry" :> Capture "n" Int
         :> Get '[JSON] Entry
  :<|> "entry" :> Capture "n" Int
         :> DeleteNoContent '[JSON] NoContent
```

Describing the API as a Haskell type

```
type KeyVal =
       "entry" :> Get '[JSON] [Entry]
  :<|> "entry"
         :> RegBody '[JSON] Text
         :> PostCreated '[JSON] Entry
  :<|> "entry" :> Capture "n" Int
         :> Get '[JSON] Entry
  :<|> "entry" :> Capture "n" Int
         :> DeleteNoContent '[JSON] NoContent
```

Let's first look at how this is even kind-correct.

API combinators

```
data a :<|> b
infixr 8 :<|>
data path :> a
infixr 9 :>
data Capture (sym :: Symbol) a
data RegBody (contentTypes :: [*]) a
type Get = Verb GET 200
type PostCreated = Verb POST 201
type DeleteNoContent = Verb DELETE 204
data Verb
 method (status :: Nat) (contentTypes :: [*]) a
```

Implementations are irrelevant.

• a : < | > b is the union of the APIs a and b,

- a :<|> b| is the union of the APIs a and b,
- "foo" :> a describes an API reachable under path"foo",

- a : < > b is the union of the APIs a and b,
- "foo" :> a describes an API reachable under path"foo",
- Get '[JSON] Entry describes an endpoint returning an Entry with content type JSON,

- a : < | > b is the union of the APIs a and b,
- "foo" :> a describes an API reachable under path"foo",
- Get '[JSON] Entry describes an endpoint returning an Entry with content type JSON,
- Capture "n" Int :> a describes an API reachable under a captured integer path,

- a : < | > b is the union of the APIs a and b,
- "foo" :> a describes an API reachable under path"foo",
- Get '[JSON] Entry describes an endpoint returning an Entry with content type JSON,
- Capture "n" Int :> a describes an API reachable under a captured integer path,
- ReqBody '[JSON] Text :> a describes an API reachable only if an appropriate request body is present.

More API combinators

```
data JSON
data PlainText
```

```
data StdMethod =
   GET | POST | HEAD | PUT | DELETE
   | TRACE | CONNECT | OPTIONS | PATCH
```

```
data NoContent = NoContent
```

Overloaded strings and numbers

```
GHCi> import GHC.TypeLits

GHCi> :k "foo"

"foo" :: Symbol

GHCi> :k 42

42 :: Nat
```

Entries

Unlike all the others, **Entry** is a domain-specific type for our API and to be defined in our program:

```
data Entry =
   Entry
    { entryId :: Int
    , entryText :: Text
   }
```

How to model a web server

Request-response

At a high-level, a web server receives requests and sends responses.

Request-response

At a high-level, a web server receives requests and sends responses.

The wai package (short for web application interface) is built on top of this simple model:

```
type Application =
    Request
-> (Response -> IO ResponseReceived)
-> IO ResponseReceived
```

(This is in continuation passing style.)

Running an application

From Network.Wai.Handler.Warp:

```
run :: Port -> Application -> IO ()
```

Starts a webserver and runs the given application on the given port.

A trivial webserver

We can build an application that ignores the request and always answers with a 200 response and the text "Hello":

```
alwaysHello :: Application
alwaysHello req respond =
  respond (responseLBS status200 [] "Hello\n")
```

```
test :: IO ()
test =
  run 8888 alwaysHello
```

Testing

```
$ curl -X GET "http://localhost:8888/foo/bar"
Hello
$ curl -X DELETE "http://localhost:8888/foo/baz"
Hello
```

Routing an dispatching

What a "real" application has to do:

- · parse the incoming requests,
- depending on the path, the request headers, and the request body, make decisions on how to handle the request,
- · dispatch the request to an appropriate handler,
- take the response from the handler and forward it to the request sender, or produce an error response instead.

Routing an dispatching

What a "real" application has to do:

- · parse the incoming requests,
- depending on the path, the request headers, and the request body, make decisions on how to handle the request,
- · dispatch the request to an appropriate handler,
- take the response from the handler and forward it to the request sender, or produce an error response instead.

These tasks can be automated using **servant** once the API is known.

Computing an application

```
serve ::
  HasServer api '[]
  => Proxy api -> Server api -> Application
```

```
serve ::
  HasServer api '[]
  => Proxy api -> Server api -> Application
```

· api is an API type such as we have seen,

