







**FLORIDA
INTERNATIONAL
UNIVERSITY**

Propositional Logic (III)


Dr. Antonio L. Bajuelos


School of Computing &
Information Sciences

Note: The most of the information of these slides was extracted and adapted from Schöningh's book, "Logic for Computer Scientists". They are provided for COT-3541 students only. Not to be published or publicly distributed without permission by the publisher.



Horn Formulas



- An important special case of **CNF formulas** which often occurs in practical applications are the **Horn formulas** (named after the logician *Alfred Horn**)

Definition (Horn formula)

- A formula **F** in **CNF** is a **Horn formula** if every disjunction set in **F** contains at most one positive literal.
- **Examples:**

$$F = (A \vee \neg B) \wedge (\neg C \vee \neg A \vee D) \wedge (\neg A \vee \neg B) \wedge D \wedge \neg E$$

$$G = (A \vee \neg B) \wedge (C \vee \neg A \vee D)$$

F is a **Horn formula** and *G* is not.

Alfred Horn (1918-2001) was an American mathematician notable for his work in lattice theory and universal algebra.

2

Horn Formulas



- **Horn formulas** can be (equivalently) rewritten in a more intuitive way, namely as **implications**.
- Remember that:

$$F \rightarrow G \equiv \neg F \vee G$$

$$F \equiv F \vee \text{False} \equiv \text{False} \vee F \equiv \neg(\text{True}) \rightarrow F \equiv 1 \rightarrow F$$

$$\neg F \equiv \neg F \vee \text{False} \equiv F \rightarrow \text{False} \equiv F \rightarrow 0$$

- **Example:**

Formula F :

$$F = (A \vee \neg B) \wedge (\neg C \vee \neg A \vee D) \wedge (\neg A \vee \neg B) \wedge D \wedge \neg E$$

Can be rewritten as:

$$F \equiv (B \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \wedge A \rightarrow D) \wedge (A \wedge B \rightarrow 0) \wedge (1 \rightarrow D) \wedge (E \rightarrow 0)$$

- Here, **0** stands for an arbitrary **unsatisfiable** formula and **1** for an arbitrary **tautology**.

3

Horn Formulas



Example: Formula F in **CNF**:

$$F = (A \vee \neg B) \wedge (\neg C \vee \neg A \vee D) \wedge (\neg A \vee \neg B) \wedge D \wedge \neg E$$

Can be rewritten as:

$$F \equiv (B \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \wedge A \rightarrow D) \wedge (A \wedge B \rightarrow 0) \wedge (1 \rightarrow D) \wedge (E \rightarrow 0)$$

- The **general rules** are:
 - Write the **negative literals** to the left of the implication sign. Ex: $\neg C \vee \neg A \vee D \equiv (C \wedge A) \rightarrow D$
 - Write the **positive literal** (if any) at the right of the implication sign. Ex: $A \vee \neg B \equiv B \rightarrow A$
 - Write **1** (or **True**) to the left of the implication sign if there is no negative single literal. Ex: $D \equiv 1 \rightarrow D$
 - Write **0** (or **False**) at the right of the implication if there is no positive single literal. Ex: $\neg E \equiv E \rightarrow 0$

4

Horn Formulas



- Remember that one of the main goals of the **propositional logic** is:
 - The search for efficient algorithms which decide **satisfiability** (or **validity**) of formulas.
 - We know that:
 - it is enough to have a test for **unsatisfiability** because a formula is **valid** if and only if its negation is **unsatisfiable**.
 - Using **truth-tables**, it is always possible to find out whether a formula is **satisfiable** or **unsatisfiable**. But we have observed already that an algorithm based on constructing the full truth-table of a formula necessarily runs in **exponential time**.

