Wigner's Theorem

Jimin WU* Département de Physique de l'ENS, Paris, France

A proof based on The quantum theory of fields, vol. 1: Foundations by Weinberg, S. (1995)

QM Assumptions

- (1) Physical sates are vectors/rays \in Hilbert space \mathcal{H} —some complex vector space with a norm $\Phi, \Psi, \text{ etc.}$ with a norm $\Phi, \Psi, \text{ etc.}$ (i) $(\Phi, \Psi) = (\Psi, \Phi)^*$ (ii) $(\xi_1\Phi_1 + \xi_2\Phi_2, \eta\Psi) = \eta \left[\xi_1^*(\Phi_1, \Psi) + \xi_2^*(\Phi_2, \Psi)\right]$ (iii) $(\Psi \neq 0, \Psi) > (0, 0) = 0$.
- (2) Observables are Hermitian operators s.t. $\underline{A(\xi\Phi+\eta\Psi)}=\xi A\Phi+\eta A\Psi$.
- (3) A ray $\mathcal{R}|_{\ni\Psi}$ has a definite eigenvalue $\alpha \vee \Phi$ operator A^{-1} . Testing a system in \mathcal{R} brings itself into one of the orthogonal rays

 $\mathcal{R}_{n=1,2,\dots}|_{\ni \Psi_n}$ with transition probability $P(\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}_n) := |(\Psi, \Psi_n)|^2 = P(\mathcal{R}_n \to \mathcal{R})$, s.t. $\sum_n P(\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}_n) = 1$ for a complete set

NB (i) Pick a normalised vector $\Psi_{(\Psi,\Psi)=1}$ as (a representative of) a $ray \in \mathcal{H}$ (ii) define the **adjoint** A^{\dagger} of a linear operator A as

 $(\Phi, A^{\dagger}\Psi) := \underbrace{(A\Phi, \Psi)}_{(\Psi, A\Phi)^*}, \text{ or } (\Phi, A^{\dagger}\Psi) := \underbrace{(A\Phi, \Psi)^*}_{(\Psi, A\Phi)} \text{ for an } \text{antilinear operator } A.$ $Wigner's \ Theorem \qquad \text{An invertible transition-probability-preserving ray-transformation } T \text{ s.t.}$

$$P\left(\mathcal{R}'\Big|_{\ni \Psi' = U\Psi} = TR \leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}'_n\Big|_{\ni \Psi'_n = U\Psi_n} = TR_n\right) = P(\mathcal{R} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n)$$

$$|(\Psi', \Psi'_n)|^2$$
(1)

 \Rightarrow a(n) (anti)unitary & (anti)linear operator U on \mathcal{H} s.t.

$$\begin{cases} (U\Phi, U\Psi) = (\Phi, \Psi) \Leftrightarrow U^{\dagger}U = 1 \\ U(\xi\Phi + \eta\Psi) = \xi U\Phi + \eta U\Psi \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} (U\Phi, U\Psi) = (\Phi, \Psi)^* \Leftrightarrow U^{\dagger}U = 1 \\ U(\xi\Phi + \eta\Psi) = \xi^* U\Phi + \eta^* U\Psi \end{cases} . \tag{2}$$

the expansions

$$\Psi \bigg|_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{R}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} C_n \Psi_n \quad \& \quad (U\Psi) \bigg|_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} C'_n U \Psi_n \tag{3}$$

for a given Ψ & its counterpart $U\Psi$. To carry on, we must decide the *relative phases* for the transformed basis $\{U\Psi_n\}$: $\left|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I=1,\dots,N}\Psi_{n_i},\Psi\right)\right|^2 \xrightarrow{\text{(1)}} \left|\left(U\sum_{i=1}^{I=1,\dots,N}\Psi_{n_i},U\Psi\right)\right|^2 \xrightarrow{\Psi=\Psi_{n_{i=1,\dots,I}}}$

$$U \sum_{i=1}^{I=1,\dots,N} \Psi_{n_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{I=1,\dots,N} e^{i\theta_i} U \Psi_{n_i},$$
(4)

 1 An order-N Hermitian matrix has N orthogonal eigenvectors with distinct real eigenvalues.A

^{*} iimin.wu@ens.fr

² Given a non-zero $\Psi' \notin \{\Psi'_n\}$ but \perp all Ψ'_n , the 1-1 inverse map will take it back to some non-zero $\Psi'' \notin \{\Psi_n\}$ s.t. $|(\Psi_n, \Psi'')|^2 = |(\Psi'_n, \Psi'')|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{impossible}$, as $\{\Psi_n\}$ is already complete.