```
serve ::
  HasServer api '[]
  => Proxy api -> Server api -> Application
```

- · api is an API type such as we have seen,
- HasServer is a type class defined by servant,

```
serve ::
  HasServer api '[]
  => Proxy api -> Server api -> Application
```

- · api is an API type such as we have seen,
- HasServer is a type class defined by servant,
- Server is a type family defined by servant (non-injective, therefore the Proxy).

Server and HasServer

Actually, **Server** is a wrapper around an associated type:

```
class HasServer api (context :: [*]) where
  type SeverT api (m :: * -> *) :: *
  route ::
    Proxy api
    -> Context context
    -> Delayed env (Server api)
    -> Router env
```

```
type Server api = SeverT api Handler
newtype Handler a = Handler
{runHandler' :: ExceptT ServantErr IO a}
```

Simplify

We simplify a bit in order to better keep track of the actual ideas:

- We move SeverT out of the HasServer class.
- · We ignore "contexts" for now.
- We ignore Delayed and Router and adopt a simpler model instead.

Simplified scenario

```
type family ServerT api (m :: * -> *) :: *

class HasServer api where
  route ::
    Proxy api
    -> Server api
    -> Request
    -> Handler Response
```

Simplified scenario

```
type family ServerT api (m :: * -> *) :: *

class HasServer api where
  route ::
    Proxy api
    -> Server api
    -> Request
    -> Handler Response
```

Intuitively, **ServerT** tells us the types of the handlers we need for a given API, and **route** turns the given handlers into a request-response system.

```
type instance ServerT
  (Verb method status ctypes a) m =
  m a
```

Recall that m is instantiated to Handler, and Handler a is ExceptT ServantErr IO a.

So at an endpoint, we simply provide an $\boxed{10}$ action with the capability to throw errors and the obligation to return a value of result type \boxed{a} .

```
type instance ServerT
  ((path :: Symbol) :> api) m =
  ServerT api m
```

A path does not affect the type of handler at all.

```
type instance ServerT
  (Capture capture a :> api) m =
  a -> ServerT api m
```

A capture of type **a** is provided to the handler as an additional input of type **a**!

```
type instance ServerT
  (ReqBody ctypes a :> api) m =
  a -> ServerT api m
```

The same happens for the request body which can also be accessed in the handler.

```
type instance ServerT
  (api1 :<|> api2) m =
  ServerT api1 m :<|> ServerT api2 m
```

```
data a :<|> b = a :<|> b
infixr 8 :<|>
```

A handler for two combined APIs is the combination (i.e., a pair) of the handlers for the two individual APIs.

We use a Servant-specific pair constructor (just for optical reasons).

Applying the type family

We can now (e.g. in GHCi) compute Server KeyVal and obtain:

```
Server KeyVal

~ ( Handler [Entry] -- get all

:<|> (Text -> Handler Entry) -- post

:<|> (Int -> Handler Entry) -- get single

:<|> (Int -> Handler NoContent) -- delete
)
```

Implementing the handlers

Maintaining server state

We keep the server state in an STM TVar:

```
type Store = TVar (Map Int Text)
```

Maintaining server state

We keep the server state in an STM TVar:

```
type Store = TVar (Map Int Text)
```

This gives us thread safety – because when a wai application is run, every request will be handled in its own Haskell thread, and be concurrent with possibly many others.

Maintaining server state

We keep the server state in an STM TVar:

```
type Store = TVar (Map Int Text)
```

This gives us thread safety – because when a wai application is run, every request will be handled in its own Haskell thread, and be concurrent with possibly many others.

In a proper system we would probably use a database to make the state persistent.

Getting all entries

```
getAllEntries :: Store -> Handler [Entry]
getAllEntries store = do
   entries <- liftIO $ readTVarIO store
   return $ map (uncurry Entry) (toList entries)</pre>
```

Posting a new entry

Getting a single entry

```
getEntry :: Store -> Int -> Handler Entry
getEntry store n = do
   entries <- liftIO $ readTVarIO store
   case lookup n entries of
   Just txt -> return (Entry n txt)
   Nothing -> throwError err404
```

Deleting an entry

```
deleteEntry :: Store -> Int -> Handler NoContent
deleteEntry store n = do
  liftIO $ atomically $
  modifyTVar store (delete n)
  return NoContent
```

Everything together

This is (nearly) all we have to do in order to be able to run the server.

Completing the implementation

The HasServer class

Let's discuss how we can implement our (simplified)

HasServer class:

```
class HasServer api where
  route ::
    Proxy api
    -> Server api
    -> Request
    -> Handler Response
```

Implementing HasServer - verbs

```
data Verb
  method (status :: Nat) (contentTypes :: [*]) a
```

```
data Verb
  method (status :: Nat) (contentTypes :: [*]) a
```

The **method** indicates which requests match. We need a way to turn the **method** type into a value.

