

First Order Logic (FOL). Foundations



- FOL can be understood as an extension of Propositional Logic.
- For example, in **Propositional Logic** it was not possible to express that certain "objects" stand in certain relations, or that a property holds for all such objects, or that some object with a certain property exists.
- Here is a well known example from calculus:
 - □ For all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some n_o , such that for all $r > n_o$, abs(f(n) a) $< \epsilon$
 - □ The main concepts here are the verbal constructs **for all** and **exists**, as well as the use of **functions** (abs, mod) and **relations** (>, =, <).



First Order Logic (FOL). Foundations



- Propositional logic assumes the world contains facts (propositions)
- **FOL** (like natural language) assumes the world contains:
 - **Objects:** people, houses, numbers, colors, ...
 - **Relations:** has color, brother of, bigger than,...
 - Facts: father of, best friend, one more than, ...
- Facts have a truth value true or false

3



Syntax of First Order Logic



- A **variable** is of the form x_i where i = 1, 2, 3, ...
- A **predicate** symbol the form P_i^k and a **function** symbol of the form f_i^k where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., and k = 0, 1, 2,
 - ☐ Here, i is the **distinguishability** index and
 - \square k is called the **arity**. In the case of arity 0, we drop the parentheses, and just write P_i^0 or f_i^0
 - □ A **function symbol** of **arity 0** will also be called a **constant**.



Syntax of First Order Logic (cont...)



- **Terms** (using inductive process):
 - 1. Each variable is a term.
 - 2. If f is a **function** symbol with arity k, and if $t_1,...,t_k$ are terms, then $f(t_1,...,t_k)$ is a term.
- Formulas (of FOL) are defined inductively as follows:
 - 1. If **P** is a **predicate** symbol with arity k, and if $t_1,...,t_k$ are **terms**, then $P(t_1,...,t_k)$ is a formula.
 - 2. For each formula **F**, ¬**F** is a formula.
 - 3. For all formulas ${\bf F}$ and ${\bf G}$, $({\bf F} \wedge {\bf G})$ and $({\bf F} \vee {\bf G})$ are formulas.
 - 4. If x is a variable and \mathbf{F} is a formula, then $\exists \mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}$ and $\forall \mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}$ are formulas.

5



Syntax of First Order Logic (cont...)



- Important points!
 - ☐ If **F** is a formula, and **F** occurs as part of the formula **G**, then **F** is called a **subformula** of **G**.
 - □ All occurrences of a variable in a formula are discriminated into **bound** and **free** occurrences.
 - \square An occurrence of the variable x in the formula **F** is **bound** if x occurs within a subformula of **F** of the form $\exists x F$ or $\forall x F$.
 - □ A variable in the formula is **free** if at least one occurrence of the variable is free.
 - □ A formula without occurrence of a **free variable** is called **closed**.
 - □ The symbols \exists and \forall are called **quantifiers**:
 - ∃ is the **existential** quantifier, and
 - ∀ is the **universal** quantifier.



Syntax of First Order Logic (cont...)



Example: $F = (\exists x_1 P_5^2(x_1, f_2^1(x_2)) \lor \neg \forall x_2 P_4^2(x_2, f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))))$ is a formula. All the subformulas of F are:

$$\begin{split} F \\ \exists x_1 P_5^2(x_1, f_2^1(x_2)) \\ P_5^2(x_1, f_2^1(x_2)) \\ \neg \forall x_2 P_4^2(x_2, f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))) \\ \forall x_2 P_4^2(x_2, f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))) \\ P_4^2(x_2, f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))) \end{split}$$

_



Syntax of First Order Logic (cont...)



Example: $F = (\exists x_1 P_5^2(x_1, f_2^1(x_2)) \lor \neg \forall x_2 P_4^2(x_2, f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))))$

All the terms that occur in F are:

$$x_1$$
 x_2
 $f_2^1(x_2)$
 $f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))$
 f_4^0
 $f_5^1(x_3)$
 x_3

Syntax of F



Syntax of First Order Logic (cont...)