& our *convention* is $\theta_{i=1,...,I} \equiv 0 \ \forall I \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we can investigate the relation between the two sets of expansion coefficients, $\{C_n\}$ $\{C'_n\}$. First, $|(\Psi_n, \Psi)|^2 \stackrel{(1)}{\Longrightarrow} |(U\Psi_n, U\Psi)|^2 \stackrel{(3)}{\Longrightarrow}$

$$\left|\frac{C_n'}{C_n}\right| = 1. \tag{5}$$

Then $\left|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \Psi_{n_i}, \Psi\right)\right|^2 \stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=} \left|\left(U \sum_{i=1}^{2} \Psi_{n_i} \stackrel{\text{phase convention}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{2} U \Psi_{n_i}, U \Psi\right)\right|^2 \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{\Longrightarrow}$

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} C'_{n_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} C_{n_{i}}} \right| = 1 \xrightarrow{(5)} \frac{\left| 1 + C'_{n_{2}}/C'_{n_{1}} \right|^{2} - 1 - \left| C'_{n_{2}}/C'_{n_{1}} \right|^{2}}{\left| 1 + C_{n_{2}}/C_{n_{1}} \right|^{2} - 1 - \left| C_{n_{2}}/C_{n_{1}} \right|^{2}} = 1 \xrightarrow{\left| C'_{n_{2}}/C'_{n_{1}} \right| = \left| C_{n_{2}}/C_{n_{1}} \right|} \xrightarrow{(5)} \frac{C_{n_{2}}}{C_{n_{1}}} \circ \left(\frac{C'_{n_{2}}}{C'_{n_{1}}} \right)^{*}.$$

$$(6)$$

Next, think of $\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N \ge 3} \Psi_{n_i}, \Psi \right) \right|^2 \stackrel{\text{(1)}}{==} \left| \left(U \sum_{i=1}^{N \ge 3} \Psi_{n_i} \stackrel{\text{phase convention}}{==} \sum_{i=1}^{N \ge 3} U \Psi_{n_i}, U \Psi \right) \right|^2$, with $\{C_n\}$ & $\{C'_n\}$ satisfying eq (6) in the way that $C_{n_2}/C_{n_1} = C'_{n_2}/C'_{n_1}$ or $= (C'_{n_2}/C'_{n_1})^*$. To prove this '=', let us single out some C_{n_1} , & assume that $C_{n_i}/C_{n_1} = C'_{n_i}/C'_{n_1} \forall i \in \{2, ..., M < N\}$ & $C_{n_i}/C_{n_1} = (C'_{n_i}/C'_{n_i})^* \forall i \in \{M + 1, ..., N\}$. Then, from eqs (5) & (6),

$$0 = \left| 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{C'_{n_i}}{C'_{n_1}} \right|^2 - \left| 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{C_{n_i}}{C_{n_1}} \right|^2 = \sum_{i,j=2}^{N} \left(\frac{C'_{n_i} C'^*_{n_j} - C_{n_i} C^*_{n_j}}{|C_{n_1}|^2} + i \leftrightarrow j \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{M} \sum_{j=M+1}^{N} \left[-4 \left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{n_i}}{C_{n_1}} \right) \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{n_j}}{C_{n_1}} \right] = (-4)^{(M-1)(N-M)} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{M} \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{n_i}}{C_{n_1}} \right) \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{N} \operatorname{Im} \frac{C_{n_j}}{C_{n_1}} \right) \right|$$

$$(7)$$

 \Rightarrow either x or y must $\in \mathbb{R}$, which is an unreasonable stronger statement (transcendental coef-constraint). In brief, \forall symmetric transformation T, the corresponding U satisfies

$$U\sum_{n}C_{n}\Psi_{n}=\sum_{n}C_{n}U\Psi_{n}\quad\text{or}\quad\sum_{n}C_{n}^{*}U\Psi_{n}.$$
(8)

Our final step is to prove that the '=' in eq (8) is actually $'\equiv'$, which leads directly to the property (2). In fact, the mixed unitary-antiunitary case with a stronger constraint

$$\begin{cases}
U \sum_{n} A_{n} \Psi_{n} = \sum_{n} A_{n} U \Psi_{n} \\
U \sum_{n} B_{n} \Psi_{n} = \sum_{n} B_{n}^{*} U \Psi_{n}
\end{cases} \xrightarrow{|(\Psi, \Phi)|^{2} = |(\Psi', \Phi')|^{2}} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}} \left(\operatorname{Im} A_{n_{1}}^{*} A_{n_{2}} \right) \operatorname{Im} B_{n_{1}}^{*} B_{n_{2}} = 0 \\
\left(|\sum_{n} A_{n} B_{n}^{*}|^{2} - |\sum_{n} A_{n} B_{n}|^{2} \right) / \prod_{n_{1}, n_{2}} (-4)
\end{cases}$$
(9)

is again unreasonable, as the probability preservation (1) is automatically satisfied with the overall (anti)unitary condition '\(\exists'\).