```
data Verb
  method (status :: Nat) (contentTypes :: [*]) a
```

The **method** indicates which requests match. We need a way to turn the **method** type into a value.

The **status** indicates which response code to return. We need a way to turn the **status** type into a value.

```
data Verb
  method (status :: Nat) (contentTypes :: [*]) a
```

The **method** indicates which requests match. We need a way to turn the **method** type into a value.

The **status** indicates which response code to return. We need a way to turn the **status** type into a value.

The **contentTypes** indicate which formats we can convert our output to. We need some way to translate **a** into these formats.

Reflecting methods

```
class ReflectMethod a where
  reflectMethod :: Proxy a -> Method
```

Reflecting methods

```
class ReflectMethod a where
  reflectMethod :: Proxy a -> Method
```

```
instance ReflectMethod GET where
  reflectMethod _ = methodGet
instance ReflectMethod POST where
  reflectMethod _ = methodPost
instance ReflectMethod DELETE where
  reflectMethod _ = methodDelete
```

Reflecting numbers (and symbols)

This is provided by GHC itself:

```
natVal :: KnownNat n => Proxy n -> Integer
symbolVal :: KnownSymbol n => Proxy n -> String
```

The constraints KnownNat and KnownSymbol are satisfied for all literal numbers and strings.

Reflecting numbers (and symbols)

This is provided by GHC itself:

```
natVal :: KnownNat n => Proxy n -> Integer
symbolVal :: KnownSymbol n => Proxy n -> String
```

The constraints KnownNat and KnownSymbol are satisfied for all literal numbers and strings.

```
GHCi> natVal (Proxy @ 42)
42
GHCi> symbolVal (Proxy @ "foo")
"foo"
```

Content types

We again present a slightly simplified view:

```
class AllCTRender (ctypes :: [*]) a where
handleAcceptH ::
   Proxy ctypes -> AcceptHeader
   -> Maybe (ContentType, a -> ByteString)
```

Content types

We again present a slightly simplified view:

```
class AllCTRender (ctypes :: [*]) a where
handleAcceptH ::
   Proxy ctypes -> AcceptHeader
   -> Maybe (ContentType, a -> ByteString)
```

The **handleAcceptH** method takes an accept header (from a request) and a value and decides which content type and representation to use (or fails).

Base case

```
instance AllCTRender '[] a where
handleAcceptH _ _ = Nothing
```

If there are no accepted content types, then we can never succeed.

```
instance (ToJSON a, AllCTRender cs a)
  => AllCTRender (JSON ': cs) a where
 handleAcceptH (AcceptHeader accept) =
   let
     ct = "application/json"
   in
     (ct, encode) <$ matchAccept [ct, "*/*"] accept</pre>
      <|> handleAcceptH (Proxv @ cs)
            (AcceptHeader accept)
```

We check if "application/json" is among the accepted content types, and either perform the rendering (requiring a ToJSON a instance) or fall back on other content types.

- · Check that the request method matches.
- · Check that the request path is empty.
- · Check that the accept header is compatible.
- If all this applies, run the handler and encode the result according to the selected content type.
- · In any other case, fail.

```
instance
 ( AllCTRender ctypes a
  , ReflectMethod method, KnownNat status)
  => HasServer (Verb method status ctypes a) where
 route h req
    | requestMethod reg = reflectMethod (Proxy @ method)
       && null (pathInfo reg) =
        case handleAcceptH (Proxy @ ctypes)
          (acceptHeader req) of
         Just (ct, enc) -> do
           a < -h
           return $ responseLBS
             (Status
               (fromIntegral (natVal (Proxy @ status))) "")
             [("Content-Type", ct)]
             (enc a)
         Nothing -> throwError err404 -- drastic simplification
    | otherwise = throwError err404 -- drastic simplification
```

```
instance
  (KnownSymbol path, HasServer api)
  => HasServer (path :> api) where
  route _ h req =
    case pathInfo req of
      (x : xs)
      | x == fromString (symbolVal (Proxy @ path)) ->
      route (Proxy @ api) h (req {pathInfo = xs})
      -> throwError err404
```

Comparatively simple – we just check if the path prefix matches.