5

Satisfiability of Horn Formulas



- **Good News!**
 - For **Horn formulas** there exists an **efficient test** for **satisfiability** which works as follows:
- **Input: a Horn formula F**

```
{
    mark all occurrences of True in F
    while there is a conjunction  $A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow B$  in F such
        that all  $A_i$  are already marked and B is not,
        mark B
    end while
    if False is marked          % some original  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  is marked
        return "unsatisfiable"
    else
        return "satisfiable"
    endif
}
```
- **Complexity: $O(nm)$** , n - # of atomic formulas in **F** and m - length of **F** (number of implications).

6

Satisfiability of Horn Formulas



```

{
  mark all occurrences of True in F
  while there is a conjunction  $A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow B$  in F such
    that all  $A_i$  are already marked and  $B$  is not,
    mark B
  end while
  if False is marked      %  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  is marked
    return "unsatisfiable"
  else
    return "satisfiable"
  endif
}

```

■ Example #1:

$$F \equiv (B \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \wedge A \rightarrow D) \wedge (A \wedge B \rightarrow 0) \wedge (1 \rightarrow D) \wedge (E \rightarrow 0)$$

- **Returning:** F is **satisfiable**
- One possible **model** for F :
 - $\mathcal{A}(A) = \mathcal{A}(B) = \mathcal{A}(C) = \mathcal{A}(E) = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}(D) = 1$

7

Satisfiability of Horn Formulas



```

{
  mark all occurrences of True in F
  while there is a conjunction  $A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow B$  in F such
    that all  $A_i$  are already marked and  $B$  is not,
    mark B
  end while
  if False is marked      %  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  is marked
    return "unsatisfiable"
  else
    return "satisfiable"
  endif
}

```

■ Example #2:

$$F_2 = (1 \rightarrow B) \wedge (1 \rightarrow D) \wedge (F \rightarrow 0) \wedge (A \wedge B \wedge D \rightarrow E) \wedge (E \rightarrow D) \wedge (1 \rightarrow C) \wedge (C \rightarrow A)$$

- **Returning:** F_2 is **satisfiable**
- One possible **model** for F_2 :
 - $\mathcal{A}(F) = 0$
 - $\mathcal{A}(A) = \mathcal{A}(B) = \mathcal{A}(C) = \mathcal{A}(D) = \mathcal{A}(E) = 1$

8

Satisfiability of Horn Formulas



```
{
  mark all occurrences of True in F
  while there is a conjunction  $A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow B$  in F such
    that all  $A_i$  are already marked and B is not,
    mark B
  end while
  if False is marked      %  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  is marked
    return "unsatisfiable"
  else
    return "satisfiable"
  endif
}
```

■ Example #3:

$$F_3 = (A \wedge B \wedge D \rightarrow A) \wedge (B \wedge C \rightarrow A) \wedge (A \wedge D \rightarrow E)$$

No occurrences of the form $(1 \rightarrow A)$ in F_3

Nothing is marked

Returning: F_3 is **satisfiable**

One possible **model** for F_3 :

$$\mathcal{A}(A) = \mathcal{A}(B) = \mathcal{A}(C) = \mathcal{A}(D) = \mathcal{A}(E) = 0$$

9

Satisfiability of Horn Formulas



```
{
  mark all occurrences of True in F
  while there is a conjunction  $A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow B$  in F such
    that all  $A_i$  are already marked and B is not,
    mark B
  end while
  if False is marked      %  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  is marked
    return "unsatisfiable"
  else
    return "satisfiable"
  endif
}
```

■ Example #4:

$$F_4 = (1 \rightarrow B) \wedge (1 \rightarrow D) \wedge (E \rightarrow 0) \wedge (A \wedge B \wedge D \rightarrow E) \wedge (E \rightarrow D) \wedge (1 \rightarrow C) \wedge (C \rightarrow A)$$

False is marked!

Returning: F_4 is **unsatisfiable**

10

Satisfiability of Horn Formulas



Complementary material:

■ Theory

<https://www21.in.tum.de/teaching/logik/SS17/Slides/horn-prop.pdf>

■ Theory & Exercises

http://sites.cs.queensu.ca/courses/cisc204/Record/Week09/Horn%20Clauses%20and%20Satisfiability_review.pdf