## Assignment 3

1a) Prove that F is a valid formula:

$$F = \exists x \exists y \neg P(a, x, f(g(y))) \lor \exists x \exists y (P(x, f(x), f(y)) \land \neg Q(y, x)) \lor \exists x Q(g(x), x)$$

$$\neg F = \forall x \forall y P(a, x, f(g(y))) \land \forall v \forall w (\neg P(v, f(v), f(w)) \lor Q(w, v)) \land \forall z \neg Q(g(z), z)$$

$$\equiv \forall x \forall y \forall v \forall w \forall z (P(a,x,f(g(y))) \land (\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)) \lor Q(w,v)) \land \neg Q(g(z),z))$$

## Clauses:

 $\{\{P(a,x,f(g(y)))\}, \{\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)), Q(w,v)\}, \{\neg Q(g(z),z)\}\}\$  sub  $[w/g(z)]\$  and  $[v/z]\$  on clause 2

 $\{\{P(a,x,f(g(y)))\}, \{\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)), Q(w,v)\}, \{\neg Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z))), Q(g(z),z)\}\}\}$  use clauses 3 and 4

 $\{P(a,x,f(g(y)))\}, \{\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)), Q(w,v)\}, \{\neg Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z))), Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z)))\}\}$  sub [z/a] on clause 5

 $\{P(a,x,f(g(y)))\}, \{\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)), Q(w,v)\}, \{\neg Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z))), Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z)))\}\}$  sub [x/f(a)] and [y/a] on clause 1

 $\{P(a,x,f(g(y)))\}, \{\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)), Q(w,v)\}, \{\neg Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z))), Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z)))\}, \{\neg P(a,f(a),f(g(a)))\}, \{P(a,f(a),f(g(a)))\}\}$  use clauses 6 and 7

 $\{P(a,x,f(g(y)))\}, \{\neg P(v,f(v),f(w)), Q(w,v)\}, \{\neg Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z))), Q(g(z),z)\}, \{\neg P(z,f(z),f(g(z)))\}, \{\neg P(a,f(a),f(g(a)))\}, \{\Box\}\}$ 

Since empty clause is found, the negation of the formula is unsatisfiable, meaning the formula F is a valid formula.

```
1b) Check if logical consequence is true:

P1: \forall x (D(x) \rightarrow H(x)) \equiv \forall x (\neg D(x) \lor H(x))

P2: \forall x \forall y ((((x,y) \land C(y)) \rightarrow \neg \exists z (((x,z) \land M(y))) \rightarrow \neg \exists z (((x,y) \land M(y)))
```

P2:  $\forall x \forall y ((I(x,y) \land C(y)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists z (I(x,z) \land M(z))) \equiv \forall x \forall y \forall z ((\neg I(x,y) \lor \neg C(y)) \lor (\neg I(x,z) \lor \neg M(z)))$ 

P3:  $\forall x(L(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists y(I(x,y) \land H(y))) \equiv \forall x \forall y(\neg L(x) \lor (\neg I(x,y) \lor \neg H(y)))$ 

P4:  $\exists x(I(c,x) \land (C(x) \lor D(x))) \equiv I(c,a) \land (C(a) \lor D(a))$ 

C: L(c)  $\rightarrow \neg \exists z (I(c,z) \land M(z)) \equiv \neg L(c) \lor \forall z (\neg I(c,z) \lor \neg M(z))$ 

 $\neg$ C: L(c)  $\land$  (I(c,a)  $\land$  M(a))

 $F = P1 \land P2 \land P3 \land P4 \models C \equiv P1 \land P2 \land P3 \land P4 \land \neg C$ 

## Clauses:

 $\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\}\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}\}$  substitute [z/a] on clause 2

 $\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\}\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}\}$  use clauses 8 and 9

 $\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}\}$  substitute [x/c] on clause 10

 $\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}\}$  use clauses 7 and 11

 $\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}\}$  substitute [y/a] on clause 12

 $\{ \{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg I(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)$ 

 $\{ \{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}\}$  substitute [x/a] on clause 1

```
\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{I
\{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C(z)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(z), \neg C(z), \neg C
\{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}, \{\neg D(a), H(a)\}, \{H(a)\}\}\ substitute [y/a] on clause 3
\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{I
\{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c
\{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}, \{\neg D(a), H(a)\}, \{H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a), \neg H(a)\}\}\ use clauses 17 and 18
\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{I
\{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c
\{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}, \{\neg D(a), H(a)\}, \{H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a), \neg H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a)\}\}\ substitute [x/c] on clause 19
\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{I
\{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c
\{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}, \{\neg D(a), H(a)\}, \{H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a), \neg H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg L(c), \neg I(c,a)\}\}\ use clauses 4 and
20
\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{I
\{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c
\{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}, \{\neg D(a), H(a)\}, \{H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a), \neg H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg L(c), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg L(c)\}\}  use
clauses 6 and 21
\{\{\neg D(x), H(x)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,z), \neg M(z)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,y), \neg H(y)\}, \{I(c,a)\} \{C(a), D(a)\}, \{L(c)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{M(a)\}, \{I(c,a)\}, \{I
\{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a), \neg M(a)\}, \{\neg I(x,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg I(c,y), \neg C(y)\}, \{\neg I(c,a), \neg C(a)\}, \{\neg I(c
\{\neg C(a)\}, \{D(a)\}, \{\neg D(a), H(a)\}, \{H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a), \neg H(a)\}, \{\neg L(x), \neg I(x,a)\}, \{\neg L(c), \neg I(c,a)\}, \{\neg L(c)\}, \{\Box\}\}
```

Logical consequence is true since the formula f is unsatisfiable.

```
2a)
starter(green_salad).
starter(melon).
starter(tomato_salad).
starter(rabbit_pate).
main(rock_salmon).
main(roast_beef).
main(pasta).
desert(cheese).
desert(yoghurt).
desert(montreal).
2b)
menu(hungry,X,Y,Z) :- starter(X), main(Y), desert(Z).
menu(not_so_hungry,X,Y) :- starter(X), main(Y).
menu(not_so_hungry,Y,Z) :- main(Y), desert(Z).
menu(on_diet,X) :- starter(X).
2c)
sits_left_of(X,Y) :- sits_right_of(Y,X).
are_neighbors_of(X,Y,Z) :- sits_left_of(X,Z), sits_right_of(Y,Z).
next_to_each_other(X,Y) :- sits_right_of(X,Y).
next_to_each_other(X,Y) :- sits_left_of(X,Y).
```

```
3a)
path(X,Y):-connected(X,Y).
path(X,Y):-connected(X,Z), path(Z,Y).
3b)
move(X,Y):-by_Car(X,Y).
move(X,Y):-by_Train(X,Y).
move(X,Y):-by_Plane(X,Y).
travel(X,Y):-move(X,Y).
```