```
data Capture (sym :: Symbol) a
```

For captures, we have to be able to parse a path component according to the type of the capture a.

The **Symbol** is not being used here – it is contained in the API purely for documentation purposes.

Parsing captures

Once again, we take a simplified view:

```
class FromHttpApiData a where
  parseUrlPiece :: Text -> Maybe a
```

```
instance FromHttpApiData Int where
parseUrlPiece = readMaybe . unpack
```

```
instance
 (FromHttpApiData a, HasServer api)
  => HasServer (Capture capture a :> api) where
 route h reg =
   case pathInfo req of
     (x:xs) \rightarrow
       case parseUrlPiece x of
        Just a ->
          route (Proxy @ api) (h a) (req {pathInfo = xs})
        Nothing -> throwError err404
     -> throwError err404
```

Note how we pass the parsed path fragment to the handler when continuing.

For the request body, we have to do content type negotiation once more – but in the other direction:

The content type of the body must match one of the content types we are able to parse.

Content types

```
class AllCTUnrender (ctypes :: [*]) a where
  canHandleCTypeH ::
  Proxy ctypes
  -> ContentType
  -> Maybe (ByteString -> Maybe a)
```

The outer Maybe signals whether we can handle the given content type – the inner Maybe signals parse failure.

Base case

```
instance AllCTUnrender '[] a where
  canHandleCTypeH _ _ = Nothing
```

Without any accepted content types we unsurprisingly fail.

```
instance
 (AllCTUnrender ctypes a, HasServer api)
  => HasServer (RegBody ctypes a :> api) where
 route h req =
   case canHandleCTypeH (Proxy @ ctypes)
     (contentTypeHeader reg) of
     Just dec -> do
       b <- liftIO $ lazyRequestBody req</pre>
       case dec b of
        Just a -> route (Proxy @ api) (h a) req
        Nothing -> throwError err404
     Nothing -> throwError err404
```

The final case we have to implement is choice:

- In our simple setting, we test if the first alternative fails with 404, and only if it does, try the second one.
- Full servant has a much more sophisticated notion of error codes and priorities, to make sure that the "correct" response code is sent in most situations.

```
instance
  (HasServer api1, HasServer api2)
  => HasServer (api1 :<|> api2) where
  route _ (h1 :<|> h2) req =
      catchError
      (route (Proxy @ api1) h1 req)
      (\ err ->
        if errHTTPCode err == 404
            then route (Proxy @ api2) h2 req
      else throwError err)
```

What is left to do?

We still need to implement serve in terms of route:

```
serve ::
 HasServer api
  => Proxy api -> Server api -> Application
serve p h reg respond = do
 result <-
   runExceptT (runHandler' (route p h req))
 case result of
   Left err -> respond (responseServantErr err)
   Right rsp -> respond rsp
```

Entry and JSON

We also still need to provide FromJSON and ToJSON instances for Entry – a trivial job thanks to generic programming:

```
deriving instance Generic Entry
instance FromJSON Entry
instance ToJSON Entry
```

Putting everything together

```
main :: IO ()
main = do
    store <- newTVarIO empty
    run 8000
        (serve (Proxy @ KeyVal) (keyVal store))</pre>
```

This allocates a TVar to hold the store, then computes the server from the API and the handlers we defined before (keyVal), and finally runs it.

Testing

```
$ curl -X GET "http://localhost:8000/entry"
Г٦
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -d"\"foo\""
  -X POST "http://localhost:8000/entry"
{"entryId":1, "entryText": "foo"}
$ curl -H "Content-Type: application/json" -d"\"bar\""
  -X POST "http://localhost:8000/entry"
{"entryId":2,"entryText":"bar"}
$ curl -X GET "http://localhost:8000/entry"
[{"entryId":1,"entryText":"foo"},{"entryId":2,"entryText":"bar"}]
$ curl -X GET "http://localhost:8000/entry/1"
{"entryId":1, "entryText": "foo"}
$ curl -X DELETE "http://localhost:8000/entry/1"
$ curl -X GET "http://localhost:8000/entry"
[{"entryId":2,"entryText":"bar"}]
```

Recap

We've spent a lot of time on basically re-implementing servant, so let's briefly look at what we actually had to do.

We had to:

- · Define the API.
- Define the domain datatypes and instances (Entry, FromJSON, ToJSON).
- · Define the handlers.
- · Compose everything (main).