An occurrence of the variable x in the formula F is **bound** if x occurs within a subformula of F of the form $\exists xF$ or $\forall xF$.

A variable in the formula is **free** if at least one occurrence of the variable is free.

A formula without occurrence of a **free variable** is called **closed**.

Example: $F = (\exists x_1 P_5^2(x_1, f_2^1(x_2)) \lor \neg \forall x_2 P_4^2(x_2, f_7^2(f_4^0, f_5^1(x_3))))$

- All occurrences of x₁ in F are bound.
- The first occurrence of x₂ is free, all others are bound, then x₂ is free.
- x₃ occurs free in F.
- The formula F is not closed.
- The term f_4^0 is an example for a constant.

ç

Syntax of First Order Logic



Notation:

- ☐ If **FOL** we allow the same simplifying notations for formulas as in propositional logic.
- □ Additionally, we allow the following abbreviations.
 - u, v, w, x, y, z always stand for variables
 - a, b, c always stand for constants
 - f, g, h stand for **function symbols** where the arity can always be inferred from the context
 - P, Q, R stand for predicate symbols where the arity can always be inferred from the context

Semantic of First Order Logic



- A structure is a pair A = (U_A,I_A) where U_A is an arbitrary, non-empty set and is called the ground set or universe. Further, I_A is a mapping that maps
 - \square each k-ary **predicate** symbol P to a k-ary predicate on $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ (if $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined on P).
 - \square each k-ary **function** symbol f to a k-ary function on $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ (if $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined on f).
 - \square each **variable** x to an element of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ (if $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined on x).
- In other words, the **domain of I**_A is a subset of $\{P_i^k, f_i^k, x_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., \text{ and } k = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$, and
- The **range of** $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subset of all predicates, functions, and single elements of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$.
- In the following, we abbreviate the notation and write $P^{\mathcal{A}}$ instead of $I_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$, $f^{\mathcal{A}}$ instead of $I_{\mathcal{A}}(f)$, and $x^{\mathcal{A}}$ instead $I_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$.

.

Semantic of First Order Logic (cont...)



Let F be a formula and $A = (U_A, I_A)$ be a structure. A is called *suitable* for F if I_A is defined for all predicate symbols, function symbols, and for all variables that occur free in F.

Example: $F = \forall x P(x, f(x)) \land Q(g(a, z))$ is a formula. Here, P is a binary and Q a unary predicate, f is unary, g a binary, and a a 0-ary function symbol. The variable z is free in F. An example for a structure $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, I_{\mathcal{A}})$ which is suitable for F is the following.

$$U_{\mathcal{A}} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\} = \mathbb{N},$$

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(P) = P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(m,n) \mid m,n \in U_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ and } m < n\},$$

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(Q) = Q^{\mathcal{A}} := \{n \in U_{\mathcal{A}} \mid n \text{ is prime } \}$$

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(f) = f^{\mathcal{A}} = \text{the successor function on } U_{\mathcal{A}},$$

hence
$$f^{\mathcal{A}}(n) = n + 1$$
,

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(g) = g^{\mathcal{A}} =$$
 the addition function on $U_{\mathcal{A}}$,

hence
$$g^{\mathcal{A}}(m,n) = m + n$$
,

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = a^{\mathcal{A}} = 2,$$

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(z) = z^{\mathcal{A}} = 3.$$

In this structure F is obviously "true" (we will define this notion in a moment), because every natural number is smaller than its successor, and the sum of 2 and 3 is a prime number.

Semantic of First Order Logic (cont...)



- Now we can define the **(truth-)value** of the formula F, denoted $\mathcal{A}(F)$, under the structure \mathcal{A} by an inductive definition.
- 1. If F has the form $F = P(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ where t_1, \ldots, t_k are terms and P is a predicate symbol of arity k, then

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (\mathcal{A}(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{A}(t_k)) \in P^{\mathcal{A}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. If F has the form $F = \neg G$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{A}(G) = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

13



Semantic of First Order Logic (cont...)



