

Multiagent Coordination in Roombas: From a Neural Network Perspective

Jimmy Lin
Barry Feigenbaum

Prof. Risto Miikkulainen

Department of Computer Science
The University of Texas At Austin

December 1, 2014

Table of Contents

- 1 Problem Motivation
- 2 Approaches and Architectures
- 3 Experimental Results
- 4 Discussion

Section 1

Problem Motivation

Structure of the Roomba Environment

- Objects:

- Agent: dynamic dirt collectors (Black Disks)
- Scrumb: static dirt to clean (Blue Dots)
- Wall: static boundary of the world
- Chair/Desk: static decorations as hidden obstacles

Roomba pictures

- Objectives:

- collect as many scrumbs as possible
- make as fewer collision as possible

A Ideal Case

- The most ideal case is to set up a system with
 - Centralization: full control over all agents
 - Global View: full observations over all scrums
- This can be formulated as an integer programming problem.
- No experiential learning is needed in this case.

A Slightly Realistic Case

- Relax the "Centralization" assumption and yield a decentralized system with
 - Autonomy: all agents should decide on its own
 - Global View: full observations over all scrums are still available for all agents
- We need
 - Local Optimizers that approximates the global optimizers
 - A collision-free protocol between agents

A More Realistic Case

- Relax the "Global View" assumption, but allow limited information sharing between agents. These yield a system with
 - Autonomy: all agents should decide on its own
 - Local View: only local observation of scrums are available for agents
 - Limited Sharing:

Section 2

Approaches and Architectures

Section 3

Experimental Results

We compared the fitness derived from

Section 4

Discussion

Discussion Time

- Any questions or suggestions?
sert pictures
- Or email us at
 - jimmylin at utexas dot edu
 - chevron8 at gmail dot com

Acknowledgement

Thanks.