```
type KeyVal =
       "entry" :> Get '[JSON] [Entry]
  :<|> "entry"
         :> ReqBody '[JSON] Text
          :> PostCreated '[JSON] Entry
  :<|> "entry" :> Capture "n" Int
         :> Get '[JSON] Entry
  :<|> "entry" :> Capture "n" Int
          :> DeleteNoContent '[JSON] NoContent
```

Domain datatypes and instances

```
data Entry =
   Entry
    { entryId :: Int
    , entryText :: Text
    }
   deriving (Generic, Show)
   instance FromJSON Entry
   instance ToJSON Entry
```

Handlers

```
getAllEntries :: Store -> Handler [Entry]
getAllEntries store = do
 entries <- liftIO $ readTVarIO store
 return $ map (uncurry Entry) (toList entries)
postEntry :: Store -> Text -> Handler Entry
postEntry store txt =
 liftIO $ atomically $ do
   entries <- readTVar store
   let key = if M.null entries then 1
               else fst (findMax entries) + 1
   writeTVar store (insert key txt entries)
   return (Entry key txt)
getEntry :: Store -> Int -> Handler Entry
getEntry store n = do
 entries <- liftIO $ readTVarIO store
 case lookup n entries of
   Just txt -> return (Entry n txt)
   Nothing -> throwError err404
deleteEntry :: Store -> Int -> Handler NoContent
deleteEntry store n = do
 liftIO $ atomically $
   modifyTVar store (delete n)
 return NoContent
```

Combined handler

Composing everything

```
main :: IO ()
main = do
    store <- newTVarIO empty
    run 8000
        (serve (Proxy @ KeyVal) (keyVal store))</pre>
```

What do we get?

A lot of code "for free":

- All the routing, parsing, serialization, dispatching is taken care of automatically, via the API specification.
- The handlers are just ordinary functions that do not need to know much about running in a web setting.

Type safety:

- The handlers work with dedicated Haskell types, all the conversions to less typed settings (JSON, strings) happen behind the scenes.
- If we change the API type, or use an undeclared input, or produce the wrong output, this is all a type error.

Other "interpretations"

Servant is a domain-specific language

What **servant** really provides is a type-level domain-specific language for describing APIs:

- The API is the "program".
- The server is an "interpretation" of the program.

Servant is a domain-specific language

What **servant** really provides is a type-level domain-specific language for describing APIs:

- The API is the "program".
- The server is an "interpretation" of the program.

But **servant** offers more interpretations besides the server interpretation.

Deriving a client

Computing client functions

```
client ::
  HasClient api
  => Proxy api -> Client api
```

Very similar – **HasClient** is a class, and **Client** is an associted type.

Instantiating Client

Nearly the same as **Server**, only that this time, we *get* these functions, rather than having to provide them.

Obtaining client functions

Running client functions

```
runClientM ::
   ClientM a -> ClientEnv
   -> IO (Either ServantError a)
```

Running client functions

```
runClientM ::
   ClientM a -> ClientEnv
   -> IO (Either ServantError a)
```

```
data ClientEnv =
  ClientEnv
    {manager :: Manager
    , baseUrl :: BaseUrl
  }
```

Testing in GHCi

Of course, this requires we are running the server.

We can create a manager using the http-client package.

```
GHCi> m <- newManager defaultManagerSettings
GHCi> base = BaseUrl Http "localhost" 8000 ""
GHCi> env = ClientEnv m base
GHCi> runClientM (postNew "foo") env
Right (Entry {entryId = 1, entryText = "foo"})
GHCi> runClientM getAll env
Right [Entry {entryId = 1, entryText = "foo"}]
```

Servant for clients

If you derive both a server and a client from a common API, you can be certain that they match.

Servant for clients

If you derive both a server and a client from a common API, you can be certain that they match.

On the other hand, it can also be useful to employ **servant** to just derive a client for a web API you want to bind to, in order to quickly get the right functions in a strongly typed way.

Yet more interpretations

There's more:

- Generate clients in various other / external languages (e.g. Javascript).
- · Generate documentation in various formats (e.g. Swagger).
- · Generate type-safe links within an API.
- · Generate mock servers and mock clients.
- ...

Extensibility

Servant is carefully designed to be extensible in two dimensions:

- · You can add new interpretations.
- · You can add new combinators to the API language.

Both kinds of extension usually do not require access or modifications of the core library.