3. If F has the form $F = (G \wedge H)$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{A}(G) = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(H) = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4. If F has the form $F = (G \vee H)$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1, & ext{if } \mathcal{A}(G) = 1 ext{ or } \mathcal{A}(H) = 1 \ 0, & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Semantic of First Order Logic (cont...)



5. If F has the form $F = \forall xG$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \left\{ egin{array}{l} 1, ext{ if for all } u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}, \, \mathcal{A}_{[x/u]}(G) = 1 \ 0, ext{ otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Here, $\mathcal{A}_{[x/u]}$ is the structure \mathcal{A}' , which is identical to \mathcal{A} with the exception of the definition of $x^{\mathcal{A}'}$: No matter whether $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined on x or not, we let $x^{\mathcal{A}'} = u$.

6. If F has the form $F = \exists xG$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \text{ if there exists some } u \in U_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ such that } \mathcal{A}_{[x/u]}(G) = 1 \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

15



Semantic of First Order Logic (cont...)



- If for a formula F and a **suitable structure** \mathcal{A} we have $\mathcal{A}(\mathsf{F}) = 1$, then we denote this by , $\mathcal{A} \models \mathsf{F}$ (we say, F is true in \mathcal{A} , or \mathcal{A} is a model for F).
- If every suitable structure for F is a model for F, then we denote this by ⊨F (F is valid or tautology), otherwise ⊭ F.
- If there is at least one model for the formula F then F is called satisfiable, and otherwise unsatisfiable (or contradictory).



Propositional Logic vs FOL



- Analogously to **propositional logic**, it can be shown

 F is valid if and only if ¬F is unsatisfiable.
- Now is easy to see that **FOL** can be understood a
- Now is easy to see that FOL can be understood as an extension of Propositional Logic in the following sense:
 - $\ \square$ If all predicate symbols are required to have arity 0 (then there is no use for variables, quantifiers, and terms), essentially we get the formulas in propositional logic where the predicates P_i^0 play the role of the atomic formulas A_i in propositional logic.

17



Propositional Logic vs FOL



- It even suffices <u>not to use variables</u> (and therefore also <u>no quantifiers</u>) such that FOL "degenerates" to propositional logic.
- Example:

$$F = (Q(a) \vee \neg R(f(b), c)) \wedge P(a, b)$$

be a formula without variables (but with predicate symbols of arity greater than 0). By identifying different atomic formulas in F with different atomic formulas A_i of propositional logic, such as

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Q(a) & \longleftrightarrow & A_1 \\ R(f(b),c) & \longleftrightarrow & A_2 \\ P(a,b) & \longleftrightarrow & A_3 \end{array}$$

we get

$$F' = (A_1 \vee \neg A_2) \wedge A_3 .$$

Obviously, a formula obtained like F' from F is satisfiable (or valid) if and only if F is satisfiable (or valid).



Propositional Logic vs FOL (cont...)



- It's easy to see that a formula without occurrences of a quantifier can be transformed into an equivalent formula in CNF or DNF where only the tools from propositional logic are needed.
- Although FOL is expressionally more "powerful" than propositional logic (i.e. more statements in colloquial language can be expressed formally), it is not powerful enough to express every conceivable statement (e.g. in mathematics).
- We can obtain an even stronger power if we allow also quantifications that range over predicate or function symbols, like

$$F = \forall P \exists f \forall x P(f(x))$$

This is a matter of the so-called second order predicate logic.

. .



Exercise:



The structure

$$U_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{IN}, P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(m, n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{IN}, m < n\}$$

is a **model** for the formula

$$F = \exists x \exists y \exists z (P(x,y) \land P(z,y) \land P(x,z) \land \neg P(z,x)) ?$$

Answer: Yes. Let x=3, y=5, and z=4